FY 1992/FY 1993 BUDGET ESTIMATES **JUSTIFICATION OF ESTIMATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**

967



AD-A236

SUBMITTED TO CONGRESS FEBRUARY1991

OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY

BOOK 2 OF 4

BUDGET ACTIVITY 7: CENTRAL SUPPLY & MAINTENANCE

Department of the Navy Operation and Maintenance, Navy Summary of Requirements by Activity Group Budget Activity 7: Central Supply & Maintenance

| | | | H | | | _1 | | FY 1992 | • | | FY 199 | • | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|----------|--|-----------|-------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Mayal Air Systems Command | rerson | 5/3 100 | NAME OF THE PERSON OF THE PERS | Personnel | | NIMO | Personnel | J | OFF | Personnel | nel E/S | NIMO | |
| Aircraft Revork & Maint | | | r maing | | | Punding | 2 | Š | Punding | Mil | Civ | Funding | Page |
| Air Launched Weapons R & M | • • | ; ` | 101 664 | 9 0 | 777 | 743,893 | 0 | 213 | 596,275 | 0 | 506 | 552,396 | 000107 |
| | , | , | 200,101 | 9 6 | 2 | 110,911 | 0 | 0 | 116,921 | 0 | 0 | 112,250 | 000120 |
| Procurement Operations | 40.5 | 9 6 | 60,033 | 9 | 507 | 225,956 | • ; | 253 | 208,426 | 0 | 244 | 188,044 | 000144 |
| Command & Administration | 72 | 451 | 24,510 | 90, | 420 | 61,0,14 | 454 | 693 | 37,487 | 2 | 665 | 36,361 | 000162 |
| Maintenance Support | 0 | 0 | 14,192 | 9 | | 15,078 | 9, 0 | \$ C | 22,977 | 97 | 395 | 23, 436 | 000167 |
| Logistic Support Activities | ~ | 0 | 130,118 | ~ | • | 140.600 | ~ | • | 112,849 | - | > | 13,410 | 000172 |
| Engineering & Support Services | 0 | 0 | 68,458 | 0 | 0 | 84,777 | 0 | • • | 74.566 | • = | · e | 76 406 | 000010 |
| Field Operations | 451 | 2,762 | 246,330 | 462 | 2,569 | 257,655 | 454 | 2,419 | 251,583 | 452 | 2.347 | 245,694 | 000228 |
| THEORET PERSONS AND ACCOUNT. | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 304 | 0 | • | 337 | 000238 |
| Contract rechydantenace support | 0 | 0 | 47,742 | 0 | 0 | 38,758 | • | 0 | 38,914 | 0 | 0 | 33.413 | 000233 |
| Management Constitution Constitution | 0 | 0 | 1,397 | 0 | 0 | 2,249 | • | 0 | 481 | • | • | 1.965 | 000246 |
| children's construction support | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,818 | 0 | 0 | 389 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 0000251 |
| Craims and other court Directed Ac | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | • | 2,079 | • | 0 | 2,116 | 0 | 0 | 2.145 | 000255 |
| Base Operations | • | - | 498 | 0 | 0 | 658 | 0 | 0 | 2,267 | • | 0 | 2,389 | 000258 |
| Market Special Lond | 0 1 | 0 | 42,431 | 0 | 0 | 49,405 | 0 | 0 | 40,765 | 0 | 0 | 40.660 | 000262 |
| ratification of west Property | 0 | 0 | 16,800 | 0 | 0 | 19,646 | 0 | 0 | 19,906 | 0 | 0 | 13,709 | 000268 |
| TOTAL | 883 | 4.313 | 1.640.143 | 876 | 4 197 | 1 767 470 | 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 0/5//6//7 | 916 | 10', | 1,269,933 | 954 | 3,857 | 1,475,836 | |
| Naval Supply Systems Command | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Supply Operations | 331 | 6,025 | 270,056 | 298 | 0 | 0 | 274 | 0 | c | 259 | • | • | |
| Inventory Control Operations | 237 | 5,271 | 224,618 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 0 | | 23.7 | • - | • | 67.7000 97.7000 |
| Producement Operations | 174 | 929 | 26,680 | 143 | 548 | 49,720 | 141 | 545 | 64.892 | 137 | 505 | 870 67 | 2000 |
| Command & Administration | 68 | 285 | 68,686 | 61 | 274 | 76,634 | 9 | 263 | 24 800 | 35 | 3 2 | 960 | 000283 |
| Field Operations | 16 | 366 | 15,501 | 19 | 286 | 15,453 | 19 | 232 | 11, 205 | ? - | 203 | 501.06 | 167000 |
| Servicewide Transportation | 0 | | 369.044 | 0 | 0 | 242,738 | 0 | 0 | 254,311 | ; = | , | 125, 139 | 00000 |
| Matall Sales Operations | 1,210 | 3,092 | 103,890 | 984 | 3,117 | 107,954 | 41 | 0 | 7,023 | 16 | • • | 7 231 | 00000 |
| Maintenance of Real Property | 0 | | 38,491 | 0 | 0 | 5,018 | 0 | 0 | 4.765 | ; c | , , | 769 6 | \$15000 |
| Base Operations | . | 2,364 | 142,867 | - | 413 | 23,455 | - | 323 | 20,243 | | 328 | 20,155 | 90000 |
| City to the Other Carlon | 0 | 0 | 0 | ٥ | 0 | 6,269 | 0 | ٥ | 5,480 | 0 | 0 | 4 003 | 25000 |
| Military Continued Court Directed Ac | 0 1 | 0 | 5,625 | 0 | 0 | 5,538 | 0 | 0 | 5,630 | 0 | 0 | 2,660 | 000041 |
| retreaty consecutor support | D | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 975 | 0 | 0 | 925 | 0 | 0 | 452 | 000444 |
| TOTAL | 2,041 | 18,394 | 1,295,458 | 1.746 | 4.638 | 511, 754 | 776 | 1 26. | 450 004 | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | | | 2 | 505.1 | *00.66* | 687 | 1,289 | 448,753 | |
| Naval Sea Systems Command | • | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Military Construction Superior | о, | ۰ , | 0 1 | 0 | 0 | 5,862 | 0 | 0 | 5,622 | 0 | 0 | 5.671 | 000353 |
| Ship Launched Weapons B & M | - | - | מיי ניי | 0 0 | 0 (| 220 | 0 | 0 | 1,516 | 0 | 0 | 2,234 | 000357 |
| ASW Systems Maintenance | , c | • | 150 745 | | 9 6 | 142,185 | 0 4 | e | 125,196 | 0 | € | 127,227 | 000361 |
| Other Ship Systems Maintence | | 75, | 222,188 | . | 7.0 | 100,034 | 5 6 | 8/ | 151,723 | 0 | 77 | 156,633 | 000378 |
| Intermediate Maintenance | | | 2.546 | , , | , | 966'977 | - | 326 | 206,726 | o • | 330 | 213,161 | 000411 |
| Maintenance Support | 474 | 80 | 126.418 | 615 | · ~ | 305 178 | 203 | | 2 2 2 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 000439 |
| Procurement Operations | 265 | 5,809 | 771,262 | 537 | 5,564 | 288,306 | 550 | 5.144 | 283 367 | 960 | 436 | 209,175 | 000443 |
| Command & Administration | 95 | 432 | 25,580 | 134 | 407 | 23,758 | 139 | | 23,241 | . אני ה | , , | 71 630 | 844000 |
| Field Operations | 109 | 3,479 | 204,953 | 618 | 3,308 | 205,092 | 617 | 3,106 | 181,697 | 602 | 1.021 | 196 784 | 10000 |
| Industrial presentation | 9 | 121 | 345,129 | 74 | 113 | 265,917 | 74 | 503 | 236,636 | 74 | 493 | 264.004 | 000535 |
| | - 2 | 0 0 | 1,221 | 0 | 0 | 1,260 | 0 | 0 | 1,171 | 0 | 0 | 1.515 | 725000 |
| ASW Systems Support | 3 0 | - | 147,185 | 121 | 0 (| 279,148 | 172 | 482 | 270,705 | 171 | 503 | 300,291 | 000584 |
| | > < | | 78,72 | > < | 9 0 | 0 . | 0 | 0 | o | 0 | 0 | 0 | 000641 |
| Base Operations | 363 | 9 0 | 80,091 | 976 | 0 0 | 27,002 | ٥ , | 0 | 21,146 | 0 | 0 | 17,238 | 559000 |
| Environmental Protection | 90 | , 0 | , -i | 50 | . c | 105,080 | 35 F | 0 0 | 7 6 2 | 313 | 0 | 100,315 | 999000 |
| | ' | | | | | | , | , | 7.5. | ! ا | 0 | 8,713 | 939000 |
| TOTAL | 2,283 1 | 10.182 | 2,529,753 | 2,463 | 9,728 | 1,942,536 | 2,493 | 10,648 | 1,813,745 | 2,436 | 10,313 | 1,907,039 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Budget Activity 7: Central Supply & Maintenance

}

| 1 | | ~ | | | FY 1991 | _ | | FY 1992 | | | FY 1993 | | |
|--|------------------|---------|----------------|-----------|---------|------------------|--------------|---------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|--------|
| | Personnel Mil | 5/3 [0] | OMEN Prince | Personnel | nel E/S | OPEN Transfer | Personnel | •1 E/S | Nª O | Personnel | 101 E/S | OPEN C | |
| Mayel Pacilities Engineering Command | 1 | ; | | | | | | | - Giorna | | | A COUNTY | |
| Claims and Other Court Directed Ac | • | 0 | • | • | 0 | 2.940 | • | 0 | 2.331 | • | • | 2.331 | 969000 |
| Military Construction Support | 0 | • | | • | • | 826 | | | 120 | | | 240 | 669000 |
| Command & Administration | 7 | 263 | 17,180 | * | 264 | 16.821 | 38 | 245 | 16.562 | 37 | 231 | 16.522 | 000702 |
| Field Operations | 117 | 1,131 | 60,262 | 129 | 872 | 56,455 | 129 | 842 | | 123 | 861 | 53,061 | 707000 |
| Logistic Support Activities | 0 | • | 56,441 | 0 | 0 | 14,680 | 0 | 7 | 15,010 | • | . | 15, 282 | 000720 |
| Maintenance of Real Property | 55 | 1,243 | 95,020 | 57 | 1,298 | 190,00 | 44 | 1,091 | 73,042 | = | 1,042 | 51,052 | 000734 |
| Base Operations | 9.16 | 1,413 | 78,290 | 923 | 1,498 | 84,520 | 606 | 1,172 | 11,761 | 899 | 1,106 | 71,610 | 000740 |
| Environmental Protection | 0 | 0 | 223,859 | 0 | • | 286,099 | • | 173 | 99,807 | 0 | 173 | 110,256 | 000748 |
| TOTAL | 1,091 | 4,050 | 531,052 | 1,148 | 3,932 | 542,402 | 1,121 | 3,567 | 331,426 | 1,104 | 3,458 | 320,654 | |
| Space and Naval Warfare See Systems Communic | Commund | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Other Aviation Svs Maint | 0 | 0 | 5.012 | 0 | 0 | 4.599 | 0 | 0 | 2.778 | • | ¥n | 3.699 | 952000 |
| Electronic Systems R & M | 0 | 0 | 13.074 | • | 0 | 15.240 | | - | 10.556 | | • | 6.045 | 000761 |
| Maintenance Support | • | • | 6.614 | • | • | 5.531 | • • | • | 3.491 | | • | 4,559 | 000770 |
| Procurement Operations | 177 | 910 | 50,343 | 163 | 634 | 54,193 | 163 | 27.2 | 46,142 | 163 | 801 | 50,034 | 000778 |
| Command & Administration | 16 | 172 | 11,913 | 14 | 169 | 9,951 | 14 | 164 | 10,919 | 14 | 159 | 8,764 | 000786 |
| Field Operations | 301 | 1,093 | 70,915 | 362 | 1,084 | 75,093 | 345 | 1,063 | 70,460 | 82 | 726 | 43,828 | 16/000 |
| Logistic Support Activities | 0 | 0 | 9,964 | 0 | 0 | 9,576 | • | 24 | 7,030 | 0 | 77 | 34,623 | 000000 |
| Industrial Preparedness | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 95 | • | 0 | 91 | 000812 |
| Engineering & Support Services | • | • | 29,141 | • | 0 | 31,339 | 0 | 7.3 | 25,595 | 0 | 63 | 26,207 | 000816 |
| ASW Systems Support | 0 | 0 | 5,824 | 0 | 0 | 6,772 | 0 | • | 5,271 | 0 | • | 5,360 | 000848 |
| Claims and Other Court Directed Ac | 0 | 0 | 0 | ٥ | ٥ | 360 | ٥ | 0 | 360 | ٥ | 0 | 357 | 000854 |
| Military Construction Support | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 141 | 0 | • | 130 | 000857 |
| Maintenance of Real Property | 0 | 0 | 7,010 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 2,548 | 098000 |
| Base Operations | 0 | 0 | 20,323 | 0 | 0 | 18,575 | 0 | 0 | 15,223 | • | • | 14,541 | 000867 |
| Environmental Protection | • | 0 | • | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | • | 24 | 928000 |
| TOTAL | 494 | 2,175 | 230,213 | 539 | 2,087 | 237,104 | 522 | 2,112 | 203,703 | 259 | 1,797 | 200,810 | |
| Naval Military Personnel Command Retail Sales Operations | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300,744 | 0 | • | 320,116 | • | 0 | 298,897 | 000879 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | • | • | 0 | • | 0 | 300,744 | 0 | 0 | 320,116 | 0 | • | 298,897 | |
| Chief of Naval Operations (OP-09B) | • | 126 | 23.457 | 4 | 102 | 19 574 | , | 146 | 11 474 | ,, | 117 | 10 140 | 98 |
| Military Construction Support | | • | 0 | 0 | | 2,345 | 10 | | 2.400 | ; = | : - | 2.085 | 206000 |
| Base Operations | 0 | 0 | 6,548 | 0 | 0 | 1,810 | • | 0 | 1,871 | • | 0 | 1,845 | 906000 |
| TUTAL | 2 | 126 | 30,005 | 91 | 102 | 23,729 | 22 | 146 | 15,745 | 2 | 133 | 14,270 | |
| Assistant for Administration, UNSECNAV | | ć | 714 01 | c | • | | c | u | | • | u | 3. 4. | 0 |
| Field Operations | 9 69 | 35 | 2,326 | | 37 | 2,702 | . e o | 38 | 2,782 | . | 3.5 | 2,795 | 000017 |
| 1 | | ; | | | | | | ' | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 01 | 35 | 13,142 | • | 3.1 | 12,519 | 80 | 43 | 12,953 | •• | 4 2 | 12,956 | |
| Chief of Naval Operations (OP-82) Industrial & Stock Fund Support | 0 | 0 | 450,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| TOTAL | 0 | 0 | 450,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | • | 0 | |
| GPAND TOTAL | 6,805 | 39,275 | 275 23,919,022 | 6,870 | 24,721 | 5,350,266 | 5.850 | 21,936 | 4,726,625 | 5,568 | 20,893 | 4,679,215 | |
| | | | | | | | | | , | | , | | |

Department of the Navy Operation and Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-5

Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply and Maintenance

I. Description of Operations Financed.

Naval Military Personnel Command; and the Assistant for Administration to the Under Secretary of the Navy (AAUSN). In FY 1991 an effort began to reorganize and disestablish MAVDAC to form two the Automated Data Processing Selection Office to form the Information Technologies Acquisition This support is primarily provided by four Naval Systems Commands; the Naval Data Automation Command (NAVDAC) which operates under the direct command of the Chief of Naval Operations; the nev organizations. Part of NAVDAC was combined with NAVTELCOM to form the Naval Computer Telecommunications Command (NCTC). Efforts are ongoing to further combine part of NAVDAC with Central Supply and Maintenance programs provide supply, maintenance, technical, and other logistic and acquisition management support to the operating forces and shore establishment. Command (ITAC).

levels for Aircraft Rework and Maintenance reflect real program decreases in FY 1992 and FY 1993, Total funding estimates in FY 1992 and FY 1993 reflect major reductions in all BA 7 programs with the exception of environmental protection and AEGIS support. In particular, total funding impacted. Depot maintenance programs are priced on the basis of achieving significant economies in the operation of the Navy's Shipyards and Aviation Depots. These savings are to be achieved backlogs will require additional management attention to ensure that fleet operations are not resulting in increased numbers of aircraft and engines overdue for maintenance. as a result of increased competition, downsizing, and workload consolidation.

strength reductions. The overall decrease in FY 1992 in this budget activity is offset as a Funding for subsistence decreases in FY 1993 in consonance with military personnel end result of reflecting the DBOF capital investment strategy in the DBOF customer accounts.

claimant in budget activity 7. All available audit savings have been incorporated into these Detailed budget justification by activity group is provided separately for each major budget estimates.

Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply and Maintenance (Continued)

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

| A. Claimant Breakout. | | | FY 1991 | | | |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | FY 1990 Actual | President's Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Current Estimate | FY 1993 Current Estimate |
| Naval Air Systems Command | 1,640,143 | 1,950,639 | 1,786,402 | 1,757,478 | 1,569,933 | 1,475,836 |
| Naval Sea Systems Command | 2,029,753 | 2,161,418 | 1,950,592 | 1,942,536 | 1,813,745 | 1,907,039 |
| Nuval Supply Systems Command | 1,295,458 | 523,671 | 495,709 | 533,754 | 429,004 | 448,753 |
| Naval Facilities Engineering Command | 531,052 | 336,035 | 322,216 | 542,402 | 331,426 | 320,654 |
| Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command | 230,213 | 264,152 | 253,794 | 237,104 | 203,703 | 200,810 |
| Naval Military Personnel Command | -0- | 287,600 | 287,600 | 300,744 | 320,116 | 298,897 |
| Chief of Naval Operations (OP-09B) | 30, 105 | 31,363 | 27,225 | 23,729 | 15,745 | 14,270 |
| Assistant for Admin to the UNDERSECNAV | 13,142 | 13,626 | 11,880 | 12,519 | 12,953 | 12,956 |
| Chief of Naval Operations (OP-82) | 450,000 | 0- | -0- | 0- | -0- | 0- |
| Total Budget Activity | 6,219,766 | 5,568,504 | 5,135,418 | 5,350,266 | 4,726,625 | 4,679,215 |

Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply and Maintenance (Continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases.

| ÷ | FY 1991 President's Budget Request | 5,568,504 |
|----|---|----------------------|
| 2. | Congressional Adjustments | -412,512 |
| | Q. | (-450) |
| | Hydroloil Boats Real Property Maintenance | (-2,112) (-7,811) |
| | unications | (-1,797) |
| | ion | -21,800) |
| | Engineering and Support Air Launched Veapons | (-8,3/8) -15,384) |
| | enance | -36,100) |
| | i. Training and Education | (-8,340) |
| | | (-1,333) (-9,761) |
| | | -12,200) |
| | | -35, 155) |
| | nnel Freeze | -25, 539) |
| | o. SES Worklyears (n Foreign National | (-2,505) |
| | Other Oak Troop Reduction | (-50,000) |
| | Travel | -12,518) |
| | chases (| -51,599) |
| | t. Retire Older Weapon Systems | -43,600) |
| | • | -39,234) |
| | v. Foreign National/Undistributed (- | -23,177) |
| ë. | General Provisions | |
| | a. CAAS | (-20,5/4) |
| 4 | FY 1991 Appropriation | 5,135,418 |

Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply and Maintenance (Continued)

| Decreases | |
|-----------|--|
| and | |
| Increases | |
| of | |
| liation | |
| Reconci | |
| <u>m</u> | |

| | Program Increases a. Program Growth in FY 1991 | (273,420) | 273,420 |
|----------|--|--|--------------------|
| | Program Decreases a. Program Decreases in FY 1991 | (-58,572) | -58,572 |
| - ~ | FY 1991 Current Estimate a. Fuel Offset | | 5,350,266 2,117 |
| . | Pricing Adjustments a. FY 1992 Civilian Pay 1) Classified 2) Wage Board 3) Foreign National Direct Hires b. Defense Business Operating Fund 1) Fuel 2) Non-Fuel c. Other Defense Business Operating Fund d. FN Indirect Hire e. Other Pricing Adjustments | (48,476) 44,410 3,866 186 (44,149) -2,026 16,175 (97,681) (78,044) | 236,742 |
| | Functional Program Transfers a. Transfers in 1) Intra-Appropriation 2) Inter-Appropriation b. Transfers out 1) Intra-Appropriation 2) Inter-Appropriation | (11,054) 7,554 3,500 (-15,685) -15,685 | -4,626 |

Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply and Maintenance (Continued)

| | riogram increases a. Program Growth in FY 1992 | (26,914) | 26,914 |
|-----|--|--|-----------|
| 11. | Program Decreases a. Program Decreases in FY 1992 | (-869,425) | -869,425 |
| 12. | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | 4,726,625 |
| 14. | Pricing Adjustments a. FY 1993 Civilian Pay 1) Classified 2) Wage Board 3) Foreign National Direct Hires b. Defense Business Operating Fund 1) Fuel 2) Non-Fuel c. Other Defense Business Operating Fund d. FN Indirect Hire e. Other Adjustments Functional Program Transfers a. Transfers in 1) Intra-Appropriation 2) Inter-Appropriation b. Transfers out 1) Intra-Appropriation 2) Inter-Appropriation 2) Inter-Appropriation 2) Inter-Appropriation | (49,519) 47,023 2,387 96 (14,090) 99 13,991 (-342) (-342) (-55) (63,196) (63,196) (63,196) (63,196) (63,196) (63,196) | 126,408 |

tral Supply and Maintenance (Continued)

| | | 65,124 | -81,861 | |
|---|---|---|--|--|
| (continued) | | (65,124) | (-81,861) | 4,679,215 |
| Budget Activity: /-Central Supply and naintenance (Continued) | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases | 15. Program Increases a. Program Growth in FY 1993 | 16. Program Decreases a. Program Decreases in FY 1993 | 17. FY 1993 President's Budget Request |
| Budget 1 | B. | , , | | • |

Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply and Maintenance (Continued)

III. Performance Criteria

Detailed performance criteria are reflected by major claimant and activity group in the applicable sections of the budget submission.

IV. Personnel Summary:

| | | FY 1989 | FY 1990 | FY 1991 |
|-----|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| End | End Strength (E/S) | | | |
| a. | Military | 6,269 | 6,987 | 6,900 |
| | Officer Enlisted | 2,814 3,455 | 2,964 4,023 | 2,923 |
| ۵. | Civilian | 42,206 | 41,630 | 27,425 |
| | USDH FNDH FNIH | 41,551 272 383 | 40,861 375 394 | 26,611 408 406 |

OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Aircraft Rework and Maintenance Budget Activity: Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Air Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Pinanced.

selected aircraft when material condition warrants. Under ASPA guidelines, only aircraft that upon inspection cannot safely be justified and cost effective. The Navy has implemented a strategy that includes competition for depot maintenance workload between the Naval Aviation Depots and commercial activities. It is NAVAIR's policy to promote competition between the Naval Aviation Depots and private industry as a means of improving performance and reducing total costs. Selected competition will A. Airframe Rework - This program provides inspection, repair, reconfiguration and conversion of fleet aircraft. Through periodic return to depot level maintenance activities, aircraft major structures and airframe systems are maintained in a safe maintenance is conducted under the SDLM concept in which maintenance is performed only to the level that is technically flyable condition. The Aircraft Service Period Adjustment (ASPA) Program adjusts individual aircraft period end dates for extended for another 12-month tour are inducted in the depot for Standard Depot Level Maintenance (SDLM). Depot be conducted above the essential base for the alteration, overhaul and repair of aircraft.

gearboxes, and torque meters. The program objective is to return depot-repairable engines to ready-for-issue status to support fleet engine pool requirements. Under the Engine Analytical Maintenance Program (EAMP), engines are repaired at the lowest maintenance is operationally necessary and cost effective. Engine field team assistance is included in this budget submission to scheduled for induction in the depots. Depot-level maintenance may also be performed concurrent with aircraft SDLM if such B. Engine Rework - The engine rework program accomplishes the repair, modification and overhaul of aircraft engines, echelon of maintenance. Only engines that are beyond the repair capability of intermediate maintenance activities are provide on-site depot level maintenance on an as-needed basis.

repair during the interim support phase of a program. The interim support phase is that period of time prior to material support Supply Office (ASO). In addition to interim support repairs, the Repair of Repairables (ROR) funds the 4R and 6K cognizance program objective is to accomplish depot level repair of components during interim support in quantities consistent with fleet date (MSD). MSD is the point in time when support, material and repair transition from the contractor to the Navy Aviation C. Component Rework - The primary purpose of the Component Rework Program is to provide optimum Fleet readiness usage for support of aircrast operational readiness objectives. The repair of repairables (ROR) funds are used for component during the interim support period by ensuring that an adequate supply of components is available to support the fleet. The component repair programs. These programs remained under NAVAIRSYSCOM management, due to specialized supply

Budget Activity: Z - Central Supply and Maintenance Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed.

categories which were not included in the Aviation Depot Level Repairables (AVDLR) transfer. ROR also funds the Microcircuit managers in resolving micro-ircuit obsolescence problems related to components operating in the fleet and possibly still under Obsolescence Management program which supports a microcircuit application base and assists NAVAIR program/equipment production. Component rework funds the cost of labor and material needed for repairs.

the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) for command. NAPRA's mission is to maintain and operate U.S. Navy facilities and execute of depot maintenance operations. Funds were realigned to this program to fund the establishment of U.S. Naval Aviation Pacific salvage of material, fleet maintenance training, customer service, preservation and depreservation, aircraft recovery, and support Repair Activity (NAPRA), Atsugi, Japan implementing Secretary of the Navy approval to establish this shore activity assigned to expeditious solutions for the correction of unplanned maintenance problems incurred during fleet operations. Services include D. Aircraft Support Services - This program provides unscheduled services to the fleet. The services are budgeted on the basis of historical levels of effort and projected emergent requirements. This program enhances fleet readiness by providing contracts to perform depot level rework on designated weapon systems, support equipment and associated components in support of U.S. Navy and Marine Corps aircraft in the Western Pacific theater. Activity Group: Aicraft Rework and Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| o- Current FY 1992 FY 1993 DESTIMATE REQUEST REQUEST | 79 402,748 338,822 277,783 14 256,738 180,146 192,543 15 63,988 59,940 66,568 77 20,419 17,367 15,504 75 743,893 596,275 552,398 |
|---|--|
| Budget Appro- Request priation | 430,075 409,999 264,217 255,344 64,936 52,935 29,418 28,397 788,646 746,675 |
| FY 1990 <u>Actual</u> | 354,105 216,994 62,354 21,776 655,229 |
| | Airframe Rework Engine Rework Component Repair Support Services TOTAL, AIRCRAFT REWORK AND MAINTENANCE |

Activity Group: Aircraft Rework and Main

800

| _ | | |
|--|---------|--------------|
| 1. fl 1991 Current Estimate | | \$743,893 |
| 2. Pricing Adjustments | | |
| A Annitalization of DV 1001 Dings | | 20,132 |
| 1) Classified | (34) | • |
| | | |
| Z) Wage Board | | |
| 3) Foreign National Direct | | |
| B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | | |
| 1) Classified | 5 | |
| 2) Wage Board | 75 | |
| 3) Foreign National Direct | | |
| C. Defense Business Operations Plind Bates (Strate Burnat) | 20 | |
| 1) Non-Fuel | | (89) (89) |
| D. Other Defense Business Operations Burd Bates Cardinal | 89 | |
| 1) Pricing includes savings for Defense Management | 'una) | (9,674) |
| Review Initiative Consolidation of Denor | | |
| Maintenance. These savings are to be achieved | | |
| as a result of increased competition, downsizing | | |
| and workload consolidation. | | |
| E. Foreign Currency | | |
| F. Other Pricing Adjustments | (4,117) | |
| 1) Increase reflects anticipated increased participation | (0,136) | |
| in the Federal Employee Retirement System hased | | |
| on current experience, and increased Federal | | |
| Employee Health Benefits due to rate increases | ; | |
| 2) Other Pricing | 21 | |
| • | 6,115 | |
| 3. Program Increases | | |
| A. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 | | 1,525 |
| 1) Increase in Aircraft Rework program for 1 | (525,1) | |
| Midterm Inspection, 15 Air Worthiness | | |
| Inspections, and Emergency Repairs. | BAK | |
| 2) Increase in Engine Rework program for | 2 | |
| 2 gearnox/torque meter repairs. | 47 | |

| Aircraft Rework and Maintenance (continued) | Naval Air Systems Command |
|---|---------------------------|
| Activity Group: | Claimant: |

£,

~``

| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | Ø | 2000 |
|--|------------|------|
| 3) Increase in Support Services Program for NAPRA. 4) Increase of one additional workday of civilian | 482 | |
| employment in FY 1992. | 10 | |
| 5) Change in NAPRA workforce mix. | 140 | |
| 4. Program Decreases | -169.275 | 275 |
| A. Other Program Decrease in FY 1992 | (-169.275) | i |
| SDLM | • | |
| Conversions, and ASPA inspections. Decrease includes a | | |
| Review Initiatives which consolidates ADP and reflects | | |
| | -71.988 | |
| ıls, | | |
| 405 engine repairs, 12 special repairs, 37 gearbox/torque | | |
| meter overhauls and decreased field teams. Decrease | | |
| includes a \$1,375K reduction attributed to Defense | | |
| Management Review Initiatives which consolidates ADP and | | |
| | -85,873 | |
| | • | |
| of the ARIES project to the ARIES II Mod and reduction of | | |
| the E-6A aircraft. Decrease includes a \$33K reduction | | |
| attributed to Defense Management Review Initiatives which | | |
| reflects efficiencies. | -5.735 | |
| 4) Decrease in Support Services program for Preservation, Salvage, | | |
| Acceptance/ Transfer, Customer/Fleet Training, Customer Services, | | |
| Culter Support and aucrait recovery. Decrease includes a \$105K | | |
| reduction attributed to Defense Management Review | | |
| Initiatives which consolidates ADP and reflects efficiencies. | 4,735 | |
| 5) Anticipated program savings attributable to burdensharing | | |
| agreements. | -944 | |
| 5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | \$596,275 | 275 |

| Activity Group: Aircraft Rework and Mainten Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command | t Rework and Maintenance (continued) Air Systems Command |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | | 2000 |
|--|---------------|-----------|
| 6. Pricing Adjustments | ŵ | -5,835 |
| A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | _ | • |
| 1) Classified | 56 | |
| 2) Wage Board | 4 | |
| 3) Foreign National Direct | 9 | |
| B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises | (109) | |
| 1) Classified | | |
| 2) Wage Board | 10 | |
| 3) Foreign National Direct | 50 | |
| C. Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Stock Fund) | | (200) |
| 1) Non-Puel | 200 | |
| D. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) | | (-11,799) |
| 1) Pricing includes savings for Defense Management | | |
| Keview Initiative Consolidation of Depot | | |
| Maintenance. These savings are to be achieved | | |
| as a result of increased competition, downsizing, | | |
| | | |
| E. Other Pricing Adjustments | (5,319) | |
| 1) Increase reflects anticipated increased participation | Ē | |
| in the Pederal Employee Retirement System based on | l on | |
| current experience, and increased Rederal Employee | ie. | |
| Health Benefits due to rate increases. | 37 | |
| 2) Other Pricing | 5,282 | |
| 7. Program increases | 30 | 29 026 |
| | (29.026) | |
| 1) Increase in Aircraft Rework program of | | |
| emergency repairs and ASPA inspections. | 702 | |
| 2) Increase in Engine Rework Program of 4 engine overhauls, 108 | verhauls, 108 | |
| engine repairs, 3 special repairs, 49 gearbox/torque meter | se meter | |
| 3) Increase in Interim Component Repair for the EP-3 | | |
| ARIES II program, for weapon systems components, common | 3, common | |
| avionics and support equipment. 4) Increase in Support Services for NAPRA. | 6,241 | |
| 17: 17: 10: 4017/10 11/4/10 11: 12:11:11 /L | 701 | |

| Aircraft Rework and Maintenance (continue | Naval Air Systems Command |
|---|---------------------------|
| Activity Group: | Claimant: |

| œi | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | | 000\$ |
|----|---|-----------|-----------|
| | 8. Program Decreases | | 870.25 |
| | A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 | (-67.068) | 20,10 |
| | 1) Decrease in Airframe Rework program of 47 SDLMS, | | |
| | 32 SDLM Mods, and 8 Air Worthiness Inspections. | | |
| | Decrease includes a \$3,465K reduction attributed to | | |
| | Defense Management Review Initiatives which consolidates | | |
| | ADP and reflects efficiencies. | -60.610 | |
| | 2) Decreased Field Teams in the Engine Rework Program. | | |
| | Decrease includes a \$2,029K reduction attributed to | | |
| | Defense Management Review Initiatives which | | |
| | consolidates ADP and reflects efficiencies. | -2.931 | |
| | 3) Decrease in Interim Component Repair due to anticipated | | |
| | program savings attributable to burdensharing | | |
| | agreements. Decrease includes a \$120K reduction attributed | | |
| | to Defense Management Review Initiatives which reflect | | |
| | efficiencies. | .1.720 | |
| | 4) Decrease in Support Services program for Preservation, | | |
| | Salvage, Acceptance/Transfer, Customer/Pleet Training, | | |
| | Customer Services and Other Support. Decrease includes | | |
| | a \$163K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review | | |
| | Initiatives which consolidates ADP and reflects efficiencies. | .1.729 | |
| | 5) Decrease of one workday of civilian employment in FY 1993. | .11 | |
| | 6) Decrease of three workyears | -67 | |
| | | | |
| | y. Fr 1993 President's Budget Request | | \$552.398 |

Activity Group: Aircraft Rework and Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| 22 FY 1993 11 204 11 194,773 | | | | | 24 10,236 | ~ |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|
| FY 1992 | 251 228,231 | 55 40,801 | 00 | • • | 24 10,401 | 330 279,433 |
| FY 1991 | 350 288,901 | 55 42,710 | 3,092 | 00 | 28 10,070 | 444 344,773 |
| FY 1990 | 338 235,429 | 44 38,399 | 9 3,145 | 9 8,391 | 21 5,821 | 421 291,185 |
| | Units Cost | Units Cost | Units Cost | Units Cost | Units Cost | Units Cost |
| III. <u>Performance Criteria.</u> A. <u>Airframe Rework.</u> | Stand. Depot Level Maintenance | SDLM/Modifications | SDLM/Conversion | SDLM/Crash Damage | Age Exploration Program, Depot | SUBTOTAL SDLM |
| = | | | | | | |

Activity Group: <u>Aircraft Rework and Maintenance (continued)</u>
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| Performance Criteria (continued). | | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|---------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| nonsection | Units Cost | 00 | 00 | 1 329 | 321 |
| Air Worthiness | Units Cost | 2,334 | 60 2,577 | 75 2,895 | 67 2,300 |
| Emergency Repair | Cost | 53,705 | 47,886 | 48,838 | 48,994 |
| Aircraft Service Period Adjustment Inspections | Cost | 6,881 | 7,512 | 7,327 | 7,686 |
| Pield Inspection | Units Cost | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| SUBTOTAL Other TOTAL Airframe | Units | 62,920 | 60 57,975 | 76 59,389 | 68 59,301 |
| | Cost | 354,105 | 402,748 | 338,822 | 277,783 |

Activity Group: Claimant:

Aircraft Rework and Maintenance (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

| FY 1990 PY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | 154 178 138 142 18,677 20,862 16,988 16,272 | 1515 1617 1212 1320 184,333 223,900 153,913 166,426 | 27 16 4 7 2,091 1,771 358 499 | 1696 1811 1354 1469 205,101 246,533 171,259 183,197 | 285 212 175 224 10,267 7,921 6,513 7,851 | 30 23 25 30 658 577 645 732 | 968 1,707 1,729 763 | 315 235 200 254 11,893 10,205 6,887 9,346 | 216,994 256,738 180,146 192,543 |
|--|--|--|----------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------------------|
| | Units Cost | Units Cost | Units Cost | Units Cost | Units Cost | Units Cost | Cost | Units Cost | Cost |
| III. Performance Criteria (continued). B. Engine Rework. | Engine Overhaul | Engine Repair | Special Repair | Subtotal O/H & Repair | Gear Boxes, T.M. (O/H) | Gear Boxes/T.M. (Repair) | Field Team | SUBTOTAL Gear Boxes & Field Team | TOTAL Engine Rework |

Activity Group: Aircraft Rework and Maintenance (continued)
Claimant Naval Air Systems Command

| . = | Performance Criteria (continued). | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|-----|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | C. Component Repair. | | | | |
| | Augmented Support (ROR) | 62,354 | 63,988 | 59,940 | 66,568 |
| | TOTAL Component Repair | 62,354 | 63,988 | 59,940 | 892'99 |
| | D. Support Services. | | | | |
| | Preservation | 3,180 | 3,111 | 2,210 | 1,760 |
| | Salvage | 428 | 406 | 271 | 214 |
| | Acceptance/Transfer | 1,002 | 992 | 662 | 524 |
| | Customer/Fleet Training | 4,368 | 3,967 | 2,645 | 2,094 |
| | Customer Services | 2,803 | 2,905 | 2,971 | 2,416 |
| | Other Support Items | 4,497 | 3,979 | 2,659 | 2,333 |
| | Naval Aviation Pacific Repair Activity | 3,965 | 4,810 | 2,699 | 5,913 |
| | Aircraft Recovery | 1,533 | 249 | 250 | 250 |
| | TOTAL Support Services | 21,776 | 20,419 | 17,367 | 15,504 |

Activity Group: Aircraft Rework and Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| FY 1993 | | 916,495 1,101,166 | 916,495 1,101,166 | | 552,398 | 548,768 | 0 |
|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | | 916,495 | | | 0,70,000 | 320,220 | 0 |
| PY 1991 | | 872,846 | 872,846 | 742 603 | 20,077 | 128,953 | 0 |
| FY 1990 | | 706,235 | 706,235 | 966 339 | 677 | 51,006 | 0 |
| III. <u>Performance Criteria (continued).</u> | TOTAL AIRCRAFT REWORK & | WALL ENANCE REQUIREMENT | EXECUTABLE REQUIREMENT | FUNDED REQUIREMENT | UNFUNDED DEFERBED REGIDENENT | | UNEXECUTABLE DEFERRED REQUIREMENT |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

<u>9</u>

Aircraft Rework and Maintenance (continued)
Naval Air Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Personnel Summary. ≥.

| FY 1993 | 31 8 23 | 206 46 63 97 |
|--------------------|---------------|--|
| FY 1992 | 31 8 23 | 213 45 71 |
| FY 1991 | 36 27 | 222 48 77 97 |
| FY 1990 | 27 6 21 | 217 36 36 93 88 |
| End Strength (E/S) | | B. <u>Civilian</u> USDH FNDH FNIH |

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Activity Group: Air-Launched Weapons Rework
Budget Activity: Z - Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Pinanced:

Air-Launched Missile rework requirements financed provide maintenance at Naval Weapons Stations (NWS) and Navy owned or commercial depots (DOP). Maintenance performed at the NWS consists of testing for reliability and proper functioning of the cosmetic maintenance is also performed as required. Failures noted during NWS testing are isolated to major components such overhaul of failed components is performed at the DOP and consists of fault isolation, and disassembly down to the most basic components and repair as necessary to restore the component to its original design capability criteria. Missiles requiring NWS as guidance sections, control sections and rocket motors, and the failed component is replaced and sent to a DOP. In depth maintenance and testing are determined through serialized tracking of the number of candidates that will be generated in a missile after its expected serviceable-in-service-time (SIST) has expired or when a failure occurs during Pleet use. Minor given fiscal year due to SIST expirations.

generated in a given fiscal year due to service life expirations. Renovation performed on aircraft gun systems, aircraft armament control and repackaging. Quantities requiring renovation in a given fiscal year are determined from expired install times and equipment (Bomb Racks and Missile Launchers) in-flight refueling stores, chemical tanks and fuel tanks consists of corrosion Pyrotechnics) consists of component replacement, explosive reloading, corrosion control, X-ray and palletizing rounds and components. Conventional ordnance devices requiring renovation are determined by the number of candidates that will be aircraft gun systems, aircraft armament equipment, fuel tanks and chemical tanks. Renovation performed on conventional Air-Launched Ordnance and Ammunition rework requirements financed provide renovation for conventional ordnance, ordnance (Bombs, Rockets, Aircrew Escape Propulsion Systems, Cartridge Actuated Devices, Chaff, Ammunition, and periodic inspection intervals.

Services Imagery Processing System (JSIPS) and the Integrated Strike Planning System (ISPS). Renovation performed on Special Weapons consists of component replacement and rework of assemblies, subassemblies, components and parts. Special Weapons Weapons and Unmanned Air Vehicles (UAVs), as well as software and hardware maintenance and user training for the Joint Special Weapons Maintenance and Support requirements financed provide for renovation and maintenance of Special requiring renovation are determined by the number of candidates that will be generated Activity Group: Air-Launched Weapons Rework (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

mandated by the Department of Energy (DOE) and the Defense Nuclear Agency (DNA). UAV maintenance is performed primarily in a given fiscal year based on replacement schedules for limited life components and scheduled testing/inspection requirements testing, flight programming and aircraft loading/downloading. UAV maintenance and support requirements are based on required flight hours, sortie availability rates and mission requirements. Systems supported include PIONEER Remotely Piloted Vehicle (RPV), Short Range UAV, Medium Range UAV and Tactical Air-Launched Decoy (TALD). at commercial depots and consists of repair of crash damaged components, repair of repairables (ROR), assembly, go/no-go

Activity Group: Air-Launched Weapons Rework (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| FY 1991 | Budget Request | 49,536 58,244 48,427 49,481 65,720 57,828 | 33,969 49,991 44,321 45,347 45,474 38,471 | 13,159 7,081 7,063 <u>16,083</u> 15,717 <u>15,951</u> | 01,564 115,316 99,811 110,911 126,911 112,250 |
|---------|-------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | FY 1990 Actual | Air-Launched Missiles 49,536 | Air-Launched Ordnance & 33,969 | Special Weapons Maintenance & Support | TOTAL Air-Launched Weapons Rework |

| ~ | J |
|-----------|---|
| 0 | 4 |
| - | 1 |
| |) |
| Ċ | į |
| \bar{c} |) |
| | |

Air-Launched Weapons Rework (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

Activity Group: Claimant:

Air-Launched Weapons Rework (continued)
Naval Air Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). æ

| 706 | 532 | 4,007 | (-11,176) | -8,047 | -1,142 | -1,987 |
|---|---|---------------------|--|--|---|------------------------------|
| Increase in UAV logistics element support in order to support the introduction of new short and medium-range systems into the inventory. Increase in Production Engineering Support effort | for resolution of technical issues related to production and technical manual updates. 5) Increase for Ordnance Logistics Element Support for certification of new type aircraft to carry specific | designated weapons. | A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Given the constrained fiscal environment and the reduced threat, 213,777 fewer expendable and 1,582 fewer mon-expendable ordures are being remained com- | mensurate with reduced requirements. 2) Decrease for Special Weapons maintenance due to the performance of 1,654 fewer maintenance actions (i.e. Limited Life | Component exchanges) than in FY 1991. 4) Decrease in Air-Launched Weapons Logistics Element Support effort for development and maintenance of standardized programs. Includes reduction of 207K attributed to Defense Management | result of ADP consolidation. |

-11,176

030124

\$126,911

5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request

| | 2,531 (1,310) | | 4,080 | | |
|---|---|------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | | (1,221) | (4,080) | 215 | 1,017 |
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | Pricing Adjustments A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) 1) Pricing includes savings for Defense Management Review Initiative Consolidation of Depot Maintenance. These savings are to be achieved as a result of increased competition, downsizing, and workload consolidation. | B. Other Pricing Adjustments | Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 I) Increase in Basic Design Engineering effort in order to resolve Fleet reported | problems with missile launchers, bombs, bomb racks, aircraft gun systems and Submarine Warfare Airborne Devices (SWAD). 2) Increase in Special Weapons maintenance and support effort for software/hardware maintenance and user training for | the Joint Services Imagery Processing System (JSIPS) and the Integrated Strike Planning System (ISPS). 3) Increase in UAV logistics element support in order to support the introduction of new short range and medium range systems into the inventory. |

Air-Launched Weapons Rework (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

Activity Group: Claimant:

Air-Launched Weapons Rework (continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Air Systems Command

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

systems required to support in service weapon systems 4) Increase in Air-Launched Weapons Logistics Element of standardized programs, policies, procedures and Support effort for development and maintenance and maintain/improve inventory readiness.

623

(-21,272)

1) Decrease in intermediate level testing of 2,809 missiles

A Other Program Decreases in FY 1993

8. Program Decreases

in FY 1992 as a result of fiscal contraints, reduced

2) Decrease for Special Weapons maintenance

threat, and decreased force structure.

due to the performance of 1,050 fewer

maintenance actions (i.e. Limited Life

-21,272

-9,829

611

-2,718

4) Decrease in UAV maintenance effort due to the repair of fewer PIONEER RPVs than in FY 1992.

3) Repair of 51,676 fewer expendable and 320

fewer non-expendable ordnance items.

Component exchanges) than in FY 1992.

-2,970

Activity Group: Claimant:

Air-Launched Weapons Rework (continued)
Naval Air Systems Command

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

5) Decreased logistics element support required to certify new type aircraft to carry specific

designated weapons.

6) Decrease in Production Engineering Support effort for resolution of technical issues related to production and technical manual updates.

4,155

-989

9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$112,250

Activity Group: Air-Launched Weapons Rework (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | | | 416 59 623 587 \$415 \$228 \$2,641 \$2,195 | 104 175 524 321 \$7,692 \$8,969 \$12,896 \$9,507 | 100 0 2,037 427 \$31 \$0 \$3,563 \$706 | 0 0 348 1,277 \$0 \$0 \$572 \$2,335 | 0 0 0 33 \$0 \$0 \$344 | 658 571 1,075 802 \$2,450 \$1,835 \$2,797 \$2,089 | 732 0 0 0 \$436 \$0 \$0 \$0 | 0 0 30 463 \$196 \$0 \$67 \$965 | 2,212 1,345 2,725 1,961 \$6,705 \$2,807 \$5,526 \$3,804 | 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 000128 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|---|---|---|--|---------------------------|--|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|----------------------|--------|
| riteria | A. AIR-LAUNCHED MISSILE REWORK | | UNITS | UNITS COST | UNITS COST | UNITS COST | UNITS | UNITS COST | UNITS COST | UNITS COSTS | UNITS COST | UNITS | |
| III. Performance Criteria | A. AIR-LAUNCHEI | MAINTENANCE | HARM | HARPOON | HELLFIRE | MAVERICK | PENGUIN | PHOENIX | SHRUKE | SIDEARM | SIDEWINDER | SKIPPER | |

Activity Group: Air-Leunched Weapons Rework (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| ଅ | | 818 | S 4: | 4 0 |
|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| FY 1993 | | 1,718 \$4,291 | 305 \$1,534 | 7,894 |
| FY 1992 | | 2,825 | 516 \$2,218 | 10,703 \$37,369 |
| FY 1991 | | 2,437 | 703 \$ 2,751 | 5,290 \$ 23,032 |
| FY 1990 | | 2,254 \$ 5,110 | 792 \$1,400 | 7,268 \$24,495 |
| Performance Criteria (continued) | NR-LAUNCHED MISSILE REWORK MAINTENANCE (CONTINUED) | UNITS | UNITS | UNITS |
| | A. AIR-LAUN(MAINTEN | SPARROW | WALLEYE | SUBTOTAL |
| Ë | | | | |

Activity Group: Air-Launched Weapons Rework (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| III. Perfon | Performance Criteria (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|-------------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 4 | AIR-LAUNCHED MISSILE REWORK | | | | |
| OTHER EQUIP | OTHER EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE | | | | |
| HARM | COST | \$828 | \$904 | \$947 | \$961 |
| HARPOON | COST | \$1,190 | \$1,415 | \$1,515 | \$1,532 |
| HELLFIRE | COST | \$594 | \$413 | \$662 | \$673 |
| MAVERICK | COST | 69\$ | \$207 | \$434 | \$442 |
| PENGUIN | COST | % | % | % | \$231 |
| PHOENIX | COST | \$1,765 | \$1,816 | \$1,943 | \$1,976 |
| SHRIKE | COST | \$741 | \$523 | \$318 | \$323 |
| SIDEARM | COST | \$364 | \$166 | \$181 | \$185 |
| SIDEWINDER | COST | \$1,410 | \$1,602 | \$1,693 | \$1,725 |
| SKIPPER | COST | \$532 | \$106 | \$115 | \$118 |
| SPARROW | COST | \$1,132 | \$1,924 | \$2,066 | \$2,090 |
| WALLEYE | COST | \$835 | \$1,081 | \$1,141 | \$1,156 |
| SUBTOTAL | COST | \$9,490 | \$10,157 | \$11,015 | \$11,412 |

000131

| III. Perf | Performance Criteria (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| ₹ | AIR-LAUNCHED MISSILE REWORK | | | | |
| LOGISTICS | LOGISTICS ELEMENT SUPPORT | | | | |
| AMRAAM | MANYRS | 0.0 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.9 |
| | COST | \$ 2 | \$121 | \$208 | \$ 313 |
| HARM | MANYRS COST | 17.3 \$ 1,465 | 14.7 \$1,384 | 14.1 | 13.6 \$ 1,407 |
| HARPOON | MANYRS | 42.9 | 36.7 | 36.2 | 34.0 |
| | COST | \$ 4,201 | \$ 3,487 | \$3,788 | \$3,650 |
| HELLFIRE | MANYRS COST | 3.3 | 3.2 \$313 | 3.2 \$310 | 2.9 \$ 309 |
| MAVERICK | MANYRS | 1.8 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 4.8 |
| | COST | \$ 153 | \$286 | \$307 | \$521 |
| PENGUIN | MANYRS | 0.0 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 10.3 |
| | COST | \$1 | \$53 | \$ 103 | \$1,147 |
| PHOENIX | MANYRS | 18.6 | 20.7 | 19.9 | 18.3 |
| | COST | \$ 1,651 | \$ 1,888 | \$ 1,881 | \$1,794 |
| SHRIKE | MANYRS | 10.5 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 4.3 |
| | COST | \$979 | \$384 | \$488 | \$ 497 |

Air-Launched Weapons Rework (continued)
Naval Air Systems Command

Activity Group: Claimant:

Activity Group: Air-Launched Weapons Rework (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| III. <u>Performar</u> | Performance Criteria (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| ₹ ~ | AIR-LAUNCHED MISSILE REWORK | | | | |
| LOGISTICS ELEMENT SUPPORT | ENT SUPPORT | | | | |
| SIDEARM | MANYRS COST | 0.0 \$0 | 2.2 \$248 | 2.1 \$240 | 1.9 \$230 |
| SIDEWINDER | MANYRS COST | 31.3 \$2,655 | 26.2 \$2,404 | 25.7 \$ 2,488 | 25.4 \$2,462 |
| SKIPPER | MANYRS COST | 4.4 | 2.7 \$263 | 3.0 \$294 | 2.7 \$ 263 |
| SLAM | MANYRS COST | 0.0 \$1 | 2.8 \$271 | 2.9 \$300 | 3.0 \$314 |
| SPARROW | MANYRS COST | 32.1 \$2,745 | 27.1 \$2,402 | 25.6 \$2,378 | 25.5 \$ 2,399 |
| TACIT RAINBOW MANYRS COST | MANYRS COST | 0.0 \$ 0 | 1.4 | 1.6 \$128 | 1.7 |
| том | MANYRS COST | 2.4 \$210 | 2.0 \$ 195 | 2.0 \$197 | 1.9 \$203 |
| WALLEYE | MANYRS COST | 9.1 \$778 | 10.0 \$957 | 10.7 \$1,039 | 9.1 \$935 |
| SUBTOTAL | MANYRS COST | 173.7 \$15,551 | 157.9 | 157.5 \$15,549 | 162.3 \$ 16,587 |

| III. <u>Perfo</u> | Performance Criteria (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY1992 | FY 1993 |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-----------------|
| ¥ | AIR-LAUNCHED MISSILE REWORK | | | | |
| BASIC DESIC | BASIC DESIGN ENGINEERING | | | | |
| HARM | MANYRS | 0.0 \$ 0 | 3.4 \$356 | 3.9 \$423 | 4.5 \$500 |
| HARPOON | MANYRS COST | 0.0 \$0 | 1.1 | 1.2 \$131 | 1.3 \$148 |
| MAVERICK | MANYRS COST | 0.0 \$0 | 0.9 \$85 | 1.1 \$107 | 1.2 \$120 |
| PHOENIX | MANYRS COST | 0.0 \$0 | 2.1 | 2.4 \$252 | 2.7 \$286 |
| SIDEWINDER | R MANYRS COST | 0.0 \$0 | 3.8 \$397 | 4.2 \$473 | 4.7 \$550 |
| SPARROW | MANYRS COST | 0.0 \$0 | 1.9 \$200 | 2.2 \$235 | 2.4 \$264 |
| WALLEYE | MANYRS COST | 0.0 \$0 | 1.4 | 1.5 \$ 166 | 1.8 \$191 |
| SUBTOTAL | COST | 0.0 \$0 | 14.6 \$1,520 | 16.5 \$1,787 | 18.6 \$2,059 |
| TOTAL COST | L | \$49,536 | \$49,481 | \$65,720 | \$57,828 |

000133

| III. <u>Performance Criteria (continued)</u> | a (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|--|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| B. AIR-LAUNCE AND AMMU | AIR-LAUNCHED ORDNANCE AND AMMUNITION REWORK | | | | |
| MAINTENANCE | | | | | |
| AIRCREW ESCAPE PROPULSION SYSTEMS | UNITS COST | 672 \$857 | 459 \$ 1,041 | 480 \$803 | 466 \$1,024 |
| AIRCRAFT GUN AMMUNITION | UNITS COST | 479,201 \$847 | 283,793 \$330 | 123,887 \$281 | 71,883 \$352 |
| BOMB COMPONENTS | UNITS | 123,717 \$1,726 | 16,093 \$571 | 7,000 \$301 | 45,413 \$702 |
| BOMB RACKS | UNITS | 3,712 \$8,160 | 3,327 \$8,187 | 2,422 | 2,234 \$5,136 |
| BUDDY STORES | UNITS | 16 \$537 | 16 \$ 671 | 14 \$649 | 14 \$654 |
| CARTRIDGE ACTUATED DEVICES | UNITS | 17,305 \$1,395 | 8,442 \$656 | 14,906 \$1,158 | 17,998 \$1,328 |
| CHAFF/DISPENSERS | UNITS COST | 77,362 \$189 | 71,428 \$112 | 50,182 \$110 | 29,348 \$85 |
| CHEMICAL TANKS | UNITS COST | 114 \$655 | 75 \$810 | 66 \$ 742 | 63 \$ 734 |
| FAE WEAPONS | UNITS | ° 0, | 260 \$32 | 12 | 0 00 |

000134

| III. <u>Performa</u> | Performance Criteria (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|----------------------|--|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| æ. | AIR-LAUNCHED ORDNANCE AND AMMUNITION REWORK | | | | |
| MAINTENANCE | NCE (continued) | | | | |
| FUEL TANKS | UNITS | 959 \$ 3,015 | 628 \$2,443 | 596 \$2,687 | 542 \$ 2,373 |
| GP HE BOMBS | UNITS COST | 18,585 \$ 2,585 | 8,454 \$2,423 | 2,475 | 2,990 |
| AIRCRAFT GUN | UNITS | 549 | 286 | 198 | 222 |
| SYSTEMS | COST | \$ 1,464 | \$1,616 | \$1,563 | \$1 ,367 |
| MISSILE/ | UNITS | 2,015 | 859 | 637 | 538 |
| LAUNCHERS | COST | | \$3,910 | \$ 3,179 | \$ 2,780 |
| PRACTICE | UNITS | 11,472 | 7,604 | 6,326 | 91 |
| BOMBS | | \$77 | \$350 | \$1,409 | \$16 |
| PYROTECHNICS | UNITS | 119,071 | 44,062 | 31,119 | 16,772 |
| | COST | \$573 | \$551 | \$323 | \$ 333 |
| ROCKETS/ | UNITS | 17,941 | 11,569 | 6,390 | 6,256 |
| LAUNCHERS | | \$1,255 | \$1,238 | \$ 641 | \$457 |
| ROCKEYE/APAM | A UNITS | 192 | 9,408 | 5,018 | 4,902 |
| | COST | \$35 | \$2,008 | \$936 | \$974 |
| SUBTOTAL | COST | \$29,511 | \$26,949 | \$21,896 | \$19,407 |

Air-Launched Weapons Rework (continued)
Naval Air Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

B. AIR-LAUNCHED ORDNANCE AND AMMUNITION REWORK Performance Criteria (continued)

FY 1993

FY 1992

FY 1991

FY 1990

LOGISTICS

| מאל מאלי | AND ANIMORITION REWORK | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--|
| S ELEMENT SUPPORT | El | | | | | |
| AEPS | MANYRS COST | 2.2 \$209 | 2.6 \$266 | 2.2 \$237 | 2.1 \$241 | |
| AIRCRAFT GUN AMMUNITION | MANYRS COST | 1.5 | 2.2 \$189 | 1.6 \$ 160 | 1.2 \$128 | |
| AIRBORNE WEAPONS CNTRL & RELEASE R EQUIPMENT | IS MANYRS COST | 0.7 \$68 | 0.5 \$68 | 0.3 \$24 | 0.3 \$ 37 | |
| BOMBS | MAP'YRS COST | 8.7 \$823 | 7.1 \$688 | 5.5 \$552 | 5.9 \$ 612 | |
| BOMB RACKS | MANYRS COST | 4.6 \$411 | 5.1 \$ 481 | 4.1 | 4.1 \$ 430 | |
| SONOBUOYS | MANYRS COST | 2.1 \$154 | 0.4 \$31 | 0.4 \$34 | 0.4 \$34 | |
| CARTRIDGE ACTUATED DEVICES | MANYRS COST | 5.1 \$470 | 4.1 \$408 | 3.6 \$383 | 3.8 \$426 | |
| CERTIFICATION | MANYRS COST | 0.0 \$ 0 | 0.0 \$0 | 41.6 \$4 ,007 | 0.0 \$ 0 | |

| III. <u>Performanc</u> | Performance Criteria (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---------------------------|--|---------|---------|--------------|---------|
| B. AIR-LAI AND AN | AIR-LAUNCHED ORDNANCE AND AMMUNITION REWORK | | | | |
| LOGISTICS ELEMENT SUPPORT | NT SUPPORT | | | | |
| CHAFF/DISPENSERS MANYRS | SS MANYRS | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 9.0 |
| | COST | \$17 | \$32 | \$ 33 | \$29 |
| CHEMICAL | MANYRS | 1.1 | 9.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| TANKS | COST | 26\$ | \$57 | \$32 | \$28 |
| AIRCRAFT GUN | MANYRS | 9.9 | 9.5 | 7.3 | 6.9 |
| SYSTEMS | COST | \$298 | \$855 | \$722 | \$707 |
| MISSILE | MANYRS | 6.4 | 6.5 | 5.4 | 4.9 |
| LAUNCHERS | COST | \$626 | \$625 | \$547 | \$519 |
| PYROTECHNICS | MANYRS | 4.6 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| | COST | \$374 | \$337 | \$330 | \$331 |
| ROCKETS/ | MANYRS | 5.0 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.6 |
| LAUNCHERS | COST | \$440 | \$519 | \$498 | \$495 |
| SWACRE | MANYRS | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| | COST | \$29 | \$14 | \$13 | \$13 |
| SIBTOTAL | MANYBS | 49.2 | 48.0 | 81.4 | 38.7 |
| | COST | \$4,458 | \$4,570 | \$7,989 | \$4,060 |

000133

Air-Launched Weapons Rework (continued)
Naval Air Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Ξ.

FY 1993 FY 1992 FY 1991 FY 1990 Performance Criteria (continued).

B. AIR-LAUNCHED ORDNANCE AND AMMUNITION REWORK

BASIC DESIGN ENGINEERING

| BOMBS | MANYRS | 0.0 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 4.4 |
|--------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | COST | \$ 0 | \$381 | \$423 | \$518 |
| PYROTECHNICS | MANYRS COST | 0.0 | 1.5 | 1.6 | 1.5 |
| ROCKETS/ | MANYRS | 0.0 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 |
| LAUNCHERS | COST | \$ 0 | \$ 157 | \$172 | \$93 |
| BOMB RACKS | MANYRS | 0.0 | 5.1 | 5.8 | 5.6 |
| | COST | \$ 0 | \$492 | \$599 | \$583 |
| AIRCRAFT GUN | MANYRS | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| SYSTEMS | COST | \$ 0 | \$209 | \$238 | |
| SWACRE | MANYRS | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 1.5 |
| | COST | \$ 0 | \$ 89 | \$111 | \$ 157 |
| SUBTOTAL | MANYRS | 0.0 | 14.7 | 16.1 | 16.3 |
| | COST | \$ 0 | \$ 1,443 | \$ 1,674 | \$1 ,760 |
| TOTAL | COST | \$33,969 | \$45,347 | \$45,474 | \$38,471 |

| FY 1993 | | | 5,364 \$2,901 | 5,364 \$2,901 | | 4.5 | 15.0 \$1,482 | 3.6 \$290 | 23.1 \$2,230 |
|---------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| FY 1992 | | | 6,414 \$ 3,460 | 6,414 \$3,460 | | 5.6 | 13.7 | 3.4 \$267 | 22.7 \$2,102 |
| FY 1991 | | | 8,068 \$4,257 | 8,068 \$4,257 | | 7.1 | 21.2 | 5.0 \$359 | 33.3 \$2,751 |
| FY 1990 | | | 7,901 \$3,840 | 7,901 \$3,840 | | 10.2 \$911 | 18.2 \$1,490 | 4.0 \$277 | 32.4 \$2,678 |
| ontinued) | MAINTENANCE | | ACTIONS COST | ACTIONS COST | | MANYRS COST | MANYRS | MANYRS COST | MANYRS COST |
| III. Performance Criteria (continued) | C. SPECIAL WEAPONS MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT | SPECIAL WEAPONS MAINTENANCE | WAR RESERVES/TRAINERS | SUBTOTAL. | LOGISTICS ELEMENT SUPPORT | MAINTENANCE ENGINEERING (AWCAP) | QUALITY EVALUATION | PUBLICATIONS | SUBTOTAL |

| III. Performance Criteria (continued) | eria (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|--|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| C. SPECIAL WEAP AND SUPPORT | SPECIAL WEAPONS MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT | | | | |
| UNMANNED AIR VEHICLES (UAV) MAINTENANCE | LES (UAV) | | | | |
| PIONEER | ACTIONS COST | 15 \$ 6,442 | 8 \$4,783 | 8 \$4,998 | 4 \$3,518 |
| TALD | ACTIONS COST | 8 5 | 0 0 | 0 0\$ | 0 05 |
| MEDIUM RANGE UAV | ACTIONS COST | 0 05 | ဝ ဋ္ဌ | ° 0, | ° 0, |
| SHORT RANGE UAV | ACTIONS COST | 0 % | 0 0 | o 0 | o 0 3 |
| SUBTOTAL | ACTIONS COST | 15 \$6,447 | 8 \$4,783 | 8 \$4,998 | 4 \$3,518 |
| LOGISTICS ELEMENT SUPPORT | PPORT | | | | |
| PIONEER | MANYRS COST | 25.0 \$5,194 | 30.0 \$4,023 | 32.8 \$4,058 | 21.4 \$2,911 |
| TALD | MANYRS COST | 0.0 \$0 | 2.6 \$269 | 2.7 \$289 | 2.8 \$318 |

£30141

III. Performance Criteria (continued) FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992

FY 1993

C. SPECIAL WEAPONS MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT

LOGISTICS ELEMENT SUPPORT

| MEDIUM RANGE UAV | MANYRS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.1 |
|---|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
| | COST | \$ 0 | \$0 | \$ 0 | \$ 1,737 |
| SHORT RANGE UAV | MANYRS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 10.1 |
| | COST | \$0 | \$0 | \$810 | \$1,319 |
| SUBTOTAL | MANYRS | 25.0 | 32.6 | 41.2 | 49.4 |
| | COST | \$5,194 | \$4,292 | \$5,157 | \$6,285 |
| JOINT SYSTEM IMAGERY PROCESSING SYSTEM INTEGRATED STRIKE PLANNING SYSTEM (ISPS) | JOINT SYSTEM IMAGERY PROCESSING SYSTEM (JSIPS)/ INTEGRATED STRIKE PLANNING SYSTEM (ISPS) | | | | |
| JSIPS | MANYRS | 0:0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.0 |
| | COST | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | % | \$345 |
| ISPS | MANYRS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.7 |
| | COST | \$ 0 | \$0 | \$ 0 | \$672 |
| SUBTOTAL | MANYRS | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 |
| | COST | \$0 | \$ 0 | \$ 0 | \$1,017 |
| TOTAL COST | | \$18,159 | \$16,083 | \$15,717 | \$15,951 |
| GRAND TOTAL | COST | \$101,664 | \$110,911 | \$126,911 | \$112,250 |

| Air-Launched Weapons Rework (continued) Naval Air Systems Command | |
|---|--|
| Activity Group: Claimant: | |

| ontinued |
|-------------|
| <u>ي</u> |
| Criter |
| Performance |
| Ħ. |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

| FY 1993 | \$211,899 \$211,899 \$112,250 \$99,649 \$0 |
|---------|--|
| FY 1992 | \$193,989 \$193,989 \$126,911 \$67,078 |
| FY 1991 | \$179,196 \$179,196 \$110,911 \$68,285 |
| FY 1990 | \$116,756 \$116,756 \$101,664 \$15,092 \$0 |

OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

Other Aviation Systems Maintenance 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Naval Air Systems Command Budget Activity: Activity Group: Claimant

1. Description of Operations Financed

Laboratories and Annexes, Navy Standards Laboratories, the Metrology Engineering Center, and other Navy, Army and Air Force A Calibration program funds are used for labor and materials at depot calibration facilities, including NAVAIR Calibration calibration activities. The NAVAIR depot calibration laboratories, DOD inter/intraservice and commercial laboratories calibrate support equipment and standards which are beyond the capability of fleet intermediate level facilities. The NAVAIR standards addition to funding depot level calibrations, this program provides funds necessary for technical support. These funds provide host/tenant agreements, technical support of depot laboratories outside the continental U.S. and permanent change of station calibration services for the most accurate standards in each measurement group for the NAVAIR standards laboratories. In laboratories calibrate standards from the lower echelon laboratories. The National Bureau of Standards (NBS) provides movement of calibration technicians.

Equipment (SE) under the cognizance of the Naval Air Systems Command, Inventory Control Points and Type Commanders. The depot level rework process involves inducting SE units into a depot level maintenance facility for inspection, disassembly, repair and verification of repair in accordance with established SE Rework specifications. SE Rework includes end item repair, check, test, component replacement, painting and corrosion control when incidental to rework, and incorporation of all engineering changes. The Service Life Extension Program for SE is also accomplished using SE Rework funds. In addition, the program B. The Overhaul of Ground Support Equipment (GSE) program provides funding for depot level rework of Support finances the Aviators Breathing Oxygen repair program, rework specification production, and quick change pool management.

C. Target Maintenance provides depot level maintenance for targets and support for equipment and training pods essential for Fleet Training.

quantities for peacetime operating and training requirements and a sufficient inventory of equipment for wartime requirements D. The Airborne Mine Countermeasures Program provides ready-for issue mine countermeasures equipment in sufficient until a production flow of material can be established. The program finances the overhaul of equipment as well as the calibration of hydrodynamic components in their operating environment prior to Fleet issue.

I. Description of Operations Financed (continued).

- E. Overhaul of Aircraft Cameras provides for the overhaul and repair of aerial cameras. This program funds film processing and printing, and analysis for photographic van complexes for fleet operational training flights. In addition, the program provides technical, material and operational readiness for Tactical Aerial Reconnaissance Pod Systems.
 - P. The Coast Guard program provides for maintenance and support of Navy-owned electronic equipment in Coast Guard aircraft.
- G. Aviation Tactical Software provides for the maintenance of systems software, and software changes necessary to ensure maximum operational capability of all Naval Aircraft/Weapon Systems which employ digital computers.
- H. The Expeditionary Airfield (EAF) program is required to support Marine Amphibious Force size units composed of varying numbers of fighter, attack, and helicopter aircraft in combat under all-weather conditions. This equipment consists of aluminum must be operational within a maximum of 5 days after equipment delivery and must be air transportable in whole or in part by matting, arresting gear, lightweight earth anchor, lighting, landing aids and short range communications devices. The EAP site aircraft within the Navy or Marine Corps Inventory.

Other Aviation Systems Maintenance (continued)
Naval Air Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | FY 1992 FY 1993 Request Request | 38,567 33,215 | 67,705 53,778 | 12,840 10,874 | 5,476 4,899 | 3,501 2,565 | 4,163 2,880 | 65,827 69,777 | 10.347 10.056 | 208,426 188,044 |
|---------|---------------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Current Fr Estimate R | 49,502 | 77,429 | 11,736 | 7,471 | 3,420 | 4,939 | 61,201 6 | 10,258 | 225,956 20 |
| FY 1991 | Appro- priation | 60,371 | 77,429 | 12,664 | 7,471 | 3,420 | 4,939 | 62,265 | 10,400 | 238,959 |
| | Budget Request | 66,402 | 85,508 | 19,509 | 9,917 | 3,630 | 5,455 | 72,465 | 13,443 | 276,329 |
| | FY 1990 <u>Actual</u> | 48,734 | 73,352 | 10,178 | 11,046 | 3,272 | 4,423 | 59,513 | 10,017 | 220,535 |
| | | Calibration | GSE Rework | Target Maintenance | Airborne Mine Countermeasures | A/C Camera Repair & Overhaul | Coast Guard Supt. | Tactical Systems Software | Expeditionary Airfields | OTHER AVIATION SYSTEMS MAINT. |

Other Aviation Systems Maintenance (continued). Naval Air Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

| 9. S | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | SH | 2000 |
|----------------|--|---------------------------------|--------|
| _ i | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | \$225,956 | 956' |
| 6 | Pricin A. And 1) | | 4,707 |
| | 2) Foreign National Direct B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Foreign National Direct | 1 (331) 328 3 | |
| | C. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) 1) Pricing includes savings for Defense Management Review Initiative - Consolidation of Depot Maintenance. These savings are to be achieved as a result of increased competition, downsizing, and workload consolidation. | 2) | (282) |
| | D. FN Indirect E. Foreign Currency F. Other Pricing Adjustments 1) Increase reflects anticipated increased participation in the Federal Employee Retirement System based on current experience, and increased Federal Employee Health Benefits due to rate increases. | (75) (348) (3,548) 70 | |
| မှ | 2) Other Pricing A. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 1) Increase in Support Equipment rework for Automatic Test Equipment and on-site rework. | | 13,866 |
| | 2) increase in Target Logistics Element Support to reestablish an acceptable core level of support. 3) Increase for refurbishment of and operational support for TALOS missiles required as Government Furnished Equipment for MQM-8X conversion. 4) Increase for maintenance of subscale targets. 5) Increase for paid days (+1) (Classified). | 993 It 602 1,590 41 | |

Other Aviation Systems Maintenance (continued). Naval Air Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued),

| | 1,856 | (-36,103) |
|--|--------------|---|
| o) increased lactical software support for EWSSA, p.3B VH-3D, and P-14D. This increase includes a 33K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review | Initiatives. | Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Decrease in the number of calibrations performed at all Calibration Labs. Includes an 187K reduction |

4.

-14,771

attributed to Defense Management Review Initiatives which consolidates ADP.

-36,103

| -14.//1 | | п | 290K | \ \ \ \ | -13,561 ed to | ersion | -2.091 | • | | | -495 | - | | | -2.295 |
|---------|---|--|--|--|--|--|------------------|--|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|---------------|
| | 2) Decrease in the Support Equipment Rework of Mobile | Electric Power Plants, Hydraulic/Pneumatic/02/N2 SE, | reduction combined Equipment, and PSE. Includes a 290K | reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiatives which consolidates ADB | 3) Decrease in SDLM for the QF-4 target which is required to | to extend the flight hours of P-4 aircraft prior to conversion | to QF-4 targets. | 4) Reduced Logistics Element Support for the | QF-4 target. Includes a 29K reduction | attributed to Defense Management Review | Initiatives which consolidates ADP. | 5) Decreased overhauls for the MK 105 Minesweeping | System. Includes a 3K reduction attributed to Defense | Management Review Initiatives which reflects ADP | efficiencies. |
| | ନ | | | | 3 | | | 4 | | | | S | | | |

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

| .100 | .928 | -514 | | (114) 113 1 | (343) 340 3 | (167) |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 6) Decrease in major overhauls for aircraft camera systems. Includes a 1K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiatives which reflects efficiencies. 7) Decreased maintenance of Navy-owned Coast Guard common avionics and communication | systems. Includes an 11K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiatives which consolidates ADP. 8) Decrease in in-service engineering for Expeditionary Airfields. Includes a 5K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiatives which reflect | ADP efficiencies. 9) Decrease of 22 workyears associated with phasedown in the conversion from contract to in-house of overseas calibration support efforts. | 5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | 6. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Foreign National Direct | B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Foreign National Direct | C. Civilian Personnel Compensation 1) Increase reflects anticipated increased participation in the Federal Employee Retirement System based on current experience, and increased Federal Employee Health Benefits due to rate increases. |

\$208,426

4,921

5:149

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

| (85) (2,986) | (2,035) 1,941 94 | (-27,338) -5,624 -14,668 -2,574 -748 |
|--|--|--|
| D. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) 1) Pricing includes savings for Defense Management Review Initiative - Consolidation of Depot Maintenance. These savings are to be achieved as a result of increased competition, downsizing, and workload consolidation. E. FN Indirect F. Other Pricing Adjustments | Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 I) Increase in Tactical Software Support for EA-6B, ES-3E, P-3C, H-2/H-3, F-14A, F/A-18, EWSSA, ES-3A and F-14D. Increase in in-service engineering for Expeditionary Airfields. | 8. Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) Decrease in the number of calibrations performed at all Calibration Labs. 2) Decrease in the number of Support Equipment items reworked. 3) Reduced refurbishment of TALOS missiles required as GFE for MQM-8X Vandal target conversion. 4) Decrease of one overhaul for the MK 105 Minesweeping System. 5) Decrease in sustained engineering/logistics support and major overhauls for aircraft camera systems. |

.27,338

2,035

(1,226)

000100

\$188,044

Activity Group: Other Aviation Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

| | -1,338 | -622 | -38 | -629 |
|---|---|---|--|---|
| 6) Decreased maintenance of Navy-owned Coast Guard common avionics, communication, and | navigation systems. 7) Decrease in maintenance for M-21 arresting | gear and AM-2 Matting refurbishment for Expeditionary Airfields. | 8) Decrease in paid days (-1) (Classified). 9) Decrease of 22 workyears associated with phasedown in the | conversion from contract to in-house of overseas calibration support efforts. |

9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

000151

Activity Group: Other Aviation Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

Activity Group: Other Aviation Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

Ξ

| Performance Criteria (continued) | (ed) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Overhaul of SE Mobile Electric Power Plants/ Air Cond. | Units Cost | 352 13,249 | 462 20,415 | 248 10,256 | 199 8.335 |
| Tractors/ Fire Trucks | Units Cost | 244 7,308 | 190 6,737 | 171 5,687 | 115 3,865 |
| Hydraulic, Pneumatic, and O2/N2 Supt. | Units Cost | 437 6,735 | 399 7,199 | 321 5,434 | 193 3,304 |
| Armament Handling Equipment | Units Cost | 4,877 8,322 | 2,828 5,440 | 1,635 3,267 | 611 1,265 |
| Automatic Test Equipment & on Site Rework | Units Cost | 208 25,935 | 137 20,157 | 201 27,671 | 182 25,388 |
| Peculiar SE & MISC Avionics | Units Cost | 3,499 11,803 | 3,411 | 3,205 15,390 | 2,396 |
| Total | Units Cost | 9,617 73,352 | 7,427 77,429 | 5,781 67,705 | 3,696 53,778 |

Activity Group: Other Aviation Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

Ħ

| Performance Criteria (continued) | Esil | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|----------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|
| Target Maintenance | | | | | |
| AQM-37 | Units Cost | 78 269 | 32 124 | 50 206 | 50 217 |
| NSTTS | Units Cost | 354 | 2 237 | 395 | 4 415 |
| BQM-34 | Units Cost | 40 308 | 35 313 | 45 561 | 45 590 |
| BQM-74 | Units Cost | 46 995 | 20 619 | 32 1,141 | 37 1,395 |
| QLT-1C | Units Cost | 3 | 16 | e 22 | 3 |
| MQM-8 | Units Cost | 0 1,361 | 0 2,079 | 2,705 | 0 211 |
| QP-4 | Units Cost | 00 | 2,041 | 00 | 00 |
| QF-86 | Units Cost | 0 1,116 | 717 | 0 752 | 0 592 |
| TA/AS | Units Cost | 0 240 | 0 44 | 0 888 | 0 934 |
| SUPT EQUIP | Units Cost | 0 135 | 0 177 | 397 | 0 403 |

Activity Group: Other Aviation Systems Maintenance (continued).

Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| Ë | Performance Criteria (continued) Target Maintenance (continued) | ontinued) (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|--|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|------------|--------------|
| | TOTAL | Units Cost | 170 | 92 6,772 | 134 | 139 |
| | Logistic Element Support | <u>port</u> | | | | |
| | AQM-37 | Manyears Cost | 5.1 | 5.7 753 | 6.1 845 | 6.1 898 |
| | BQM-34 | Manyears Cost | 3.6 455 | 4.3 | 5.3 751 | 5.3 801 |
| | BQM-74 | Manyears Cost | 5.3 | 4.6 563 | 7.3 995 | 7.2 1,048 |
| | QLT-1C | Manyears Gost | 0.1 | 0.1 53 | 0.1 39 | 0.1 |
| | MQM-8 | Manyears Gost | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.6 255 |
| | MQM-8 OPS Supt. | Manyears Cost | 0.0 2,126 | 0.0 958 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | QP.4 | Manyears Cost | 0.0 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| | QF-86 | Manyears Cost | 0.5 | 2.3 136 | 2.6 165 | 2.6 |

| Ë | Performance Criteria (continued) | (continued), | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|---|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|
| | Logistics Element | Logistics Element Supt. (continued) | | | | | |
| | TA/AS | Manyears Cost | 5.3 | 4.6 622 | 5.8 841 | 5.9 897 | |
| | NSTSS | Manyears Cost | 5.1 638 | 4.9 | 6.0 851 | 6.1 | |
| | TOTAL | Manyears Cost | 26.0 5,383 | 32.1 4,964 | 34.9 5,773 | 34.9 6,094 | |
| | TOTAL COST | | 10,178 | 11,736 | 12,840 | 10,874 | |

ij

| Performance Criteria (continued). | | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|----------------|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Overhaul of Aircraft Cameras | | | | | |
| Major Systems Other Maint. Actions | Units Units | 1,027 707 | 1,047 592 | 965 649 | 726 413 |
| Other Support (\$000) | Cost | 1,252 | 1,200 | 1,369 | 905 |
| Coast Guard (Units Maintained) | | | | | |
| Radar | | 629 | 756 | 591 | 390 |
| Communication | | 472 | 208 | 435 | 300 |
| Navigation | | 386 | 612 | 824 | 764 |
| Peculiar Support Equipment Calibration & Repair | | 159 | 160 | 115 | 91 |

| Ë | Performance Criteria (continued). | F-1 | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|--|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|
| | Airborne Mine Countermeasures | | | | | |
| | Overhauls | | | | | |
| | MK 105 MK 104 MK 103 | Units Units Units | 6 10 10 | 4 0 0 1 | 1001 | 0 00 01 |
| | Other Maintenance (\$000) | Cost | 960'9 | 4,021 | 4,276 | 4,449 |
| | Calibrations | Units | 175 | 175 | 175 | 175 |
| | Expeditionary <u>Airfields</u> | | | | | |
| | In-Service Engineeering (\$000) | Cost | 3,199 | 3,932 | 3,466 | 3,592 |
| | Field Technical Services (\$000) | Cost Manyears | 1,220 | 1,331 13 | 1,381 13 | 1,430 13 |
| | EAP Equipment Maintenance (\$000) | Cost | 2,227 | 2,244 | 2,500 | 2,034 |
| | EAP Equipment Maintenance/ Resurface AM-2 Matting (\$000) | Costs Units | 3,371 3,439 | 2,751 2,807 | 3,000 | 3,000 2,728 |

Other Aviation Systems Maintenance (continued) Naval Air Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Performance Criteria (continued).

Ξ

Aviation Tactical Software (STR's - Software Trouble Reports) (Configuration items)

| | E | 1990 | i i | Y 1991 | | 1992 | ¥ | 1993 |
|-----------|----------|--------|---------|--------|---|----------------|---------|----------------|
| | Config. | No. of | Config. | No. of | | Config. No. of | Config. | Config. No. of |
| Weapons | Item | STR's | Item | STR's | | STR's | Item | STR's |
| | | | | | | | | |
| TACAMO | - | က | - | က | - | 7 | - | 7 |
| S-3B | က | 230 | က | 165 | 7 | 216 | 7 | 8 |
| 44 | - | | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| H-2/3 | - | 17 | - | 16 | | 14 | - | 78 |
| A-4M | - | က | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| P-14A | - | 391 | - | 357 | 7 | 319 | 7 | 333 |
| P-3C | S | 513 | ೮ | 309 | က | 221 | ო | 262 |
| P-3B | 7 | 63 | e | 42 | 4 | 52 | 4 | 22 |
| SH-60B | - | 32 | 9 | 172 | 7 | 113 | 7 | 107 |
| MTASS | | 7 | • | | • | • | • | |
| AEDAS/GSS | 7 | 12 | - | 6 | - | 10 | - | - |
| F-18 | က | 268 | က | 240 | က | 273 | ო | 262 |
| EWSSA | 4 | 809 | 4 | 1,118 | 7 | 1,679 | 80 | 1,827 |
| HARM | ~ | œ | - | 18 | - | 11 | - | 11 |
| AYK-14 | ٠ | • | - | - | • | • | • | |
| AH-1 | - | 4 | - | 4 | - | 4 | - | 4 |
| HCS | - | 13 | • | • | • | • | • | |
| AV-8B | 7 | 83 | ೮ | 112 | 7 | 82 | 7 | æ |
| VH-3D | - | 13 | | 19 | 1 | 20 | - | 19 |
| CAINS | 9 | 6 | 9 | 9 | - | s | - | S |
| EA-6B | 7 | 161 | က | 238 | 7 | 181 | 7 | 1% |
| F.14D | • | • | • | • | - | 183 | - | 200 |

037000

Activity Group: Other Aviation Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

Aviation Tactical Software (STR's - Software Trouble Reports) (Configuration items)

| 1993 No. of STR's | 230 25 75 14 80 |
|--|---|
| FY 1993 Config. No. of Item STR's | |
| 292 No. of STR's | 244 15 |
| EV 1992 Config. No. of Item STR's | п , е н н |
| 1991 No. of STR's | 512 |
| <u>FY 1991</u> Config. No. of <u>Item</u> <u>STR's</u> | 8 , , , , |
| EY 1990 No. of STR's | 523 48 |
| Config. | 2 1 |
| | |
| Weapons | A-6E ES-3A SH-60P VH-60 ES-3E |

Activity Group: Claimant:

Other Aviation Systems Maintenance (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

| FY 1993 | \$436,773 \$436,773 \$188,044 \$248,729 \$0 |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| FY 1992 | \$428,668 \$428,668 \$208,426 \$220,242 |
| FY 1991 | \$400,365 \$400,365 \$225,956 \$174,409 |
| FY 1990 | \$271,240 \$271,240 \$220,535 \$50,705 |
| III. Performance Criteria (continued) | Total Depot Maintenance Requirement Executable Requirement Funded Requirement Unfunded Deferred Requirement Unexecutable Deferred Requirement |

AUDIT SAVINGS INCORPORATED IN CURRENT BUDGET CONTROLS

IV. Personnel Summary.

FY 1993 FY 1992 FY 1991 FY 1990

End Strength (E/S)

A. Military Officer Enlisted

Civilian USDH FNDH PNIH æ

数 5 5 6 7 8

253 176 10 67

28 187 5 0 0

5 ° 5 885

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Activity Group:

Procurement Operations
2 - Central Supply and Maintenance

Budget Activity: Z-

Naval Air Systems Command

l. <u>Description of Operations Financed.</u>

This activity group finances personnel and support costs for Project Management Offices - AIR/PEOs and Naval Plant Representative Offices. The Project Management Office - AIR (PMOA) program provides dedicated overall management for programs not assigned to naval aviation programs, subsystems and components. These include control of all resources (all support necessary for specific the Program Executive Offices, including 8 major acquisition programs. The PMOA also has management responsibilities for implementation and appraising the performance of technical and business tasks assigned to the Naval Air Systems Command major systems acquisition programs); integrated planning, acquisition, initial support, and readiness; also, directing functional elements.

Beginning in March 1990, the Program Executive Offices (PEOs) were established, transitioning the management of most of the major systems acquisition programs to DON Acquisition Executive cognizance, within this activity group.

including administrative contracting officer functions in assigned major weapons systems manufacturing plants. NAVPRO Dallas the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA), based on implementation of the Defense Management Review recommendations and further negotiations between DLA and Navy. The remaining CAS support functions have been negotiated to additionally transfer to DLA transferred to the Department of the Air Force effective FY 1990. Beginning 24 June 1990, the CAS functions are transferred to The Inspection and Contract Administration Program finances seven Naval Plant Representative Offices (NAVPROs). The NAVPROs provide Contract Administration Services (CAS) as outlined in the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) Part 42, beginning 24 June 1990. The CAS support functions are reimbursably funded in FY 1991.

Activity Group: Procurement Operations (Continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | FY 1993 Request | 36.361 | | 36,361 |
|---------|---------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|
| | FY 1992 Reguest | 37,487 | | 37,487 |
| | Current Estimate | 38,067 | 3.608 | 41,675 |
| FY 1991 | Appro- priation | 39,417 | 8.017 | 47,434 |
| | Budget Request | 41,595 | 8,216 | 49,811 |
| | FY 1990 Actual | 33,717 | 36,236 | 69,953 |
| | | Project Management Office - AIR/ Program Executive Offices | Inspection and Contract Administration | Total, Procurement Operations |

00000

Activity Group: Procurement Operations (Continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| ю | | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | 2000 |
|---|----|---|---|----------|
| | 1: | PY 1991 Current Estimate | | \$41,675 |
| | ni | A. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raise 1) Classified B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raise 1) Classified 2) Wage C. Other Pricing Adjustments 1) Civilian Personnel Compensation Increase reflects anticipated increase participation in the Federal Employee Retirement System based on current experience. 2) Other Pricing | (433) 433 (1,342) 1,341 1 (382) 158 | 2,157 |
| | က် | Program Increases A. One Time FY 1991 costs 1) One additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 | (135) 135 | 135 |
| | 4 | Functional Program Transfers A. Transfers Out 1) Transfer of CAS support functions and resources to Defense Logistics Agency from Inspection & Contract Admin. | (-3,749) -3,749 | -3,749 |
| | က် | A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1991 1) Decrease of 43 workyears (Project Mgmt Office - Air: -22, PEO - Tactical Air: -6, PEO - ASW Aircraft: -5, PEO - Cruise Missile: -10) and associated resources for project support resulting from reductions to acquisition personnel levels. | (-2,731) -2,731 | -2,731 |
| | ø. | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | \$37,487 |

7.155

\$36,361

| 7. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raise 1) Classified B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raise 1) Classified C. Other Pricing Adjustments 1) Civilian Personnel Compensation In the Federal Employee Retirement System based on current experience. 2) Other Pricing B. Program Decreases A. One Time FY 1992 Costs 1) One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 C. Other Pricing B. Program Decreases A. One Time FY 1992 Costs A. One Time FY 1992 Costs B. Other Program Decreases of 30 workyears (Project Mgmt Office - Air: -15, PEO - ASW Aircraft: -3, PEO - ASW Aircraft: -15, PEO - ASW Aircraft: | |
|---|---------------------------|
| 8. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raise 1) Classified 2) Wage Grade C. Other Pricing Adjustments 1) Civilian Personnel Compensation Increase reflects anticipated increased participation in the Federal Employee Retirement System based on current experience. 2) Other Pricing Program Decreases A One Time FY 1992 Costs 1) One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 C-133 B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 C-2,746 PEO - Tactical Air: -4, PEO - ASW Aircraft: -3, PEO - Cruise Missile: -8) and associated resources for project support resulting from reductions to acquisition personnel levels. | (401) |
| 2) Wage Grade C. Other Pricing Adjustments 1) Civilian Personnel Compensation Increase reflects anticipated increased participation in the Federal Employee Retirement System based on current experience. 2) Other Pricing Program Decreases A. One Time FY 1992 Costs 1) One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 1) One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 1) Decrease of 30 workyears (Project Mgmt Office - Air: -15, PEO - ASW Aircraft: -3, PEO - Tactical Air: -4, PEO - ASW Aircraft: -3, PEO - Cruise Missile: -8) and associated resources for project support resulting from reductions to acquisition personnel levels. | 401 (1,203) |
| 1) Givilian Personnel Compensation 1) Givilian Personnel Compensation 1) Givilian Personnel Compensation 1) Increase reflects anticipated increased participation 1) Other Pricing 2) Other Pricing A. One Time FY 1992 Costs 1) One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 3. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 4. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 5. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 6. Other Program Decrease of 30 workyears (Project Mgmt Office - Air: -15, PEO - Tactical Air: -4, PEO - ASW Aircraft: -3, PEO - Cruise Missile: -8) and associated resources for project support resulting from reductions to acquisition personnel levels. | 1,202 1 |
| Increase reflects anticipated increased participation in the Federal Employee Retirement System based on current experience. 2) Other Pricing Program Decreases A. One Time FY 1992 Costs 1) One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) Decrease of 30 workyears (Project Mgmt Office - Air: -15, PEO - Tactical Air: -4, PEO - ASW Aircraft: -3, PEO - Tactical Air: -4, PEO - ASW Aircraft: -3, PEO - Cruise Missile: -8) and associated resources for project support resulting from reductions to acquisition personnel levels. | (149) |
| 992 Costs kday of civilian employment in FY 1993 Decreases in FY 1993 30 workyears (Project Mgmt Office - Air: -15, al Air: -4, PEO - ASW Aircraft: -3, Missile: -8) and associated resources apport resulting from reductions to acquisition rels. | 2 |
| A. One Time FY 1992 Costs 1) One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 2.746) 1) Decrease of 30 workyears (Project Mgmt Office - Air: -15, PEO - Tactical Air: -4, PEO - ASW Aircraft: -3, PEO - Cruise Missile: -8) and associated resources for project support resulting from reductions to acquisition personnel levels. | 20 |
| | • |
| 9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request | , (-13 2,74 2,74 |

Activity Group: Procurement Operations (Continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

Activity Group: Procurement Operations (Continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

Project Management Office - AIR.

Number of Programs Managed

Total Funds Managed (\$ in Millions) III. Performance Criteria.

| FY 1993 | 55 13,863 |
|---------|--------------|
| FY 1992 | 55 12,582 |
| FY 1991 | 55 10,998 |
| FY 1990 | 55 10,657 |

.

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Activity Group:

Budget Activity:

Z - Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant:

Naval Air Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed.

support of headquarters personnel. Personnel manage the development, improvement, and support of aircraft, aviation weapons, The Command and Administration account finances personnel compensation, travel, administrative, and other services in and related equipment and support systems.

management and distribution of resources, review and evaluation of programs, implementation and management control of depot level aviation maintenance programs at the Naval Aviation Depots, support and review of aeronautical depot maintenance. Specific Command and Administration functions include policy development, long-range planning and programming,

Safety function supports safety management and engineering efforts necessary to support aircraft, weapons, and support systems. The NAVOSH function is designed to prevent mishaps, reduce injury and property damage costs, improve employee morale and Command and Administration also includes the Safety and Navy Occupational Safety and Health (NAVOSH) functions. well being, and ensure compliance with regulatory requirements.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | FY 1991 | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| | FY 1990 Actual | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 Request | |
| Management Headquarters | 24,510 | 24,599 | 22,188 | 22,078 | 22,977 | 23,436 | |
| Total, Command & Admin | 24,510 | 24,599 | 22,188 | 22,078 | 22,977 | 23,436 | |

Activity Group: Command & Administration (Continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| œi | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | 000\$ |
|----|---|---|----------|
| | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | • | \$22,078 |
| | 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raise 1) Classified 2) Wage Board B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board C. Other Pricing Adjustments 1) Civilian Personnel Compensation in the Federal Employee Retirement System based on current experience. | (309) 308 1 (688) 685 3 (123) 80 | 1,120 |
| | J. Other Pricing Program Increases A. One Time FY 1992 Costs | £ (5) | 87 |
| | 1) One additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 gram Decreases Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Decrease of 6 workyears and associated resources for corporate, financial, and Depot management support resulting from decreased Headquarters staffing. | (57) 87 (-308) -308 | -308 |
| | 5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | • | \$22,977 |

| æ. | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued) | | \$000 |
|----|---|--|----------|
| | 6. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raise 1) Classified 2) Wage B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board C. Other pricing Adjustments 1) Civilian Personnel Compensation Increase reflects anticipated increase participation in the Federal Employee Retirement System based on current experience. 2) Other Pricing | (250) 248 2 (757) 754 3 (143) 101 | 1,150 |
| | 7. Program Decreases A. One Time FY 1992 Costs 1) One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) Decrease of 12 workyears and associated resources for administrative support resulting from decreased Headquarters staffing. | (-83) -83 (-608) | -691 |
| | 8. FY 1993 President's Budget Request | | \$23,436 |

631.0



Activity Group: Command & Administration (Continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| EY 1990 PY 1991 PY 1992 FY 1993 | 18 18 42,225 40,564 4,485 4,313 \$13,074 \$13,121 | 7 7 | 4 4 4 | _ | 89 88 | 23 22 | 15 15 | | 1 1 | 5 5 5 | S | 28 28 27 27 | 25 18 18 18 17 13 13 13 29 21 21 21 |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------------|---|
| III. Performance Criteria. | Number of Field Activitles Supported Total Civilian Population Supported Total Military Population Supported Total Punding Managed (Dollars in Millions) | Safety Number of Training Courses | Procurement Request Innuite | Field Activity Audits | SPecs/Standards Input | Project Audits/Logistic Review Grans | Weapons Safety Board Support | Advance Technical Safety Reviews | System Safety Studies | Aircraft Engineering Change Proposal Apalineis Comment | Activities Given Safety Assistance | | Navy Occupational Safety & Health (NAVOSH) Number of Activities Supported Number of Inspections Conducted Number of Programs/Courses Conducted Number of Programs/Courses Developed |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

000171

Activity Group: Command & Administration (Continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

IV. Personnel Summary.

| FY 1993 | 21 21 5 | 395 |
|--------------------|---|----------------------------|
| FY 1992 | 26 21 5 | 439 |
| FY 1991 | 21 25 5 | 420 |
| FY 1990 | 19 | 451 |
| End Strength (E/S) | A. <u>Military</u> Officer Enlisted | B. <u>Civilian</u> USDH |

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Activity Group: Maintenance Support
Budget Activity: Z - Central Supply and Maintenance

Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

Description of Operations Financed.

technical investigations, reviews and evaluation of maintenance requirements and integrated logistic support plans. Maintenance This activity group provides maintenance support services for aviation systems and equipment utilized in aircraft, calibration Support lines specifically finance on-site technical assistance and support to the fleet operating units, quality evaluation of in-service weapons, review and evaluation of maintenance requirements, review and development of integrated logistic support and support equipment, targets, airborne mine countermeasures, and air launched missiles and ordnance. Services include plans, and contractor interim support for support of Aviation Depot Programs.

Activity Group: Maintenance Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

Pinancial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | D. | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|---------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | FY 1990 | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | Budget Request | Budget Request |
| Air-Launched Missile Maint. Spt. | 7,852 | 12,588 | 11,684 | 9,503 | 9,213 | 680'6 |
| Aircraft Maint. Support | 3,573 | 4,033 | 3,021 | 2,695 | 2,098 | 2,002 |
| Airborne Mine Countermeasures Maint. Spt. | 222 | 219 | 192 | 204 | 202 | 200 |
| Target Maint. Spt. | 238 | 271 | 253 | 245 | 0 | 0 |
| Calibration Maint. Support | 1,490 | 2,219 | 2,175 | 1,549 | 1,508 | 1,418 |
| Support Equip. Maintenance Spt. | 817 | 242 | 8 | 824 | 828 | 707 |
| MAINTENANCE SPT. | 14,192 | 20,279 | 18,215 | 15,020 | 13,849 | 13,410 |

| Maintenance Support (continued) | Naval Air Systems Command |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Activity Group: | Claimant: |

| B. Reconcilia | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | 2000 |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------|
| 1. FY 199. | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | | \$15,020 |
| 2. Pricing A. Defi 1) B. Oth | Adjustments A. Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) 1) Pricing includes savings for Defense Management Review Initiative Consolidation of Depot Maintenance. These savings are to be achieved as a result of increased competition, downsizing, and workload consolidation. B. Other Pricing | (503) 503 (283) | 786 |
| 3. Progra A. Oth 1) 2) 3) | 3. Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Reduced quality evaluation effort for analysis 1) Reduced quality evaluation effort for analysis 2) Safety and reliability data required to reduce scheduce archaered weapons maintenance requirements and extend service lives of weapons components. 3) Decrease reflects a \$4K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiative which reflects efficiencies. 2) Reduced Naval Civilian Technical Services effort for air-launched weapons. 3) Reduced Other Technical Support effort for Airborne Weapons Readiness evaluation. 4) Decrease for integration of automated systems which support depot level maintenance of weapons systems in the Naval Aviation Depots, commercial depots, and interservice facilities. 4) Decrease of Integrated Logistics Support which is being incorporated as part of the Operational Safety and Improvement Program (OSIP) for individual aircraft programs. Decrease reflects a \$31K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiatives which reflect ADP consolidations and efficiencies. | .137 | -1,957 |

Maintenance Support (continued) Naval Air Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

.10

| .10 | न | 5 | (198) | 198 | (980) |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| S) Reduced effort in preparation of logistics plans for the Airborne Mine Countermeasures depot program. S) Logistics/engineering support eliminated for Target Maintenance Support. 7) Reduced data collection in support of the depot calibration program. Decrease reflects a \$3K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiative which reflects efficiencies and consolidates ADP. | o) Decrease funding for the development/update of Support Equipment Rework specifications. Decrease reflects a \$3K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiative and consolidates ADP. | 4. PY 1992 President's Budget Request | Pricing Adjustments A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) 1) Pricing includes savings for Defense Management Initiative Consolidation of Depot Maintenance. These savings are to be achieved as a result of increased competition, downsizing, and workload | consolidation. B. Other Pricing Adjustments | 6. Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 |

\$13,849

441

-880

(-880)

000175

ments and extend service lives of weapons components.

Decrease reflects a \$14K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiative which reflects efficiencies.

1) Reduced quality evaluation effort for analysis of safety and reliability data required to reduce scheduled air-launched weapons maintenance require-

-397

Maintenance Support (continued)
Naval Air Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued),

| C) | 2) Reduced Naval Civilian Technical Services effort for | |
|----|---|-------|
| | Air-Launched Weapons. | .16 |
| E) | 3) Reduced Other Technical Support effort for Airborne |) |
| | Weapons Readiness evaluation. | 4 |
| 4 | 4) Decrease for integration of automated systems which support | ı |
| | depot level maintenance of weapons systems in the Naval | |
| | Aviation Depots, commercial depots, and interservice facilities. | |
| | Decrease reflects a \$66K reduction attributed to Defense Management | |
| | Review Initiative which reflect ADP consolidations and efficiencies. | 8 |
| | Decrease for Integrated Logistics Support which is being incorporated | |
| | as part of the Operational Safety Improvement Program (OSIP) for | |
| | individual Aircraft programs. | \$ |
| S | 5) Reduced effort in preparation of logistics plans | • |
| | for the Airborne Mine Countermeasures depot program. | ó. |
| 9 | 6) Reduced data collection in support of the depot | |
| | calibration program. Decrease reflects a \$5K reduction | |
| | attributed to Defense Management Review Initiatives which | |
| | reflect ADP consolidations and efficiencies. | -126 |
| R | 7) Decrease funding for the development/update of Support | |
| | Equipment Rework specifications. Decrease reflects | |
| | a \$5K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiative | |
| | which reflect ADP consolidations and efficiencies. | -115 |
| | | |

030176

\$13,410

7. PY 1993 President's Budget Request

Activity Group: Maintenance Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| III. <u>Performance Criteria.</u> | teria. | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|-----------------------------------|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------|
| A Air-Launche | Air-Launched Weapons Maintenance Support | | | | |
| Harm | Manyears Cost | 0.1 \$8 | 0.0 \$0 | 2.7 \$260 | 1.2 |
| Harpoon | Manyears Cost | 1.5 \$130 | 3.4 \$291 | 2.7 | 2.4 \$256 |
| Phoenix | Manyears Cost | 0.6 \$50 | 0.6 \$47 | 0.8 \$67 | 1.0 \$83 |
| Hellfire | Manyears Cost | 0.2 \$15 | 0.0 | 0.5 \$59 | 1.0 \$76 |
| Shrike | Manyears Cost | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 \$0 | 0.0 \$ 0 |
| Sidewinder | Manyears Cost | 1.9 | 0.5 \$39 | 0.8 \$83 | 0.9 \$75 |
| Skipper | Manyears Cost | 0.0 \$0 | 0.4 \$32 | 0.2 \$28 | 0.1 \$17 |
| Sparrow | Manyears Cost | 0.8 \$62 | 2.1 \$175 | 1.2 | 1.1 \$110 |

Activity Group: Maintenance Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| ij | Performance Criteria (continued). | nued). | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|----|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | Maverick | Manyears Cost | 0.1 \$6 | 0:0 % | 1.4 | 0.8 \$88 |
| | Slam | Manyears Cost | o. % | 2.1 \$ 183 | 0.6 \$53 | 0.8 \$72 |
| | Sidearm | Manyear s Cost | 0.0 \$0 | 0.0 \$ | 0.2 \$24 | 0.2 \$23 |
| | Том | Manyear s Cost | 0.0 \$2 | 1.0 \$80 | 0.4 \$37 | 0.9 \$75 |
| | Walleye | Manyears Cost | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.6 \$140 | 1.1 |
| | A/C Gun Ammunition | Manyears Cost | 0.5 \$39 | 0.3 \$ 21 | 0.0 \$ 0 | 6.1 |
| | Bombs | Manyears CosT | 7.4 | 7.7 \$682 | 5.2 \$514 | 3.6 \$364 |
| | Penguin | Manyears Cost | 0.0 \$0 | 0.0 \$ | 0.0 \$0 | 0.2 \$22 |

| | FY 1993 | \$37 | 0.1 \$ 13 | 3.4 | 0.0 S 0 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 5. .5 |
|---|---|------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | FY 1992 | 0.1 \$6 | 0.1 \$ 13 | 3.8 \$408 | 0.0 \$ 0 | 0.0 \$ 0 | 0.4 | 3.0 | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| | FY 1991 | 0.6 \$50 | 0.2 \$19 | 6.1 \$ 611 | 0.0 \$0 | 0.0 \$0 | 0.9 \$ 68 | 4.5 | 0.9 \$72 | .6 \$ 63 |
| | FY 1990 | 0.7 \$53 | 0.4 \$30 | 8.6 \$800 | 0.0 \$ 0 | 0.5 \$34 | 1.3 | 6.0 \$524 | 0.0 \$0 | 2.1 |
| Maintenance Support (continued) Naval Air Systems Command | (continued). | Manyears Cost | Manyears Cost | Manyears Cost | Manyears Cost | Manyears Cost | Manyears Cost | Manyears Cost | Manyears Cost | Manyears Cost |
| Activity Group: <u>Maintena</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Air</u> | III. <u>Performance Criteria (continued).</u> | Chaff/Dispensers | Bomb Racks | Cartridge Actuated Devices | Aircraft Gun Systems | Missile Launchers | Pyrotechnics | Rockets/Launchers | Sonobuoys | Air Crew Escape Propulsion System |

Activity Group: Maintenance Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| II. | Performance criteria (continued). | ntinued). | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|
| | Totals | Manyears Cost | 36.1 \$3,136 | 35.0 \$3,091 | 27.4 | 24.2 |
| κά | Navy Technical Services | | | | | |
| | Missiles | Manyears Cost | 17.2 | 18.8 \$1,710 | 17.8 | 18.2 \$1.865 |
| | Ordnance | Manyears Cost | 21.4 \$ 1,793 | 19.0 \$1,709 | 17.8 | 17.3 |
| | Total | Manyears Cost | 38.6 \$3,242 | 37.8 \$3,419 | 35.6 \$3,432 | 35.5 \$3.612 |
| ن | Other Technical Support | | | | | ! |
| | Air Launched Weapons | Manyears Cost | 17.9 \$1,474 | 41.3 \$2,993 | 40.6 \$3,063 | 39.9 \$ 3,133 |
| | Total | Manyears Cost | 17.9 | 41.3 \$2 ,993 | 40.6 \$3,063 | 39.9 |
| ! - | Total Cost | | \$7,852 | \$9,503 | \$9,213 | 680'6\$ |
| D. S | Target Maintenance Support | | | | | |
| - | Targets | Manyears Cost | 4.1 \$238 | 4.0 \$245 | 0 \$ 0.0 | 0 00 |
| F | Total | Manyears Cost | 4.1 \$238 | 4.0 \$245 | 8 0.0 | 0 0 |
| Total Cost | Cost | | \$238 | \$245 | \$0.0 | \$ 0.0 |

000181

Activity Group: Maintenance Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

IV. Personnel Summary

Not Applicable.

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Activity Group: Logistic Support Activities - Pudget Activity: Z - Central Supply and Main

Claimant:

7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Naval Air Systems Command

l. Description of Operations Financed.

systems to simplify, coordinate, or delete as necessary; provides for standardization and configuration control and ensures that Logistic Support Activities funding ensures effective support for aviation systems and equipment; provides reviews of reliability and maintainability are designed into aviation systems and equipment. Included in the following paragraphs is a description of the programs funded in this Activity Group.

maintenance of major weapons systems, subsystems, equipment, and components relative to Naval aircraft. Use of standardized The Standardization program finances preparation of standardization documents as necessary for the procurement and equipment reduces material acquisition lead time and life cycle costs while improving operational readiness. The Standardization program is mandated by Public Law.

aircraft, their associated nuclear weapons and trainers, as well as non-US NATO Nuclear Anti-Submarine Warfare (ASW) aircraft. This program attains and maintains the nuclear certification of these aircraft and associated nuclear weapons, as mandated by The Nuclear Weapon Safety and Security program supports the nuclear weapons delivery capabilities of U.S. Navy Department of Defense and CNO directives.

procedures are issued until ATE systems software updates are developed and issued to the fleet. This program ensures that ATE support the operation of fleet Test Program Sets (TPSs) used to repair components of avionic systems in varous existing aircraft The Automatic Test Equipment Center funds are used to maintain Automatic Test Equipment (ATE) system software to systems effectively satisfy application requirements and operational needs, and technical, configuration, and logistics elements (F/A-18, AV-8B, SH-60B, F-14A, EA-6B, E-2C, F-14D). Reported software problems are duplicated, validated and emergency compatability is maintained between the ATE systems, the avionics systems and the subsystems being supported.

Activity Group: Logistic Support Activities - (continued)
Clamant: Naval Air Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed. (Continued)

mission computer upset, disrupts avionics systems resulting in mission abort and can cause premature or inadvertent detonation support and technical analysis to determine the vulnerability of sensitive solid state electronic components in air systems and to confirm EMI hardness in fleet aircraft, air launched ordnance, avionics and support equipment by EMI hardness and evaluation. From these evaluations we can determine what must be done to ensure that aircraft and ordnance will not be degraded by EMI. Funds also provide for electromagnetic surveys of aircraft, ships and air stations to ensure that radiation levels meet prescribed of ordnance. The EMI program is a Chief of Naval Operations designated RED FLAG program which provides for engineering fighting capability. Funding is also provided for EMI engineering analysis, design and training for the incorporation of EMI essons learned and fixes for EMI problems in aircraft maintenance and modernization work at the Naval Aviation Depots. standards and will not cause hazards to personnel or damage air systems thereby adversely affecting flight safety and war Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) degrades fleet aircraft and air launched ordnance combat performance, causes

equipment through the use of oil analysis as a condition-monitoring tool. The NOAP has been instrumental in the conservation Analysis Program (NOAP) is a tri-service and Navy-wide program to improve the operational readiness and economy of military The Interservice Equipment Oil Analysis program provides technical support to oil analysis laboratories. The Navy Oil of lubricants, prevention of major failures in equipment and reduced maintenance actions.

Information Systems, and Fleet Support previously budgeted in the Weapons System Support (WSS) line. These funds have been Development, Testing and Evaluation appropriation Advanced Technology Transition Demonstrations funding was transferred to The Naval Aviation Logistics Data Analysis (NALDA) program, includes functions; Aircraft Damage Repair (ADR), Fleet the Operations and Maintenance, Navy appropriation to implement the technology transfer from the laboratories to fielded realigned to the NALDA line as the Functional Sponsor Plan for logistics information systems. In FY 1991, the Research,

The NALDA program is comprised of the following four subprograms:

Maintenance for Remote Terminals:

management and technical decision support. Analysis capability is provided through interactive query This program provides and automated database and information retrieval system for aviation logistics and batch processing from remote terminals.

Aircrast Damage Repair (ADR):

ADR is a program designed to provide the operational commanders with an institutional organic capability to rapidly recover and repair damaged aircraft in both peacetime and wartime.

Activity Group: Logistic Support Activities - (continued)
Clamant: Naval Air Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed. (Continued)

NALDA (continued):

Pleet Information Systems:

upline to NALDA from fleet activities, and incorporating these requirements in existing and planned fleet Engine/Aircraft Component Tracking System (COMTRAKS), and Configuration Status Accounting/Serial Responsible for identifying requirements for collecting, validating, processing and transferring of data 3M/NAVFLIRS); Naval Aviation Logistics Command Information System (NALCOMIS), aircraft flight aviation information systems. Program/systems include: Navy Flight Record Subsystems (AVinformation recording and monitoring systems; Aircraft Engine Management System (AEMS), Number Tracking (CSA/SNT).

Jeet Support

policy and procedures for all aircraft and related weapon systems; functions as "Lead Systems Command" for Logistics Support Analysis (LSA) and Level of Repair Analysis (LORA); provides/maintains ILS Expert Provides the command with naval aviation Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) and maintenance program Operating and Support Costs (VAMOSC) and Logistic Requirement Funding Plans (LRFP); and provides Systems"; performs Logistics Review Group (LRG) audits; administers Visibility and Management of the command with advance system logistics support, particularly in the areas of environmental maintenance and supportability maintenance technology.

software test programs used by intermediate level (ashore and afloat) and depot maintenance personnel. These test programs The Automatic Test Equipment (ATE) Test and In-Service Engineering Program provides for maintenance of electronic are written in computer language to provide the stimulus and response necessary for automatic testing, trouble shooting and verification of weapon systems, engines, missiles and ATE. The Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) Management of Support Equipment (SE) provides dedicated logistics management for all assigned support equipment from inception through stable operations. This includes efforts for Support Equipment ILS support functions that require specialized field activity and contractor support. The functions can be categorized into two distinct areas, Metrology Program Support and Support Equipment Initial Operations and In-Service Management Support. sources. The ILS Management of Aviation SE encompasses a variety of in-service management, engineering and technical Management and Support Equipment Management Information System (MIS) functions at field activities and commercial

Activity Group: Logistic

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed. (Continued)

readiness. Beginning in FY 1990, the "Equipment Installation" part of this program will be funded with procurement dollars. ensures that buildings are fully operational in all respects so as to sustain the required state of weapons systems operational The Installation of Aviation Ground Support Equipment program provides for installation and "Equipment-Peculiar" modifications of existing buildings to the extent necessary to receive new weapons maintenance equipment. The program Beginning in FY 1991, the "Construction" part of this program is funded under the Minor Construction (47FB) program.

Center (AMARC) at Davis-Monthan Air Force Base, AZ, Naval Aviation Depot, Cherry Point, NC and at the Naval Weapons Center aircraft and aircraft parts from aircraft that are in the Navy's inactive inventory at: the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration China Lake, CA. This program also provides for disposal of stricken aircraft; reclamation and disposal of obsolete/damaged The Inactive Aircraft Storage and Material Reutilization program manages the storage reutilization, and removal of ground support equipment, tools and production equipment.

aircraft carriers, amphibious aviation helicopter assault ships (LPHs and LHAs), Marine aircraft group, and Naval/Marine Corps management information system that will respond to aircraft maintenance and material management requirements aboard air stations. Specific objectives are; to increase aircrast material readiness, reduce inventory loss and improve repairable The Naval Aviation Logistics Command Management Information System (NALCOMIS) is a modern and effective

The Air Traffic Control (ATC), Identification and Landing Systems Support program funds the following subprograms:

Air Station:

Provides support of naval Air Traffic Control (ATC), Air Navigation Aids and Landing Systems (NAALS) at Navy and Marine Corps Air Activities worldwide and Active Fleet Ships with Tactical Air Control Systems. requirements, such as Management and Engineering Studies, to ensure that the Navy will interface with It also supports Fleet Area Control and Surveillance Facilities (FACSFAC), and other unique ATC the FAA's new National Airspace Plan.

Restoration/Rework:

commercial and organic depots. These depots include Naval Shipyards, Space and Naval Warfare Systems The program finances the depot overhaul of Air Traffic Control (ATC) systems, components, and other ancillary equipment at Navy and Marine Corps activities worldwide. It also finances overhaul of ATC equipment at Fleet Area Control and Surveillance Facilities (FACSFAC). Rework is performed by Command Headquarters field activities and commercial facilities.

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed. (Continued)

The Air Traffic Control (ATC), Identification and Landing Systems Support program (continued)

Maintenance Engineering (ACLS DART):

shipboard equipmen' problems affecting fleet material readiness. Funding provides technical support for This program provides for a portion of the Detection, Action and Response Technique (DART) program which is a coordinated priority effort for identification and expeditious correction of the most serious AN/SPN-42A and AN/SPN43A Automatic Carrier Landing System and for modifications and improvements.

Fleet Engineering/Technical Support by MOTU:

ATC equipment. Repair of the ATC equipment is normally done while the ship is in port; however, on an Mobile Technical Units (MOTU) are located at major Navy ports to repair damaged, broken or inoperable provided by contractors and naval technicians. These MOTU's are also used to train military personnel emergency basis, MOTU personnel will go aboard the ship at sea to repair ATC equipment. Support is with on-site/en-hand instructions on the operating and maintenance procedures for updated ATC

Board of Inspection and Survey (INSURV):

service craft and aircraft; to inspect new ships and service craft for suitability for the purpose intended, Provides support to the Board of Inspection and Survey in accomplishing acceptance trials of ships, and to make recommendations on their acceptance by the Navy; to conduct surveys recommending disposition of ships and service craft which are considered to be beyond economical repair and modernization.

Surface Ship Engineered Operational Capability (SSEOC):

This program finances the support for NAVAIR cognizance electronic equipments in Fleet units subjected predetermined schedule for those ships assigned to the EOC maintenance concept. Punds are provided to the Engineering Operating Cycle (EOC) maintenance philosophy. Execution of this maintenance philosophy requires the exchange and refurbishment of specifically designated equipments on a or the restoration of changed-out equipments.

Logistic Support Activities · (continued) Naval Air Systems Command Activity Group:

Clamant:

1. Description of Operations Financed. (Continued)

of five telemetry receiving stations, depot repair of equipment for fleet training ranges; configuration management support of the maintenance contract which provides a fully instrumented range for fleet underwater, surface, and air training exercises. These The Range Support program provides for logistic support of training range systems, for maintenance and operating costs target support, and data collection; and all costs necessary to operate the Pacific Missile Range Facility's (PMRF) operations and Tactical Aircrast Combat Training System (TACTS); costs associated with the Mobile Sea Range (MSR) including maintenance, training ranges provide the primary means of fleet combat readiness training.

witness fees, witness travel, and brief preparation). Additionally the program funds such mandatory efforts as the Naval Aviation Other Program Support finances services and programs which are centrally managed but are not appropriately funded in Data Automation Center (NARDAC) services, Beneficial Suggestion Awards Program, and government legal costs (such as expert other budget accounts, including security services, defense of contractor claims against the command, Naval Aviation Regional Plan (NAP) and the Avionics Configuration Master Plan (ACMP).

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

II. Pinancial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

| | i | | PY 1991 | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | FY 1990 Actual | Budget Request Appropriation | ropriation | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 Request |
| Standardization | 3,720 | 4,365 | 4,259 | 3,910 | 3,399 | 2,839 |
| Nuclear Weapon Safety | 2,197 | 2,747 | 2,527 | 2,527 | 2,221 | 2,243 |
| ATE Center | 2,573 | 3,657 | 3,634 | 3,279 | 2,618 | 2,159 |
| Electromagnetic Interference | 8,713 | 9,251 | 8,740 | 8,445 | 7,319 | 7,336 |
| Interservice Oil Analysis | 562 | 989 | 699 | 631 | 460 | 339 |
| NALDA | 11,425 | 15,725 | 15,473 | 12,040 | 8,807 | 8,641 |
| ATE In-Service Engineering | 2,067 | 6,307 | 6,213 | 5,742 | 5,090 | 4,192 |

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout. (continued)

| | | i | FY 1991 | | | |
|---|---------|-----------|-----------------------|----------|---------|---------|
| | FY 1990 | Budget | | Current | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
| | Actual | Request / | Request Appropriation | Estimate | Request | Request |
| Integrated Logistics Support Mgt of SE | 14,032 | 17,503 | 16,886 | 14,315 | 13,114 | 13,154 |
| Ground Supt Eqt Installation | 1,804 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Inactive Aircraft Storage | 3,581 | 6,809 | 6,286 | 6,084 | 8,033 | 6,784 |
| NALCOMIS | 13,034 | 20,502 | 19,990 | 19,990 | 21,452 | 25,127 |
| Air Traffic Control | 21,961 | 28,098 | 21,418 | 19,123 | 17,873 | 17,669 |
| Range Support | 37,614 | 50,188 | 43,665 | 42,090 | 40,036 | 39,664 |
| Other Support Program | 3,835 | 2,797 | 2,768 | 2,424 | 2,295 | 2,378 |
| Total | 130,118 | 168,635 | 152,528 | 140,600 | 132,717 | 132,525 |

Activity Group: Logistic Support Activities - (continued)
Clamant: Naval Air Systems Command

| B. Reconciliation of | of Increases and Decreases. | | 2000 |
|--|--|-------------------|---------------|
| 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | rrent Estimate | | 140,600 |
| 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Defense Busines | ing Adjustments Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Stock Fund) | | 7,012 (15) |
| Non-Fuel Other Defens Pricing in Consolida | Non-Fuel Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) Pricing includes savings for Defense Management Review Initiative Consolidation of Depot Maintenance. These savings are to be achieved as a result of | 5 | (4,257) |
| incre C. Other Pri | increased competition, downsizing, and workload consolidation. er Pricing Adjustments | (2,740) | |
| 3. Program Inci A. Other Pr | Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 1) NALCOMIS | (2,334) 692 | 2,334 |
| congr congr 2) Inacti Increa Reger force | Increase number of Phase II site implementations to 14 to work towards meeting congressionally directed accelerated implementation schedule. 2) Inactive Storage of Aircraft— Increase of 120 aircraft to be processed-in for storage at the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) to accomplish CNO directive to reduce the active aircraft force 'evel. | 1,642 | |
| 4. Program Decreases A. Other Program 1) Decreise for | Decreases in FY 1992 Defense Management Review Initiative which consolidates Automated | (.17,229) -346 | -17,229 |
| Dafa 2) Decr | Data Processing. 2) Decrease for Defense Management Review Initiative which reflects efficiencies | -62 | |
| aue 3) Air T Decr | que to paperiess transactions. 3) Air Traffic Control and Landing Systems— Decreases in logistic and engineering support for the AN/SPN-41 and the AN/SPN-44 | -1,983 | |

radars.

| Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command |
|--|
| Activity Group: Clamant: |

(\$000)

| A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 (continued) 4) Nuclear Weapons Safety- 5) Nuclear Weapons Safety- Reduction of the highly specialized nuclear safety/basic design engineering workforce commensurate with reduced force structure requirements. 5) Standardization- 8) Standardization- 8) Standardization- 8) Standardization state in procurement of all Naval aircraft. The reduction will affect completion of updates/corrective actions of over 4,800 NAVAIR standardization documents. 6) Electromagnetic Interference (EMI)- 7) There will be a decrease in fleet aircraft (one) and fleet Air Launched Ordnance (two missiles) receiving Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) hardness evaluations. 7) Integrated Logistics Support Management of Support Equipment Directorate (two missiles) receiving in increased movement of support equipment and in calibration analyses, resulting in increased movement of support equipment between ships and increased depot calibration requirements. Reduction of number of Metrology Automated System for Uniform Recall and Reporting Program (MEASURE) reports provided to operating activities, resulting in reduced support equipment availability. 8) Automatic Test Equipment and In-Service Engineering. Decrease in engineering support from the Product Support Directorate for software maintenance and troubleshooting of electronic test program sets used to test avionics equipment. This will cause 137 fewer maintenance actions to be completed. 9) Interservice Equipment Oil Analysis Program, spectrometer maintenance and support of the Nawy Oil Analysis Program, spectrometer maintenance and support of the Nawy Oil Analysis Program, spectrometer maintenance and support of the Nawy Oil Analysis Program, spectrometer maintenance and |
|---|
| : |

Activity Group: Logistic Suppo

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command (\$000)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. (continued)

4. Program Decreases (continued)

A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 (continued)

10) Automatic Test Equipment Center-

Decrease in the number of Automatic Test Equipment (ATE) software problems resolved by the Naval Air Engineering Center (NAEC).

-919

11) Naval Aviation Logistics Data Analysis (NALDA).

NALDA core programs:

-Increase in backlog of user queries and help requests

-- Decrease in COBOL program maintenance.

-Deferral of program for AV-3M/NALDA merger, and related efficiency efforts.

Other NALDA programs:

-Loss of contractor data entry support for life limited component historical data base.

-Reduction of current efforts to improve supportability during up-front procurement efforts.

-Delay in initiating maintenance support programs in the emerging technological areas, including dynamic fluids, superconductivity, advanced material repair processes, and fiber optics.

-Reduction of efforts to produce a composite repair manual, general aircraft wiring manual, and updated tri-service corrosion control manual.

12) Range Support-

Range Instrumentation: Decrease associated with Cognizant Field Activity/Lead Field Activity/Integrated Logistics Support for planning, programming, budgeting and administering of all tactical training range projects.

Pacific Missile Range Facility: Decrease in-house support from Pacific Missile Test Center, CA for the following support; pilot training, maintenance of aircraft availability and quality, and in-service engineering and depot level maintenance of radars, telemetry, integrated target control systems, and electionic warfare systems as a result of reduced force structure requirements.

C22182

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. (continued) | Program Decreases (continued) Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 (continued) Other Support Program— Decrease in level of effort and support costs associated with Naval Area Regional Data Automation Center, contractor claims and beneficial suggestion awards program. | |
|---|---|-------|
| B. Reconciliation | 4. Program A. Othe 13) | F 750 |
| | | |

(\$000)

-208

| • | | | |
|---|--|---------|-----------|
| n | 5. FT 1992 President's Budget Request | | |
| • | 6. Pricing Adjustments | | \$132,717 |
| | A. Defense Business, Operations Pund Rates (Stock Pund) 1) Non-Fuel | | 3,928 |
| | B. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) | 104 | (1993) |
| | Silialiberine Survey | (2.601) | (1,423) |
| ~ | 7. Program Increases | | |
| | A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 1) NALCOMIS. | (2,888) | 2,888 |
| | Increase number of Phase II site implementations to 16 and increase number of Phase III site implementations to 21 in order to work towards reaching Congressionally directed accelerated implementation schedule. | 2,881 | |
| | Increase for Benefical Suggestion Awards. | ^ | |

| | -7,008 | • | |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | (-2,008) | -885 |
| 8. Program Decreases | A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 | 1) Air Traffic Control- | Decrease in predeployment grooming for older (20+ years) Detection Action Response Technique (DART) equipments. |

| Logistic S | Marral Air |
|------------|------------|
| :dh: | |
| Group: | ÷ |
| Activity | Jamant |
| Ş | õ |

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

| æ. | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. (continued) | (2000) |
|----|--|----------|
| | Program Decreases (continued) A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 (continued) Duclear Weapons Safety— 43 | 9 |
| | Reduction in the highly specialized nuclear safety/basic design engineering workforce. 3) Standardization— Reduction in the number of updates and corrective actions to maintain military | 2 |
| | specifications used in procurement of all Naval aircraft. 4) Electromagnetic Interference (EMI)— -S3 Decrease in EMI engineering analysis, design and training for the incorporation of EMI lessons learned and fixes for EMI problems in aircraft maintenance and modernization work | |
| | at the Naval Aviation Depots. 5) Integrated Logistics Support Management of Support Equipment— Decrease in calibration engineering support as a result of anticipated reduction in fleet denot calibration requirements | 6 |
| | 6) Automatic Test Equipment and In-Service Engineering— Decrease in engineering support from the Product Support Directorate for software maintenance and troubleshooting of electronic test program sets used to test avionics | _ |
| | 7) Inactive Aircraft Storage— 1,719 Decrease of 115 inactive aircraft to be processed in to the Aerospace Maintenance and Regeneration Center (AMARC) | |
| | 8) Automatic Test Equipment (ATE) Center—Decrease in the number of ATE software problems resolved by the Naval Air Engineering Center (NAEC). | a. |

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command Activity Group: Clamant:

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

(000\$)

8. Program Decreases (continued)

A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 (continued)

9) Interservice Equipment Oil Analysis-

-112 Reduced participation in the Joint Oil Analysis Program, spectrometer maintenance and support of the Navy Oi' Analysis Management Office.

10) Naval Aviation Logistics Data Analysis (NALDA)-

-405

-- NALDA Core Programs:

-Deferral of programs for Logistics Support Analysis Record (LSAR), AV-3M/NALDA merger, and related efficiency efforts.

<u>Pange Instrumentation:</u> Decrease in logistic requirements for Lead Field Activity (LFA), Cognizant Field Activity in support of Range Instrumentation program. 11) Range Support-

integrated target control systems, electronic warfare systems as a result of reduced force structure Center, CA for the following support; pilot training, maintenance of aircraft availability and and quality, and in-service engineering and depot level maintenance of radars, telemetry, Pacific Missile Range Facility: Decrease of in-house support from Pacific Missile Test requirentents.

9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$132,525

Logistic Support Activities - (continued)
Naval Air Systems Command

| FY 1992 | | 200 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| FY 1991 | | 800 |
| FY 1990 | | 400 |
| III. <u>Performance Criteria.</u> | Standardization. (In Units) | Project Completed DD-1585 Actions |

| Qualified Products List Actions (QPL) | 40 | 20 | 20 |
|---|-----|-----------|-----|
| S:andardization Document Improvement Proposal DD-1426 | 200 | 100 | 100 |
| Engineering Support Request DD-339 | 20 | 20 | 15 |
| Streamline and Automate SD-24 System Specification Data Base | 10 | \$ | ^ |
| Adopt Non-government (Industry) Documents | 63 | 25 | 20 |
| Air Standardization Reviews. International Standardization Document Program Air Standardization/Working Parties | 52 | 25 | 15 |
| Military Document Review | 150 | 100 | Ş |

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

| FY 1993 | 10 | 50 | 9 | ស | ကေလက | 4 | | 30 712 |
|--|--|--|-------------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|---|
| FY 1992 | 10 | 20 | 9 | v | 4 N W | v | | 31 51 379 866 |
| FY 1991 | 20 | 30 | 9 | Ŋ | 4 w w | 7 | | 37 60 443 1,015 |
| FY 1990 | 25 | ; | 4 | Ŋ | 4 O E | 7 | | 30 50 340 757 |
| III. <u>Performance Criteria. (continued)</u> <u>Standardization</u> . (In Units) (continued) | NATO Document Reviews. Implementation Report Reviews for NATO Working Parties | Computerization of System Specification references to facilitate tailoring | Metric Document Actions | Nuclear Weapon Safety and Security. Nuclear Weapon System Safety Study Process: Number of CNO-mandated studies to attain/maintain nuclear safety certification of aircraft and associated nuclear weapons | Engineering Assurance Tasks for Nuclear Compatability Certification: (aircraft types) Production Out-of-production Non-US NATO | Basic Design Engineering Support of Weapons (no. of weapons/systems) | ATE Center (In Units) | Engineering Change Proposals Reviewed Field Bulletin Reviews Support Equipment Requirements Data Packages Automatic Test Equipment (ATE) Data Base Transactions |

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

| FY 1993 | | 180 | 217 | 151 | 8 | 43 | 6,776 | 29 | 472 | | & | | | ◆ | ব ব | | œ | 90 9 | 0 |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|----------|--|--|-------------|------------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---|--|---------------------|----------|
| FY 1992 | | 189 | 228 | 159 | 91 | 45 | 7,857 | 8 | 495 | | æ | | • | 4 | 4 4 | | 80 | ∞ α | 5 |
| FY 1991 | | 221 | 267 | 184 | 106 | 23 | 10,126 | 120 | 581 | | & | | • | 4 | 4 4 | | 10 | 01 0 | ? |
| FY 1990 | | 171 | 205 | 140 | 81 | 41 | 7,556 | 16 | 440 | | & | | u | ם מ | n w | | 10 | 01 01 | • |
| lil. Performance Criteria. (continued) | ATE Center (In Units) (continued) | Test Program Set Verifications Tailored Outfitting | Lists Generations Unsatisfactory Reports December 1 | Publications/Work Darkson Decisions | Off-line Maintenance Descedures William | Packages | Central Processing Unit Hours Provided for Automatic Test Program Generation | AIE Software Change Requests Processed ATE Tapes Replaced Due to Breadessed | or Burn-out | Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) | EMI Fleet Assist Visits (Number of visits) | Aircraft EMI Hardness Evaluation: (Number of Aircraft) | Evaluation Preparation | Conduct Evaluation | Evaluation Analysis | Air Launched Ordnance EMI Hardness Evaluation: (Number of Ordnance Items) | Evaluation Preparation Conduct Evaluation | Evaluation Analysis | |

Logistic Support Activities - (continue.''
Naval Air Systems Command

| III. Performance Criteria. (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FV 1992 | 57 1003 |
|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) (continued) | | | 777 | |
| Aircraft, Ship, Air Station Electromagnetic Survey: (Number of Surveys) | 80 | œ | æ | c 0 |
| Air Industrial EMC Project (6 NADEPS) | E | 4 | 4 | ٧ |
| Interservice Equipment Oil Analysis (Units) Joint Oil Analysis Labs Supported; Afloat Ashore | 28 | 78 | . 88 | 78 |
| Naval Aviation Logistics Data Analysis (NALDA) | 25 | 25 | 22 | 25 |
| NALDA core programs: Aircraft Engine Management System (AEMS): | | | | |
| Siles supported Reports Processed | 50 700,000 | 52 750,000 | 45 485,000 | 40 |
| Component Tracking System (COMTRAK) Sites supported | | | | |
| Reports Processed | 50 12,000 | 50 12,000 | 45 11,000 | 40 10,000 |
| Configuration Status Accounting/Serial Number Tracking (CSA/SNT): | | | | |
| Data bases maintained Business Area Analysis (BAA)/Business System Design (BSD) | 0/2 | 0 2/2 | 1 0/0 | 1 0/0 |

Logistic Support Activities (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

| III. Performance Criteria. (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| NALDA (continued): NALDA core programs: (continued) Navy Flight Record Support Systems (NAVFLIRS)/Aviation- Maintenance and Material Management (AV-3M) support: | | | | |
| Data Base Redesign | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Naval Aviation Maintenance Program Change Software | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| User activities supported | 70 | 70 | 8 | 20 |
| Telecommunication circuits | 139 | 8 | 1 | 63 |
| Data storage on-line (gb) | 80 | 80 | 2 | 55 |
| Data Bases maintained | 460 | 430 | 400 | 395 |
| COBOL programs maintained | 1,718 | 1,870 | 1,410 | 1,509 |
| Records applied to data bank (M) | 552 | 552 | 552 | 552 |
| Naval Sea Logistics Command AV-3M ADP Support: | | | • | 1 |
| Number of AV-3M transactions (M) | 4. 8. | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| Number of AV-3M reports generated | 48,500 | 25,000 | 20,000 | 51,000 |
| Other NALDA programs: | | | | |
| Scheduled Removal Component/Equipment History | | | | |
| Record (SRC)/(EHR): | | | | |
| Data record entry | 700,000 | 800,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Database maintenance service | | - | 0 | 0 |
| Reports | 200 | 200 | 0 | 0 |
| Data inquiries | 156,000 | 156,000 | C | C |
| Aircraft Damage Repair (ADR): | | | • | • |
| Aircraft damage assessment report | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Logistic support analysis report | 0 | 2 | c | c |
| Engineering source data package | 0 | 0 | - | · - |
| Technical manual revision | 0 | 0 | 0 | ~ ~7 |
| Logistics Support Analysis Record | | | | |
| software update: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Level of Repair Analysis (LORA): | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Activity Group: Clamant:

| ommand |
|-----------|
| Systems |
| Vaval Aur |
| ~1 |

| III. Performance Criteria. (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| NALDA (continued): Other NALDA programs: (continued) RCM/Age Exploration program/training update: | | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Personal computer Program development: | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Visibility and Management of Operating and Support Costs (VAMOSC) (Number of Reports): Logistics Requirements Funding Plan (LRFP)-Training Classes: Lessons Learned - Reports Processed Expert Systems - LOGPARS Program for ILSDS Technical quarterly report for 16 programs | 2 1 4 7 2 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 5 | e 2 4 2 0 | 2 | 60 4 6 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 |
| ATE Test Programs & In-Service Engineering | | | | |
| Test Program Sets Supported: | 6,600 | 6,888 | 6,859 | 6,962 |
| Maintenance Actions Funded: Safety of Flight Strategic/Tactical Avionics Systems Multiple/Batch Processing of Similar Systems Mission and Flight Total Actions | 72 402 358 <u>53</u> 885 | 75 402 358 53 888 | 75 350 276 50 751 | 70 300 211 45 626 |
| Integrated Logistics Support Management of Support Equipment (\$000): | | | | |
| Metrology Engineering Center | 2,817 | 2,874 | 2,600 | 2,479 |

| 2,600 2,479 3,250 3,250 200 200 | | | • |
|--|---|------------------|--------|
| 2,874 4,126 200 | 1,043 | 2,296 | 14,315 |
| 2,817 4,800 200 | 3,000 | 2,206 | 14,034 |
| Metrology Engineering Center Naval Aviation Engineering Center Naval Weapons Station Concord | Naval Air 1est Center/Product Support Directorate Navy Data Automation Centers | Commercial Total | |

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

| National County Statement of Support Equipment (\$000); (continued) | 8 26 23 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 | III. Performance Criteria. (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|--|---|----------------------|-------------|-------------|----------|
| Senter | Senter | stics Support Management of Support Equipment (\$00 | <u>):</u> (continued | æ | | |
| 1 | oncord 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | W/Y) rology Engineering Center | 28 | | ន | 55 |
| for Uniform Recall and for Uniform Recall and SURE) s) for Uniform Recall and SURE s) 1,050 1,040 1,020 1,0 rerial Readiness List/ ree Management Information (# of reports in thousands) If Equipment (Units) The Equipment (Units) Solution Systems Solution Systems Solution Systems 1 | for Uniform Recall and for Uniform Recall and SURE) sure for Uniform Recall and SURE) sure for Uniform Recall and SURE) sure for Uniform Recall and sure sure for Uniform Recall and sure sure sure for Uniform Recall and sure sure sure for Uniform Recall and sure sure sure for Uniform Recall and sure sure sure sure sure sure sure sure | al Weapons Station Concord | 57 | 39 | 31 | 31 |
| for Uniform Recall and SURE) s) 1,050 1,040 1,020 1,0 rerial Readiness List/ rce Management Information (# of reports in thousands) CHEQUIPMENT (Units) THEQUIPMENT (Units) Solvent | for Uniform Recall and SURE) s) 1,050 1,040 1,020 1,0 rerial Readiness List/ rec Management Information (# of reports in thousands) | al Air Test Center/Product Support Directorate mercial | 13. | 11 9 | 7 = 1 | 11 |
| for Uniform Recall and SURE) s) 1,050 1,040 1,020 erial Readiness List/ rce Management Information (# of reports in thousands) 1 | for Uniform Recall and SURE) s) 1,050 1,040 1,020 erial Readiness List/ rce Management Information (# of reports in thousands) If Equipment (Units) If Equipment (Units) If Equipment (Units) If Equipment (Units) Solve an interport of the in | | 19 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| rerial Readiness List/ (** of reports in thousands*) 26 31 28 It Equipment (Units) 26 nent 9 fy 1 fy 1 fy 2 fy 2 fy 3 fy 3 fy 4 fy 6 fy 6 fy 7 fy 7 fy 7 fy 7 fy 7 fy 7 fy 8 fy 8 fy 8 fy 8 fy 95,764 125,064 100,10 fy 125,064 100,10 fy 18 fy 6 fy 6 fy 7 fy 7 fy 7 fy 7 fy 7 fy 8 fy 8 fy 8 fy 8 fy 8 fy 9 fy 9 fy 100,10 f | rerial Readiness List/ free Management Information 1 | 8y Automated System for Uniform Recall and orting Program (MEASURE) of reports in thousands) | 1,050 | 1,040 | 1,020 | 1,000 |
| 26 | 26 | Maintenance and Material Readiness List/ port Equipment Resource Management Information em (AMMRL/SERMIS) (# of reports in thousands) | 56 | 31 | 78 | 27 |
| 9 | 9 | viation Ground Support Equipment (Units) round Support Equipment | 26 | • | | |
| Tribution Systems 5 | Tibution Systems 5 | ange Equipment | o n | • | • | • |
| 95,074 95,764 125,064 10 118 155 282 118 25 18 8 5 5 1,568 1,914 2,166 0 62 45 | 95,074 95,764 125,064 10 118 155 282 118 25 18 8 25 18 8 5 5 1,568 1,914 2,166 0 62 45 0 70 52 | ight Line Electric Distribution Systems est Cell Program | י מו ל | | • • | |
| 95,074 95,764 125,064 10 118 155 282 8 25 18 8 5 5 1,568 1,914 2,166 0 62 45 0 70 52 | 95,074 95,764 125,064 16 118 155 282 8 25 18 8 5 5 1,568 1,914 2,166 0 62 45 0 70 52 | leous Systems | - v | • • | • • | |
| ve A/C) ing Strike) (A/C) ing Strike) (A/C) e (A/C) ve A/C) ve | ve A/C) ing Strike) (A/C) e (A/C) e (A/C) e (A/C) f (A/ | Storage and Disposal: | | | | |
| ing Strike) (A/C) 18 155 282 ing Strike) (A/C) 8 25 18 (A/C) 8 5 5 e (A/C) 0 62 45 0 70 52 | ing Strike) (A/C) 8 25 18 (A/C) 8 5 5 18 6 (A/C) 6 (A/C) 6 62 45 0 70 52 (A/C) 7.100 62 45 0 70 52 | S DDits (Recense A/C) | 95,074 | 95,764 | 125,064 | 100,104 |
| (A/C) 8 25 18 8 5 5 6 (A/C) 8 5 5 0 62 45 0 70 52 | (A/C) 8 25 18 5 5 5 6 6 6/C) 8 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | nputs (Pending Strike) (A/C) | 118 | 155 | 282 | 168 |
| e (A/C) 3 5 5 7 1,568 1,914 2,166 0 62 45 0 70 52 | e (A/C) 1,568 1,914 2,166 0 62 45 0 70 52 C | Vithdrawals (A/C) | x 0 0x | 23 | <u>چ</u> ر | 17 |
| 1,514 2,156 0 62 45 0 70 52 | 1,514 2,106 0 62 45 0 70 52 (7,7:02 | Maintenance (A/C) | 1 568 | 101 | n \\ | 4 |
| 0 70 52 | 0 70 52 CCCCO2 | ation (A/C) | 8 0 | 4,714 62 | 2,100 As | 2,289 |
| | C2000 | sposal (A/C) | 0 | 2 02 | 25 | 32 18 |

Activity Group: <u>Logistic Support Activities - (continued)</u>
Clamant: <u>Naval Air Systems Command</u>

300 150 200 200 300 1,378 16 6,723 2,113 5,820 1,603 937 FY 1993 300 150 200 250 300 1,480 FY 1992 14 6,801 2,141 5,887 1,601 941 27 0 350 150 Z,276 2,288 250 910 6,299 9 9 9 9 9 750 966 2,379 FY 1991 8,268 2,600 650 350 500 150 396 400 FY 1990 912 830 2,100 2,100 1,139 2,641 60 Air Traffic Control Identification and Landing Systems (ATCLS) (\$000) Tactical Air Navigation Service Life Extension Program (SLEP) Tactical Air Navigation Reliability Improvement Program Fleet Area Control and Surveillance Facility (FACSFAC) Fleet Engineering/Technical Support Mobile Technical ATC Equipment Restoration/Surface Ship Engineered Communications Extended Field Maintenance (EFM) Field Maintenance Activity (FMA) Tech Assists Inspections and Survey (INSURV)/Tech Assists: MK XII AIMS Identification Friend or Foe (IFF) Ground Controlled Approach (GCA)/Precision Automatic Carrier Landing Systems (ACLS) Integrated Logistics Support (ILS): Inspection and Survey (INSURV) Tactical Air Navigation (TACAN) Approach Radar (PAR) EFM III. Performance Criteria. (continued) Operating _vcle (SSEOC) No. of sites implemented: Restoratic n/Rework: Units (MOTU) Phase III Phase II Shipboard: NALCOMIS

1,350

450 390 240

410

510 425 280

525 450 300

Maintenance Engineering ACLS/Detection Action

Logistics Support Management

Pre-Positioned Technicians Pre-Deployment Grooming

Response (DART):

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

| 101 | III. Performance Criteria (continued). | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|--|---|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------------------|--|
| Station: 6.538 5.548 5.185 rea Control and Surveillance Facility (FACSFAC) 1,432 1,245 1,191 slectronic Technical Services (NETS) 1,077 857 801 tional Aids 804 655 1,705 g Systems 629 589 550 anagement Systems 380 377 326 anagement Systems 380 377 326 anagement Systems 380 377 326 anagement Systems 377 326 anagement Systems 377 326 anagement Systems 57 64 53 red Logistics Spport (ILS)/Cognizant Field Activity 57 64 53 A)/Lead Field Activity (LFA): (Workyears) 5 5 5 5 rty Stations Supported 57 64 53 5 5 5 Missile Range Facility (PMRE): 5 5 5 5 5 5 Scheduling, Safety, Surveillance and 5 5 5 5 5 5 Sea Range (MSR): < | Traffic Control Identification and Landing Systems (ACTLS) (\$000 | (continued) | | | | |
| 1,077 857 801 | Shore Station: Fleet Area Control and Surveillance Facility (FACSFAC) | 6,538 1,432 | 5,548 | 5,185 1,191 | <u>5,126</u> 1,150 | |
| 8 Systems 8 Syst | ivavai Electronic fechnical Services (NETS) Navigational Aids | 1,077 | 857 | 801 | 792 | |
| Instrumentation: 629 589 550 | Landing Systems | 2,216 804 | 1,825 655 | 1,705 | 1,686 | |
| anagement Systems anagement Systems anagement Systems anagement Systems anagement Systems and Field Activity (ILS)/Cognizant Field Activity (INORKyears) 55 64 53 53 64 53 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 53 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 6 | Surveillance Systems | 629 | 586 | 550 | 544 | |
| ted Logistics Spport (ILS)/Cognizant Field Activity A)/Lead Field Activity (LFA): (Workyears) try Stations Supported 5 5 64 5 65 64 64 65 65 66 64 66 65 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 66 | A1C Management Systems | 380 | 377 | 326 | 349 | |
| Ognizant Field Activity 1: (Workyears) 5: 5 5: 5 5: 5 7: 64 8: 64 | ge Support | | | | | |
| (years) 57 64 5 5 5 5 5 5 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 | Range Instrumentation: Integrated Logistics Spport (ILS)/Cognizant Field Activity (CFA)/Lead Field Activity (1FA): (Workyang) | 3 | ; | ; | ; | |
| years) 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 | Telemetry Stations Supported | <u>ر</u> د | \$ ' | 53 | 23 | |
| E): ince and //Y) 95 95 9 1 1 4 33 33 22 | Repair of Repairables (ROR) (Workyears) | 5 15 | w æ | n o | ഗഠ | |
| 1 4 33 33 23 | Pacific Missile Range Facility (PMRF): | | 1 | ` | ` | |
| 7/7) 95 95 1 1 4 33 33 23 23 | Range Scheduling, Safety, Surveillance and | | | | | |
| 1 4 33 33 23 23 | Operations (Civilian/Military W/Y) | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 | |
| 1 4 33 33 23 23 | Mobile Sea Range (MSR): | | | | | |
| 33 33 23 23 | Fleet Exercises | | 4 | 4 | 4 | |
| 23 23 | MSR Operations Support | 33 | 33 | 33 | 33 | |
| | MSR Exercise Support (Workyears) | 23 | 23 | 23 | 8 8 | |

Activity Group: Clamant:

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

FY 1993 554 FY 1992 38 20 550 œ FY 1991 36 23 550 10 FY 1990 34 25 540 12 Navy Aviation Regional Data Automation Center (NARDAC) Support (Number of Projects/Systems) Security Alarm Systems (Number of Systems) Back-up data/services to present the Navy's Aviation Configuration Master Plan (Number of New Systems) defense against contractor claims (Number of actions) III. Performance Criteria (continued). Other Support Program:

4

20

10

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS APF. IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

Activity Group: Clamant:

Logistic Support Activities - (continued) Naval Air Systems Command

IV. Personnel Summary.

| FY 1993 | | 707 | 0 |
|---------|--------------------|---|-------------|
| FY 1992 | | 202 | 0 |
| FY 1991 | | 000 | 0 |
| FY 1990 | (E/S) | 808 | 0 |
| | End Strength (E/S) | A. <u>Military</u> Officer Enlisted | B. Civilian |

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE, NAVY OP-05 EXHIBIT ADDENDUM

| | (000\$) | £168 635 | -16,107 | | 152,528 | -2,891 | 200 | -9,737 |
|---|--|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|--------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | | (-13,915) | (-373) (-1,340) | | (.2,891) | (700) | (-9,737) -100 -800 |
| Activity Group: Logistic Support Activities Budget Activity: Z - Central Supply and Maintenance Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command | VI. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | 1. FY 1991 Budget Request | 2. Congressional Adjustments A. Congressional Undistributed B. ADP Management | D. Training and Education | 3. FY 1991 Appropriation | 4. General Provisions A. Consulting Services (Sec. 8050) | Other Increases Programmatic Increases Claimant Realignment from Project Management Office - AIR (473P) to Integrated Logistics Support Management of Support Equipment (47XL) for support of matrix programs. | A. Programmatic Decreases 1) Claimant realignment from Air Traffic Control Identification & Landing Systems (ATC&LS) to Naval Air Technical Facility (479F) to provide one manyear of contractor technical publications support to review (ATC&LS) technical manuals. 2) Claimant realignment from Air Traffic Control Identification & Landing Systems (ATC&LS) to Catapults and Arresting Gear (47PB) to provide single management of Certification/Verification of the Precision Approach and Landing System. |

| (continued) |
|-------------------|
| Decreases. |
| Increases and |
| Reconciliation of |
| <u>-</u> , |

| 104 | | -3,433 | | | | | | | | | | -114 | -92 |
|--|---|----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|
| 6. Other Decreases (continued) A. Programmatic Decreases (continued) 3) Claimant realignment of manpower and support costs for four NAVAIR Headmarters | personnel working in the field, from Inactive Aircraft Storage Program (47QC) to NAVAIR headquarters, Operational Support-Field (47AU). | NALDA core programs: | Reduction will result in 12% site shutdown as a result of reduced support of NALDA, Aircraft Engines Management System (AEMS), and Component Tracking System (COMTRAK). | Decrease in ability to update amd maintain NALDA and System 2000 aircraft and readiness databases. | -Decrease of 36% in number of reports provided to AEMS and COMTRAK users. -Increase in backlog of user queries and help requests. | -Eliminates conducting Aircraft Damage assessment on the AH-1W | -Inability to procure LSA Systems Logistics Integrated Capability (SLIC) software -Unable to validate gas turbine engine Level of Repair Analysis model. | Unable to develop a Maintenance Plan Tracking programInability to modify current Maintenance Plan Review Guide to include new Technical | -Reduction of effort in the aircraft wiring maintenance support programReduction of government's ability to conduct in-house Logistics Support Analyses (LSA) Studies such as Logistics Support Analyses | -Delay in implementing the aircraft On-Condition Maintenance support program. -Delay in effort to examine new hydraulic line maintenance and repair techniques. -Delay in initiating maintenance support programs in these emerging to the conditions. | dynamic fluids, superconductivity, advanced materials, and fiber optics. | Unable to complete 100 corrective document action: to maintain current and valid military specifications and standards used in procurement of all NAVAIR aircraft. | 6) Automatic Test Equipment Center—Decrease in number of Automatic Test Equipment (ATE) software problems identified $to/resolved$ by the Naval Air Engineering Center. Maintenance level on software interface on ATE systems from initiation to deployment will be reduced. |

CCC 208

VI. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. (continued)

Reduction in test program set engineering investigations/maintenance to indicate required maintenance actions be performed using automatic test equipment. 8) Automatic Test Equipment and In-Service Engineering-

-3,271 Reduction in metrology engineering and in-service engineering efforts performed in the field 9) Integrated Logistics Support Management of Support Equipmentin support of operating fleet activities.

associated with Cognizant Field Activity/Lead Field Activity/Integrated Logistics Support of tactical training range projects. Pacific Missile Range Facility: Decrease of 20% in Pacific Missile Test Center, CA engineering and technical support provided to PMRF for its range Range Instrumentation: Reduction in range instrumentation manpower and support costs 10) Range Supportoperations.

-344 Decrease in level of effort and support costs associated with contractor claims and beneficial 11) Other Support Programsuggestion awards.

7. FY 1991 Current Estimate

\$140,600

003000

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP.5

Activity Group: Budget Activity:

Claimant:

Engineering and Support Services

7 - Central Supply and Maintenance

Naval Air Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed,

aircraft/ship interface management; design and modernization of airfield lighting and marking systems, emergency arresting gear and visual approach guidance systems; engineering and technical services in support of the Navy Marine Corps mission; design and maintenance engineering for all inservice ground support equipment, and design engineering effort associated with generating remedial design changes essential to operational Engineering and Support Services finances engineering support for aircraft launch and recovery, visual landing aids, wind measurement and readiness of in-service fleet aircraft and related equipment.

group also includes three uniquely equipped DC-130A aircraft which provide airborne drone launch platforms in support of the U.S. Navy Mobile provides product support for the electronic warfare systems; and provides for the operation of the Software Support Activity (SSA). This activity Included in this activity group is the Fleet Electronic Warfare Support Group (FEWSG) which provides the operation of two specially equipped NKC-135 aircraft to simulate hostile Electronics Countermeasures (ECM) and the operation of one EC-24A aircraft which provides jamming services similar to the NKC-135. In addition, it provides Command, Control, Communication (C3) for ORANGE forces during fleet training: Sea Range. These aircraft also provide Fleet logistics support flights throughout the U.S. and external CONUS, as required.

weapon system and/or EHCTV anomalies. A principle benefit gained from widespread use has been demonstrated in identifying a discrepancy in the fire control system software which would have precluded a successful launch. The primary goal of the EHCTV program is to provide submarine Harpxyn firing certification and enhance fleet readiness in the employment of the Encapsulated Harpoon Missile by providing, as nearly as possible, specific aircraft models or series; the preparation, update, reproduction and distribution of technical weapon systems manuals; and the investigation a realistic training vehicle to test all facets of equipment operation and crew knowledge. This activity group also provides service life extension of The Encapsulated Harpoon Certification and Training Vehicle (EHCTV) program is funded by this activity group as well. The EHCTV emulates an Encapsulated Harpoon, all up round (AUR), which can be faunched from Harpoon-capable submarines and is designed to duplicate faunching characteristics and underwater trajectory. After completion of an EHCTV launch, a detailed analysis of the launch data is performed to identify of deficiencies involving aviation life support equipment.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|---------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | FY 1990 | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | Budget Request | Budget Request |
| Shorebased Landing Aids | 1,033 | 1,852 | 1,703 | 1,703 | 1,165 | 1,186 |
| Aviation Mobile Facilities | 4,074 | 6,486 | 6,241 | 996'5 | 4,612 | 3,249 |
| Aircraft Structural Life Surveillance | 8,286 | 670'6 | 8,738 | 8,730 | 11,004 | 10,801 |
| Ground Support Equipment Engr. Supt | 3,835 | 5,630 | 5,401 | 3,612 | 3,160 | 3,276 |
| Survival Equipment | 3,601 | 4,101 | 3,910 | 3,772 | 3,137 | 2,974 |
| Technical Publications | 8,692 | 13,278 | 12,351 | 10,647 | 8,343 | 9,817 |
| Catapults & Arresting Gear | 21,549 | 29,851 | 28,659 | 23,781 | 19,400 | 20,777 |
| Engineering Services | 2,987 | 12,679 | 11,820 | 10,417 | 5,148 | 5,964 |
| FEWSG | 11,401 | 11,797 | 10,388 | 17,013 | 15,331 | 15,644 |
| Offsetting Fuel Reduction for Supplemental Appropriation | | | | -864 | | |
| EHCTV | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,266 | 3,208 |
| Total Engineering & Support Services | 68,458 | 94,703 | 89,211 | 84,777 | 74,566 | 76,896 |

| | (2000) | \$84,777 | +4,498 | | | +9,579 | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|--|----------|--|--------|----------|--|
| | | | (+864) (-982) -811 | -171 (+3,289) | (+1,327) | (+9,579) +1,896 (+261) | (+444) | (+1,191) | +2,413 |
| Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | 2. Pricing Adjustments A. FY 1991 Baseline Fuel Price Increase B. Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Stock Fund) 1) Fuel | Z) Non-Fuel C. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) I) Pricing includes savings for Defense Management Initiative Consolidation of Depot Maintenance. These savings are to be achieved as a result of increased competition, downsizing, and workload consolidation | D. Othe | 3. Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 1) Aircraft Structural Life Surveillance: a. Structural Data Recording Set (SDRS): Provides for validation/verification of the P-3 aircraft modification kit. | | | 2) Technical Publications: Increase updates for A-6, F/A-18 T/M/S, P-3C, F-14A and H-1 (11,233 pages); T-58, T-76, T400 and TF-34 engines (911 pages); and avionics, electronics and missile system components (5,564 pages) to incorporate safety of flight changes and improved operations and maintenance instructions. |

000212 (+191)

Catapults and Arresting Gear:

a. Aircraft/Ship Compatibility:

Dynamic Interface Testing--Conduct air capable

3

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

| Aircraft/Ship Compatibility (continued): ship/amphibious assault ship Night Vision Goggle compatible lighting package dynamic interface testing. FEWSG: a. FEWSG System Software and Avionics Product Support: Provides for additional software support required for the ALT-40, ALQ-170, Fleet Airborne Electronic Warfare System (FAEWS) and the Avionics Product Support for this equipment and the ASQ-191/USQ-113. |
|--|
|--|

+1,813 (+337)

(+738)

| | EWS which is | ie FAEWS | te and the | .7. | ance: | measure | | | firings for |) |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|--|------------------------------------|-------|--|--|
| upport: | ort of the FAI | A aircraft. Th | lal Support Da | charged to BA | n and Mainten | LQ-21 counter | rcraft. | | pport for 110 | aining. |
| rce Material S | material supp | KC-135/EC-24 | eached Materi | effort is now o | tor Operation | ease of the U | : NKC-135 air | | operation su | ns and fleet tr |
| FEWSG Air Force Material Support: | Provides for the material support of the FAEWS which is | carried in the NKC-135/EC-24A aircraft. The FAEWS | equipment has reached Material Support Date and the | funding for this effort is now charged to BA-7. | FEWSG Contractor Operation and Maintenance: | Provides for increase of the ULQ-21 countermeasure | equipment in the NKC-135 aircraft. | Š | Provides program and operation support for 110 firings for | submarine certifications and fleet training. |
| <u>Б</u> | ď | 8 | इ | 2 | 区 | 곱 | इ | EHCTV | Provide | submar |
| | | | | | | | | જ | | |

| 4. Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Shorebased Landing Aids: | (000 70) | (887,42-) | \$ | | | -1.620 | | | | -712 | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--------|---|---|--|------|--|
| | 4. Program Decreases A Other Program Decreases in EV 1000 | 1) Shorebased Landing Aids: | Decrease of two Lighting Systems. Decrease includes a \$1K | reduction associated with Defense Management Review Initiative | which reflects efficiencies due to paperless transactions. | | Decreases configuration by 82 vans. Decrease includes a \$23K | reduction associated with Defense Management Review Initiative, | which reflect ADP efficiencies and consolidations. | | Reduces the level of effort for investigations of Program Planning |

£13000

Documents to be revised, investigations of fleet revealed deficiencies, Pre-award surveys, Proposals/Bids to be evaluated, Procurement data packages to be revised, and Design changes.

-24,288

+3,266

(+738)

Activity Group: Engineering and Support Services (continued) Claimant:

Naval Air Systems Command

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). æ

| Reduces level of efformation of the Basic Design Engine Proposal (ECP) imples accommodate a level ECPs associated with includes a \$1K reduce Review Initiative white |
|---|
|---|

MIARS, Automation of Technical Documentation and Professional reduction associated with Defense Management Review Initiative Pages of updates reduced for A-6E, C-2, S-3A, C-130, and H-46 T/M/S aircraft (21,713 pages); and F402, TF30 and T58 engines (4,267 pages). Recurring expenses reduced for printing costs, and Management Services. Decrease includes a \$5K and \$7K which reflect ADP efficiencies consolidations. Technical Publications: 5

(-3,837)associated with Defense Management Review Initiative which improvements, Low Loss Launch valve improvements and engineering and logistic support to fleet deployed units by Terminate all CV/CVN product/reliability/maintainability deadload elimination program. Reduce direct in-service improvement efforts except MK 7 MOD 3 service life fifteen manyears. Decrease includes a \$9K reduction reflects efficiencies due to paperless transactions. In-Service Engine/Fleet Problem Response: Catapults and Arresting Gear: 6

6,254

support of COMNAVAIRLANT/COMNAVAIRPAC by four Reduce funding of Carrier Fleet Service Unit (CAFSU) manyears commensurate with reduced force structure Fleet Technical Services: requirements.

ف

(414)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

| J | Weapons Compatibility: | (-184) |
|----------|---|--------|
| | Substitution of formal inspection program with a technical | |
| | assistance program. | |
| Ð. | Electric Power Interface Compatibility: | (46) |
| | Reduce direct trouble shooting and fleet support in line with | |
| | reduced fluet requirements. | |
| ď | Aircraft/Ship Compatibility: | (889-) |
| | CV/CVN Aviation and Maintenance and Servicing Facilities | |
| | Restructure of CV/CVN Weapons Compatibility program and | |
| | Fleet Modernization program, providing technical assistance | |
| | to the fleet. | |
| نب | Precision Approach and Landing System Certification (PALS): | (-778) |
| | Defer PALS certification of Naval Air Station (NAS) Oceana, | |
| | NAS Miramar, and two of six scheduled CV/CVN. | |
| D | Firefighting and Rescue: | (-85) |
| | Reduced requirement for product/reliability and | |
| | maintainability improvement efforts for P-16 and TAU-2 | |
| | units. Defer crash and rescue training material development | |
| | and Naval Air Training and Operating Procedures | |
| | Standardization (NATOPS) revision efforts. | (-204) |
| j | Helicopter Landing System: | ` |
| | Reduce direct in-service technical and logistics support to | |
| | deployed units by one half manyear. | |
| | | |

| -5,723 | (-400) | (-5,285) -3,147 (-131) | (-184) | (-203) (-1,928) | (-701) |
|---|---|---|--------|--|--|
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | a. A/C Systems Fleet Support: Decrease in level of effort for support of Aircraft Materials Deterioration Analysis and Control, Airborne Expendable Countermeasures Equipment, NATOPS Flight Manuals, Aircraft Performance Chart Updates. Decrease includes a \$3K reduction associated with Defense Management Review Initiative which reflects efficiencies due to paperless | transactions. b. A/L Ordnance Fleet Support: Reduction in level of effort for aircraft tactical manual updates. c. Follow-on Operational Test and Evaluation: Reduction of eight Tests. | | Reduction associated with of one fewer NKC-135 aircraft overhaul in FY 1992. c. DC-130A fuel: Reduction associated with the planned phase-out of the DC-130A Mobile Sea Range Aircraft program. | d. Contractor Operation and Maintenance: Reduction associated with the planned phase-out of the DC-130A Mobile Sea Range Aircraft program. e. DC-130A Aircraft Maintenance/Aviation Depot Repair: Reduction associated with the planned phase-out of the DC-130A Mobile Sea Range Aircraft program. |
| | | | | | |

\$74.56

FY 1992 President's Budget Request

s.

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

| ø. | 75 | | +1,268 |
|----|---|--------------------|----------------|
| | A. Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Stock Fund) 1) Fuel | (+9) +40 | |
| | 2) Non-Fuel R. Other Defence Durings Connections First Process (12) | -31 | |
| | C. Other Pricing Adjustments | (+130) (+1,129) | |
| 7. | Program Increases | | +4 108 |
| | A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 | (+4.198) | 0/1 / 1 |
| | 1) Ground Support Equipment Engineering Support: | 98+ | |
| | Increase level of effort for: Program Planning Documents to be |))) | |
| | revised/issue, Fleet revealed deficiencies to be investigated, Design | | |
| | Changes to be issued, Procurement data packages to be revised | | |
| | and, Pre-award surveys to be conducted. | | |
| | 2) Technical Publications: | +1.426 | |
| | Pages of updates increased for A-6, A-6E, C-2A, F-14A, P-3C, S-3, | 1 | |
| | H-1, and F/A-18 aircraft (4,039 pages); T-58, T-76, T-400 and TF. | | |
| | 34 engines (2,534 pages); and avionics, electronic and missile | | |
| | system components (457 pages). Safety of flight changes and | | |
| | operation and maintenance improvements. Recurring expenses for | | |
| | increased printing (\$250K). | | |
| | 3) Catapults and Arresting Gear: | +1,550 | |
| | a. In-Service Engineering/Fleet Problem Response: | (+1,123) | |
| | Conduct Rapid Cycle Testing of MK 7 MOD 3 arresting gear | | |
| | service life improvements. Additional refurbishment costs for | | |
| | deployed CAI MOD II Systems. | | |
| | b. Aircraft/Ship Compatibility: | (+216) | |
| | Increase of direct engineering support to the fleet in response | | |
| | to operational problems. | | |
| | c. Precision Approach and Landing System Certification: Conduct additional CV/CVN PAI S certification | (+211) | |
| | | | |

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

| +658 (+299) | (+111) | (+248) | +4/8 (+25) | (66+) | (+47) | (+170) (+137) | (-3,136) -22 -1,174 -501 (-279) |
|--|---|--|------------------|--|--|--|---|
| 4) Engineering Services: a. A/C Systems Fleet Support: Increase provides for unplanned Quick Reaction Basic Design Engineering in support of in-service aircraft systems. | b. A/L Ordnance: Provides for additional generation of source data for tactical manuals to reduce backlog from previous year. | c. Follow-on Operational Test and Evaluation: Supports three additional tests. | ≱ ਪੀ ਪ ਰਾਂ | b. FEWSG System Software and Avionics Product Support: Provides for minor increase for software support required for the ALT-40, ALQ-170, FAEWS and the Avionics Product Support for this equipment and .ne ASQ-191/USQ-113. | FEWSG System Product Support: Provides for minor increase for support required for the AST- 4/6 electronic systems. | d. Aircraft Overhaul: Provides for the performance of one major aircraft overhaul. e. FEWSG Contractor Operation and Maintenance: Provides for increase of the ULQ-21 countermeasure support. | 8. Program Decreases in FY 1993 A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 1) Shorebased Landing Aids: Reduces one lighting system. 2) Aviation Mobile Facilities: Reduces configurations by 60 vans. 3) Aircraft Structural Life Surveillance: a. Flight Loads Survey: Reflects loss of a flight loads/usage survey. |

-3,136

0.0019

| b. Structural Testing/Teardov Reflects loss of a wing/fus, inspection and associated a Survival Equipment: Reduces level of effort associat recurring of Basic Design Engn Proposal (ECP) implementation Technical Publications: Recurring Expenses-reduced MAutomated Retrieval System. 6) Catapults and Arresting Gear: a. Aircraft/Ship Compatibility Defer all ship/helicopter dy FEWSG: a. DC-130A contract operation Provides for the complete Mobile Sea Range aircraft. 8) EHCTV: Supports four fewer firings. FY 1993 President's Budget Request |
|---|
|---|

9.

422 (**422**)

8,

-62**4** (-624)

-134

(-222)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

8

Activity Group: Engineering and Support Services (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

-153

Activity Group: Engineering and Support Services (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| III. Performance Criteria | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|---|---------|---------|---------------|----------------|--|
| Arresting Gear | - | | - | 0 | |
| Lighting Systems | e | 6 | 7 | 9 | |
| Landing Systems | 7 | - | 0 | - | |
| Signs and Markers | - | 2 | 2 | 3 | |
| Aviation Mobile Facilities | | | | | |
| Number of Mobile Facilities to be Configured | 220 | 306 | 224 | <u>2</u> | |
| Aircraft Structural Life Surveillance Projects (\$000s) | | | | | |
| Structural Analyses | 1,210 | 1,360 | 1,406 | 1,445 | |
| Fleet Problem Response | 1,660 | 1,369 | 1,422 | 1,475 | |
| Structural Data Recording Set | 250 | 1,904 | 2,242 | 2,333 | |
| Flight Load Surveys | 1,234 | 350 | 808 | 557 | |
| SAFE Program | 3,538 | 3,526 | 4 ,904 | 4,991 | |
| Air Vehicle Engineering | 394 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| Structural Testing/Teardown | 0 | 221 | 222 | 0 | |
| Totals | 8,286 | 8,730 | 11,004 | 10,801 | |
| Ground Support Equipment Engineering Support | | | | | |
| 1. # of Program Planning Documents to be Revised/Issued | 195 | 186 | 165 | 174 | |
| 2. # of Fleet revealed deficiencies to be investigated: | 1,275 | 1,207 | 1,000 | 1,077 | |
| 3. # of Design Changes to be issued: | 1,125 | 1,055 | <u>z</u> | 949 | |
| 4. # of Support Equipment Requirement Data: | 950 | 1,932 | 1,158 | 1,222 | |
| # of Procurement data packages to be revised: | 1,000 | 1,055 | 911 | 362 | |
| 6. # of Pre-award Surveys to be conducted: | 225 | 221 | 176 | 186 | |
| 7. # of Proposals/Bids to be evaluated: | 855 | 572 | 470 | 2 8 | |
| | | | | | |

Survival Equipment

Aviation Life Support Systems has two measures of effectiveness:

- 1) Recurring support functions necessary to accomplish the responsibilities for assigned equipment (numbers indicate amount 754 of correspondence):
 A. Basic Design Engineering

8

623

| | | | | <u>Cost</u> 42 |
|---------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| FY 1993 | dicate 1 2 | | 1 6 1 9 15 20 | FY 1993 <u>Pages</u> 281 |
| FY 1992 | (numbers in 2 4 4 | rity | 1 8 2 10 20 20 | |
| FY 1991 | equipment (| ed on a prio | 20 20 20 20 | ms. FY 1992 \$ 35 |
| FY 1990 | functions necessary to accomplish the responsibilities for assigned equipment (numbers indicate noc): ring 2 2 2 2 Related Design Problems Ps A 2 1 Support: Consisting of ECP implementation. ECPs based on | ECPs are fund | 3 12 3 15 24 27 | Veapon Systems F7 241 |
| | responsibiliti | ues per ECP. | | of-production V FY 1991 Cost 2,674 |
| | eccomplish the | ing dollar vali | | service out-of Pages 18,830 1,838 |
| | functions necessary to according: ing Related Design Problems Support: Consisting of EC | mber, will dictate differ following definitions: I and Flight Safety onal Readiness avings | | e updated for in- FY 1990 Cost \$ 15 3 37 104 241 |
| a (continued) | upport functions ipondence): ngineering lies c Fleet Related I s I ECPs | complexity, and not number, will dictate differing dollar values per ECP. ECPs are funded on a priority basis according to the following definitions: 1. Priority I - Personal and Flight Safety 2. Priority II - Operational Readiness 3. Priority III - Cost Savings | arts y I ompletions y I n process | ual pages to be u FY Pages 310 100 1,181 933 4,306 |
| III. Performance Criteria (continued) | Survival Equipment (continued) 2) Non-recurring support functions necessary to accomplish the responsibilities for assignamount of correspondence): A. Basic Design Engineering 1. Perform Studies 2. Solve Specific Fleet Related Design Problems 3. Prepare Class I ECPs B. Non-GFE Production Support: Consisting of ECP implementation. ECPs based on | basis according to the following. 1. Priority I - Personal and I. 2. Priority II - Operational I. 3. Priority III - Cost Savings | Number ECP Starts Class I Priority I Class II Number ECP Completior Class I Priority I Class II Number ECPs in process Class I Priority I Class I Priority I | Technical Publications Number of Technical manual pages to be updated for in-service out-of-production Weapon Systems. FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1991 |

Activity Group: Engineering and Support Services (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| _ | 3 8 1 | 380 | 1,055 | 422 | | | | | | 717 | 294 | | | 4,218 | | | 157 | 508 | ጽ | 163 | | 295 | 2,249 | | 7,029 |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|---------------|------|-------|---------------|------------|------|-------|-------|------------|------------|----------|-------------|
| FY 1993 | Pages 8.717 | 2,531 | 7,030 | 2,812 | | | | | | 4,780 | 1,969 | | | 28,120 | | | 1,036 | 1,368 | 221 | 1,072 | | 3,697 | 14,056 | | 45,873 |
| | SO 1 | 319 | 885 | 354 | | | | | | 602 | 248 | | | 3,540 | | | \$ | જ | 01 | S | | 171 | 2,000 | | 5,711 |
| FY 1992 | Pages 7.465 | 2,167 | 6,020 | 2,408 | | | | | | 4,094 | 1,686 | | | 24,081 | | | 326 | 430 | 92 | 337 | | 1,163 | 13,599 | | 38,843 |
| 1661 | <u> </u> | i i | | 95 | | | 39 | | | 430 | 320 | | | 4,908 | 9 | 266 | | 54 | | | | 630 | 1,141 | | 6,679 |
| FY 1991 | Pages |) } | | 699 | | | 274 | | | 3,028 | 2,253 | | | 34,561 | 282 | 3,985 | | 172 | | | | 4,439 | 8,035 | , | 47,035 |
| FY 1990 | Cost | | | | \$ | 1,191 | 102 | 51 | 22 | 13 | 114 | 2 | 38 | 1,980 | | | 6 | 226 | | | 526 | 191 | 843 | 220 | 3,804 |
| | Pages | | | | 1,006 | 12,680 | 1,099 | 1,471 | 701 | 314 | 614 | 43 | 1,125 | 25,883 | | | 224 | 465 | | | 5,781 | 6,470 | 10,483 | 3,584 | 46,420 |
| 111. Performance Criteria (continued) | Weapon System /2 | F-14 | F/A-18 | H-1 | H-2 | H-3 | H-46 | H-53 | OV-10 | P-3 | S-3 | T-2 | MISC | Sub-total A/C | F402 | TF30 | TF34 | T-58 | T-76 | T-400 | MISC | Sub-testal | Components | Other | Grand Total |

/2 Weapon Systems identified may not include all type model series.

| FY 1993 | \$1,209 98 622 16 104 21 714 4 | 11,193 4,069 658 211 1,556 1,727 213 1,150 20,777 |
|--|--|--|
| FY 1992 | \$1,008 95 600 115 200 20 690 4 | 9,989 4,049 623 212 1,733 1,440 1,144 19,400 |
| FY 1991 | \$2,115 92 578 14 14 250 20 895 4 | 12,886 4,136 774 260 2,073 2,127 276 1,249 23,781 |
| FY 1990 | 53,168 100 463 16 250 20 867 4 4,888 | 11,650 3,869 740 200 1,885 1,359 393 1,453 21,549 |
| Activity Group: Engineering and Support Services (continued) Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command III. Performance Criteria (continued) Technical Publications (continued) | Recurring expenses related to fleet support: (5000s) Printing Drawing Repository Reproduction/Storage Naval Publications Form Center Maint. Information Automated Retrieval System Local Purchase Automation of Technical Documentation Deputy Chief of Naval Operations Total | In-Service Engine/Fleet Problem Response Fleet Technical Services Weapons Compatibility Electric Power Interface Compatibility Aircraft/Ship Compatibility Precision Approach and Landing System Certification Fire-fighting and Rescue Helicopter Landing System Totals |

III. Performance Criteria (continued)

Encapsulated Harpoon Certification and Training Vehicle

Budget projections are based on the projected number of operations/firings to be supported (see table below).

| No Organicae Ciriae | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|--|-----------|---------|--|
| C. Operations/Fillings | | | |
| Submarine Certifications | 46 | 75 | |
| Training | 77 | | |
| Weanon System Accuracy Training | \$ 7 | 75 | |
| Description Test in the state of the state o | \$ | 33 | |
| recovery ifaining | ∞ | 7 | |
| Total No. of Operations/Firings | 011 | 18 | |
| | | ,,,, | |

Funding which supports this program are utilized at three EHCTV servicing sites and one field activity. Funding provides support for submarine Harpoon Certification, fleet training, and Weapon System accuracy trails, basic engineering, logistics support, training, and support of support equipment and management support. (\$0008)

| FY 1993 | \$ 910 | 1,159 | 1,139 | \$3,208 |
|---------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------|
| FY 1992 | 2 96 7 | 1,179 | 1123 | \$3,266 |
| | EHCIV Operation/Firing /1 | EHCLV Servicing/Turnarounds_/2 | EHCIV Support_/3 | lotal |

- /1 Liaison with Pacific and Atlantic sleets and Hawaii, California, and East Coast support agencies for scheduling, conducting operations support, and providing hardware logistics and fleet assistance.
- /2 Vehicle recovery, transportation, servicing, repair and turnaround including safety, security and quality services.
- /3 Basic design, iogistics support, support of support equipment, data collection, analysis and reporting, training and management

III. Performance Criteria (continued)

Engineering Services

A/C Systems and A/L Ordnance are the two major categories of BDE functions which are performed by 12 Non-Naval Aviation Depot Cognizant Field Activities (CFAs)/Primary Field Activities (PFAs):

| AC Systems | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|----------|--------------|---------|---------------|
| Perform Engineering change related actions f.e., Prepare/Review/Process Engineering Change Proposals, Design Change Notices, Deviations Waivers Beneficial Suggestions, Deficiency Reports. | 565 | 709 | 682 | 685 |
| Incorporate Approved Changes/Updates to Baseline Technical Data Packages; i.e. Drawings, Plans, Specifications, etc. (Total inventory of Approximately 87,500 Data Packages) | 318 | 309 | 308 | 325 |
| Generate Engineering Source Data to Update Material and Process Specifications. | 20 | 83 | 94 | 46 |
| Generate Updated Source Data for Aircraft Tactical Manuals (NWP 55 series). | 6 | 01 | ∞ | œ |
| Respond to Fleet Requests for On-Site Engineering Assistance | 3 | 8 | 43 | 43 |
| Perform Safety Studies/Investigations. | 46 | 84 | 43 | Ş |
| A/L Ordnance | | | } | \$ |
| Generate Updated Source Data for tactical manuals. | 12 | 12 | 10 | 12 |

....255

Activity Group: Engineering and Support Services (continued)
(Claimant: Naval Air Services)

| | E | |
|---|---------------------------|--|
| | Ommo | |
| | Naval Air Systems Command | |
| | Syste | |
| | N N | |
| | Nav | |
| • | | |
| | nant: | |
| • | Jaiman | |

≡

| Persormance Criteria (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|--------------------|--|--|---|
| Engineering Services (continued) | | | | |
| Follow-on Test and Evaluation | | | | |
| Support Conduct of I OT&E (OT-III) by Commander of Operational Test and Evaluation Force_/1 | 16 | 16 | ∞ | = |
| | | | | |
| NKC-135/EC-24A Aircraft | | | | |
| Flight Hours (HRS.) | 006 | 292 | 006 | 006 |
| Fixed Cost Contract Oper & Maint. (5000) | \$7,276 | 27,786 | \$8,828 | 192,03 |
| Engine/A/C Overhauls (\$000) | 440 | 326 | 162 | 342 |
| Operating Costs (\$000) Fuel Air Force Material Support | 1,180 | 1,408 346 | 1,432 | 1,472 907 |
| FEWSG System Software Support ALT-40 (workyears/cost) ALQ-170 (Workyears/Costs) FAEWS (Workyears/Costs) | 3.0/335 6.6/750 | .9/117 1.2/141 1.8/211 | 1.0/135 4.0/478 2.1/245 | 1.1/142 4.2/491 2.2/268 |
| FEWSG Mission Avionics Product Support ALT-40 (workyears/cost) ALQ-170 (workyears/cost) ASQ-191/USQ-113 (workyears/cost) FAEWS (workyears/cost) | 0000 | 3.2/399 1.6/194 1.5/192 1.5/268 | 2.9/245 1.4/231 0.9/115 3.4/430 | 3.1/363 1.6/247 0.95/121 3.6/456 |

_/1 Tests vary in cost according to complexity.

00000

Activity Group: Engineering and Support Services (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

III.

| Performance Criteria (continued) | F/ 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| FEWSG (continued) | | | | |
| FEWSG System Product Support ALQ-167 (Workyears/costs) AST-4/6 (Workyears/costs) Total (\$000) | \$ 8700 <u>3.5/420</u> \$11,401 | 7.5/935 4.1/508 \$12,831 | 6.9/888 4.1/528 \$14,730 | 6.7/873 5.1/671 \$15,644 |
| DC-130A Program | | | | |
| DC-130 Aircraft | | | | |
| Plight Hours | 0 | 267 | 0 | C |
| Contract Operation/maintenance (\$000) | 0 | \$2,434 | 601 | 0 |
| Fuel (\$000) | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 |
| Aircraft Maintenance (5000) | 0 | 255 | 0 | 0 |
| Aviation Depot Repair (\$000) Total (\$000) | 00 | 455 \$3,318 | 00 | 00 |
| Total FEWSG and DC-130A (5000) | \$11,401 | \$16,149 | \$15,331 | \$15,644 |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Activity Group:

Field Operations

Budget Activity: Claimant:

7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Naval Air Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed.

maintenance personnel, and technical documentation programs. This activity group also funds weapons systems engineering and Support-Field program is also provided for personnel salaries, benefits, travel, transportation, administrative and support services. (NAVAIRTECHSERVFAC); 4) Naval Aviation Maintenance Office (NAMO) -- and Operational Support Field (OSF). These funds finance civilian personnel compensation, travel, automatic data processing, and related support costs required for engineering logistics support, secondary supply point functions, common military support functions, and operational support of the Navy and technical support for Naval Air Systems Command and its designated project managers. Funding for the Operational This activity group finances personnel and operating expenses required to develop long-range plans for the effective (NAVAVNDEPOPCEN); 2) Naval Aviation Engineering Services Unit (NAESU); 3) Naval Air Technical Services Facility operation of naval aviation logistics systems, on-site instruction and training of organizational and intermediate level Test Pilot School. Funds are provided at four major field activities -- 1) Naval Aviation Depot Operation Center

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

^{1/} Includes \$848 thousand unfunded fuel requirements in FY 1991 necessary to execute programs.

æ.

| Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | ~ | 000\$ |
|---|--|-------|
| 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | \$257,655 | 655 |
| 2. Pricing Adjustments A. FY 1991 Baseline Fuel Price Increase B. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raise 1) Classified 2) Wage Board C. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board 3) Foreign National Direct Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Stock Fund) 1) Fuel 2) Non-Fuel E. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) Pricing includes savings for Defense Management Review Initative to consolidate Depot Maintenance. These savings are to be achieved as a result of increased competition, downsizing, and workload consolidation. F. Other pricing Adjustments 1) Civilian Personnel Compensation Increase reflects anticipated increased participation in the Federal Employee Retirement System based on current experience. 2) Other Pricing | 9, (1,643) 1,642 1,642 1 (4,144) 4,136 7 7 7 (-803) -839 36 (1,983) (1,983) 633 | 9,472 |
| Program Increases A. One Time FY 1992 Costs One additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 | (516) 516 | 516 |

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued)

\$000

| Progn | Program Decreases | | -16,060 |
|------------------|--|---------------------|---------|
| , U · · · | A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Decrease of 139 workyears (Operational Support field:-105, NAVAVNDEPOPCEN:-10, NAESU:-4, NAVAIRTECHSERVFAC: -11, NAMO: -9) and associated resources for logistics, engineering, and contractual support resulting from reductions to acquisition personnel staffing levels, reduced manpower and training support, Fleet Aviation Maintenance Performance Improvement support, Logistics/Production Engineering support, and administrative support. Decrease includes a \$29K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiative which consolidates ADP. | (-16,060) -6,815 | |
| •• | Decrease Operational Support, Field requirements of the Buy Our Spares Smart (BOSS) program due to institutionalization of the program. Decrease includes a \$61K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiative which consolidates ADP | -3,408 | |
| ••• | 3) Decrease in Test Pilot School organizational and intermediate level aircraft maintenance support, flight demonstration exercises, and class size/number of Naw graduates. | -1,897 | |
| 4 | 4) Decrease in Military Support eliminating civilian personnel support for tenant activities at NAS Norfolk and NAS Alameda. Decrease includes a \$4K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiative which reflects efficiencies due to paperless transactions and a \$13K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiative which consolidates ADP | -1,724 | |
| -/ | 5) Weapons System Support decreases in support of out of production weapons systems and equipment including A-6E and J-52 Engine. Decrease includes a \$35K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiative which reflects efficiencies due to paperless transactions and a \$508K reduction attributed to Defense Management Review Initiative which consolidates ADP. | -2,216 | |

\$251,583

5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request

| Decreases (Continued) | Test Pilot School decrease in organizational and intermediate level aircraft maintenance support, flight demonstration exercises, and | Navy graduates. rease in funding to provide for medical/dental npower management, fiscal and accounting, ng, law enforcement, air operations, supply | and property transfer. Weapons System Support decreases in support of out of production Weapons systems and equipment including A-6E, and J-52 Engine. | Reditect |
|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued) | 2) Test Pilot School decrease in organizational and intermediate level aircraft maintenance support, flight demonstration exercises, and | All Military Support decrease in funding to provide for medical/dental facilities, civilian manpower management, fiscal and accounting, troop housing, nessing, law enforcement, air operations, supply | and property transfer. 4) Weapons System Support decreases in support of out of production weapons systems and equipment including A-6E, and J-52 Engine. | 9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request |

| FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | 57 57 57 | 4,050 3,871 3,677 3,530 | 195 | 4 4 4 | 254 193 73 73 |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| III. Performance Criteria. | Operational Support-Pield Number of Program Management Offices/ programs supported | Number of Engineering Change Proposals Staffed | Number of Test and Evaluation Master Plans (TEMPS) developed | Number of Systems Programs Managed (Life Cycle Mgmt) | Review of Critical Item Breakout Packages (BOSS) |

Operational Support Field Personnel: Provide technical management support services necessary for 194 in-service aircraft and missile weapon systems and programs currently in the development, production or major modification. Wholly manage four families of products (support equipment, propulsion systems, ship installations and aviation life support systems) and direct/manage subsidiary programs related to the life cycle of naval aviation material, i.e. Aviation Depot Level Repairables Program management.

| Military Support | | | | |
|---|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| Naval Avionic Center (Workyears) | | | | |
| Support Provided for Military and Common Services Functions | 7 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Support Provided for Secondary Stock Point Function | 24 | 24 | 25 | 23. |
| nter | • • | i | ì | 1 |
| Number of Inter-Service Tenants provided support | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 |
| Number of Active/Retired MILPERS and Dependents Supported | 8,100 | 8,100 | 8,100 | 8,100 |
| | | | | |
| | 1 276 | 1 207 | 1 113 | 170 |
| | 0,77,7 | 1,607 | 1,113 | 702 |
| | 172 | 171 | 166 | 150 |
| Engineering Investigations | 762 | 743 | 707 | 628 |
| | | | | |

| | The state of the s | diliber of Filors Halines | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Contract Manual Manual Contract Contrac | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Seem Dog Manual Date Contract | The state of the s | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | umber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Filors, Italiaed ther of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic rours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic rious Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | Academic Hours Per Student Number of Pilots Trained Number of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | sdemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ous ret month ret Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ret Montal ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ret Montal Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | tours ref Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Montn Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | was Per Month Per Instructor was Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | Hours Per Month Per Instructor Hours Per Month Per Student Academic Hours Per Student Number of Pilots Trained Number of Non-Pilots Trained |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | umber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Pilots Trained ther of Non-Pilots Trained ther of Instructors | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agenic rours rer Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | icademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | sdemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained nber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | and Fer Montain Fer Student Imper of Pilots Trained Imper of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | outs ret Month ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cours ref. Monut ref. Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | rurs Per Month Per Instructor rurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Filois Trained ber of Non-Pilots Trained ber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agemic rours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | icademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained Jumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student her of Pilots Trained her of Non-Pilots Trained her of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | and Fer Montain Fer Student Imper of Pilots Trained Imper of Instructors | ours ret Monur ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Monul Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | codemic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | ours Fer Monur Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Monun Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained amber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor burs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | rurs Per Month Per Instructor rurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Filois Trained ber of Non-Pilots Trained ber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agemic rours rer Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | icademic Hours Per Student fumber of Pilots Trained fumber of Non-Pilots Trained fumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | sdemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained nber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | and Fer Montain Fer Student Imper of Pilots Trained Imper of Instructors | ours ret Monta ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | outs Fer Monut Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Monur Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained amber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor burs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | rurs Per Month Per Instructor rurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Pilots Trained ber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agemic rours rer Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | icademic Hours Per Student fumber of Pilots Trained fumber of Non-Pilots Trained fumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | sdemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained nber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | and Fer Montal Fer Student Imper of Pilots Trained Imper of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | outs Fer Monut Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Monur Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained amber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per instructor burs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | rurs Per Month Per Instructor rurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | umber of Instructors | iber of Piiots Trained ther of Non-Pilots Trained ther of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agemic rious Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agemic rious Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | icademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | idemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained nber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | and Feet Montain Feet Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained amber of Instructors | ours ret Monul ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ret Monut Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | todis ref. Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Montn Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained amber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | rurs Per Month Per Instructor rurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Pilots Trained iber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agemic rours rer Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | icademic Hours Per Student fumber of Pilots Trained fumber of Non-Pilots Trained fumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | sdemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained nber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | and Fer Montain Fer Student Imper of Pilots Trained Imper of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Monul Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per instructor burs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | rurs Per Month Per Instructor rurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Pilots Trained ber of Non-Pilots Trained ber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agemic rious ref Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agemic rious Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | icademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained Jumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained nber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | and Feet Month Feet Student Amber of Pilots Trained Amber of Instructors | ours ret Montal ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Instructor cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | was Per Month Per Instructor was Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Pilots Trained ther of Non-Pilots Trained ther of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agemic rious Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | icademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained nber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | and Fer Month Fer Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ret Monul ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ref Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained amber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor burs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | rurs Per Month Per Instructor rurs Per Month Per Instructor ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Pilots Trained ther of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agemic rours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | icademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student her of Pilots Trained her of Non-Pilots Trained her of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | and Fer Montain Fer Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Instructors | ours ret Monur ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ret Monul Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | codemic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained lumber of Instructors | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained amber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | rurs Per Month Per Instructor rurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student ruber of Pilots Trained ruber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Pilots Trained ther of Non-Pilots Trained ther of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained ımber of Instructors | ımber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors mber of Instructors | agemic rours rer Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | icademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | sdemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained nber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | and Fer Montain Fer Student Imper of Pilots Trained Imper of Non-Pilots Trained Imper of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Monul Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained amber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | rurs Per Month Per Instructor rurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained Number of Instructors | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | umber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Pilots Trained iber of Non-Pilots Trained iber of Instructors | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mher of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agemic Frouts Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | icademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | sdemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained nber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | and Feet Month Feet Student Imper of Pilots Trained Imper of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ref Month ref Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | rus Per Month Per Instructor rurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Instructor ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | umber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Pilots Trained iber of Non-Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mher of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mher of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agemic Frouts Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | icademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | sdemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | idemic Hours Per Student Trained The of Non-Pilots Trained The of Instructors | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained nber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mher of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | and Feet Mount Feet Student Imper of Pilots Trained Imper of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ref Month ref Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | rurs Per Month Per Instructor rurs Per Month Per Instructor ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Instructor ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | tumber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Non-Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | agemic Frouts Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mher of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | icademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | idemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | idemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mher of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Inemicious | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student tumber of Pilots Trained tumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours rer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained mber of Instructors | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Fer Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors | rurs Per Month Per Instructor rurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Instructor ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Instructors |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Aumber of Non-Pilots Trained | tumber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Pilots Trained ther of Increases | Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic rours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic rours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | imper of Pilots Trained Imper of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | tademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | icademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of International Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Inatrace Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Instructions Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student tumber of Pilots Trained tumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Instructs Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | burs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student sademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | rurs Per Month Per Instructor Jurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | dumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ober of Non-Pilots Trained | Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | agenic rours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic riours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | icademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | sdemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | uns rei Monul Fei Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | wars Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student sademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained | umber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Pilots Italied there of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic rious Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic riours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | and the state of t | ous ret Month ret Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | outs ret Montal Fet Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ret Monut Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Montn Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student sademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | was Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student sademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ous Fer Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | wars Per Month Per Instructor wars Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | umber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Mon-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic rours rer Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic riours per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | icademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | andemic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ous ret Monta ret Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ret Monta ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ret Monus Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | tours ref. Monut ref. Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Monur Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student sademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | was Per Month Per Instructor was Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ider of Phots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic rours rer Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | icademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | sdemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ous ret Monta ret Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ret Montal ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | tours ref. Month ref. Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fel Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | was Per Month Per Instructor was Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | umber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Pijots Trained iber of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic Frouts Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | eademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | eademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | sdemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ous ret monul ret Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ret Montal ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ret Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | tours ref Monut ref Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained amber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | rurs Per Month Per Instructor rurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student ruber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | humber of Non-Pilots Trained | umber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Pijots Trained iber of Non-Pijots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic Frouts Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | icademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | sdemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | and Feet Month Feet Student Imper of Pilots Trained Imper of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ret Montal ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ret Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | tours ref Month ref Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained lumber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours rer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Modent ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained Iumber of Non-Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | rus Per Month Per Instructor rurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained |
| Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Number of Non-Pilots Trained | Jumber of Non-Pilots Trained | umber of Non-Pilots Trained | iber of Pitots Trained iber of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained ımber of Non-Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic riours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | teademic Hours Per Student fumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mher of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | idemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mher of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained Imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | and Fer Montain Fer Student Imper of Pilots Trained | outs ret Month ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours ret Month ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | tours ret Monut Per Student cademic Hours Per Student tumber of Pilots Trained | ours rer Month rer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mher of Non-Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Pilots Trained | was Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student sademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | rurs Per Month Per Instructor See Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained |
| Number of Non-Dilote Trained | Nimber of Non-Dilote Trained | Jumber of Non-Dilote Trained | limber of Non-Dilote Trained | ibet of rijots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Dilots Trained | agenic rious Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Dilots Trained | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Dilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Dilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Dilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Dilote Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Dilote Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Pilote Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Dilote Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student tumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | icademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Dilote Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Dilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Dilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Dilote Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained nber of Non-Dilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mher of Non-Dilote Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Dilote Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non-Dilote Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Dilote Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Dilote Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained umber of Non-Dilote Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | outs ret Month ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours ret Monul Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | tours Fer Month Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours rer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Non-Dilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained maker of Non-Dilote Trained | was Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | burs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Fet Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | hurs Per Month Per Instructor Jurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained |
| dismbar of Mon Dilate Trained | dismitted of Non Dilota Toniana | dimbar of Non Dilota Trained | tumber of Non Diles Trained | ibel of rijots framed | Imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | agenic rious Per Student imber of Pilots Trained imber of Non Pilote Trained | agemic riours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | icademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student cannot point Trained comber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student her of Pilots Trained her of Non Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | outs ret Month Fet Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours ret Month ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | outs Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student cademic Fours Per Student cademic Files Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | was Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | burs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student sademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ours Per Month Per Student addemic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | iours Per Month Per Instructor iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student iumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | was Per Month Per Instructor was Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained |
| $1 \dots 1 \dots 1 \dots 1 \dots 1 \dots 1 \dots 1 \dots 1$ | | | tuniosi of nota industria | The of Pilots Trained | Imber of Pilots Trained | Imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Training | mberner of Pilotas Trainer | agenic rious Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | agemic riours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student tumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | rademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student tumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | wars Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Trained mber of Pilots Trained | was Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | was Per Month Per Instructor was Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained |
| | | | ישווסכן כן נווסרי וומווכח | ibel of rijots Trained | Imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | agemic riours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | indemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student tumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | outs ret Mollal Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours ret Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student tumber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | was Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | wars Per Month Per Instructor wars Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained |
| | | | | iber of Filots Trained | umber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | ademic rours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | outs ret Month Fet Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours ref Monus Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | wars Per Month Per Instructor wars Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained |
| | | | | iber of Filots Trained | umber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | Imber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | agemic rours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | idemic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours ret Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Fee Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | was Per Month Per Instructor was Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained |
| | | | מוויסכו כו נוסדי וומוווכם | idel of ruots framed | umber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | ımber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | agemic riours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | agemic nours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student ımber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | sdemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | idemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | and Fer Montain Fer Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained | outs ret Montal Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours ret month ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student ımber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student ımber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | nurs Per Month Per Instructor nurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained |
| | | | מוווסבו כו נוסבי וומוווכם | ibel of Filors Hained | ımber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | agemic riours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | agemic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | icademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | idemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | and Free Montainers Student Imper of Pilots Trained | outs ret Month ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours ret month ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | tours ref Month ref Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Fer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student ımber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | nurs Per Month Per Instructor nurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained |
| | | | millori of Filors Hallied | iber of Filots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | agemic riours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | icademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | idemic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | outs ret Month ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours ret month ret Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | tours ret Monut ret Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours rer month rer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Fee Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor lours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student Cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | rus Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained |
| | | | militar of Filors Hallied | ibel of Filors Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | agemic rious Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | agemic riours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student Jumber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | demic Hours Per Student nber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ous ret month ret Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained | outs ret Moitul Fet Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours ret Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | tours ret Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student lumber of Pilots Trained | ours rer month rer Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Montn Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student unber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student ımber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student mber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student imber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Fer Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student :ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | lours Per Month Per Instructor Iours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student Iumber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained | rurs Per Month Per Instructor Lurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student amber of Pilots Trained |
| Der of Pilots Trained | | | | | | | | | | | iciliic I louis rei Studelli | lemic riours rer student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | emic Hours Per Student | emic Hours Per Student | emic Hours Per Student | is Per Monul Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | s Fel Month Per Student remic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student |
| ber of Pilots Trained | Der of Piots Trained | | | | | ! | ! | ! | | | יבווויר ווחתוש בכן סומתבווו | Jemic Frouts Per Student | Jemic Flours Fer Student | demic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | lemic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demit Hours Per Student | ls rei Montal rei Student Jemic Hours Per Student | ts ret Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | ts ret Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | ts Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Fer Month Fer Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student Jemie Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student Jemie Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student Jemie Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Student temic Hours Per Student | rs Fer Month Per Student temic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student demic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student Jemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student | rs Per Month Per Instructor rs Per Month Per Student lemic Hours Per Student |
| mber of Pilots Trained | Ther of Pilots Trained | Ther of Diote Trained | | | | ! | | | | | יתפווויר זוסמי בו סומתפווו | demic froms Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | idemic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | idemic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | idemic Hours Per Student | idemic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | urs Per Monin Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student demic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student demic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student demic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student idemic Hours Per Student | ars Fer Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | us Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per instructor urs Per Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student Idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student demic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student demic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student demic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student demic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student idemic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student idemic Hours Per Student |
| mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mher of Dilote Trained | The of Dilet Their L | | | | | | | | adentic from a ref oldering | ageniic riouis Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | sdemic Hours Per Student | sdemic Hours Per Student | sdemic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | idemic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | uts rei Montal rei Student ademic Hours Per Student | uts Pet Monut Pet Student Ademic Hours Per Student | uts Pet Monut Pet Student Ademic Hours Per Student | uts Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Monun Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student demic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student |
| mber of Pilots Trained | mber of Pilots Trained | mhar of Dilote Trained | Then of Dilete Theirs | | | | | | | | accinic mouts rei student | adeniic riouis Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | uts ret monta ret Student ademic Hours Per Student | uts ret monta ret Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | us ret Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Instructor urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student |
| imber of Pilots Trained | imber of Pilots Trained | imher of Dilote Trained | in her of Dilete Therine 1 | | | | | | | | ACCITIC FOULS FOI SIGNETH | ademic riours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ous ret montal ret student ademic Hours Per Student | ous ret monus Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ous ret monus Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ous Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | vurs Per Month Per Instructor vurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | vurs Per Month Per Instructor vurs Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | nurs Per Month Per Instructor Lors Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student ademic Hours Per Student |
| ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student Imber of Pilots Trained | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Shident | ademic Hours Der Chident | domin Union Des Children | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | מוז זי כן נומות זי כן מומכוון | מוז ז כן זווסוותו ל כן סומתכווו | oris rei Molitii rei Studelli | ouis rei Molliul rei Student | ours ret monun ret student | ours ret monun ret student | ours Per Monun Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ous Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | hurs Per Month Per Instructor Aus Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student |
| cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student umber of Pilots Trained | cademic Hours Per Student | cademic Hours Per Student | demic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Per Student | ademic Hours Der Student | ademic Hours Der Chident | demin Louis Dan Children | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | מו זיינו ויינוים זיינו מוממכיוו | מווא ז כן ואוסווייו ז כן סומתכווו | onis rei Molliul rei Stadelli | onis rei Monul rei Student | ours rei Monui rei Student | ours Per Monul Per Student | ours Per Monus Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student | urs Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student | hurs Per Month Per Instructor hurs Per Month Per Student | ours Per Month Per Instructor ours Per Month Per Student |

NOTE: The FY 1991 performance criteria for Test Pilot School reflects the impact of fuel price increases on flight hours without benefit of a supplemental appropriation.

| Naval Aviation Depot Operation Center (Workweats) | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | 59 | 28 | 48 | 48 |
| Financial Management | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| Staff/Admin/JAGMG | 58 | 53 | 53 | 52 |
| Management Support of Depot | 83 | 82 | 82 | 82 |
| Commercial Support | 20 | 49 | 49 | 39 |
| Information Resource Management | 17 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Total | 280 | 268 | 258 | 247 |
| Naval Aviation Engineering Service Unit (Workyears) Mission of Aircraft: | | | | |
| Attack | 9 | 7 | 1 | _ |
| Fighter | 5 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Patrol | 13 | 11 | 10 | 10 |
| Electronic Warfare | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Rotary Wing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Anti-Submarine | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| III. <u>Performance Criteria</u> (Continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Naval Aviation Engineering Service Unit (Workyears) Mission of Aircraft (continued): Admin Common Aviation Support Programs Other A/C Total | : 122 3 155 | 117 3 148 | 116 3 141 | 110 3 5 138 |
| Naval Air Technical Services Facility Number of Technical Manuals Managed Number of Technical Directives Reproduced Number of Aeronautical Engineering Drawings Maintained Number of Microfilm Frames Issued Final Drawing Reviews Summary Data Reviews Program Requirement Reviews | 34,000 2,600 12,000 17,500 750 55 | 36,000 2,600 12,500 17,000 27 252 | 38,000 2,600 13,000 16,000 31 301 | 39,000 2,600 13,500 15,000 33 309 |
| Consultation and Oversight Reviews Naval Aviation Maintenance Office (Workyears) Aviation Maintenance Performance Improvement NAMP (Naval Aviation Maintenance Plan) ACC (Aircraft Controlling Custodian) Modification Support Logistics Engineering Support Manpower/Training Support Information Systems Support Command Internal Operations Support Total | 120 30 24 24 174 174 | 4 8 8 19 20 20 4 4 4 7 4 7 4 163 | 50 4 4 4 17 17 18 5 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 | 49 40 110 149 149 |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

IV. Personnel Summary.

| | End Strength (E/S) | A. <u>Military</u> Officer Enlisted | B. <u>Civilian</u> USDH FNDH |
|---------|--------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| FY 1990 | | 453 285 168 | 2,762 2,761 1 |
| FY 1991 | | 467 276 191 | 2,569 2,568 1 |
| FY 1992 | | 459 269 190 | 2,419 2,418 1 |
| FY 1993 | | 45 <u>7</u> 270 187 | 2,347 2,346 1 |

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Activity Group: Budget Activity; Claimant:

Industrial Preparedness

Z - Central Supply and Maintenance
Naval Air Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed.

The Industrial Readiness program provides Naval Air Systems Command (NAVAIR) the capability to develop formal plans with defense suppliers' capabilities to meet a national emergency. The program also provides information used to produce production specific level of production sufficient to meet emergency requirements. This provides the Navy a means with which to measure The program funding also provides for stand-by maintenance of production plants and lines as well as the packing, crating and handling of special tooling and special test equipment being moved to moblization storage facilities. Additionally, NAVAIR was development of industrial preparedness measures to increase production capacity and insure utilization of improved manpower capability responses to Congress, the Joint Logistics Commanders, DoD, and CNO, and to respond to Command Post exercises. formerly the lead systems command for the development, implementation and maintenance of an operational capability for a Navy-wide automated data base for industrial preparedness. This computer system is the the sole data base within the Navy Secretary of Defense for all Services, and directed by the Chief of Naval Operations (CNO), which provides an assessment of the responsiveness of private industry to produce critical weapons systems to meet the Navy's requirements in the event of specifically designed to provide the Navy the capability to analyze industrial preparedness information relative to Industry's industry for emergency production of weapon systems. It involves planning, with the manufacturers of critical items, for a mobilization or loss of contractor capability due to fire, flood, strike or other national emergency. Also, it provides for the and critical materials. The primary report of this program is the annual Production Base Analysis (PBA), mandated by the capability to support Navy's peacetime, surge and mobilization requirements.

ll. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| Readiness | FY 1990 Actual 286 | Budget Request | Appro- | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 Request | |
|------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| | | *** | 725 | 읡 | ঙ্গা | 337 | |
| eparedness | 286 | 392 | 392 | 300 | 304 | 337 | |

800000

| <u>000</u> \$ | • | 300 | (15) | 16 | (-16) | \$304 | 9 | (5) | 27 (27) | 337 |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|-------|---|----------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | 2. Pricing Adjustments | A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) B. Other Pricing Adjustments | 3. Program Decreases A. Decrease in Production Base Applicate on a second of the seco | 4 FV 1002 Desidence military in the property of the property o | | 5. Pricing Adjustments A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) B. Other Pricing Adjuster. | 6. Program Increases | A. Increase in Production Base Analysis (PBA) support. | 7. FY 1993 President's Budget Request |

Activity Group: Industrial Preparedness (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

Activity Group: Industrial Preparedness (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

Ξ

| FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | |
| FY 1990 | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Performance Criteria. | Types of Effort: (# of Units) |

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME

226 2

221 2

220 2

213 2

Industrial Preparedness Planning Surge Planning

IV. Personnel Summary.

Not applicable.

OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE, NAVY DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

Budget Activity: Activity Group:

Claimant:

Contractor Technical and Maintenance Support

7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Naval Air Systems Command

Contractor Maintenance Services (CMS) I. Description of Operations Financed.

Contractor Maintenance Services (CMS) provides contractor personnel who perform maintenance, inventory and material management and supply support functions during the interim support period through the Navy Support Date (NSD).

The E-6A aircraft was introduced into the CMS program in FY 1990.

components, manage bond rooms, lay-in initial spares, re-order when required, and generally maximize the availability of RFI These contractor personnel do field and forward area repair, expedite the tumaround of Non-RFI (Ready-for-Issue) components. This, in turn, maintains these aircraft in a higher state of readiness that would otherwise be possible.

scarce components. These field level repairs also reduce the need and expense of returning these components to a commercial These contractor repairs provide immediate readiness to the fleet by reducing down time and eliminating in-transit time for Contractors provide hands-on maintenance at field level activities prior to the establishment of Navy organic capability. depot level activity.

CMS for peculiar and common avionic equipment/hardware provides for on-site personnel to perform maintenance, bondroom management, configuration and inventory control, and reporting functions.

Activity G_{LC1} Claimant:

Contractor Technical and Maintenance Support (continued). Naval Air Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | FY 1993 Request | 33,413 | 33,413 |
|---------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | FY 1992 Request | 38,914 | 38,914 |
| | Current Estimate | 38,758 | 38,758 |
| FY 1991 | Appro- priation | 39,157 | 39,157 |
| | Budget Request | 27,665 | 52,665 |
| | FY 1990 <u>Actual</u> | 47,742 | 47,742 |
| | | Cntr. Maintenance Service | Total, Cont. Tech & Maint Support |

Activity Group: Claimant:

Contractor Technical and Maintenance Support (continued). Naval Air Systems Command

| ю | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | (\$000) |
|---|---|--------------------|---------|
| | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | | 38 758 |
| | Pricing Adjustments A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) B. Other Pricing Adjustments | (123) | 1,574 |
| | Program Increases A Other Program Growth in FY 1992 CH-53E Night Vision Attack; AV-8B Night Attack; F-14 Electronic Repair Support Activity for new Avionics System (APG 71); and OV-10 Service Life Extension Program. | (3,836) 3,492 | 3,836 |
| | 2) New Avionics and Special Projects. | 344 | |
| | 4. Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Funding decrease in the E-6A, MH-53E, C-2, F/A-18, EA-6B, P-3C, AH-1W, S-3B, ES-3A, SH-60F, A-6. Decrease reflects a 26K reduction attributed to the Defense Management Review Initiative which reflects efficiencies due to paperless transactions. 2) Reduction of Support Equipment | (-5,254) -5,048 | -5,254 |
| | 5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | } i | 38 914 |
| | 6. Pricing Adjustments A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) R. Other Pricing Adjustments | (12) (1,354) | 1,366 |

| | (8000) | 302 (302) 299 3 | -7,169) -6,965 -204 | \$33,413 |
|--|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Activity Group: Contractor Technical and Maintenance Support (continued). Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 MH-53E, CH-53E Night Vision; Increase in Support Equipment. | 8. Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Funding Decrease in the E-6A, C-2A, F/A-18, F-14, EA-6B, AH-1W, ES-3A, P-3C, AV-8B, OV-10, SH-60F, A-6 2) Reduction in Special Projects and Avionics | 9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request |

E Torre

| Activity Group: | Contractor Technical and Maintenance Support (continued), |
|-----------------|---|
| Claimant: | Naval Air Systems Command |

| III. <u>Performance Criteria.</u> | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|-----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| WEAPON SYSTEM | (\$000) | (2000) | (2000) | (2000) |
| MH-53E | 420 | 300 | 0 | 280 |
| CH-53E HINVS | 0 | 0 | 300 | 320 |
| C-2A | 1,615 | 1,500 | 200 | 0 |
| F/A-18 | 2,777 | 1,502 | 006 | 450 |
| P-14 | 3,957 | 2,200 | 5,000 | 4,285 |
| EA-6B | 2,558 | 1,500 | 700 | 700 |
| P-3C | 913 | 006 | 360 | 370 |
| AV-8B | 1,652 | 1,100 | 1,400 | 1,400 |
| AH-1W | 208 | 301 | 311 | 250 |
| S-3B | 125 | 265 | 0 | 0 |
| ES-3A | 0 | 285 | 289 | 223 |
| OV-10 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 220 |
| H-46 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SH-60P | 275 | 096 | 420 | 0 |
| E-6A | 28,640 | 24,214 | 24,516 | 21,050 |
| A-6E | 1,117 | 200 | 440 | 440 |
| SPEC PROJ | 0 | 0 | 325 | 325 |
| AVIONICS | 1,735 | 1,551 | 1,693 | 1,500 |
| SUPPORT EQUIPMENT | 1,682 | 1,680 | 1,540 | 1,600 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 47,742 | 38,758 | 38,914 | 33,413 |

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME

IV. Personnel Summary.

Not applicable.

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Activity Group: Budget Activity:

Claimant:

Antisubmarine Warfare Support

Z - Central Supply and Maintenance

Naval Air Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed.

This activity group finances expenses required to provide sonobuoy acceptance test support, to maintain the Air Common Acoustic Processor (ACAP) common software and hardware configuration control, and to provide for the procurement and updating of the test systems and related equipment required during the pre-production testing of sonobuoys. Detailed

L. Sonobuoy Support

performance and reliability levels and to provide on-going operational support as required. To this end, a comprehensive quality is conducted during pre-production, production and acceptance phases and supports a procurement program which is over \$100 assurance and reliability program consisting of both laboratory and open ocean testing has been established. This test program The primary objectives of this program are to provide the operational Navy with sonobuoys that confirm to specified million annually. The quantity of sonobuoys being procured annually is approximately 100,000 from three different manufacturers which produce five different types of buoys uniquely designed to Navy performance specifications.

B. Software Maintenance.

ASW Software for the AN/UYS-1 ASP is the Air Common Acoustic Processing (ACAP) used by the P-3 Update III and S-3B aircraft. This software provides the Air ASW Fleet with the acoustic processing capabilities to meet the projected threat. This software requires maintenance to resolve deficiencies, incorporate interface changes, etc. At the same time, funding is also required to support the review, analysis, and evaluation of proposed changes to ACAP.

ACAP operational software: including ASP hardware, associated computer support, integration and display hardware, simulation This program also provides a dedicated software development laboratory for the implementation and maintenance of software, and system software. This laboratory is located at the Naval Air Development Center (NADC), Warminster, PA.

5112

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | 2 FY 1993 St Request | 11 1,965 | |
|---------|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| | FY 1992 Request | 481 | |
| | Appro- Current Priation Estimate | 2,249 | 2,249 |
| FY 1991 | Appro- | 2,256 | 2,256 |
| | Budget Request | 2,438 | 2,438 |
| , E | Actual | 1.397 | 1,397 |
| | Airborne | Hodding West | Iotal, ASW Support |

Lybuco

| 000 | \$2,249 | 147 | -1,915 | | \$481 | 11 | 1,473 | |
|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|---------|--|
| | | (137) (10) | (-1,915) | -929 -986 | | (10) | (1,473) | 731 |
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | 2. Pricing AdjustmentsA. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund)B. Other Pricing Adjustments | 3. Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Sonobuoy - Reduces the level of effort in total Sonobuoy Support commensurate with the reduction of P3 squadrons. Also, Technical assistance for Training Manuals for ILS for two new sensors entered into fleet inventory will he deland assistance. | 2) Software - Due to the reduction in Sonobouy Support, ACAP Software Support will be delayed and will necessitate a decrease in computer time usage. Decrease reflects a 2K reduction attributed to the Defense Management Review Initiative which reflects efficiencies due to paperless transactions. | 4. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | 5. Pricing Adjustments A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) B. Other Pricing Adjustments | or two | from existing bouys and will require a series of upgrades to test instrumentation, training manuals, and software. |

2) Software - Increase in computer time usage at Naval Aviation Depot Center and ACAP Maintenance/Support at Naval Air Development Center.

7. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$1,965

742

645765

| FY 1993 | 851 | 264 1,115 | 750 100 850 |
|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|
| FY 1992 | 109 | 373 | 3 왕 왕 |
| FY 1991 | 952 | 265 1,217 | 877 155 1,032 |
| FY 1990 | 511 | 244 755 | 512 130 642 |
| III. Performance Criteria. | Sonobuoy Support Production Quality Assurance Testing Support (Includes Fuel NAS Brunswick, Leases, A/C spares) | Contractor Support Total | Software Support ACAP Support Computer Time |

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT 1141S TIME

Personnel Summary. Not applicable ≥.

033300

OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE, NAVY DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

Activity Group: Budget Activity: Claimant:

Military Construction

7 - Central Supply and Maintenance
Naval Air Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

This program provides for the procurement of collateral equipment that is required to initially outfit new military construction at naval shore activities. Beginning in FY 1991, budgeting and funding responsibility for collateral equipment was transfered from the Naval Facilities Engineering Command to the benefitting major budget claimant.

Activity Group: Military Construction Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| FY 1993 Request | ह्य |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| FY 1992 Request | 389 |
| Current Estimate | 1,818 |
| FY 1991 Appro- priation | 3,030 3,030 |
| Budget Request | 3,030 |
| FY 1990 Actual | 90 |
| | Collat eral Equip Total |

230000

| 000 \$ | 1.818 | 22 (22) | (-1,451) | Ode | 34 | .219 (-219) | 204 |
|---|-----------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| B. Reconciliation of Increases & Decreases. | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | Pricing Adjustments A. Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Stock Fund) | Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 MILCON projects scheduled for completion will only be partially outfitted for operational use. Collateral equipment for at least 3 new facilities will be unavailable in FY 1992. | 4. FY 1992 PRESIDENT'S Budget Request | 5. Pricing Adjustments A. Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Stock Fund) | Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 MiLCON projects scheduled for completion will only be partially outfitted for operational use. | 7. FY 1993 PRESIDENT'S Budget Request |

Activity Group: Military Construction Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

Activity Group: Military Construction Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria.

Collateral Equipment (\$000)

Number of Facilities to be Outfitted

FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 389 1,818

8

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME

Personnel Summary. ≥.

Not Applicable

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Activity Group: Budget Activity: Claimant:

Claims & Other Court Directed Activities

7 - Central Supply and Maintenance

Naval Air Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed.

NAVAIR civilian employees who sustain job-related illness or injuries. Under Department of Labor billing procedures, the actual payment by Navy to Labor is made two years after the period in which the costs were incurred. The FY 1991 request reflects actual costs for compensation and benefits incurred from 1 July 1988 through 30 June 1989. Injury Compensation reimburses the Department of Labor for compensation and medical benefits paid to O&M,N funded,

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | i | | FY 1991 | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------|
| | Actual | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 |
| Injury Compensation (FECA) | 01 | 2.079 | 2,079 | 2,079 | 2.116 | 2 145 |
| Total, Claim & Other Court Activities | 0 | 2,079 | 2,079 | 2,079 | 2,116 | 2,145 |

LD LG CG CG

| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | 000\$ |
|--|------------------|
| 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | \$2,079 |
| 2. Pricing Adjustments | 0 |
| Program Increases A. Other Program Increases in FY 1992 Increase in payments of injury compensation claims | 37) 37 |
| 4. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | \$2,116 |
| 5. Pricing Adjustments | |
| 6. Program Increases A. Other Program Increases in FY 1993 1) Increase in payments of injury compensation claims | 29 (29) 29 |
| 7. FY 1993 President's Budget Request | \$2,145 |

Activity Group: Claims & Other Court Directed Activities (Continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

Activity Group: Claims & Other Court Directed Activities (Continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria.

Number of Claims Filed

FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992

FY 1993

165 172

0

175

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME

IV. Personnel Summary: Not Applicable

257

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Activity Group: Budget Activity: Claimant:

Environmental Protection

7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Naval Air Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

The following programs are included in this activity group:

This includes determination of the chemical and physical nature of waste; permits, supplies, testing and inspection, packaging, transportation, landfill disposal and surface impoundment of hazardous waste. It also includes the training of personnel that o Hazardous Waste - This program provides for hazardous waste disposal and other non-disposal hazardous operations. handle/contain hazardous waste or provide facility control technology to eliminate discharge of hazardous wastes and other facilities for storage, treatment, or disposal of hazardous waste. In addition it includes equipment purchases/alteration and facility repairs or alteration needed to develop and modify processes and facilities to eliminate uses of hazardous materials, handle hazardous waste, development of contingency plans and hazardous waste management plans, and the operation of pollutants.

environmental engineering management, permits, fees, fines, litigation, engineering studies (including NEPA documentation), and minor alterations to facilities and equipment, required for environmental compliance not centrally funded. It does not o Shore Environmental Protection - Environmental costs previously funded in Base Operations Support, to include include routine costs associated with utility operations and maintenance, such as sewage or water treatment plants.

Activity Group: Environmental Protection (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

1. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | FY 1991 | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | FY 1990 Actual | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 Request |
| Hazardous Waste Shore Environmental Protection | 498 0 | 555 0 | 555 0 | 508 150 | 2108 | 2212 |
| Total Environmental Protection | 498 | 555 | 555 | 658 | 2267 | 2389 |

5.525

Activity Group: Environmental Protection (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| 6 | | | |
|------------|--|--------------------------|--------|
| 킭 | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | 2000 |
| ~ i | . FY 1991 Current Estimate | | \$658 |
| 7 | Pricing Adjustments A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) | | 29 |
| က် | Functional Program Transfer A. Transfer In 1) Inter-Appropriation a. Transfer from Defense Logistics Agency to Hazardous Waste(47FT) | (1660) 1660 (1660) | 1660 |
| 4. | Program Increases A. Other Program Increases in FY 1992 1) Shore Environmental Protection - for operating permits and fees for compliance with regulatory agencies | 7 G | N |
| . بې | Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Hazardous Waste - decrease in disposal of Hazardous Waste Material | (-82) -82 | -82 |
| Ġ. | FY 1992 President's Budget | | \$2267 |
| 7. | Pricing Adjustments A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) | | 129 |
| ထ | Program Increases A. Other Program Increases in FY 1993 1) Shore Environmental Protection - for operating permits and fees for compliance with regulatory agencies | 6) 6 | Φ. |
| ٥. | Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) Decrease in disposal of Hazardous Waste material | (-16) -16 | -16 |
| 10. | 10. FY 1993 President's Budget | | \$2389 |

Activity Group: Environmental Protection (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

177 0 2 FY 1993 2212 336.1 FY 1992 2108 332.4 159 0 2 508 357.4 FY 1991 FY 1990 498 371.3 000 Shore Environmental Protection (\$000) Number of projects to be funded Civilian E/S Hazardous Waste (\$000) Hazardous Waste Disposal (KLBs) Number of projects to be funded Performance Criteria, Ħ.

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME

IV. Personnel Summary.

Not applicable.

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Activity Group:

Budget Activity:

7 - Central

Base Operations

7 - Central Supply & Maintenance

Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed.

base services including personnel support equipment and physical security at Naval Air Systems Command (NAVAIR) field activities under each respective host-tenant agreement. These field activities are Pacific Missile Test Center (PMTC), Point Mugu, CA; Naval Base Operations funds provide for util operations, other engineering support, morale, welfare and recreation support, other Air Test Center (NATC), Patuxent River, MD; Pacific Missile Range Facility, (PMRF) Barking Sands, HI; Naval Air Engineering Center Patuxent River, MD; Naval Avionics Engineering Service Unit (NAESU) Philadelphia, PA; and Naval Air Technical Services Facility (NATSF), Philadelphia, PA. The funds provided these activities are necessary for them to remain operative and carry out their (NAEC), Lakehurst, NJ; Naval Aviation Maintenance Office (NAMO), Patuxent River, MD; Naval Depot Operations Center (NADOC), assigned missions.

Base Communications funds support Communications Systems, telephone equipment and services, switchboard support, message center support, and telegraphic message capability for the Naval Air Systems Command, Headquarters segment and all NAVAIR O&M,N funded field activities. Base Communications also supports the Defense Data Network (DDN), a mandatory Data Communications Network. The Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering (USD R&E) has designated DDN on the Telecommunications Medium to provide all DoD subscriber systems with long-haul connectivity, interconnectivity and capability for inter-operability.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | ĺ | FY 1991 | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | FY 1990 Actual | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 Request |
| Other Base Services | 21,050 | 20,333 | 20,333 | 24,412 | 19,757 | 20,452 |
| Morale, Welfare & Rec. | 3,488 | 3,909 | 3,909 | 4,701 | 2,431 | 1,774 |
| Physical Security | 134 | 120 | 117 | 117 | 121 | 121 |
| Utility Operations | 7,490 | 7,762 | 7,762 | 7,875 | 7,836 | 7,925 |
| Other Engineering Support | 4,920 | 5,705 | 5,705 | 5,705 | 5,173 | 4,884 |
| Base Communications | 5,349 | 5,418 | 4.843 | 6,595 | 5,447 | 5,504 |
| Total Base Operations | 42,431 | 43,247 | 42,669 | 49,405 | 40,765 | 40,660 |

| . | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | 2000 |
|----------|--|---|------------------|
| | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | | 49,405 |
| | 2. Pricing AdjustmentsA. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates(Industrial Fund)B. Other Pricing Adjustments | (373) | 2,271 (1,898) |
| | Functional Program Transfers A Transfers Out Intra-Appropriation Intra-Appropriation Transfer reflects the realignment of funding for child development activities and family centers into Budget Activity 8 Inter-Appropriation In accordance with Defense Management Review Initiatives, funding for commissary operations is transferred to the Defense Commissary Agency Program Decreases in FY 1992 Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 Other Base Services - reduction of services at PMRF WWR - reduction at PMTC, NATC, PMRF and NAEC MWR - reduction of utility support at NAEC, NATC, PMRF Utilities - reduction of utility support at NAEC, NATC, PMRF Other Engineering Support - reduction associated with Defense Management Beview Initiative for Base Engineering Functions Base Communications - decreased suuport in Communication Systems, satellite communications and Telecommunications for Headquarters | (-3,354) -2,346 (-2,346) -1,008 (-1,008) (-7,557) -5011 -162 -1 -173 -697 | -3,354 |
| | 5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | 40,765 |

| \$ 000 | 1,988 | -2,093 | 40,660 |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| | (247) | (-2,093) -408 -759 -4 -242 -523 | |
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | 6. Pricing Adjustments A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) B. Other Pricing Adjustments | Program Decreases Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 Other Base Services - reduction for NATC, PMTC, NAEC AND PMRP MWR - reduction at PMTC, PMRP, NATC and NAEC Physical Security - reduction of 1 security guard at PMRP Utilities - reduction at NATC, PMTC AND PMRF Other Engineering Support - services reduced at NATC, PMTC, NAEC and PMRP Base Communications - decreased support in Communication Systems, Satellite communications and Telecommunications for Headquarters | 8. FY 1993 President's Budget Request |

III. Performance Criteria.

| A) Child Care and Child Development Programs (\$000s) A) Child Care and Child Development Programs (\$000s) Military E/S Civilian E/S Population Served, Total (Miliary E/S) B) Morale, Welfare and Recreation (\$000) Recreation (\$000) Civilian E/S Military E/S Civilian E/S Military E/S Civilian E/S Military E/S Civilian E/S Foral Personnel E/S Foral Personnel E/S Civilian E/S Foral Personnel E/S Civilian E/S Foral Personnel E/S Foral Personnel E/S Civilian E/S Foral Personnel E/S | 1,817 0 40 40 40 31,788 16,788 | \$40,765 | \$40,660 | |
|---|--|----------|----------|--|
| d (\$5000s) 850 0 0 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 | 1,817 0 40 40 31,788 | | | |
| el E/S 25 25 25 26, Total 31,711 16,711 15,000 el E/S 66 d, Total 31,711 15,000 | 0 40 40 31,788 16,788 | | | |
| 25 ed, Total 31,711 16,711 15,000 ed E/S ed E/S 66 ed G/ Total 16,711 15,000 | 40 40 31,788 16,788 | | | |
| ed E/S 25 ed, Total 31,711 16,711 15,000 2,638 0 0 66 ed E/S 66 d, Total 31,711 15,000 | 40 31,788 16,788 | | | |
| ed, Total 31,711 16,711 15,000 2,638 0 66 el E/S 64, Total 31,711 15,000 | 31,788 16,788 | | | |
| 16,711 15,000 2,638 0 66 d, Total 31,711 16,711 15,000 | 16,788 | | | |
| 15,000 2,638 0 66 d, Total 31,711 15,000 | | | | |
| 2,638 0 0 66 d, Total 31,711 3 16,711 1 | 15,000 | | | |
| 2,638 0 0 66 ed, Total 31,711 3) 16,711 1 | | | | |
| 0 66 66 31,711 3 16,711 1 | 2.884 | 2.431 | 1 774 | |
| 66 66 31,711 16,711 15,000 | 0 | 0 | C | |
| 66 31,711 16,711 15,000 | 35 | 105 | 106 | |
| 31,711 16,711 15,000 | 35 | 105 | 106 | |
| 16,711 15,000 | 31,788 | 31,788 | 31,788 | |
| 15,000 | 16,788 | 16,788 | 16,788 | |
| | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | |
| ices (\$000) 21,050 | 24,412 | 19.757 | 20.452 | |
| 313 | 308 | 313 | 313 | |
| Civilian E/S 345 | 389 | 389 | 389 | |
| | 269 | 702 | 702 | |
| Number of Motor Vehicles, Total 1,226 | 1,221 | 1,223 | 1.225 | |
| 1,169 | 1,163 | 1,163 | 1,163 | |
| 57 | 28 | 8 | 8 | |
| Number of Miles Driven 3,783 | 3,951 | 3,700 | 3,700 | |

SS: ()

| ≓ | Pe | Performance Criteria (continued). | 7 | 200 | 200 | 2 |
|----------|----------|--------------------------------------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|
| | Ħ | Title | , | <u>r</u> 1991 | 77.19% | FY 1993 |
| | <u>a</u> | D) Other Engineering Support (\$000) | 4,920 | 5,705 | 5,173 | 4,884 |
| | | Military Personnel E/S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Civilian Personnel E/S | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| | | Total Personnel E/S | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| | | Pire Protection/Prevention, | | | | |
| | | Rescue E/S | 151 | 159 | 159 | 159 |
| | | Custodial Services (000 sq. ft.) | 1,037 | 1,080 | 1,021 | 1,072 |
| | | Refuse Collect. | | | | • |
| | | & Disposal (000 cu.yds.) | 108 | 115 | 107 | 100 |
| | <u> </u> | Operations of Utilities (\$000) | 7,490 | 7.875 | 7,836 | 7.925 |
| | | Military Personnel E/S | 0 | • | 0 | 0 |
| | | Civilian Personnel E/S | 29 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| | | Total Personnel E/S | 29 | 48 | 48 | 48 |
| | | Electricity (MWH) | 4,820 | 4,860 | 4,922 | 4,897 |
| | | Heating (MBTU) | 181,571 | 185,975 | 157,409 | 137,143 |
| | | Water, Plants & Systems (000 gals) | 179,168 | 175,098 | 172,518 | 168,518 |
| | | Sewage & Waste Systems (000 gals) | 70,951 | 65,604 | 65,604 | 65,604 |
| | | Air Conditioning and Refrig. (Ton) | 1,040 | 1,040 | 1,040 | 1,000 |
| | Œ | F) Physical Security (\$000) | 134 | 117 | 121 | 121 |
| | | Guards, E/S | က | - | က | က |
| | ල | Base Communications (\$000) | 5,349 | 6,595 | 5,447 | 5,504 |
| | | Number of Instruments | 4,955 | 4,755 | 4,755 | 4,755 |
| | | Number of Mainlines | 3,198 | 2,698 | 2,810 | 2,810 |
| | | Daily Average Message Traffic | 2,400 | 2,500 | 2,700 | 2,900 |
| | | | | | | |

AUDIT SAVINGS INCORPORATED IN CURRENT BUDGET CONTROLS

IV. Personnel Summary.

Not applicable.

£96. . .

OPERATIONS & MAINTENANCE, NAVY DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

Budget Activity: Activity Group:

Claimant:

Maintenance of Real Property

Z - Central Supply and Maintenance Naval Air Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed

Maintenance of Real Property (MRP) funds provide for facilities maintenance, repair and minor construction at Naval Air Systems Command (NAVAIR) field activities.

- A) Maintenance and Repair of Real Property (MRRP) provides for maintenance and repair of existing facilities at NAVAIR activities
- B) Minor Construction does finance the following two areas:
- 1) Minor Construction (alterations/modifications/additions) of real property inventory at NAVAIR field activities and construction costs generated by NAVAIR activities which are tenants.
- 2) Minor construction costs associated with field activity plant property elements such as primary utilities, area lighting, security improvements, etc., which are required for the operation of NAVAIR equipment.
- C) Physical Security funds minor construction improvements to facilities that protect critical, mission readiness assets at NAVAIR field activities.

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property - F4 (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | FY 1991 | | | |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Maint. & Repair of | FY 1990 <u>Actual</u> | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 Request |
| Real Property | 13,368 | 14,820 | 13,373 | 14,133 | 14,940 | 13,709 |
| Minor Construction | 3,345 | 5,921 | 5,441 | 5,506 | 4,966 | 0 |
| Physical Security Total, Maintenance | 87 | 90 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| of Real Property | 16,800 | 20,749 | 18,821 | 19,646 | 19,906 | 13,709 |

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| 8(000) | 19,646 | 992 (703) | .330) (-330) -330 | (-330) -258 -72 | (402) 402 (-150) (-252) | |
|--|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|--|
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | Pricing adjustments A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) B. Other Pricing Adjustments | Punctional Program Transfers A. Transfer out Inter-Appropriation In accordance with Defense Management Review Initiatives, funding for commissary operations | is transferred to the Defense Commissary Agency 1) MRP 2) Minor Construction | 4. Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 Decrease in Minor Construction for PMTC - construction of additions to BQ Housing Office PMRP - relocation of range antennas and addition to range control building Physical Security - Elimination of design of physical security project | |

Maintenance of Real Property (continued)
Naval Air Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

(000)\$ -6,593 (-6,593) -6,593 (338)(-2%) -58 A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (Industrial Fund) 1) Inter-Appropriation

2. Funding for Major Repair Construction Projects (-1,976K)

2. and Minor Construction (-4,617K) 1) Maintenance & Repair Real Property - defer repairs to Chesapeake Bay Seawall at NATC A Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases 9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request B. Other Pricing Adjustments 7. Functional Program Transfers Pricing Adjustments 8. Program Decreases A. Transfer Out **6**

692 (354)

-5%

13,709

0.371

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (continued)
Claimant: Naval Air Systems Command

| FY 1993 | \$13,709 210,099,414 8,102 13,306 16,746 469,383,330 26 9,523,000 4,039,000 | 80 7 | 2,741,800 | N/A 54 64,802 |
|----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| FY 1992 | \$19,906 196,354,114 8,099 13,266 16,746 438,676,660 26 8,805,000 6,135,000 | 11 35 | 3,981,200 | N/A 54 58,911 |
| FY 1991 | \$19,646 183,508,334 8,097 13,116 16,746 409,978,880 26 8,720,000 5,420,000 | 10 45 | 3,929,200 | N/A 54 53,555 |
| FY 1990 | \$171,503,667 \$171,503,667 8,091 12,946 16,746 \$383,157,660 26 \$10,790,000 \$4,080,000 | 10 38 | \$3,236,000 | N/A 54 \$48,687 |
| III. <u>Performance Criteria</u> | Maintenance & Repair (\$000) Utilities (CPV) \$1 Buildings (KSP) Pavements (KSY) Land (AC) Other Pacilities (CPV) \$3 Railroad Trackage (KLF) Recurring Maintenance \$ | Minor Construction Number of Projects R2 R1 | Administration and Support Number of A&E Contracts Planning and Design Funds Military E/S Civilian E/S | Total Personnel E/S Number of Installations Backlog of Maintenance and Repair (Thousands) |

AUDIT SAVINGS INCORPORATED IN CURRENT BUDGET CONTROLS

IV. Personnel Summary

Not Applicable

500012

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: <u>Supply Operations</u>
Budget Activity: <u>7-Central Supply & Maintenance</u>
Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems</u> Command

Description of Operations Financed.

requisitions for worldwide operations and maintenance requirements of Navy fleet and ashore units; (2) timely finances acquisition and development of automatic data processing systems which benefit Navy-wide stock point freight terminal services for the shipment and receipt of material carried by the stock point activities and the provision of other services such as fueling and procurement support. This activity group also centrally for the transchipment of material designated for fleet units and other activities throughout the world; and processing of transshipments. This activity group finances the operations of ten stock point activities located in the United States, engaged in the receipt, storage and distribution of military supply items and and supply operations. In addition, this activity group finances military support operations of the supply (3) effective services to all Navy units other than the filling of requisitions for material or the Supply Operations under the Naval Supply Systems Command provide: (1) effective response to departments at three Naval Shipyards.

Maintenance, Navy appropriation to the Department of Navy Stock Fund. Funding supply system support costs through stock fund (1) ensures that funding levels are tied to actual work load; (2) allocates supply system funding to weapons systems based on material usage; and (3) allows tradeoff decisions between management and Beginning in FY 1991, funding for Supply Operations is transferred from direct Operations and material costs resulting in lower overall supply system costs.

enhancing projects, Engineering the Workplace, Automated Materials Handling Systems such as Naval Integrated Storage Tracking and Retrieval Systems, and activity reorganizations. As allowed by Department of Defense This submission incorporates the efficiencies gained as a result of the installation of productivity policy, investment of these productivity savings has been incorporated at the activity level.

Activity Group: Supply Operations (Continued) Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| FY 1993 | Budget Request | • | 1 | 1 |
|---------|--|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| FY 1992 | Budget Request | 1 | i | 1 |
| | Current Estimate | ı | 1 | 1 |
| FY 1991 | Sudget Appro- Current Request priation Estimate | i | ı | ı |
| | Budget Request | ı | i | ı |
| | FY 1990 | 267,357 | 2,699 | 270,056 |
| | | Supply Operations | Supply Depus. at NSYs | Total, Supply Operations |

Beginning FY 1991, funding and end strength for this activity group are funded in the Navy Stock Fund. NOTE:

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. œ.

\$ in 000

- 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate
- 2. Pricing Adjustments
- Functional Transfers . ن
- Program Increases 4.
- Program Decreases ທ
- FY 1992 President's Budget Request ٠,
- Pricing Adjustments 7.
- Functional Transfers **8**
- Program Increases 6
- Program Decreases 10.
- FY 1993 President's Budget Request 11.

Activity Group: <u>Supply Operations (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

| III. <u>Performance Criteria</u> . | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Program Output | | | | |
| Physical Distribution Resourcing Units (000) | 14,097 | t | | |
| Warehouse Refusal Rate (%) | 1.0 | ı | | |
| Purchase Actions (000) | 984.8 | ı | | |
| Large Purchase (000) | 25.5 | 1 | | |
| Small Purchase (000) | 959.3 | 1 | | |
| Percent of Contract Dollars Awarded Competitively | 92.0\$ | ı | | |
| Audit Savings Incomprated in Orment Budget Controls | | | | |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

Activity Group: Supply Operations (Continued)
Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

IV. Personnel Sumary.

FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993

End Strength (E/S)

A. <u>Military</u> 312 Officer 194 Fhlisted 118 B. <u>Civilian</u> 6,025 USDH 6,025 Beginning FY 1991, civilian personnel end strength and workyears for this activity group are funded inthe Navy Stock Fund. Note:

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: <u>Inventory Control Operations</u>
Budget Activity: <u>7-Central Supply & Maintenance</u>
Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

Description of Operations Financed.

The mission of the Naval Supply Systems Command's Inventory Control Points is support of Navy and Marine (repairable and consumable) item supply support necessary for their operation and maintenance, and providing Corps weapons systems, aircraft, and ship readiness by establishing and maintaining total secondary supply support for certain items to other services.

management of secondary item supply support for operation and maintenance requirements of the fleat and shore financial management systems. The objective of these systems is to improve fleet readiness, support weapons establishment, and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of standardized logistics and related This activity group finances the operation of inventory control point activities engaged in the systems, and provide for economies in supply operations and inventory investment. Beginning in FY 1991, funding for Inventory Control Operations is transferred from direct Operations and Maintenance, Navy appropriation to the Department of Navy Stock Fund. Funding supply system support costs through stock fund reimbursement (1) ensures that funding levels are tied to actual work load; (2) allocates supply system funding to weapons systems based on material usage; and (3) allows tradeoff decisions between management and material costs resulting in lower supply system costs. Activity Group: <u>Inventory Control Operations (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Surply Systems Command</u>

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| FY 1993 | Budget Request | |
|---------|---------------------|--|
| FY 1992 | Budget Request | |
| | Ourrent Estimate | |
| FY 1991 | Appro- priation | |
| | Budget Request | |
| | FY 1990 | |
| | | |
| | | |

Inventory Control
Operations 224,618

Total, Inventory
Control Operations 224,618

Beginning FY 1991, funding and civilian personnel end strength for this activity group Will be financed through the Navy Stock Fund. NOTE:

Activity Group: <u>Inventory Control Operations</u> (Continued) Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u> s in 000

| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases 1. FY 1991 Current Estimato 2. Pricing Adjustments 3. Functional Transfers 4. Program Increases 5. Program Decreases 6. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | mand | ses and Decreases | mato | | | | | Budget Request | |
|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--|
| | ral Supply Systems Co | xonciliation of Incre | FY 1991 Current Est | Pricing Adjustments | 3. Functional Transfer | 4. Program Increases | 5. Program Decreases | 6. FY 1992 President's | |
| | imant: | B. | | | | | | | |

037 . 3

11. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

Program Decreases

10.

Program Increases

6

Functional Transfers

.

7. Pricing Adjustments

Activity Group: <u>Inventory Control Operations (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

| FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | | - 989 | 1,269 - | 2,228 – | 949 - | 350 - | 207 | 154 - 53 - 101 - | 31.78 - |
|---------------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------------|--|--|
| III. Performance Criteria. | Program Output | Line Items Managed (000) | Weighted Line Items Managed (000) | Line Item Requisitions (000) | Provisioning Line Item Reviews (000) | Planned Program Requirements Generated (000) | Allowance Documents Prepared (000) | Purchase Actions (000) Large Purchases (000) Small Purchases (000) | Percent of Contract Dollars Awarded Competitively |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls
NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

Activity Group: <u>Inventory Control Operations (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

IV. Personnel Summary.

FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993

End Strength (E/S)

Beginning FY 1991, civilian personnel end strength and work years for this activity group will be financed in the Navy Stock Fund. NOTE:

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: <u>Procurement Operations</u>

Budget Activity: <u>7-Central Supply & Maintenance</u>
Claiment: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

I. Description of Operations Financed.

administration of specialized supply programs such as Automation of Procurement and Accounting Data Entry (APADE) and ADP security, and project management support of programs such as Project BOSS (Buy Our Spares The purpose of Procurement Operations is to provide effective procurement services, centralized Smart) and various automated management systems.

operations. Fleet Hospitals complement and expand the medical capabilities of the Fleet and play a critical Beginning in FY 1992, Procurement Operations will also finance the Fleet Hospital program whose mission role in the Navy's doctrinal concept of overseas theater support. Fleet Hospitals will deliver definitive health care (surgical or other scare) necessary to stabilize, treat, and rehabilitate (in-theater) wounded is to provide comprehensive medical support to the Fleet and Fleet Marine Forces (FMF) engaged in combat sailors and Marines through relocatable, prepositioned, modular, rapidly erectable medical and surgical facilities accommodating 250, 500, or 1,000 beds.

Funding under this activity group also finances the four Regional Contracting Centers (NRCCs), and the NAVSUP Project Management Offices.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | FY 1990 | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Ourrent Estimate | Budget Request | Budget Request |
| Supply System Services | 28,436 | 20,418 | 19,470 | 20,944 | 20,522 | 20,473 |
| Activities Project Management | 22,401 | 23,404 | 21,871 | 22,668 | 19,712 | 18,717 |
| Offices | 5,843 | 6,061 | 5,759 | 6,108 | 5,223 | 5,144 |
| Program | 1 | ' | • | | 19,435 | 18.714 |
| Total, Procurement Operations | 56,680 | 49,883 | 47,100 | 49,720 | 64,892 | 63,048 |

Activity Group: <u>Progurement Operations (Continued)</u> Claiment: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

| i | Z | 1991 Current Estimate | | \$49,720 |
|----|---------------|---|--|----------|
| 8 | F. E. C. CHF. | A. Armalization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Foreign National Direct Hires B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Foreign National Direct Hires 2) Foreign National Direct Hires 2) Foreign National Direct Hires C. Defense Business Operations Fund Rates 1) Non-Fuel D. Foreign Currency Adjustments E. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (IF) F. Other Pricing Adjustments | (250) 239 111 (967) 933 34 (201) (553) (585) | 2,559 |
| r. | P. A. | nd strength from her Health Activities Fleet Hospital he Fleet Hospital es provide: (1) annual and a Rapidly Deployabl ear cycle maintenance nd (3) warehousing of al. (21,391) end strength from the Navy's Hazardous | (21,536) 21,391 e | 21,526 |

00028

a) Funds to rent commercially leased space realigned to Budget Activity 9, Base Operating Support, for direct payment to the General Services Administration Federal Building Fund. (-10)

(145)

Material Disposal programs.

1) Intra-Appropriation Transfer

Transfers Out

m

(-10) -10

Activity Group: <u>Progrement Operations (Continued)</u>
Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

| 14,463 | | |
|--|--|--|
| Program Increases A. One-Time FY 1992 Costs 1) One additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992. B. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 1) Computer Aided Acquisition Logistics Support (CALS) - CALS is a DOD mandated program which has demonstrated significant potential for major cost savings and efficiency payback. Funding will provide for the initial implementation of CALS 2) Automation of Programment and Accounting Date: Entry (ADADE) - | Resources are required to provide for the adultional costs of hardware maintenance for the APADE system to a total of 37 sites by FY 1992. The existing equipment must be maintained to ensure that APADE continues to provide the Navy Field (butracting System with the tools required to maintain competition and improve productivity in Navy contracting. Increased funding also supports software upgrades to existing sites and printing costs of training materials for the upgraded software and Phase IV. 3) Communication Lines - Funding required for the network usage costs of data communications lines for NAVSUP ADP systems | operations. 4) ADP Security - Additional funding required for ADP security training, purchase of security equipment and software packages, security assistance visits, and printing security instructions. 5) Rapidly Deployable Medical Forces (RDMF) for CINCUSNANCENT - Increased funding for transportation, maintenance, and land lease costs for a RDMF prepositioned site shift. 6) Office Automation - Increase in funding primarily supports upgrades replacements of peripherals installed during FY 1987 and FY 1988, as well as the costs associated with various system interfaces. This program supports both Headquarters personnel and non-Stock Point and non-ICP field activities. |
| 4 | | |

Activity Group: <u>Procurement Operations (Continued)</u>
Claiment: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

B. Recardiliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

7) Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) - In accordance with Defense Management Review Initiatives, funding for implementation of the EDI system, which will automate the exchange of various DoD standard forms for payment systems (electronic funds transfer), invoices, delivery orders, receipts, etc. Benefits include reduction of paper handling costs, savings from the elimination of duplicate data entry errors, more accurate data, etc.

3,580

637 90 P -288 (-23,376)-14,210 who are expected to increase their share of support for forward Congressionally mandated armual 4 percent Acquisition reduction an expected decline in the volume of business at NAVSUP field burdensharing agreements arranged with host nation countries 1) Decrease in resources to reflect funding provided in FY 1991 Initiatives for the consolidation of DoD ADP systems design in anticipation of program savings Navywide attributable to Decrease in resources to reflect "burdensharing" reduction Reduce Supply Operations - Reduction in funding to reflect Decrease in funding reflects Defense Management Review activities due to a reduction in the force structure. Decrease in funding to reflect implementation of the only for the DoD Drug Interdiction and Counter Drug and various force structure adjustments. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 deployed U.S. Navy operations. Activities program. and operation. Program Decreases ? 8 4 2 ຜ

FY 1992 President's Budget Request

•

\$64,892

Activity Group: <u>Procurement Operations (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued). æ.

| 2.25) 2.29 2.09 1 1 1.5 3.02 3.02 4.9 (-1) 2.73) | ı | 637) 37) 37 | -4,929 70 70 59) 46 36 |
|---|----------------------|---|--|
| (i) (ii) (ii) (ii) (ii) (ii) (ii) (ii) | | (637) allow completion of ent/upgrades of equipment | (-70) Lata Interchange on requirements. Care of Supplies Hospital Program. Choquisition reduction http://www.neductions2,622 |
| A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board 3) Foreign National Direct Hires B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board 2) Wage Board 2) Foreign National Direct Hires C. Defense Business Operations Fund Rates 1) Non-Fuel D. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates E. Other Pricing Adjustments | Functional Transfers | Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 1) Office Automation - Additional funding will allow completion of the initial phase of peripheral replacement/upgrades of equipment purchased during FY 1987 through FY 1989. | Program Decreases A. One-Time FY 1992 Costs 1) One less day of civilian employment in FY 1993 B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) Reduction in Funding for the Electronic Data Interchange project to reflect FY 1993 implementation requirements. 2) Reduction in funding for contractor provided Care of Supplies in Storage (COSIS) services for the Fleet Hospital Program. 3) Decrease in funding to reflect continued implementation of the Congressionally mandated annual 4 percent Acquisition reduction and commensurate with force structure reductions. |
| 7. H | 8. F | . 6 | 10. F |

Activity Group: <u>Procurement Operations (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u> B. Reconciliation of Ingreases and Decreases (Continued).

4) Reduce Supply Operations - Reduction in funding to reflect an expected decline in the volume of business at NAVSUP field activities due to a reduction in the size of the fleet.

11. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$63,048

682(1)

Activity Group: <u>Procurement Operations (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

| FY 1993 | | 103.9 41.6 62.3 | 940 | 85.4\$ |
|------------------------------------|----------------|--|---|--|
| FY 1992 | | 103.9 41.6 62.3 | 940 | 85.4\$ |
| FY 1991 | | 103.9 41.6 62.3 | 940 | 85.4\$ |
| FY 1990 | | 103.9 41.6 62.3 | 940 | 85.4\$ |
| III. <u>Performance Criteria</u> . | Program Output | Purchase Actions (000) Large Purchases (000) Small Purchases (000) | Procurement Offices Provided Technical Direction | Percent of Contract Dollars Awarded Competitively |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Ourrent Budget Controls

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

IV. Personnel Summary.

| FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | | 146 140 134 128 107 103 98 94 39 37 36 34 | 656 548 545 506 611 503 506 467 45 45 39 30 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---|---|
| FY | End Strength (E/S) | A. <u>Military</u> Officer Enlisted | B. <u>Civilian</u> USDH FAITH |

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: Command and Administration Budget Activity: 7 - Central Supply & Maintenance Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

The mission of the Naval Supply Systems Command Headquarters is to manage and provide technical direction operation of the Naval Supply Systems Command Headquarters which manages and provides technical direction to to major logistics subsystems which directly support ships, aircraft, weapon systems, and personnel of the operating forces ashore and afloat. Funds under the Command and Administration activity group finance the the following logistics subsystems:

- An integrated Navy supply system responsible for providing secondary item support Navy-wide to fleet units and shore installations
- A purchasing system which provides Navy-wide support in procuring products and services from commercial suppliers
- A transportation system responsible for Navy-wide first and second destination movement of material
- A financial system with Navy-wide responsibility for payroli; operating expense, inventory, and plant property accounting; and disbursing
- A resale system involving the management of the Navy's Commissary and Exchange systems, including the operation of ships' stores, barber shops, laundry facilities afloat, and retail clothing stores
- A publication and printing service which has Navy-wide responsibility for printing requirements
- A food service system with technical responsibility for the food service operations of the Navy

Activity Group: <u>Command and Administration</u> (<u>Contirued</u>) Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| nt Budget Budget ate Request Request | <u>43 16,722 16,699</u> | |
|---|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Current | 16,643 | |
| FY 1991 Appro- priation | 14,830 | |
| Budget Request | 18,767 | |
| FY 1990 | 16,629 | |
| | Command and Administration | Total, Command and |

Activity Group: <u>Command and Administration</u> (Continued) Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

| я В | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | \$ in 000 |
|----------|---|---|-----------|
| 1. | . FY 1991 Current Estimate | | \$16,643 |
| . | Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified C. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (IF) D. Other Pricing Adjustments | (152) 151 1 (461) 461 (127) (49) | 789 |
| e. | . Functional Transfers | | 1 |
| 4 | Program Increases A. One-time FY 1992 Costs 1) One additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992. | (58) 58 | 58 |
| ហំ | A. Other Program Decreases 1) Reduce NAVSUP Headquarters - Reduced funding and end strength for NAVSUP Headquarters in anticipation of supply function crosolidations and fleet squadron inactivations. | (-768) | -768 |
| • | . FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | \$16,722 |
| | A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified C. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (IF) D. Other Pricing Adjustments | (157) 156 1 (524) 524 (-44) | 675 |

Activity Group: Command and Administration (Continued)
Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued). æ.

Functional Transfers **&**

1

869-

(-638)

9 9 9 9 9 9

Program Increases 6

Annualization of FY 1992 Decreases Program Decreases 10.

1) Annualization of NAVSUP Headquarter funding and end stength

reductions. One-Time FY 1992 Costs 1) One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993. B.

FY 1993 President's Budget Request

11.

\$16,699

Activity Group: Command and Administration (Continued) Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

| III. | III. <u>Performance Criteria.</u> | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1992 FY 1993 |
|--------|---|----------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| Progr | Program Output | | | | |
| Number | Number of Field Activities Managed | 91 | 91 | 91 | 91 |
| | Audit Savings Incorporated in Ourrent Budget Controls | Controls | | | |
| | NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME | HIS TIME | | | |
| i | | | | | |

| Personnel Sumary |
|------------------|
| īV. |

| End Stre | A. <u>Militar</u> Officer Enliste | B. Civilia USDH |
|-------------|---|--------------------|
| enath (E/S) | tary cer sted | <u>llan</u> |
| FY 1990 | 69 | 285 285 |
| FY 1991 | 67 88 9 | <u>274</u> 274 |
| FY 1992 | 95 8 | <u>263</u> 263 |
| FY 1993 | 61 55 6 | <u>253</u> 253 |

353000

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Sub Activity Group: <u>Special Support Operations</u>
Budget Activity: 7 - Central Supply & Maintenance
Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

The mission of the Special Support Operations is classified.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| FY 1993 | Budget Request | 82,010 | 82,010 |
|---------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| FY 1992 | Budget Request | 68,177 | 68,177 |
| | Current Estimate | 59,991 | 59,991 |
| FY 1991 | Appro- priation | 52,627 | 52,627 |
| | Budget Request | 71,456 | 71,456 |
| | FY 1990 | 52,057 | Support 52,057 |
| | | Special Su pport Operations | Total, Special Sup Operations |

Sub Activity Group: <u>Special Support Operations</u> (Continued) Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

| \$ in 000 | \$59,991 | 2,340 | I | 5,924 | -78 | | \$68,177 | 2,523 | 1 | 11,358 |
|---|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|--|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|
| | | 2,340 | | (5,924) 5,924 | (-78) | -78 | | (2,523) | | (11,358) 11,358 |
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Other Pricing Adjustments | 3. Functional Transfers | 4. Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 1) Increased funding for special support operations. | 5. Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases 1) Burdensharing - Activity Group assessment to reflect anticipated savings attributable to burdensharing agreements to be arranged with host nation countries who are expected to increase their share of support for forward deployed U.S. | navy operations. | 6. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | 7. Pricing Adjustments A. Other Pricing Adjustments | 8. Functional Transfers | 9. Program IncreasesA. Other Program Growth1) Increased funding for special support operations. |

Sub Activity Group: Special Support Operations (Continued)
Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

Program Decreases
 A. Annualization of FY 1992 Decreases
 Annualization Burdensharing savings adjustment.

11. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

(-48) (-48)

78

\$82,010

Sub Activity Group: <u>Special Support Operations (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

III. Performance Criteria,

FY 1990

Program Output

FY 1991

FY 1993 FY 1992

Classified Audit Savings Incorporated in Ourrent Budget Controls

CLASSIFIED

Personnel Summary ż

FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993

End Strength (E/S)

CLASSIFIED

680.00

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: Field Operations

Budget Activity: 7 - Central Supply & Maintenance

Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

Field Operations under the Naval Supply Systems Command provide for the management of Navy material transportation, for the centralized management of the Navy's food service program, and for the overall management of Navy fuel operations worldwide. Funds under this activity group finance the operation (i.e., salaries and office support) of the following activities: the Naval Material Transportation Office, the Navy Food Service Systems Office, the Navy Petroleum Office, and Operational Support-Field.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| | FY 1990 Actual | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current <u>Estimate</u> | Budget Request | Pudget Request | |
| Miscellan ecus Field Operations | 12,347 | 11,745 | 11.323 | 12,153 | 8,732 | 7,911 | |
| Operational Su pport - Field | 3,154 | 2,133 | 2,119 | 3,300 | 2,473 | 2,273 | |
| Total, Field Operations | 15,501 | 13,878 | 13,442 | 15,453 | 11,205 | 10,184 | |

Activity Group: Field Operations (Continued) Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

| | FY 1991 Current Estimate | | \$15,453 |
|-------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------|
| Pricing A. Amu 1) 2) 2) 3. FY | Pricing Adjustments A. Annalization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | (118) 111 7 (342) 338 | 635 |
| ्र इ | Wage Board Her Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (IF) | 4 (175) | |
| Punctio | Functional Transfers | | ŧ |
| Program A. One 1) | Program Increases A. One-Time FY 1992 Costs 1) One additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992. | (43) 43 | 43 |
| Program A. And 1) A. Ottl | Armualization of FY 1991 Decreases 1) Annualization of Congressionally mandated annual 4 percent Acquisition reduction. A. Other progam Decreases in FY 1992 1) Decrease in resources to effect the Congressionally mandated A remont Acquisition reduction and reduction of force | (-1,561) -1,561 (-3,365) | -4,926 |
| 3) 2) | structure adjustments. 2) Reduce Supply Operations - Funding reduction reflecting an expected decline in the volume of business at NAVSUP field activities due to a reduced force structure. 3) Do It Yourself (DITY) Move Claims - Reduction in required funding to reduce the backlog of DITY move claims. | -1,567 | -1,482 |
| Y 1992 | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | \$11,205 |

Activity Group: Field Operations (Continued) Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

| | Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board C. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (IF) | (112) 102 10 (346) 341 5 (46) | 504 |
|--------------|---|---|----------|
| & | Functional Transfers | | I |
| 6 | Program Increases | | I |
| 10. | 10. Program Decreases A. Annualization of FY 1992 Decreases 1) Annualization of Congressionally mandated annual 4 percent Acquisition reduction and force structure reduction adjustments. 2) Reduced Supply Operation. B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) Decrease in resources to effect the Congressionally mandated 4 percent Acquisition reduction and force structure reduction adjustments. 2) One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993. | (-901) -501 -400 (-624) -39 | -1,525 |
| 11. | 11. FY 1993 President's Budget Request | | \$10,184 |

Activity Group: Field Operations (Continued) Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

| III. Performance Criteria. | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Program Output | | | | |
| Number of Food Service Locations Managed | 684 | 684 | 684 | 684 |
| Number of Fuel Facilities Provided Technical Guidance | 115 | 115 | 115 | 115 |
| Oversight of: Short Tons of Material Moved (000) Measurement Tons of Material Moved (000) | 808 2,743 | 584 2,085 | 575 2,085 | 532 1,895 |
| Audit Savings Incorporated in Oursent Budget Controls | | | | |

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

Activity Group: Field Operations (Continued) Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

| FY 1993 | 15 15 | <u>202</u> 202 |
|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| FY 1992 | 16 15 | 232 232 |
| FY 1991 | 16 15 | <u>286</u> 286 |
| FY 1990 | 15 | <u>366</u> 366 |
| | | |
| | | |
| IV. Personnel Data | Military Officer Enlisted | <u>Civilian</u> USDH |
| H | | |

Department of the Navy Operation and Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-5

Activity Group: <u>Servicewide Transportation (SWT)</u>

Budget Activity: <u>7 - Central Supply and Maintenance</u>
Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

other appropriations on a Free-On-Board origin basis, from the contractors' facilities to the first point of use or storage. The program also provides financing for the worldwide second destination movement of regular This includes first destination transportation (FDT) associated with O&M,N purchased items, and emergency readiness material including ammunitions, chemicals, medicine, subsistence, mail, repair parts, second destination transportation (SDT), and continental United States terminal services in conjunction with cargo movements. FUT costs are associated with the movement of material, after purchase by procurement and The Servicewide Transportation (SWT) program provides funding for the majority of the Navy's worldwide and high value repairable items. cargo movements.

In addition, SWT purchases transportation services from private sector firms. These include aircraft, truck, rail, bus, barge, and freight forwarding industrially-funded transportation activities: the Military Airlift Command (MAC), the Military Sealift The SWT program finances the purchase of transportation services predominantly from DoD Command (MSC), and the Military Traffic Management Command (MIMC). services.

This is a Navy-wide program. The program's volume is driven by a variety of factors, but the most significant are the operating tempo and readiness requirements of the fleet; and quality-of-life support requirements for overseas units, Naval personnel and their dependents. Activity Group: <u>Servicewide Transportation (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

Activity Group: Servicewide Transportation (Continued) Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

| œ. | | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued). | | (\$000) |
|----|----|--|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 1. | FY 1991 Current Estimate | | \$242,738 |
| | ~ | Pricing Adjustments A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (IF) B. Other Pricing Adjustments | (612) (5,088) | 5,700 |
| | | Punctional Transfers | | i |
| | 4 | Program Increases A. One Time FY 1992 Increase 1. Increase in funding associated with requirements for | (8,300) | 8,300 |
| | | MAC Frequency Charmel adjustments. Modal Distribution: None | 8,300 | |
| | ۍ | Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 | (-2,427) | -2,427 |
| | | naterials. Modal Distribution: MAC -51 Short Tons, Inland -2,007 Short Tons. | 488 | |
| | | 2) Decreased movement of Civil Engineering End Item Materials. Modal Distribution: Inland -1.752 Short Tons. | -266 | |
| | | 3) Decreased support for WESTPAC deployment of construction batallions. Modal Distribution: MSC -2,166 Measurement Tors, | | |
| | | MINC -2,166 Measurement Tors. 4) Decreased support for movement of OTTO Fuel and other | -230 | |
| | | expendable items procured for Depot Level Maintenance beyond the capabilities of the Fleet IMA Activities for MK-46 Torpedoes. Modal Distribution: MSC -14 Measurement Tons. | Q. | |
| | | Inland -27 Short Tons, MIMC -14 Measurement Tons. 5) Decreased O&M,N/O&M,NR support for the movement of new procurement MIICON materials. Modal Distribution: MSC -1,650 Measurement Tons, Inland -800 Short Tons, MIMC -1,650 Measurement Tons35 | -9 rent Toris, -353 | |

Activity Group: <u>Servicewide Transportation (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

| | | \$254,311 | 11,015 | 1 | ı | -29,987 | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|----------------------|--|---|
| -733 dal | -348 | | (6,065) (4,950) | | | (-8,740) | (-21,247) |
| 6) Decreased O&M,N Support for the movement of new procurement construction equipment (miscellaneous). Modal Distribution: Inland -3,829 Short Tors. 7) Decreased support for the movement of sandblasting sand. Modal | Distribution: MSC -1,180 Measurement Tons, indam -1,180 SM Tons, MIMC -1,180 Measurement Tons. | 6. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | 7. Pricing Adjustments A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (IF) B. Other Pricing Adjustments | 8. Functional Transfers | 9. Program Increases | 10. Program Decreases A. One Time FY 1992 Decrease 1. MAC Frequency Channel adjustments. Modal | B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) Completed movement of overstocked ammunition in the CONUS Tidewater areas. Modal Distribution: Inland -11,264 Short Tons. |

Activity Group: <u>Servicewide Transportation</u> (<u>Continued</u>) Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

| | -12,647 | -1,888 | | -1,085 | , | -845 | 1 | -221 | | -784 | | | -1,179 |
|---|---------|--------|-----------------------|--------|---|---------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--------|
| 2) Force Level Decreases. Anticipate decrease (5%) in Personnel, ships, and aircraft. Modal Distribution: MAC -647 Short Tons; MSC -42,726 Measurement Tons; Inland -26,308 Short | | ment | ing Support Equipment | | Decreased movement of Civil Engineering End Item Materials. Modal Distribution: MSC -10,139 Measurement Tons, MIMC | -10,139 Measurement Tons. | 6) Decreased movement of JCS exercise logistics support. Modal | Distribution: MAC -44 Short Tons. | // Degreesed Support for the movement of the production of MILCON materials. Modal Distribution: MSC -4,747 Measurement | Tors, Inland -1,582 Short Tors, MIMC -4,747 Measurement Tors. | 8) Decreased support the movement of new procurement construction | Measurement Tons, Inland -2,050 Short Tons, MINC -6,151 | |

600000

\$235,339

11. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

Activity Group: <u>Servicewide Transportation (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

III. Performance Criteria.

SEE ALTRACHMENT A

IV. Personnel Summary.

There are no military or civilian personnel associated with this activity group.

PROGRAM DATA

| First Destination Transportation by Mode of Shipment | FY UNITS | 1990 (\$000) | FY | 1991 (\$000) | FY UNITS | 1992 (\$000) | FY UNITS | 1993 (\$000) | |
|--|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|--|
| Military Airlift Command Regular Channel (ST) SAAM (MSN) | 2972 | 5709 0 | 1697 | 3306 | 1697 | 2731 | 1697 | 2876 | |
| Military Sealift Command Regular Routes (MT) Per Diem (SD) | 199339 | 16190 | 112772 | 8415 0 | 109928 | 8826 0 | 08066 | 8135 0 | |
| Military Traffic Management Command Port Handling | 205734 | 3963 | 114826 | 1456 | 111982 | 1568 | 101084 | 1387 | |
| Commercial Air (ST) Surface (ST) | 12153 217026 | 50 <i>77</i> 44536 | 7840 69420 | 2698 13992 | 7840 63584 | 2803 13359 | 7840 59952 | 2907 12937 | |
| TOTAL | | 75475 | | 29867 | | 29287 | | 28242 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Attachment A Page 1 of 3

COCCIT

PROGRAM DATA

| FY 1993 UNITS (\$000) | 10803 25419 0 0 | 67598 5 62510 665 4098 | 131 12291 | 20875 44790 430479 67989 | 207097 | 235339 |
|---|--|--|--|--|--------|---|
| 1992 F (\$000) UNI | 34491 10 0 | 54836 67E 4178 | 13882 1019131 | 45 667 20 71970 430 | 225024 | 254311 |
| FY UNITS | 11502 0 | 760149 665 | 1102540 | 22118 468051 | • | |
| 1991 (\$000) | 31930 0 | 50561 4021 | 12588 | 43953 | 212871 | 242738 |
| FY | 11553 | 762315 665 | 1104706 | 22118 4 71810 | | |
| 1990 (\$000) | 63596 3196 | 81618 | 20912 | 48943 71284 | 293569 | 369044 |
| FY | 39325 39 | 987391 665 | 1350609 | 31209 505564 | | |
| Second Destination Transportation by Mode of Shipment | Military Airlift Command Regular Channel (ST) SAAM (MSN) | Military Sealift Command Regular Routes (MT) Per Diem (SD) | Military Traffic Management Command Port Handling | Commercial Air (ST) Surface (ST) | TOTAL | TOTAL FIRST AND SECOND DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION |

000312

Attachment A Page 2 of 3

| Second Destination Transportation by Selected Commodity: | FY UNITS | 1990 | FY | 1991 | FY | 1992 (\$000) | FY | 1993 |
|--|--------------------------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Cargo (ST) (MT) (SD) (MSN) | 570742 1130081 665 39 | 2 142794 1 50623 5 4020 9 3196 | 500892 826906 665 | 105560 25622 4021 | 497082 1284595 665 | 111890 47049 4178 0 | 457826 1149515 665 | 98642 42358 4098 0 |
| Commissaries (MT) | 481067 | 7 20529 | 462021 | 17717 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Base Exchanges (MT) Subsistence (ST) (MT) | 576278 570 127525 | 8 21899 0 936 5 8142 | 555994 0 | 18661 0 0 | 555994 0 | 20415 0 | 524743 0 0 | 21146 0 0 |
| Overseas Mail Surface (MT) Air (ST) | 23049 | 3 1337 5 40093 | 22100 4589 | 1150 | 22100 4589 | 1254 40238 | 20858 4331 | 1297 39556 |
| | TOTAL | 293569 | | 212871 | | 225024 | | 207097 |

Attachment A Page 3 of 3

000313

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: <u>Retail Sales Operations</u>

Budget Activity: <u>7 - Central Supply & Maintenance</u>
Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

Description of Operations Financed.

worldwide, regional distribution centers, and management organization. The activity group contains two The Retail Sales Operations Activity Group provides funding for the operation of commissary stores subactivity groups - Commissary Operations and Retail Clothing Stores/Ships' Stores Afloat.

used in commercial food stores. Savings realized by member families purchasing goods from commissaries are a The mission of the Navy's Commissary Operations is to provide items for sale to authorized commissary store patrons at the lowest practicable price in a facility designed and operated similar to the standards contract. The commissary privilege is very important to enlisted personnel, especially in the E-4 through vital incentive for the retention of service members and could even be considered part of the enlistment E-6 ranks, and junior officers.

In accordance with Defense Management Review Initiatives, funding for commissary operations will be transferred to the Defense Commissary Agency baginning in FY 1992.

health and comfort. This sub-activity group provides for reimbursement to Navy Exchanges and the Navy Resale and Services Support Office (NAVRESSO) for staff services expended in support of government-procured articles convenient and reliable source from which personnel aboard ships may obtain articles and sarvices for their obtain government-procured articles of uniform clothing and related items. Ships' Stores Afloat provide a Retail Clothing Stores provide a convenient and reliable source from which authorized personnel may of uniforms at Navy Exchanges.

Activity Group: <u>Retail Sales Operations (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| Jet Budget | 1 | • | 7,231 |
|--------------------|---|--|--|
| Bude | • | 7,0 | 7,023 |
| Current | 101,208 | 6,746 | 107,954 |
| Appro- priation | 99,508 | 6,722 | 106,230 |
| Budget Request | 99,604 | 6,734 | 106,338 |
| FY 1990 | 97,420 | 5,470 | 103,890 |
| | commissary Operations | etail Clothing Stores hips' Stores Afloat | Total, Retail Sales |
| | Budget Appro- Current Budget Request priation Estimate Request | Budget Appro- Current Budget Request priation Estimate Request 99,604 99,508 101,208 - | Budget Appro- Current Budget FY 1990 Request priation Estimate Request 97,420 99,604 99,508 101,208 - 1/ 6,470 6,734 6,722 6,746 7,023 |

Activity Group: <u>Retail Sales Operations (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

A. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

| 1. | FY 1991 Ourrent Estimate | | \$107,954 |
|----|---|--|-----------|
| | Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board 3) Foreign National Direct Hires B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board 3) Foreign National Direct Hires C. FW Indirect Hire D. Foreign Currency Adjustments D. Other Pricing Adjustments | (1,364) 301 1,043 20 (1,744) 922 735 87 (117) (369) | 4,815 |
| 3, | Functional Transfers | | -103,281 |
| | A. Transfers Out 1) Inter-Appropriation In accordance with Defense Management Review Initiatives, funding for commissary operations is transferred to the Defense Commissary Agency103,281. | (-103,281) -103,281 | |
| 4. | Program Increases | | ı |
| ູ້ | Program Decreases A. Other FY 1992 Program Decreases 1) Reduction in Retail Sales funding in anticipation of savings attributable to an overall force reduction. | (-2,465) | -2,465 |
| • | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | \$7,023 |
| 7. | Pricing Adjustments A. Other Pricing Adjustments | (260) | 260 |

0000018

Activity Group: <u>Retail Sales Operations</u> (Continued) Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u> -52

\$7,231

Activity Group: <u>Retail Sales Operations (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

| FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 | | 44.2 44.3 |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|--|
| III. <u>Performance Criteria.</u> | Program Output | Average System-wide Commissary Operating Hours |

See Attachment A for additional performance criteria.

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS CAN BE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

IV. Personnel Summary

| , | <u>.</u> | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|----|--------------------|---------|-----------|---------|---------|--|
| | End Strength (E/S) | | | | | |
| Ä. | Military | 1,050 | 1,046 | | | |
| | UIIIOEL | 104 | 103 | | | |
| | Enlisted | 946 | 74.5 5 | | | |
| В. | Civilian | 3,092 | 3,117 | | | |
| | nsdh | 2,779 | 2,813 | | | |
| | FNDH | 221 | 214 | | | |
| | FNIH | 92 | 8 | | | |

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: <u>Maintenance of Real Property</u>
Budget Activity: 7 - Central Supply & Maintenance
Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

Description of Operations Financed.

This program provides for the maintenance, repair, and minor construction of all public works, buildings, structures, grounds, and utility systems required at the Naval Supply Systems Command's field activities. The three major programs are:

- Maintenance and Repair of Real Property Finances scheduled, day-to-day recurring maintenance, emergency service work and specific maintenance projects needed to preserve facilities.
- the addition, extension, alteration, conversion or replacement of existing real property facilities; the relocation of real property facilities; and the installation of equipment which is made part of Minor Construction - Finances the erection, installation or assembly of real property facilities; a facility.
- Physical Security Finances security upgrades of real property facilities throughout the Naval Supply Systems Command's field activities.

(1) ensures that funding levels are tied to actual work load; (2) allocates supply system funding to weapons from Department of Navy Stock Fund. Funding supply system support costs through stock fund reimbursement Control Points is transferred from direct Operations and Maintenance, Navy appropriation to reimbursement systems based on material usage; and (3) allows tradeoff decisions between management and material costs Beginning in FY 1991, funding for maintenance of real property at Naval Supply Centers and Inventory resulting in lower overall supply system costs. Activity Group: <u>Maintenance of Real Property (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (Continued) Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

B. Reconciliation of Ingreases and Decreases (Continued).

| - | TOTAL TRACTOR TO THE COSTS OF THE COSTS (CONTINUED). | | |
|--------------|---|--------------------|---------|
| • • | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | | \$5,018 |
| • • | 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Other Pricing Adjustments | (195) | 195 |
| `, | 3. Functional Transfers | | |
| 4 | Program Increases A. Other Program Increases in FY 1992 Facility Enhancement - Enhancement of funding for major repair projects at NAVSUP activities providing relief to unsafe | (632) | 632 |
| | and inefficient work environments and enhances the facilities, general habitability. | 632 | |
| 41 | 5. Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Reduction in funding for maintenance and repair of real property as a result of reduced force structure. | (-1,080) -1,080 | -1,080 |
| v | 6. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | \$4,765 |
| 7 | 7. Pricing Adjustments A. Other Pricing Adjustments | (176) | 176 |
| æ | 8. Functional Transfers A. Transfers Out 1) Inter-Appropriation a) Funding for Major Repair and Physical Security Projects transferred to MILCON. (-1,017) | (-1,017) -1,017 | -1,017 |

CCC321

9. Program Increases

ı

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (Continued) Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

| Program Decreases | • | -240 |
|--|--------|------|
| Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 | (-240) | |
| Reduction in recuting maintaine refrecting a reduced | 040- | |
| e scructure. | 0.5.7 | |

11. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$3,684

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (Continued)

Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria

A. Maintenance of Real Property

| Facilities Maintenance (M1) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| IC 01 Aviation Operational Facilities IC 02 Communication Operational Facilities IC 03 Waterfront Operational Facilities IC 04 Other Operational Facilities IC 05 Training Facilities IC 06 Aviation Maintenance Production IC 07 Shipyard Maintenance Production IC 08 Other Maintenance Production IC 09 Research, Develop, Test & Eval IC 10 POL Supply Storage IC 11 AMMO Supply Storage IC 12 Other Supply Storage IC 12 Other Supply Storage IC 13 Medical IC 14 Administrative IC 15 Troop Housing/Messing IC 16 Other Personnel Support Scvs IC 17 Utilities IC 18 Real Estate & Ground Structures IC OTHER | 0 0 699 289 7 7 0 31 1,225 0 2,864 0 8,696 0 4,027 67 413 3,575 3,621 2,847 | 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 |
| TOTAL M1 | 28,361 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| MAJOR REPAIRS (M2) | | | | |
| IC 01 Aviation Operational Facilities IC 02 Communication Operational Facilities IC 03 Waterfront Operational Facilities IC 04 Other Operational Facilities IC 05 Training Facilities IC 06 Aviation Maintenance Production IC 07 Shipyard Maintenance Production IC 08 Other Maintenance Production IC 09 Research, Develop, Test & Eval IC 10 POL Supply Storage IC 11 AMMO Supply Storage IC 12 Other Supply Storage IC 13 Medical IC 14 Administrative IC 15 Troop Housing/Messing IC 16 Other Personnel Support Scvs IC 17 Utilities IC 18 Real Estate & Ground Structures IC OTHER | 3 2.078 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3.590 0 0 740 611 151 | 980 980 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.188 0 0 833 980 0 | 930 930 0 0 0 0 0 0 2.076 0 0 791 930 | 725 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1.618 0 0 616 725 |
| TOTAL M2 | 7,170 | 4,981 | 4,727 | 3,684 |
| TOTAL 'M' | 35,531 | 4,981 | 4,727 | 3,684 |

| | FY_1990 | <u>FY_1991</u> | FY_1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|---|---|---|-----------------------|
| Program Output | | | | |
| Military Hsng Floor Space (KSF) All Other Floor Space (KSF) Total Buildings (KSF) | 44 43,355 43,399 | 43,355 43,399 | 44 43,355 43,399 | 43,355 43,399 |
| Civilian Labor Contract Other Total MRRP (M1) (M2) | 9,845 19,425 6,261 35,531 | 4,981 0 4,981 | 4,727 0 4,727 | 3,684 0 3,684 |
| Military Personnel E/S Civilian Personnel E/S Total Personnel E/S | 0 328 328 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 |
| Pavements (KSF) Land (AC) Railroad & Crane Trackage | 5,347 3,658 309 | 5,347 3,658 309 | 5,347 3,658 309 | 5,347 3,658 309 |
| B. Minor Construction (R1 & R2) | | | | |
| Bachelor Housing Environment Energy Health and Safety Welfare and Recreation Mission Other Capital Non Capital Ingranted Equipment Installation | 0 0 32 45 1,509 993 0 17 | 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 000000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 000000000 |
| TOTAL (R1 & R2) | 2,602 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Civilian Labor Contract Other | 152 2,326 124 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 |
| TOTAL (RI & R2) | 2,602 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel E/S Civilian Personnel E/S Total Personnel E/S | 0 7 7 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 |
| C. MRP Physical Security | 358 | 37 | 38 | 0 |
| TOTAL MRP | 38,491 | 5,018 | 4,765 | 3,684 |
| Civilian Labor Contract Other MRP TOTAL | 9,997 22,109 6,385 38,491 | 5,018 0 5,018 | 4,765 0 4,765 | 3,684 0 3,684 |

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (Continued) Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command FY 1990 Performance Criteria. III.

Maintenance of Real Property

205,275 43,355 195,944 43,355 186,613 43,355 Backlog, Maint/Repair (\$000) Total Buildings (KSF)

215,539 43,355

FY 1993

FY 1992

FY 1991

Audit Savings Incorporated in Ourrent Budget Controls

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

Personnel Summary. .

FY 1993 FY 1992 FY 1991 FY 1990

End Strength (E/S)

Military: There are no military personnel associated with this activity group Ä.

1 1 " 335 <u>civilian</u> USDH B.

"|"

Department of the Navy Operation and Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-5

Activity Group: Base Operating Support

Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply & Maintenance

Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

Description of Operations Financed.

This program provides the base support services and material required at field activities under the command of the Naval Supply Systems Command to allow assigned forces and tenants to perform their

The major elements of this program are:

Public Buildings Amendment Act of 1972 (P.L. 92-313) which requires a users service charge payment to GSA Payments to GSA - Includes costs to reimburse the General Services Administration in accordance with for occupied space. Includes costs and administrative expenses.

plants, purchased steam and hot water, heat plants, utility distribution systems, waste systems, air Utility Operations - Includes operating expenses for purchased electricity, electricity generating conditioning and refrigeration plants.

Personnel Operations - Support required for personnel-related functions to include expenses for:

-Other Personnel Support provides for mess halls, sales activities, laundry and dry cleaning

-Morale, Welfare and Recreation provides authorized appropriated fund support for shore-based recreation activities. Base Operations - Mission - Support for those Base Operations functions which are required in direct support of the mission of the base. Expenses are included for the following functions: -Retail Supply Operations funds the management associated with the movement of personal property and assistance rendered to service members in their permanent change of station moves.

I. Description of Operations Financed (Continued).

Maintenance of Installation Equipment provides for maintenance of major shore-based equipment including: service and miscellaneous craft, construction equipment (non-deployable), weapons, electronics, electronic engineering, and fleet moorings. <u>-Other Base Services</u> includes expenses for miscellaneous base support functions (other than Public Works functions) not otherwise included in other functional categories. Typical of such expenses are those incurred by the administrative transportation activities (including motorpools) and

performed which must be sustained to have a functioning base. Expenses are included for the following Base Operations - Ownership - Support required at shore bases regardless of type of mission being

activities and their tenants. This sub-activity group also provides for personnel, supplies and training associated with the identification and disposal of hazardous wastes. leasing of real property, and fire protection and fire fighting for Naval Supply Systems Command services, custodial services, refuse/garbage collection and disposal, snow removal, rental and Other Engineering Support provides for Public Works Department administration, engineering

-<u>Administration</u> provides support related to financial resource management, civilian manpower management, and maintaining military personnel records.

-Physical Security provides for security items, e.g., weapons, radios, etc., over and above routine services funded in Other Engineering Support.

-Automated Data Processing provides analysis, programming, equipment rental, operations and maintenance, contractual services and supplies.

-Audiovisual provides supplies and services required for audiovisual support.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---------------------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|
| | | Budget | Appro- | Current | Budget | Budget |
| | FY 1990 | Request | priation | Estimate | Request | Request |
| Utility Operations | 20,683 | 277 | 277 | 277 | 274 | 280 |
| Base Communications | 13,538 | 1,662 | 1,290 | 1,664 | 1,715 | 1,785 |
| Personnel Operations | 464 | 1 | ı | • | i | I |
| Base Operations - Mission | 22,433 | 99 | 99 | 99 | 89 | 69 |
| Other Base Ownership | 85,749 | 21,975 | 21,387 | 21,448 | 18,186 | 18,221 |
| Total, Base Operations | 142,867 | 23,980 | 23,020 | 23,455 | 20,243 | 20,355 |

| Н | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | 000\$ ut |
|----|--|----------|
| - | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | \$23,455 |
| | 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified C. Defense Business Operations Fund Rates 1) Non-Fuel D. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (IF) E. Other Pricing Adjustments (179) | 942 |
| •• | 3. Functional Transfers A. Transfers In Intra-Appropriation a) Transfer of funding and end strength from CINCIANTEIT (Budget Activity 2) for the Naval Office of Medical and Dental Affairs (NAMA) bill paying services to the Navy Regional Finance Center, Great Lakes. 46 B. Transfers Out I) Intra-Appropriation a) Transfer of funding and end strength from BA 7 Naval Supply Center, Charleston, to CINCIANTEIT BA 2 for bill paying services83 b) Transfer of funding and end strength from BA 7 to the Navy Bureau of Medicine and Surgery (BUMED) in BA 8 to re-establish the Consolidated Civilian Personnel Office at Naval Hospital, Portsmouth, VA474 c) Transfer of funding and end strength from BA 7 to CINCIANTEIT BA 2 for Authorized Accounting Activity Services48 | - 559 |
| | 4. Program Increases A. One-Time FY 1991 Costs 1) Paid Day Change - Additional cost for civilian personnel compensation as FY 1992 has one more paid day than FY 1991. | 20 |

000000

| • |
|---------------------|
| continued) |
| Decreases (|
| Increases and |
| Reconciliation of 1 |
| B. |

000s ut

| ני | Dromasees | | |
|----------|---|----------|----------|
| | A. | (-3.645) | -3,645 |
| | Anticipated savings attributable to Defense Management Review Initiatives for the consolidation of Base | | |
| | Engineering Services. | -207 | |
| | 2) Anticipated savings attributable to Defense Munagement Review Initiatives for the consolidation of DoD ADP | | |
| | systems Design and Operation. | 19 | |
| | Realignment of funding to reflect DOD Decision to realign funding for Child Development and Family | | |
| | Centers out of O&M,N BA 7 into Major Force Program 8. | -188 | |
| | 4) reductions to reflect Congressionally mandated 4 percent Acquisition workforce reduction and various force | | |
| | structure adjustments. | -2,540 | |
| | 2) Decreased Inhalmy to reflect reductions to BOS administrative support functions due to a reduced force | | |
| | structure. | -643 | |
| 9 | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | \$20,243 |
| 7. | Pricing Adjustments | | 494 |
| | A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | (102) | |
| | 1) Classified | 102 | |
| | B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises | (C+ E) | |
| | 1) Classified | .40 | |
| | C. Defense Business Operations Fund Rates | (1) | |
| | 1) indicated Bisiness Operations Rind Rates (TR) | 1 (2) | |
| | | (222) | |
| œ | Functional Transfers | | ı |

000000

| 000\$ uj | 135) | 135 | (-37) -37 (-680) | \$20,355 |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | 9. Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 1) Additional funding required for the Model Financial Information Processing Center (FIPC) Local Area Network (IAN) at the Navy Regional Finance Center (NRFC) Washington, as part of a plan to improve overall | improved data entry accuracy and reduced billing errors. | 10. Program Decreases A. One-Time FY 1992 Costs 1) One less paid day of civilian employment in FY 1993 B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) Reductions to reflect Congressionally mandated 4 percent Acquisition workforce reduction and various force structure adjustments. | 11. FV 1993 President's Budget Request |

| III. Performance Criteria | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Frogram Output | | | | |
| FC. Operation of Utilities (\$000) | 20,683 | 277 | 274 | 280 |
| (Work Units) | | | | |
| Steam and Hct Water (Total) | | | | |
| MBTU | 568.925 | 6.858 | 6,858 | 6,858 |
| (1) Puchased from NIF MBTU | 308,756 | | | , 0 |
| (2) Purchased - Other MBTU | 10.456 | 6,858 | 6,858 | 6,858 |
| (3) Generated In-House MBTU | 249,713 | 0 | 0 | |
| Electricity (Total) | 193,256 | 4,282 | 4,282 | 4,282 |
| (1) Puchased from NIF MWH | 90,049 | | . 0 | 0 |
| (2) Purchased - Other MWH | 100,156 | | 4,282 | 4,282 |
| (3) Generated In-House MWH | 3,051 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Water Plants & Systems KGAL | 374,215 | 10,500 | 10,500 | 10,500 |
| Sewage Plants & Systems KGAL | 361,147 | 10,500 | | 10,500 |
| Air Condition & Refrig TN | | | 1,400 | |
| Other Utility Systems | N/A | • | 0 | , O |
| S&HW-Purchased - NIF | 3,746 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S&HW-Purchased - Other | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| (S&HW-Generated | 793 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total S&HW Costs | 4,598 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Electricity-Purch - NIF | 7,889 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Electricity-Purch - Other | 4,110 | 265 | 262 | |
| Electricity-Generated | 978 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fuels-Plants 750K BTU/HR | 417 | Ö | Ŏ | ō |
| Total Energy Costs | 17,992 | 265 | 262 | 267 |
| Water Plants & Systems | 1,071 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Sewage Plants & Systems | 764 | 3 | | 3 |
| Air Condition & Refrigeration | 322 | Ō | Ö | ō |
| Other Utility Systems | 534 | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| Total - Non-Energy Costs | 2,691 | 12 | 12 | 13 |
| Total N1 | 20,683 | 277 | 274 | 280 |
| Civilian Labor | 521 | 0 | . 0 | 0 |
| Contract (Incl IF) | 19,610 | 277 | 274 | 280 |
| Other | 552 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 20,683 | 277 | 274 | 280 |

| III. Performance Criteria | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|----------|---------|------------|---------|
| Program Output | | | | |
| Military Personnel E/S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Civilian Personnel E/S | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Personnel E/S | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FN. Base Communication (\$000) | 17.578 | 1.664 | 1.715 | 1.788 |
| Military Fersonnel E/S | 0 | 0 | | 0 |
| Civilian E/S | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Personnel E/s | 37 | 0 | 0 518 | ō |
| Number of Instruments | 19,650 | 503 | 518 | 539 |
| Number of Mainlines | 14,500 | 319 | 329 | 341 |
| Daily Average Message Traffic | 6,400 | 724 | 329 746 | 776 |
| FK. Other Personnel Support (\$000) | 109 | o | 0 | 0 |
| Military Fersonnel E/S | 0 | 0 | 0 | ٥ |
| Civilian Personnel E/S | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Personnel E/S | 7 | Ö | 0 | 0 |
| 10 cai rersonnei E/S | r | U | U | V |
| Military E/S Served | 1,400 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Civilian E/S Served | 2,409 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total E/S Served | 3,809 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OFG. Retail Supply Operations (\$000) | 7,291 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Chilehann Bandanal B/C | 0 | 0 | ^ | 0 |
| Military Personnel E/S Civilian Fersonnel E/S | 0 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Personnel E/S | 273 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Personnel E/5 | 613 | U | U | U |
| Line Items Carried (000) | 2,128 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Receipts (000) | 2,094 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Issues (000) | 6,256 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FH. Maintenance of Installation (\$000) | 1,168 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel E/S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Civilian Fersonnel E/S | 21 | 0 | Ō | Ō |
| Total Personnel E/S | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FR. Other Base Svcs (\$000) | 13,974 | 66 | 68 | 69 |
| Military Personnel E/S | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Civilian Personnel E/S | 313 | Ō | Ö | ō |
| Total Personnel E/S | 313 | ō | ō | ō |
| | | | | |

| III. Performance Criteria | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | | | | |
| Program Output . | | | | |
| Motor Vehicles Owned | 1,257 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Motor Vehicles Leased | 721 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total No. Motor Vehicles | 1,978 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total No. Motor Venicles | 2,0.0 | · | • | - |
| FD. Other Engineering Support (\$000) | 22,281 | 489 | 468 | 480 |
| Custodial Services (KSF) | 23.890 | 177 | 177 | 177 |
| Entomology Services (KSF) | 41,633 | | 148 | |
| Refuse/Collect/Disp (KSF) | 291 | | | |
| WeinselCollectinish (Kpt) | 231 | • | • | • |
| Admin/Engineering | 4,722 | 104 | 108 | 111 |
| Leases, Easement of Real Prop | 90 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| | | | | |
| All Other Services | 17,469 | 303 | 330 | |
| Total (P1) | 22,281 | 489 | 508 | 524 |
| Labor | £ 5£9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | | 489 | | _ |
| Contract | • | | | _ |
| Other | 5,637 | | _ | _ |
| Total (F1) | 22,281 | 489 | 468 | 480 |
| Military Personnel E/S | 0 | 0 | 0 | o |
| | | | | |
| Civilian Personnel E/S | 222 | | | |
| Total Personnel E/S | 222 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FF. Administration (\$000) | 60,986 | 18,542 | 15,310 | 15,265 |
| · ¹⁷ | 80 | 00 | 60 | 60 |
| Number of Bases, CONUS | 59 | | | 60 |
| , Number of Bases, Overseas | 2 | | | 2 |
| Number of Bases, Total | 61 | 62 | 62 | 62 |
| Military Personnel E/S | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Civilian Personnel E/S | 1,454 | 297 | 312 | 316 |
| Total Personnel E/S | 1,455 | 297 | 312 | 316 |
| FQ. BOS Automated Data Processing (\$000) | 2,232 | 2,385 | 2,375 | 2,441 |
| Milihama Bandanal E/C | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Personnel E/S | _ | = | 13 | |
| Civilian Personnel E/S | 19 | 11 | | 14 |
| Total Personnel E/S | 19 | 11 | 13 | 14 |
| FL. MWR Support (\$000) | 355 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Military Fersonnel E/S | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Civilian Personnel E/S | 4 | Ö | 0 | ō |
| | 7 | 0 | 0 | Ö |
| Total Personnel E/S | / | U | U | U |

| III. Performance Criteria | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Program Output V2. Audiovisual (\$000) | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FV. Physical Security (\$000) | 0 | 32 | 33 | 35 |
| FE. Payments to GSA (\$000) Leased Space (000 SQ FT) | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| OBOS Total | 142,367 | 23,455 | 20,243 | 20,355 |

III. <u>Performance Criteria</u>. See Attachment A.

Audit Savings Incorporated in Ourrent Budget Controls

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

IV. Personnel Summary.

FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993

End Strength (E/S)

| 4- | 328 328 |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| 4- | 323 |
| 77 | 413 413 |
| 4 4 | 2,364 2,364 |
| Military Officer Enlisted | <u>Civilian</u> USDH |
| Ä | ë. |

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: <u>Environmental Protection</u>

Budget Activity: 7—Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

and the operation of facilities for storage, treatment, or disposal of hazardous waste. Funding for this program is a result of realignment of funds from Base Operations Support and a centralized account previously and inspection, issue, trarsportation and disposal of hazardous waste. It also includes the training of personnel that handle hazardous waste, development of contingency plans and hazardous waste management plans, operations. This includes determination of the chemical and physical nature of waste; and receipt, testing budgeted under Central Supply and Maintenance. This sub-activity group was functionally transferred from Activity Group C5, Claims and Other Court Directed Activities, to Activity Group Environmental Protection Hazardous Waste - This program provides for hazardous waste disposal and other non-disposal hazardous prior to FY 1991.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| FY 1992 | Estimate Request Request | 6,269 5,480 4,091 | 5,269 5,480 4,091 |
|---------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| FY 1991 | priation 1 | * | · · |
| 4 order | Y 1990 Request | ' ' | ı |
| | EV.] | Hazardous Waste | Total |

Activity Group: <u>Environmental Protection (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

| B. Re | ğ | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | (3000) |
|-------------|----|--|----------------------|---------|
| П | ij | FY 1991 Current Estimate | | \$6,269 |
| N | | Pricing Adjustments A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (IF) B. Other Pricing Adjustments | (5) (238) | 243 |
| en 4 | 4 | Functional Program Transfers Transfers In Inter-Appropriation Transfer of funding from the Defense Logistics Agency to | 7 | r 1 |
| w 7 | ហំ | Program Decreases B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Base Closure - Anticipated savings attributable to planned closures of Naval facilities worldwide. 1) Afloat Safety Training - Reduced funding to offset the implementation costs of the Navy Occupational and Safety Hazard (NAVOSH) initiative to upgrade safety training and course reviews in support of the CNO safety standown. 2) Hazardous Waste - Reductions in Hazardous Waste Funding as a result of savings in the hazardous waste disposal operations. | ,039) -72 -800 | -1,039 |
| • | • | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | \$5,480 |
| | 7. | Pricing Adjustments A. Other Defense Business Operations Fund Rates (IF) B. Other Pricing Adjustments | (3) (196) | 199 |
| ~ | 8 | Functional Program Transfers | | 1 |

| Environmental Protection (Continued) | Supply Systems Command |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| / Group: | Naval |
| Activity (| Claimant: |

| (0005) | í | -1,588 | \$4,091 |
|---|----------------------|---|--|
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | 9. Program Increases | 10. Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) Base Closure - Savings attributable to planned closures of Naval facilities worldwide. 2) Hazardous Waste - Reductions in Hazardous Waste Funding as a result of savings in the in the hazardous waste disposal operations. | 11. FY 1993 President's Budget Request |

Activity Group: <u>Environmental Protection (Continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Supply Systems Command</u>

III. Performance Criteria.

| 990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | ,625 6,269 5,480 4,091 |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Program Output | Hazardous Material Control and Management (\$000) |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Ourrent Budget Controls

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

IV. Personnel Summary.

End Strength (E/S)

A. Military There are no military personnel associated with this activity group.

<u>Civilian</u> There are no civilian personnel associated with this activity group. æ,

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: <u>Claims and Other Court Directed Activities</u> Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply and Maintenance

Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

Injury Compensation - Reimburses the Department of Labor for compensation and medical benefits paid to Department of Labor billing procedures, the actual payment by Navy to Labor is made two years after the period in which the costs were incurred. The FY 1991 request reflects actual costs for compensation and civilian employees of the Department of the Navy who sustain job-related illness or injuries. Under benefits incurred from 1 July 1988 through 30 June 1989.

and the operation of facilities for storage, treatment, or disposal of hazardous waste. Funding for this program is a result of realignment of funds from Base Operations Support and a centralized account previously personnel that handle hazardous waste, development of contingency plans and hazardous waste management plans, operations. This includes determination of the chemical and physical nature of waste; and receipt, testing <u>Hazardous Waste</u> - This program provides for hazardous waste disposal and other non-disposal hazardous and inspection, issue, transportation and disposal of hazardous waste. It also includes the training of budgeted under Central Supply and Maintenance. This sub-activity group was functionally transferred to Activity Group E4, Environmental Protection, prior to FY 1991.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout

| | FY 1990 | Budget Request | Budget Appro- Current Request priation Estimate | Current | FY 1992 Budget Request | FY 1993 Budget Request | |
|---------------------|---------|-------------------|--|---------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Hazardous Waste | 5,625 | 6,275 | 6,264 | i | i | ı | |
| Injury Compensation | 1 | 5,538 | 5,530 | 5,538 | 5,630 | 2,660 | |
| Total | 2,625 | 11,813 | 11,794 | 5,538 | 5,630 | 5,660 | |

Activity Group: Claims and Other Court Directed Activities (Continued) Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

| B. Rec | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued). | | (0005) |
|---------|---|------|---------|
| ri - | 1. FY 1991 Current. Estimate | | \$5,538 |
| તં | Pricing Adjustments | | ı |
| 3 | Functional Program Transfers | | 1 |
| 4 | Prog A. | (95) | 95 |
| | 1) Increased funding for civillah injury compensation payments associated with the Federal Employees Compensation Act (FECA). | 35 | |
| ນ | Program Decreases | | 1 |
| • | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | \$5,630 |
| 7. | Pricing Adjustment | | 1 |
| æ | Functional Program Transfers | | ı |
| 6 | Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 1) Increased funding for civilian injury compensation payments associated with the Federal Employees Compensation Act (FECA). | (30) | 30 |
| 10. | Program Decreases | | 1 |
| 11. | FY 1993 President's Budget Request | | \$2,660 |

Activity Group: Claims and Other Court Directed Activities (Continued) Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria.

2,660 FY 1993 5,630 FY 1992 5,538 FY 1991 FY 1990 Injury Compensation Payments (\$000) Program Output

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

IV. Personnel Summary.

End Strength (E/S)

A. Military There are no military personnel associated with this activity group.

Civilian There are no civilian personnel associated with this activity group. œ.

Operation & Maintenance, Navy Department of the Navy Exhibit OP-05

Military Construction Support

7-Central Supply and Maintenance y Group: 1

Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

This program provides for the procurement of collateral equipment that is required to initially outfit new military construction at naval shore activities. Beginning in FY 1991, budgeting and funding responsibility for collateral equipment was transferred from the Naval Facilities Command to the benefiting major budget claimant.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| FY 1993 | Budget Request | 452 | 452 |
|---------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------|
| FY 1992 | Budget Request | <u>556</u> | 556 |
| | Ourrent Estimate | 975 | 975 |
| FY 1991 | Budget Appro- Request priation | 975 | 975 |
| | Budget Request | <u>977</u> | 716 |
| | FY 1990 | ' | • |
| | | Collateral Equipment | Total |

440000

Activity Group: Military Construction Support (Continued) Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

| B. | | Reconciliation of Budget to Current Estimate (continued), | | Amount |
|----|-----|---|--------|--------|
| i | • | FY 1991 Current Estimate | | \$975 |
| 2. | .• | Pricing Adjustments A. Other Pricing Adjustments | (38) | 38 |
| e. | • | Functional Transfers | | 1 |
| 4 | | Other Increases | | i |
| , | .• | Other Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Decrease in funding required for procuring collateral equipment due to a reduction in new military construction at NAVSUP activities. | (-457) | -457 |
| .9 | | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | \$556 |
| 7. | • | Pricing Adjustments A. Other pricing Adjustments | (21) | 21 |
| æ | • | Functional Program Transfers | | 1 |
| 6 | • | Program Increases | | ı |
| ĭ | 10. | Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) Decrease in funding required for procuring collateral equipment, reflecting reduced requirements for new military construction at NAVSUP activities. | (-125) | -125 |
| 11 | 11. | FY 1993 President's Budget Request | | \$452 |

977.00

| _ | |
|----------------|--|
| Inved | |
| Continu | |
| Support (| |
| Construction | |
| Cons | |
| Hilltary | |
| tv Group: | |
| - | |

| FY 1993 | | 540 |
|-----------------|-----------------------|--|
| FY 1992 FY 1993 | | 520 |
| FY 1991 | | 826 |
| FY 1990 | | 0 |
| | Performance Criteria. | teral Equipment funding provides for the tall outfitting" of newly constructed MILCON ilities at Naval Shore Activities. |

FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME."

" Personnel Summary.

RECT FUNDED PERSONNEL ARE ASSOCIATED WITH THE FUNDING OF THIS PROGRAM.

III. Performance Criteria.

FY 1993 FY 1992 FY 1991 FY 1990 Program Output

VI. Personnel Summary.

Collateral Equipment Purchases (\$000)

End Strength (E/S)

There are no military personnel associated with this activity group. A. Military

There are no civilian personnel associated with this activity group. **Civilian**

œ,

Department of the Navy Operation and Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05 Addendum

Activity Group: Military Construction Support (Continued) Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply & Maintenance Claimant: Naval Supply Systems Command

| 超 瓦 | Reconciliation of Budget to Current Estimate. FY 1991 President's Budget Request | | Amount \$977 |
|---------------------|---|------|-----------------|
| Congress A. Undi | Congressional Adjustments A. Undistributed | (-5) | 7 |
| FY 1991 | FY 1991 Appropriation | | \$975 |
| Pricing | Pricing Adjustments | | • |
| Other Increases | creases | | 1 |
| Other Decreases | creases | | • |
| FY 1991 | FY 1991 Current Estimate | | \$975 |

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Budget Activity: Claimant:

Claims and Other Court Directed Activities 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance

Naval Sea Systems Command

Description of Operations Financed.

The following programs are included in this activity group:

This includes payments to military personnel and civilian employees of the Department resulting from damages caused by vessels in the Navy service, billings for survey services in connection with admiralty claims, and payments to the Post Office Department for losses attributable to Navy and Marine Corps o <u>Claims</u> - This program provides resources necessary for the payment of noncontractual claims against the of the Navy for property losses incident to their services, payment of tort claims caused by negligent or wrongful acts or omission of any employee of the Department of the Navy, payments of admiralty claims Department of the Navy. postal clerks.

inspection, issue, transportation and disposal of hazardous waste. It also includes the training of personnel This includes determination of the chemical and physical nature of waste; receipt, testing and that handle hazardous waste, development of contingency plans and hazardous waste management plans and the o <u>Hazardous Waste</u> - This program provides for hazardous waste disposal and other non-disposal hazardous operation of facilities for storage, treatment, or disposal of hazardous waste. operations.

Department of Labor billing procedures, the actual payment by Navy to Labor is made two years after the period o <u>Injury Compensation</u> - Reimburses the Department of Labor for compensation and medical benefits paid to civilian employees of the Department of the Navy who sustain job-related illness or injuries. Under in which the costs were incurred. Activity Group: <u>Claims and Other Court Directed Activities (continued)</u>
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

11. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | FY 1990 | Budget | Appro- | Current | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---------------------------|-------------|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| | Actual | Request | priation Estimate Request Request | Estimate | Request | Request |
| | | 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | |
| HAZARDOUS WASTE | S | \$2,995 | 20 | | \$ | \$ |
| INJURY COMPENSATION | 0 | 8,212 | 8,212 8,212 | | 5,862 5,622 5,671 | 5,671 |
| | | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | |
| Total, CLAIMS AND OTHER | | | | | | |
| COURT DIRECTED ACTIVITIES | \$ 0 | \$11,207 | \$11,207 \$8,212 | | \$5,862 \$5,622 \$5,671 | \$5,671 |

FY 1991

238.0

7. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

| II. Financia | II. Financial Summary (continued) | | | |
|----------------|---|----------|----------------|--------------|
| B. Rec | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | | <u>\$000</u> |
| ä | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | | | 5,862 |
| 2. | Pricing Adjustments A. Other Pricing Adjustments | _ | 229) | 529 |
| m ⁱ | Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) INJURY COMPENSATION - The decrease reflects reduced requirements for compensation and medical benefits paid to civilian employees who sustain job-related illness or injuries. | • | -469) -469 | -469 |
| 4 | Fy 1992 President's Budget Request | | | 2,622 |
| | Pricing Adjustments A. Other Pricing Adjustments | ~ | 208) | 208 |
| 6. | Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) INJURY COMPENSATION - The decrease reflects reduced requirements for compensation and medical benefits paid to civilian employees who sustain job-related illness or injuries. | ~ | -159) | - 159 |
| r | ry sons becaident's Rudoet Request | | | 5,671 |

Claims and Other Court Directed Activities (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command

Activity Group: Claimant:

Activity Group: Claims and Other Court Directed Activities (continued) Claimant:

III. Performance Criteria.

A. INJURY COMPENSATION

The Injury Compensation program provides for funding of injury compensation under the Federal Employee Compensation Act (FECA).

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---------------------|----------|----------------------|----------|----------|
| | \$ Units | \$ Units | \$ Units | \$ Units |
| Total Funding | 0 | 1 | 5,622 | 5,671 |
| Injury Compensation | 0 5,862 | 14 16 18 18 | 5,622 | |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

IV. Personnel Summary.

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Budget Activity:

Military Construction Support
7 - Central Supply and Maintenance
Naval Sea Systems Command

Claimant:

Description of Operations Financed.

This program provides for the procurement of collateral equipment that is required to initially outfit new military construction at naval shore activities. This program is centrally budgeted by the Naval Facilities Engineering Command. However, effective FY 1991, budgeting and funding responsibility for collateral equipment will transfer from the Naval Facilities Command to the benefiting major budget claimant.

11. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | Budget Appro- Current FY 1992 FY 1993 Request priation Estimate Request Request | \$220 \$1,516 \$2,234 | \$220 \$1,516 \$2,234 |
|---------|--|-----------------------|---|
| | FY 1992 Request | \$1,516 | \$1,516 |
| 1 | Current FY 1992 FY 1993 Estimate Request Request | | |
| FY 1991 | Budget Appro- Request priation | \$367 | \$367 |
| | Budget Request | \$367 | \$367 |
| | FY 1990 Actual | 0\$ | \$ |
| | | COLLATERAL EQUIPMENT | Total, MILITARY CONSTRUCTION SUPPORT |

| | \$000 | 220 | 50 | 1,276 |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---|---|
| | | | 20) 20 | 1,276) |
| | | | • | |
| Activity Group: Military Construction Support (continued) Claimant: | B. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases.</u> | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fred) | 3. Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 1) COLLATERAL EQUIPMENT - The increase reflects the purchase of collateral equipment for mandatory operational functions such as the purchase of a mobile equipment loader, storage cabinets, fire hose testers, and furniture for the station house at Mare Island Naval Shipyard (37). The increase also reflects the purchase of equipment for the submarine weapons systems shop (83), and MK-48 material handling facility (83), and fire station equipment (43) at the Naval Undersea Weapons Engineering Station (NUWES) Keyport. The increase also reflects the purchase of equipment for the computer operations building at Fleet Combat Direction System Support Activity (FCDSSA) Dam Neck (70), for the weapons systems equipment lab at Naval (70), for the weapons systems equipment lab at Naval Ship Weapons System Engineering Station (NSWSES) Port Hueneme (280), for the AEGIS Life Cycle Support Center at Naval Surface Weapons Center (NSWC) Dahlgren (148), and for the PMALANX facility at Naval Ordnance Station (NOS) Louisville (180). Additional increases are for the purchase of equipment for the weapons test evaluation facility at Naval Weapons Station (NWS) Seal |

2,234

| | | | | 13) | 705) | |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | <u> </u> | . | |
| Activity Group: Military Construction Support (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | Beach (324) and for the wastewater treatment facility at Naval Ordnance Station (NOS) Indian Head (28). | 4. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | 5. Pricing Adjustments A. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) | 6. Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 COLLATERAL EQUIPMENT - The increase reflects the purchase of collateral equipment for mandatory operational functions such as the purchase of storage cabinets, fire hose testers, and furniture for the new fire station at Mare Island NSY (39). The increase also reflects additional support for outfitting the Standard Missile Test Cell at Naval Weapons Station Concord (666). | 7. FY 1993 President's Budget Request |

1,516

13

705

\$000

Activity Group: Military Construction Support (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria.

COLLATERAL EQUIPMENT

This program provides centralized funding for collateral equipment required to initially outfit Congressionally authorized new Military Construction, Navy (MILCON) projects at Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA) shore activities. Collateral equipment funding has been authorized for projects at shore activities.

| | FY 1990 \$ Units | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---------|--|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | 0 220 1,516 2,234 */*********************************** | 220 | 1,516 | 2,234 |
| Station | 00 | 55 165 | 96 1,420 | 137 2,097 |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

IV. <u>Personnel Summary.</u> (N/A)

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Budget Activity:

Claimant:

Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance

Naval Sea Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed.

missiles and missile launchers, guns and small and large caliber conventional ammunition. The activity group also funds maintenance, repair, and calibration of mines and various types of nuclear weapons. Requirements for these programs may vary each year due to variables such as ship overhaul schedule, age of equipment, and This activity group provides support for Navy weapons systems ashore and afloat. Various types of support include depot maintenance, tactical software maintenance, repair and refurbishment of surface-to-surface newer, more complex equipment entering the Fleet.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| Kequest | 6,918 | | \$127,227 |
|----------|---|--|--|
| Kequest | 096'9 | | \$142,185 \$125,196 \$127,227 |
| Lstimate | 5,165 | /07 | |
| priation | 5,207 | 797 | \$171,796 \$143,208 |
| Request | 6,069 6,069 | 325 | \$171,796 |
| Actua | 141,68 5,17 | 56 | \$147,145 |
| | SURF WARFARE SYS REWORK/MAINI AMMUNITION SYS REWORK/MAINT | SUBMARINE WARFARE SYS REWORK/M | Total, SHIP LAUNCH WPNS |
| | Actual Request priation Estimate | Actual Request priation Estimate 141,680 \$165,402 \$137,734 \$136,753 5,170 6,069 5,207 5,165 | Request priation Estimate \$165,402 \$137,734 \$136,753 6,069 5,207 5,165 325 267 267 |

II. Financial Summary (continued)

000\$

11,200

142,185

8,903

8,902 7,550

Other Program Growth in FY 1992 1) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS REWORK AND MAINTENANCE - The

personnel policy which eliminates reimbursable funding

at non-industrial funded activities.

<u>ж</u>

9,512 9,512

9

1,675

| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pa 1) Classified B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified C. Defense Business Operations Fund (I) 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) D. Other Pricing Adjustments A. One Time FY 1992 Costs One additional workday of civilian |
|---|
|---|

(NIU) programs from the production contractor effort to

support due to the transition of the New Threat Upgrade

The Long Range Missile Weapon Systems program increase reflects additional Tactical Computer Maintenance

Threat Upgrade (NTU) programs from the production contractor to the In-service Engineering Agent (2,160).

increase in the Medium Range Missile Weapon Systems program reflects additional Tactical Computer Maintenance support due to the transition of the New Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

II. Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

ammunition is non-issuable and creates the need to move change in the mix of components repaired (not displayed processes for repairing NATO SEASPARROW Surface Missile the program in its transition from contractor supported Ammunition Depot Maintenance program, the increase will location (1,031). The Nuclear Weapon Support program increase reflects new Tomahawk variants which are in the performance criteria) resulting in an increase in cost (270). The increase for the Self Defense rounds of ammunition which will reduce the backlog of scheduled for depot level maintenance beginning in FY reflects scheduled overhauls of gun components/2J COG This will assist ammunition in an unserviceable code. Unserviceable provide for the renovation of an additional 150,000 increase in the Gun Weapon Systems Overhaul program 2) AMMUNITION SYSTEMS REWORK AND MAINTENANCE-In the performance criteria) which provide procedures and In-service Engineering effort (767) and there is a Surface Weapon System reflects an increase to Test serviceable ammunition unnecessarily from another maintenance, handling and support costs (321) Repair Standards (TRS) (not displayed in the repair to in-house repair efforts (2,035). 1992 and will cause increases in operating Systems and associated components. equipment (2,318).

636.00

Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

11. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

2000

-37,092

-37,092

Program Decreases
 A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992
 I) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS REWORK AND MAINTENANCE -

repairs, computers, fire control systems and signal data increased costs in other program because of the need to maintenance backlog (-5,361). The decrease causes 2 fewer NATO SEASPARROW Missile to be repaired (-917) and 1) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS REWORK AND MAINTENANCE - For Asset Readiness (AR) which will just meet ship fill requirements (-7,088). At this AR level there will be repaired (-1,603). The decrease impacts other efforts impacts factory level support which will result in an program reflects 2 fewer MK 92 Control Antenna System Missile Weapon Systems Depot Maintenance the decrease reflects 19 fewer launcher overhauls and 2 fewer Fire (CAS) and System Tracking and Illumining Radar (STIR) overhauls for FFG 7 class ships, impacting the depot decrease in the Medium Range Missile Weapon Systems maintenance backlog (-4,225), and fewer replacement parts will be provided (-329). For the Long Range the Standard Missile Rework program, the decrease such as Readiness Improvement, Below Deck System 5 fewer Target Acquisition Systems (TAS) will be Control System overhauls, impacting the depot relocate assets to meet fleet requirements.

498, 00

Vertical Launching System is increasing the decrease in the Vertical Launch System (VLS) program will result in

processors (-1,211). Although the population of

Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

11. <u>Financial Summary (continued)</u>

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

drastically reduced support for technical assistance to during Selected Restricted availabilities (SRA) for VLS The decrease also impacts depot maintenance equipments associated with the overhauls (-181) and fewer replacement parts provided (-179). The decrease causes a reduction of 13 composite Block 0 overhauls of mine countermeasure systems on Mine Counter Measure reduction will increase the level of depot maintenance will not be inspected and launcher testing and repair program. This reduction will impact the availability CIWS equipments for fleet use (-9,345). In the Mine maintenance support is reduced increasing the backlog Maintenance program the decrease material management decrease in the cost due to the change in the mix of backlog for the AN/SLQ-32 electronic warfare system. additional MK 86 overhauls are performed there is a repair deployed launchers, fleet returned canisters AN/SLQ-17 is reduced due to a decreasing number of ships will not be performed (-3,700). Although 8 Repair and software support for the carrier based maintenance support of mine hardware and support for the Close-In Weapon Systems (CIWS) overhaul (MCM) ships in the active fleet (-1,309). The electronic warfare suites is increasing, depot resources required for intermediate and depot Although the population of AN/WLR-1 of repairable equipments (-1,351). equipment. systems.

| | | 0003 | | 125,196 | 1,984 | | | | | 11,558 |
|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | -293 | | | (m m | 10 01 | (969 | 1,275) | 11,558) |
| | | | | | | ~ | - | _ | J | |
| Activity Group: Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command | II. Financial Summary (continued) | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | 2) SUBMARINE WARFARE SYSTEM REWORK AND MAINTENANCE - The Vertical Launch system program efforts are discontinued in this activity group and are being executed in the Engineering Support Service Activity Group. | 5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | of the second second | 6. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | I) Classified B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises | Classified Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) | 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) D. Other Pricing Adjustments | 7. Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 I) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS REWORK AND MAINTENANCE - The increase in the Standard Missile (SM-1) program reflects an additional 585 missile components overhauled reducing the depot maintenance backlog (2,256). The increase in the Medium Range Missile Weapon Systems program reflects additional Tactical Computer Maintenance support requirement due to the transition of the New Threat Upgrade (NTU) programs from production contractor effort to the In-service |

Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

11. Financial Summary (continued)

3. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Engineering Agent effort (ISEA) (3,059). Additional Tactical Computer Maintenance support will be provided in the Long Range Missile Weapon Systems Depot program due to the transition of the New Threat Upgrade (NTU) programs from production contractor effort to the In-Service Engineering Agent effort (1,148). The increase for the Self Defense Surface Weapon System reflects an increase of 7 more NATO SEASPARROW Missiles repaired (1,587) and 3 more Target Acquisition Systems repaired (1,173) decreasing the depot maintenance backlog. There is also an increase in the repair of 12 fleet returned canister for the Vertical Launch System (VLS) program (not displayed in the performance criteria) (868). The Gun Weapon Systems Overhaul Support program will receive an additional 3 Mk 86 overhaul (478) and additional Replacement parts will be provided (144). There are 2 fewer Close-In Weapon System (CIWS) overhauls due to an increase in the cost of operating the repair facility (845).

8. Program Decreases
A. One-Time FY 1993 Costs
1) One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 at various field activities reflecting the DOD personnel policy which eliminates reimbursable funding at non-industrial funded activities.
B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993

-11,511

Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Systems overhaul program (-3,887). In addition, I less MK 5 Launcher Turnaround gear will be refurbished (-775). The decrease for the Self Defense Surface warfare system (-4 $ar{8}$ 3). In addition, repair and software and associated components (-588). This decrease adversely affect efforts begun in FY 1992 to transition 1) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS REWORK AND MAINTENANCE - The Standards (TRS) which provide procedures and processes -3,172) and 1 less replacement part supported (-121). signal data processors (-1,103). The decrease in the reflects 3 fewer Mk 92 Fire Control Antenna overhauls or repairing NATO SEASPARROW Surface Missile Systems support. Additionally, there is a decrease to other Gun Weapon Systems program is due to the mix of gun System repairs, computers, fire control systems and equipments and defferral of updates of intermediate decrease in the Medium Range Missile Weapon Systems here will be no support provided for the SPG55B urnaround effort in the Long Range Missile Weapon efforts such as Readiness Improvement, Below Deck weapon systems overhauled (-1,079). The decrease reflects reduced intermediate maintenance of mine naintenance backlog for the AN/SLQ-32 electronic Weapon System reflects a decrease to Test Repair contractor supported repair efforts to in-house reduction will increase the level of the depot maintenance documentation manuals (-106)

11,332

.

<u>Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued)</u>
Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

II. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

\$000

-178

support for the AN/SLQ-17 and AN/WLR-1 electronic warfare suites is reduced (-18).

2) AMMUNITION SYSTEMS REWORK AND MAINTENANCE-In the Ammunition Depot Maintenance program the decrease reflects reduced administrative support ammunition depot maintenance (-121). The Nuclear Weapons Support program reflects reduced administrative support for depot level maintenance (-57).

3. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

127,2

26.9

Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

III. Performance Criteria.

SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS REWORK AND MAINTENANCE

provided to maintain the tactical computer programs for medium and long range missile weapons systems and to establish organic depot capability for CIWS and VLS. Depot maintenance for ASM/EW systems includes life cycle software maintenance, updating and maintaining software configuration baselines and reproduction and Specific systems supported include: standard missiles; long range and medium range missile weapons systems, which includes the MK-92 antennas; Vertical Launching System (VLS) canisters; NATO SEASPARROW Launchers; (Electronic Warfare) (ASM/EW) systems. The requirements for depot repair or overhaul are based on the systems' estimated time between overhauls and the ships' scheduled industrial availabilities. The repair of equipments. Most of the funding in this program is to support scheduled overhauls. Additional funding is this program provides funding for depot level repair, overhaul, and maintenance of surface weapon systems. the missile weapons systems and the gun systems depend on the ships' overhaul schedules for access to the Also included are overhauls, system removals, system major gun weapons systems, including Close In Weapon Systems (CIWS); mines; and Anti-Ship Missile distribution of software revisions to the fleet. refurbishments and repairs.

| · · | fy 1990 \$ Units | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 \$ Units |
|---------|-------------------------|---------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Funding | 141,680 | 136,753 | 118,236 120,309 | 120,309 |

NUMBER OF MAJOR SYSTEMS IN SERVICE:

Total

MISSILE WEAPONS SYSTEMS Medium Range Missile Weapon Sys/Ships

377/126 342/114

342/114

354/118

Activity Group: Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | Ε¥ | FY 1990 | FΥ | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | FY | FY 1993 |
|---|----------|------------------|----|------------------|----|------------------|----------|----------|
| | ~ | Units | - | \$ Units | • | Units | ~ | \$ Units |
| long Range Missile Weapon Systems/Ships | | 159/29 | | 155/28 | | 141/24 | | 137/23 |
| Vertical Launch Systems/Ships | | 50/31 | | 65/39 | | 71/45 | | 83/54 |
| NATO SEASPARROW Surface Missile Systems/Ships | | 84/58 | | 84/58 | | 09/98 | | 92/63 |
| Target Acquisition Systems/Ships | | 46/46 | | 46/46 | | 53/53 | | 65/65 |
| Basic Point Defense Systems/Ships Major Guns/GFCS * | | 24/15 442/146 | | 22/13 442/146 | | 22/13 420/130 | | 18/10 |
| Close-In Weapon Systems | | 494 | | 530 | | 564 | | 579 |

000371

Activity Group: Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | ξ | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | 91 | FY 1992 | 26 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|--|----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|-------|
| | ~ | Units | | Units | ~ | Units | ~ | Units |
| ASM Systems | | 358 | | 368 | | 372 | | 377 |
| * The performance criteria has been changed to reflect the number of Gun fire Control Systems. | inged to | reflect th | ne number | of Gun | fire Cor | itrol Sys | stems. | |
| EFFORTS PERFORMED: | | | | | | | | |
| 1. MISSILE COMPONENTS WORKED | 39,40 | 39,407 3,983 | 36,090 | 36,090 2,851 | 31,886 | 31,886 2,678 34,720 3,263 | 34,720 | 3,263 |
| 2. REWORK AND OVERHAULS SCHEDULED | | | | | | | | |
| a. MISSILE WEAPONS SYSTEMS | 33,048 | æ | 32,522 | | 21,175 | | 18,211 | |
| Fire Control Systems, Med Range | | 7 | | 80 | | 9 | | м |
| Long Range Missile Weapons Systems Launchers | | 99 | | 09 | | 4 , | | 40 |
| Fire Control Systems | | ₹ | | 4 | | 7 | | > |

C20372

Activity Group: <u>Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY | FY 1990 | FΥ | FY 1991 | FY | FY 1992 | FΥ | FY 1993 |
|---|--------|-----------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| | • | Units | · • | Units | · • | Units | . | Units |
| NATO SEASPARROW Surface Missile System | | 78 | | 63 | | 40 | | 47 |
| Target Acquisition Systems | | S | | 80 | | ю | | 9 |
| Vertical Launching Systems (Launchers Supported) | | 32 | | 62 | | 11 | | 83 |
| b. GUN WEAPONS SYSTEMS | 44,471 | 7.1 | 41,512 | 2 | 38,792 | 2 | 39,454 | ** |
| Gun Weapon System Replacement Program | | 17 | | 56 | | 15 | | 15 |
| MK 86 Overhauls | | 18 | | 20 | | 28 | | 33 |
| CIWS Overhauls | | 43 | | 45 | | 35 | | 30 |
| 3. REPLACEMENT PARTS AND INTERIM SUPPORT Medium Range Gun Weapons Sys | 3,701 | 01 25 118 | 4,751 | 25 190 | 4,216 | 6 19 189 | 4,275 | 5 18 206 |

000373

Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|-------------------|----------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| | \$ Units | \$ Units | \$ Units | \$ Units | |
| MINE MAINTENANCE/ | | C C | 6 | 661 6 | |
| COMPONENTS IN | 2,619 | 3,763 | 618,7 | 5,756 | |
| *(000) | 60 | | | | |

*Beginning in FY 1991 the methodology for computing intermediate and depot maintenance of mine hardware and support equipment has been changed. Beginning in FY 1991 the Mine Fleet Support Intermediate Maintenance effort has been realigned to the Mine Maintenance depot maintenance program to consolidate program intermediate maintenance activity efforts in depot maintenance.

| | _ | 215 | 0 197 | ~ | 349 8 28 |
|---|----------------------|------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|
| | 12,779 | | | 8,138 | |
| | 8 | 198 | 170 | σ | 338 8 28 |
| | 10,813 | | | 8,539 | |
| | 0 | 149 | 0 151 | 22 | 328 10 22 |
| | 8,760 | | | 9,355 | |
| | 4 | 195 | 0 151 | 0 | 325 13 21 |
| | 9,144 | | | 9,290 | |
| • | | | | > | |
| | Ļ | sms : | Facility ims | IANCE-PFSV | AN/SLQ-32 (# of systems) AN/SLQ-17 (# of systems) AN/WLR-1 (# of systems) (Fleet Population) |
| | 5. TACTICAL COMPUTER | AINIENANG Ange Prog | Computer Program Facilia Update Long Range Programs | 6. ASM SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE-P | 2 (# of : 7 (# of : (# of sy |
| | CTICAL CO | FIWARE M | Computer Update Long Ran | M SYSTEM | AN/SLQ-3 AN/SLQ-1 AN/WLR-1 (Fleet P |
| | TA. | os – | | . AS | - |

<u>Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued)</u>
Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

AMMUNITION SYSTEMS REWORK AND MAINTENANCE

Provides funding for: major rework, maintenance and repair of ammunition, including gun ammunition, small arms ammunition, pyrotechnics, demolition explosives, and Marine Corps ammunition in the custody of the Navy. Furding also supports the rework, maintenance, and limited life component exchange of ASW, ground-delivered and W80/Iomahawk nuclear weapons and maintenance of activity capability and certification for these weapons.

| | FY | FY 1990 | FY | FY 1991 | Ε¥ | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | 1993 |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | - | \$ Units | ~ | \$ Units | • | \$ Units | ~ | \$ Units |
| Total funding | 5,170 | 0.0 | 5,165 | 99 | 6,960 | 90 | 6,918 | 80 |
| Rework and Renovation Efforts | 11 11 14 14 14 14 | | 14 14 11 11 11 | | 1 1 1 1 1 | | | |
| Ammunition reworked (in 000's) | | 443 | | 575 | | 725 | | 730 |
| Nuclear Weapons Major Maintenance Items | | 391 | | 395 | | 420 | | 440 |
| Other Maintenance Items and Inspections | | 1,540 | | 1,540 | | 1,655 | | 1,738 |

Unit cost varies from year to year due to the mix of ammunition repaired.

Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group:

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

SUBMARINE WARFARE SYSTEMS REWORK AND MAINTENANCE

The Submarine Vertical Launch System (VLS) program supports the installation of VLS on all SSN 688 Class Submarines. This program provides for the maintenance of VLS Special Support Equipment (SSE) and VLS fire Control System (FCS) electronic equipment on SSN 688 Class Submarines.

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|---|----------|----------------|
| | \$ Units | \$ Units | \$ Units | \$ Units | |
| Total Funding | 295 | 267 | 0 | | 0 |
| ; ; | H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H | 11 11 14 16 16 17 18 11 | . 15 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 | | 11 11 14 |
| # Indes Supported * | 156 | 192 | 0 | | 0 |

* Efforts assumed in the Submarine Technical and Maintenance program in FY 1992.

0

0

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls.

Activity Group: <u>Ship Launched Weapons Rework and Maintenance (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

IV. Personnel Summary

| | FY 1990 | FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|
| | 10 14 16 18 | # # # # # # | 14 14 16 17 18 18 14 | 98 99 11 11 14 14 |
| nd Strength (E/S) | | | | |
| 1. Civilian | 0 | 0 | 8 | 80 |
| USDH | 0 | 0 | 80 | 60 |

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Budget Activity:

ASW Maintenance 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance

Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

Description of Operations Financed.

The purpose of the ASW Maintenance program is to provide for the rework and maintenance of surface ship and torpedo tubes, the surface ship Anti-Submarine Launched Rockets (ASROC) and launchers, Submarine Launched Rocket (SUBROC), the Encapsulated Torpedo (CAPTOR) mines and sensors. Also included are rework for components of the above equipments together with certain related items such as ASROC motor rework and submarine ASW weapon systems. Systems include ASW targets, underwater fire control systems, torpedoes, container refurbishment.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

FY 1991

| | FY 1990 | Budget | Appro- | Current | | FY 1993 |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|
| | Actual | Request | priation | Estimate | Redn | |
| SUBMARINE ASW MAINT | \$40,352 | \$94,422 | \$77,813 | \$42,766 | \$37,211 | \$35,177 |
| SURFACE ASM MAINT | 48, 190 | 72,660 | 60,722 | 41,784 | 46,487 | 49,871 |
| AVIATION ASW MAINT | 19,647 | 21,660 | 17,516 | 17,226 | 17,163 | 16,196 |
| NAVY STANDARD SIGNAL PROCESSOR | 10,958 | 16,063 | 11,976 | 11,806 | 6,232 | 6,659 |
| (NSSP) MAINTENANCE | | | | | | |
| SUB CMBT WPN SYS MAINT | 24,853 | 0 | 0 | 34,019 | 34,307 | |
| SURF SHIP ASW MAINT | 991,9 | 0 | 0 | 10,933 | 10,323 | 9,761 |
| Total, ASW MAINTENANCE | \$150,766 | \$204,805 \$168,027 | \$168,027 | \$158,534 | \$158,534 \$151,723 | \$156,633 |

ASW Systems Maintenance (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

II. Financial Summary (continued)

| . | <u>Re</u> | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | | <u>000</u> \$ |
|----------|-----------|--|----------|--------|---------------|
| | - | FY 1991 Current Estimate | | | 158,534 |
| | 0 | Pricing Adiustments | | | 10.878 |
| | j | A. Ann | _ | 34) | • |
| | | 2) waye board B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | <u> </u> | 104) | |
| | | 2) Wage Board C. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) | _ | 8,055) | |
| | | 1) Utner DBUr (Industrial rund) D. Other Pricing Adjustments | J | 2,681) | |
| | e, | Functional Program Transfers A. Transfers-In | _ | 3,500) | 2,902 |
| | | Inter-Appropriation Resources are transferred from WPN,N into Surface ASW Systems Maintenance for ASROC (Anti-Submarine Rocket) | | 3,500 | |
| | | solid-fuel rocket motor re-graining. B. Transfers-Out | J | -598 | |
| | | Intra-Appropriation Standard Level User Charge (SLUC) - funds to rent commercially leased space transferred to Budget Activity 9. | | -191 | |
| | | | | -407 | |
| | | | | | |

ASW Systems Maintenance (continued) Activity Group:

Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Naval Weapons Station, Earle to Naval Dental Clinic, Philadelphia.

Program Increases

personnel policy which eliminates reimbursable funding at FY 1992 at various field activities reflecting the DOD One additional workday of civilian employment in non-industrial funded activities. One-Time FY 1992 Costs

Submarine Fire Control System (FCS) program is required aboard attack and ballistic missile submarines. This is to support the refurbishment of 6 older combat systems 1) SUBMARINE ASW MAINTENANCE - For the MK-48 torpedo necessary in order to support this equipment until operations are increased due to an additional 72 warshot verifications (659). The increase in the program, Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA) Other Program Growth in FY 1992 æ

Navy Standard Desktop Calculator (NSDIC) program. This these older vessels reach the end of their hull lives (63). The depot effort for the MOSS (Mobile Submarine repairs to be performed (41). The establishment of funding in FY 1992 allows for the initiation of the support during the life cycle support phase of this funding will provide for engineering and technical Simulator) decoy program is increased allowing 2 additional functional item and 9 vehicle/launcher

2000

15,695

2,680 448

Activity Group: ASW Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

11. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

program (668). Support for submarine radar restoration also increases (17).

2) SURFACE ASW MAINTENANCE - The MK-46 torpedo program will perform 211 more Mod-5 and 120 more Mod-4 overhauls along with other component repairs. The MK-46 Mod-5 torpedoes receive depot level overhaul maintenance every eight years, while the MK-46 Mod-4 overhauls are performed to correct the effects of aging and wear (3,972). For the AN/SQn-89 program, the increase will provide additional depot level support (1,080) to reduce the depot maintenance backlog. The increase in the CAPTOR (enCAPsulated TORpedo) mine program will provide increased depot maintenance for 67 additional mines which have reached their maintenance

due dates (35).

3) AVIATION ASW MAINTENANCE - The increase in the ASW Target/Pinger program will allow 695 additional target repairs and 202 pinger repairs thereby decreasing the backlog of inoperable equipment and allowing more target runs (2,243). Target runs are used as threats when conducting fleet ASW exercises. In addition, the Aircraft (argument (CV) ASW Module program is also

increased (13). 4) SUBMARINE COMBAT & WEAPONS SYSTEMS - For the MK-48 ADCAP torpedo, the HTTDS (Heavyweight Torpedo Technical Data System) effort is increased in order to support

2,256

3,236

CCC381

\$000

Activity Group: ASW Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

II. <u>Financial Summary (continued)</u>

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

updated to reflect any hardware modifications (678). In ensure the availability of software support as the Navy switches from the original equipment manufacturer to an the An/BQQ-5 sonar system there is an increase in the operational support, installation labor, depot operations, towed arrays, overhaul/refurbishment labor, which incorporate the TB-16D and TB-23 type towed array additional Program Trouble Reports (PTRs) (603). 5) SURFACE SHIP ASW SYSTEMS - The increase reflects an additional 1,641 actions required in order to continue organic software support activity (603). The increase in the BSY-1 Module Screening and Repair Activity SSN-751 Flight, SSN-688 class submarines in the fleet [FCS]/Combat Control System MK-1, the increase allows updates to ADCAP torpedoes (69). There is an increase (SSA). Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA)/Depot and software maintenance categories (1,283). These the implementation of the Software Support Activity increases are in response to the greater number of in the AN/BSY-1 combat system program in order to maintained for specific BSY-1 modules and must be (MSRA) effort will maintain an additional 31 Test for 21 software upgrades and the resolution of 5 Program Set (TPS) modules. TPS software sets are sonars. For the MK-117 Fire Control System Maintenance actions increase by 738 items.

3,653

\$000

36,286

Activity Group: ASW Systems Maintenance (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

program, the number of warshot turnarounds is decreased support for engineering change (EC) development/review, yet matured (-590). A wide-range of in-service surface For the depot certification, along with fewer turnarounds, reduces the need for depot support (-2,712).

2) SURFACE ASW MAINTENANCE - The ASROC (Anti-Submarine) Rocket) Launcher Rework program will refurbish 3 fewer ship hull-mounted and towed array sonars, fire control launchers (-3,433). The ASROC (Anti-Submarine Rocket) maintenance program will perform approximately 800 Vertical Launch ASROC (Anti-Submarine Rocket) program, the decrease reflects reduced repair actions for (-3,378), which, in turn, lowers the level of 4-T COG repairs required (-1,710). The completion of Naval missiles and support equipment as components have not Target/Pinger ASW Maintenance program will result in 1) SUBMARINE ASW MAINTENANCE - For the MK-48 torpedo Undersea Weapon Engineering Station (NUWES) Keyport The 3) AVIATION ASW MAINTENANCE - The decrease in the and technical documentation maintenance (-1,793). decrease also reflects reduced depot support for systems, and signal processors will receive less by 36 (-1,729) and exercise turnarounds by 394 overhaul of surface ship torpedo tubes (-44). fewer missile component assemblies (-1,435). Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 **Program Decreases**

-3,794

\$000

Activity Group: ASW Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

the closing of two Intermediate Maintenance Activities scheduling, launch, and recyery of ASW targets.

4) NSSP COMPUTER PROGRAM MAINTENANCE - The Navy Standard Signal Processor (NSSP) program will reduce software maintenance support for the increasing number of AN/UVS-2 signal processors. Plans to establish an organic repair depot for repairing, testing, and inspecting AN/UVS-2 modules will be canceled.

5) SUBMARINE COMBAT WEAPONS SYSTEMS - In the MK-48 ADCAP (Advanced Capability) torpedo program, there is a reduction in IMA (Intermediate Maintenance Activity)/Depot (-1,818) and software maintenance efforts (-212). For the AN/BSY-1 combat system program, there is a reduction of 860 system support effort (-1,132). In addition, the support of equipments repaired at the Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA) level is reduced (-30). The MK-117 Fire Control System (CCS) MK-1 program reduces the number of software lines of code to be debugged by 304 thousand as the C4.2 software program reaches operational maturity (-1,432).

6) SURFACE SHIP ASW SYSTEMS - The decrease in the

1,624

-6.092

4,952

| | | | | | ~ | ~ | _ | |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|-------|---|
| | | | | 43 | 130 127 | 534 534 | 2,040 | 8,554 300 |
| | | | | _ | - | <u> </u> | _ | - |
| Activity Group: ASW Systems Maintenance (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command II. Financial Summary (continued) | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | AN/SQQ-89 combat system reflects the incremental transfer of system variants (1-3) from the Surface Ship ASW Systems program to the ASW Systems Maintenance effort. | 6. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | 7. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | C. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) | | 8. Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 I) SUBMARINE ASW MAINTENANCE - The increase in the Submarine Fire Control System (FCS) program is required to support the refurbishment of 35 combat systems on in-service attack and ballistic missile submarines. This is necessary in order to support this equipment until these older vessels reach the end of their hull lives (235). For the Mobile Submarine Simulator (MOSS) program, the increase will allow 12 additional launchers to be serviced (65). |

151,723

2,751

8,554

3,432

Activity Group: ASM Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

11. Finencial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

4,478

344

388.00

ASW Systems Maintenance (continued)
Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

array sonars. These increases also reduce the amount of backlog for AN/BQQ-5 systems in general. For the MK-117 Fire Control to the greater number of SSN-751 Flight, SSN-688 class boats in the fleet which incorporate the TB-16D and TB-23 type towed installation labor (205), depot operations (570), towed arrays (349), overhaul/refurbishment labor (768), and software maintenance (406) categories. These increases are in response to be examined following the introduction of various software packages to the Fleet (94). In addition, 5 additional updates will delivered to the Fleet (27). System (FCS)/Combat Control System (CCS) MK-1, the increase will allow an additional 21 thousand software lines of code maintained and 5 additional units of test equipment to be serviced (292). Within the AN/BQQ-5 sonar system program, effort will allow 14 more Test Program Sets (TPSs) to be there is an increase in the operational support (123),

9. Program Decreases

personnel policy which eliminates reimbursable funding at One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 at various field activities reflecting the DOD non-industrial funded activities. One-Time FY 1993 Costs

SUBMARINE ASW MAINTENANCE - For the MK-48 torpedo program, warshot depot maintenance is decreased by 20 units (-733), while exercise turnarounds are reduced by 50 (-144) and 127 Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 æ

-6,381 -3,185

23.387

\$000

ASW Systems Maintenance (continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued) Π.

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

The Navy Standard Desktop Computer (NSDTC) program is reduced (-37). warshot depot maintenance and verification reduces the requirement for 4T-COG repair (-506), ORDALT (Ordnance Alterations) (-259), and other depot efforts (-101). One fewer navigation radar will oe refurbished for the Submarine Radar Restoration program (-72) fewer warshot verifications are performed (-1,312). Lessened or the Mobile Submarine Simulator (MOSS) program, 4 fewer assemblies (-231), and reduced support for surface ship torpedo tube refurbishments (-8). For the CAPTOR by 269 which will affect fleet ASW training support and development/review, and technical documentation (-176) 3) AVIATION ASW MAINTENANCE - In the Target/Pinger ASW (enCAPsulated TORpedo) mine program, the decrease will prevent depot and intermediate level maintenance on 69 (IMA) repairs will be reduced by 121 and depot repairs Maintenance program, Intermediate Maintenance Activity decrease will defer the correction of computer program 2) SURFACE ASW MAINTENANCE - In the Surface Weaponry ASROC (Anti-Submarine Rocket) Launcher overhauls (-128), a reduction in maintenance of ASROC missile category, the decrease reflects reduced support for systems and signal processors will receive reduced engineering support for engineering changes (ECs), ASW target availability (-994). In addition, the category, a wide range of in-service fire control functional item repairs will be performed (-21). ewer mines (-198). In the ASW Surface Sensors

156,633

Activity Group: ASW Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

000\$

trouble reports in the Aircraft Carrier (CV) ASW Module program (-110).
4) SUBMARINE COMBAT & WEAPONS SYSTEMS - For the MK-48 ADCAP torpedo program, there is a decrease in IMA (Intermediate

4) SUBMARINE COMBAI & WEAPUNS SYSIEMS - For the MK-48 AUCAR torpedo program, there is a decrease in IMA (Intermediate Maintenance Activity)/depot support as the IMAs become familiar with ADCAP requirements (learning curve) (-337). In addition, ADCAP updates will be impacted due to the reduction in the Heavyweight Torpedo Technical Data System (HTTDS) (-154). For the MK-117 Fire Control System (FCS)/Combat Control System (CCS) MK-1, 2 software Program Trouble Reports (PTRS) will be deferred (-212).

(HTTDS) (-154). For the MK-117 Fire Control System (FCS)/
Combat Control System (CCS) MK-1, 2 software Program Trouble
Reports (PTRs) will be deferred (-212).
5) SURFACE SHIP ASW SYSTEMS - The decrease in the
AN/SQQ-89 combat system program reflects the
incremental transfer of variants (1-3) from the Surface
Ship ASW Systems program to the ASW Systems Maintenance
effort (-413). For the MK-50 torpedo program the
decrease reflects reduced software support and depot
level maintenance (-235).

10. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

03.383

111. Performance Criteria.

SUBMARINE ASW MAINTENANCE

weapons, sensors, and fire control systems; along with the maintenance of computer programs supporting such equipment. Submarine weaponry maintained consists of the MK-48 torpedo and the SUBROC missile which are described below. This program provides for the repair and overhaul of submarine-employed Anti-Submarine Warfare (ASW)

The program's performance criteria is The MK-48 is the Navy's standard heavyweight submarine-launched torpedo. broken down into the categories identified below.

Warshot Depot Maintenance (WDM) - consists of a complete overhaul performed on each torpedo on an eight year cycle. This maintenance is required to ensure proper weapon operation throughout the life of the torpedo. Ouring the WDM process torpedo ordnance alterations (ORDALTs) are also installed

maintenance performed every 4 years following a WDM. This maintenance is required to verify proper operation performed after each In-Water Run/Firing. This maintenance is required to minimize the possibility of seawater corrosion and return the torpedo to an operational condition. The Warshot Verification consists of IMA Operations - consists of Exercise Turnarounds and Warshot Verifications. Exercise Turnarounds are and reliability of the warshot.

4T Cog Repair - consists of maintenance for all 4T components in the torpedo and is required to ensure the operability and reliability of the torpedo.

of test equipment used to verify the proper operation of key torpedo systems during and after the turnaround (Functional I.em Replacement) components used in the MK 48 ADCAP Torpedo. Also included are all of the electronic and mechanical FIR components used in the In-Service Support Equipment. This equipment consists Depot Support - consists of the fleet support contract. This contract is for the depot level repair of repair of repairables not supported by the Navy Supply System. These items include all of the electronic FIR and warshot verification process

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

It also includes life cycle support facility maintenance which includes operation and maintenance of all equipment used for software maintenance, configuration management, security, problem analysis/anomaly verification, change analysis including documentation, resolution of problems and verification of solutions. Software Maintenance - consists of support used to perform maintenance on torpedo software.

HTIDS - Heavyweight Torpedo Technical Data System.

These installations are ORDALT Installations - consists of support required to install torpedo ORDALTs. required for torpedo upgrades in areas of performance and safety.

In-Service Engineering (ISE) Runs - In-Service Engineering (ISE) runs evaluate torpedo performance upgrades to ensure proper operation of the torpedo. Intermediate Maintenance Activity/Depot Level Repairables (IMA/DLR) Waste Disposal - consists of support required to dispose of (Otto Fuel) hazardous waste generated during the maintenance process. driven from the performance of turnarounds, Warshot Verifications, and WDM's.

Repair Facility – consists of support required for the Depot Level Repair of the Automatic Test

and repair of the Automatic Test Equipment. This support is required to maintain the equipment that ensures Automatic Test Equipment - consists of support required to provide depot level support for maintenance proper torpedo operation.

for the repair of torpedo containers, and for the operation of the Advanced Capability (ADCAP) Depot after forpedo Depot - consists of support required for the repair of torpedoes damaged beyond IMA capability,

Magazine Storage - consists of support required for the establishment of the baseline program management

000331

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

induction. This preparation includes complete torpedo teardown, buildup, system test, final inspection, and for torpedo storage and induction, preparation of procedures, modification and preparation of facilities required for torpedo induction, and establishment of an IMA Facility to prepare torpedoes for magazine cosmetic touch-up.

Test Equipment Refurb. - consists of support required to refurbish off-line test equipment used in the maintenance of MK 48 torpedoes. This equipment includes MK 562 Test Sets, MK 525 Exploder Test Sets, MK 519 Control Cable Test Sets, MK 5 Hydraulic Fill Units, MK 576 Igniter Test Sets, MK 6 Fuel Tank Fill Units, MK 542 Afterbody Test Sets, MK 558 Fuel Pump Test Sets, MK 556 Cable Test Sets, and MK 554 Steering Assembly Test Sets.

Other Depot Repair - consists of support required for the Ready-For-Issue-Evaluation (RFIE) of recently prepared and fleet returned warshot torpedoes at IMA's by the Weapon Quality Engineering Center (WQEC) surveillance team. Each IMA is visited twice a year and two torpedoes are inspected during each visit. This part of the MK 48 program also provides for launch vehicle capability support such as labor and equipment upgrades.

repair depot operations, engineering trouble shooting, and on-site Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA)/depot assistance are provided by the In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) Naval Underwater System Center (NUSC), Newport, RI. The SUBROC system is planned for early retirement. Efforts emphasize the accelerated demilitarization and disposal of over 4,000 major missile components. In-house and contractor depots and IMAs will perform this effort assisted by direct participation of Naval Underwater System Center (NUSC), Newport. In addition, all spares, repair parts, test equipment, handling equipment, and training missiles must be SUBROC (Submarine Rocket) is an inertially guided, rocket-propelled ASW standoff weapon armed with a nuclear warhead and launched from standard submarine torpedo tubes. System repairs and maintenance is performed at both in-house and contractor depot facilities. Quality Assurance, Repair Review Boards, coordination of

The Submarine Sensor category contains the Submarine Fire Control System (FCS) Rework and Submarine Radar

r.:392

Activity Group: ASW Systems Maintenance (continued)

Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Performance Criteria (continued).

Restoration programs.

equipment associated with the MK-113 FCS. The MK-113 system is fitted aboard older (pre-SSBN 726) ballistic missile submarines. This program also supports various MK-113 interface equipment including the MK-1 Cable Reel, MK-11 Switch Box, MK-17 Bearing Transmitter, MK-19 Plotter Table, MK-22 Weapon Simulator, and the MK-116 Bearing Ranger Indicator. In addition, this program maintains MK-140 Amplifiers in support of the MK-117/CCS MK-118 (TRIDENT FCS), and BSY-1 systems. The performance criteria tracks the amount of equipment refurbished and/or repaired for a given fiscal year. The FCS Rework effort provides for depot overhaul and repair of major assemblies, sub-assemblies, and

navigation radar antennas, antenna pedestals, and below-deck components in support of SSN/SSBN requirements. The Submarine Radar Restoration program provides for the refurbishment and restoration of submarine

Efforts under Submarine Logistics concern the maintenance of acoustic countermeasure systems and the Desktop Computer Program.

The program also supports depot repair of Functional Item Replacement (FIR) items. Activities (IMA's) at the Naval Undersea Warfare Engineering Station (NUWES) Keyport and the Naval Weapon Station (NWS) Charleston. The program also supports depot repair of Functional Item Renlacement (FIR) its The MOSS MK 70/MOD 0 system is a torpedo-like acoustic decoy for use by submarines. Funding provides routine maintenance of MOSS MK 57 vehicles and MK 136/MOD 0 launchers at the Intermediate Maintenance

The increasing sophistication of submarine combat systems has resulted in the need to upgrade the Navy Standard Desktop Calculator (NSDIC). This program will provide for NSDIC life cycle engineering and technical support.

1

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | | Ŧ | FY 1990 | Ϋ́ | FY 1991 | FY | FY 1992 | FY | FY 1993 |
|--|---|--|---------|--|--------------|--|------------------|--|------------|
| | | ~ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | • | UNITS |
| Total funding | | 40,352 | | 42,766 | # # # | 37,211 | # # # # | 35,177 | ! |
| SUBMARINE WEAPONRY | _ | 38,098 | | (40,323 | _ | (33,812) | | (31,530 | _ |
| Mk 48 Depot Maintenance Warshot Depot Maintenance Intermediate Maintenance | _ | 36,508 9,629 | 240 | 40,323 8,243 | 216 | (33,812 7,074 | 180 | (31,530 6,495 |) 160 |
| Activity (IMA) Operations i. Exercise Turnarounds ii. Warshot Verification 41 COG Repair | | 10,221 2,895 4,897 | 1,260 | 12,741 3,631 5,177 | 1,194 360 | 10,229 4,536 3,819 | 800 432 | 10,310 3,324 3,397 | 750 305 |
| HITDS Support ORDALT Installations IMA/DLR Waste Disposal Repair Facility Automatic Test Equip. Test Equip. Refurb. Other Depot Repair | | 3,256 1,422 1,043 1,043 455 898 | 240 | 667 2,399 3,896 1,474 1,474 1,474 | 216 | 2,430 1,189 1,562 1,561 1,561 200 | 180 | 2,224 1,204 1,562 1,562 1,561 200 | 160 |
| SUBROC Disposal | _ | 1,590) | • | 0 | - | 0 |) (0 | 0 | ~ |

486000

0.00335

Activity Group: ASW Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | | FY 1990 | 066 | | FY | FY 1991 | | FY | FY 1992 | FY | FY 1993 | . | |
|---|---|----------|---------|------------|-------------------|---------|----------|---------|----------|-------------------------------|---------|-----------|--|
| | | \$ UNITS | E S | : <u>S</u> | \$ UNITS \$ UNITS | N N | - | \$ UNIT | \$ UNITS | ~ | 5 | UNITS | |
| SUBMARINE SENSORS | J | 1,702 | _ | _ | 1,884 | ~ | J | 2,093 | _ | 1,702) (1,884) (2,093) (2,303 | 3) | | |
| <pre>fire Control System Rework (# units refurb/re-install)</pre> | | | 53 | 233 | | 7 | 245 | | 251 | | | 586 | |
| Sub Kadar Kestoration (# units restored) | | | _ | 15 | | | 17 | | 17 | | | 16 | |
| SUBMARINE LOGISTICS | _ | 552 | 552) (| _ | 55.7 | ~ | _ | 1,306 | | 559) (1,306) (1,344 | 4 | | |
| MOSS (MObile Sub Simulators) Functional item repairs IMA Launchers/Vehicles | | | | 25 81 | | | 23 81 | | 25 90 | | | 21 102 | |
| Desktop Computer Program ISEA Support (# systems) | | | | 0 | | | 0 | | 325 | | | 328 | |

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

2. SUBMARINE COMBAT WEAPON SYSTEMS (SCWS)

weapons, sensors, and fire control systems; along with the maintenance of computer programs supporting This effort provides for the repair and overhaul of submarine-employed Anti-Submarine Warfare (ASW) such equipment.

The ADCAP, or Advanced Capability, MK-48 torpedo incorporates substantial improvements generated by an evolving threat. MK-48 ADCAP performance criteria are described in the following text.

maintenance performed every 4 years following a WDM. This maintenance is required to verify proper operation performed after each In-Water Run/Firing. This maintenance is required to minimize the possibility of seawater corrosion and return the torpedo to an operational condition. The Warshot Verification consists of IMA Operations - consists of Exercise Jurnarounds and Warshot Verifications. Exercise Turnarounds are and reliability of the warshot.

Depot Support - consists of the fleet support contract. This contract is for the depot level repair of repairables not supported by the Navy Supply System. These items include all of the electronic FIR (Functional item Replacement) components used in the MK 48 ADCAP Torpedo. Also included are all of the electronic and mechanical FIR components used in the In-Service Support Equipment. This equipment consists of test equipment used to verify the proper operation of key torpedo systems during and after the turnaround and warshot verification process.

Software Maintenance - consists of support used to perform maintenance on torpedo software. It also includes life cycle support facility maintenance which includes operation and maintenance of all equipment used for software maintenance, configuration management, security, problem analysis/anomaly verification, change analysis including documentation, resolution of problems and verification of solutions.

HIIDS - Heavyweight Torpedo Technical Data System.

536....

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

These installations are ORDALT Installations - consists of support required to install torpedo ORDALTs. required for torpedo upgrades in areas of performance and safety.

In-Service Engineering (ISE) Runs - In-Service Engineering (ISE) runs evaluate torpedo performance upgrades to ensure proper operation of the torpedo. Intermediate Maintenance Activity/Depot Level Repairables (IMA/DLR) Waste Disposal - consists of support required to dispose of (Otto Fuel) hazardous waste generated during the maintenance process. This effor related to the performance of turnarounds, Warshot Verifications, and WDMs.

SCWS sonar/fire control programs include the AN/BSY-1, AN/BQQ-5 and MK-117 CCS MK-1 systems.

cruise missiles) and horizontal (torpedo) weapons launch, under ice operations, and sonar performance. The tactical software programs include all of the signal processing and data processing required to provide for the functional The AN/BSY-1 is an advanced sonar/fire centrol system installed on FY 1983 and later (SSN-751 onward) SSN-688 class SSN-688 combat systems and employs a new display console for under ice sounding and maneuvering. The program funds provide support for software maintenance, depot operations, Module Screening and Repair Activity (MSRA) repairs, nuclear attack submarines. The BSY-1 pr. ides enhanced capabilities for vertical (with vertical launch Tomahawk sounding and maneuvering, TMA (target motion analysis), combat system management, onboard training, weapons and countermeasures control, piloting and navigation. The hardware configuration requires less space than previous capabilities of the subsystem. These functions include detection, classification, tracking, acoustic support, and Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA) support.

provides for the maintenance technical support during system installation, check-out, and testing of the AN/800 sonar systems. Maintenance is also provided for various towed-line arrays and handling sub-systems: AN/BQQ sonar systems. Maintenance is also provided for various towed-line arrays and handling sub-system TB-16, TB-23, OK-276, OK-545 (637 class thin-line handling system), and the OA-9070 (<u>6</u>88 class thin-line handling system). Different variants (800-58/C/D), along the Accelerated Stand-alone IBX array, receive The AN/BQQ-5 sonar system is installed aboard SSN-594, 637 and 688 class attack submarines. Funding

Performance Criteria (continued).

maintenance assistance. Units reflected in the performance criteria illustrate the number of electronic circuit cards to be repaired for a given fiscal year.

The MK-117/CCS MK-1 Combat Control System is installed aboard SSN-594/SSN-637 (includes SSN-671) and all pre-BSY-1 SSN-688 class attack submarines. Principal efforts provide for the repair of circuit cards, electronic modules, and drawer assemblies in support of installations of CCS MK-1 systems during regular overhaul and Depot Modernization Periods (DMPs).

| | | Ε | FY 1990 | FΥ | FY 1991 | Ε | FY 1992 | Ε¥ | FY 1993 |
|-----------------------------|----|----------|----------------------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| | • | ~ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS |
| Total Funding | `` | 24,853 | 24,853 34,019 34,307 | 34,019 | | 34,307 | | 38,969 | |
| MK-48 ADCAP Torpedo | | 3,718 | ~ | 9,357 | _ | 7.857 | | 7.606 | - |
| IMA/Depot Support | | 1,925 | | 4.845 | | 3,263 | | 3,010 | |
| Software Maintenance | | 1,175 | | 2.957 | | 2,901 | | 3,004 | |
| HTTDS Support | | 287 | | 723 | | 830 | _ | 697 | |
| ORDALT Installations | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| In-Service-Engineering/Runs | | 331 | | 832 | 15 | 863 | 15 | 895 | 15 |
| IMA/DLR Waste Disposal | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

336000

Activity Group: ASW Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | 1661 | F | FY 1992 | F | FY 1993 |
|--------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|-------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| • | ~ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS |
| _ | 6,816 | · | 8,139 | · | 8,659 | _ | (10,782 | _ |
| Software Maintenance | 3,528 | | 5,566 | , | 6,463 | | 8,014 | • |
| Lines of code (in millions) | | 4.3 | | 4.3 | | 4.3 | | 4.3 |
| # of Configuration Items | | 7 | | 7 | | 7 | | _ |
| | 2,297 | | 1,804 | | 739 | | 985 | |
| ys) | | 2,396 | | 1,960 | | 1,100 | | 1,462 |
| # of unique assemblies | | 43 | | 44 | | 39 | | 39 |
| Module Screening & Repair | 991 | | 689 | | 1,403 | | 1,731 | |
| # of Test Program Sets (1PS) | | 20 | | 53 | • | 09 | • | 74 |
| # of testing equip to maintain | | 52 | | 0 | | 92 | | 31 |
| IMA Repair | 0 | | 80 | | 54 | | 55 | |
| # of equipments | | | | | | 18 | | 17 |
| Wide Aperture Array (WAA) | 0 | | 0 | | | | | |
| AN/BOO-5 Sonar System () | 2.291 | _ | 12.885 | • | 14.803 | | 17.607 | _ |
| housands) | | 15.0 | | 15.3 | | 17.0 | • | 19.7 |
| | 403 | | 466 | | 552 | | 689 | |
| Installation Labor | 672 | | 111 | | 920 | | 1,148 | |
| Depot Operations | 1,883 | | 2,175 | | 2,577 | | 3,214 | |
| | 3,228 | | 4,888 | | 5,598 | | 6,092 | |
| Overhaul/Refurb Labor | 4,263 | | 2,889 | | 3,315 | | 4,169 | |
| Software Maintenance | 1,842 | | 1,690 | | 1,841 | | 2,295 | |

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | 868 | 139 | 7.1 | 7 |
|--|------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|---|
| 2,974) | 653 | | \ \$ | 6 69 |
| _ | 898 | 118 | 99 | 6 |
| 2,988) | | # # ** | 4 10 | 880 |
| - | 898 | 422 | 45 | 4 |
| 3,638) | 1,167 | 1,858 | 271 | 382 |
| _ | 398 | 59 | 89 | 6 |
| (2,028) | 784 | 259 | 404 | 883 PTRs) |
| MK-117 Fire Control System/ Combat Control System MK -1 (| Repair/Refurb. # equip/modules* | Software OP SPT | In/Svc SW Delivery | In/Svc SW PTRs # Program Trouble Rpts (PTRs) |

* Units represent dissimilar items with varying average unit costs.

Performance Criteria (continued).

3. SURFACE ASM MAINTENANCE

sensors, torpedoes, torpedo tubes, CAPTOR mines, and ASROC missiles/launchers. Also included are rework This effort provides for the rework and maintenance of surface ship ASW underwater fire control systems, for components of the above equipments and maintenance of software supporting the equipment.

The Surface Weapon System category includes depot support for the MK-46 lightweight torpedo, torpedo tube rework, and repair of ASROC (Anti-Submarine Rocket) launchers.

The MK-46 is the Navy's standard lightweight anti-submarine torpedo and is deployed aboard a wide range of platforms. This program performs maintenance, overhauls, exercise firings, and depot component repairs.

during ship overhauls. Unit cited in the performance criteria represent the number of torpedo tubes overhauled The Torpedo Tube Rework program provides for the depot overhaul of deteriorated surface ship torpedo tubes within a fiscal year.

during ship overhauls. Units in the performance criteria represent the number of launchers being repaired. Another nuclear warhead in close proximity to a threat submarine at stand-off ranges. Maintenance efforts support the depot overhaul of ASROC launchers (at Naval Ordnance Station Louisville) by replacing deteriorated components The ASROC (Anti-Submarine Rocket) is a rocket-propelled ballistic weapon designed to place a MK-46 torpedo or are performed at Naval Undersea Warfare Engineering Station (NUWES) Keyport and Naval Ordnance Station (NOS) effort provides for the assembly/disassembly and testing of ASROC missiles to support load out of ASW ships at various Naval Weapon Stations. Depot repair of missiles deteriorated due to age, weather, and handling Indianhead. The performance criteria reflects the number of components supported in a given fiscal year

The Vertical Launch ASROC (VLA) is designed for launch from the MK-41 Vertical Launch Missile System (VLMS) installed aboard new AEGIS cruisers and destroyers. The maintenance effort provides for both Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA) and depot maintenance. Maintenance efforts consist of disassembly, testing,

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

for missile components, IMA assembly, and test equipment. Performance criteria units illustrate missiles serviced motors, digital autopilot controllers, thrust vector systems, nose caps, airframes, and parapacks. Depot start-up requirements will consist of training, facilities certification, and establishment of repair contracts reassembly, storage, and loadout of missiles. Depot efforts address the maintenance of fleet returned rocket

ships, and submarines with extremely short notice. CAPTOR initial production commenced in FY-1976. This program provides for intermediate and depot maintenance of the CAPTOR system. Units cited in the performance appropriately modified MK-46 torpedo as its warhead. The CAPIOR system can be planted from aircraft, surface The CAPTOR (enCAPsulated TORpedo) is an influence-activated Anti-Submarine Warfare (ASW) mine employing an criteria reflect the number of mines reaching maintenance due dates and awaiting repair.

destroyers and retrofitting aboard DD-963, DDG-993, FFG-7, and CG-47 class combatants. A total of 141 such installations are planned. Operations financed by this account include computer program maintenance and The AN/SQQ-89 is an integrated ASW combat system planned for installation aboard the new DDG-51 class and depot support.

classes, and multiple configurations. Specific functions performed under this line include software maintenance systems and AN/SQR-15 and 18A towed arrays. These designations represent more than 250 systems, various ship The Sonar effort supports in-service units including the AN/SQQ-23A/B, SQS-26CX/53A, and SQS-56 hull-mounted and sonar array depot repair.

The Underwater Fire Control Systems (U/W FCS) program provides for the refurbishment of MK-38 and MK-53 systems along with the software maintenance/refurbishment of the MK-116 FCS. The performance criteria represents the number of systems scheduled for repair in lieu of fleet population. The AN/SQR-17 is a shipboard sonar processor which works in conjunction with LAMPS (Light, Airborne Multi-Purpose System) helicopters via an AN/SKR-4 link receiver. This line provides for the inspection, test, and repair of assemblies, sub-assemblies, components, training, engineering analysis, software configuration

Activity Group: ASM Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

management, and the generation of software incorporating engineering changes.

| | FY | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | 166 | ΕΥ | FY 1992 | FY | FY 1993 |
|--|-----------------------------|----------|------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------|----------|-----------------------|
| | ~ | UNITS | • | UNITS | - | UNITS | • | UNITS |
| Total Funding | 48,190 41,784 46,487 49,871 | | 41,784 | 16 14 18 18 18 | 46,487 | H H H H | 49,871 | # # # # # |
| Surface Weaponry (| 36,574) | <u> </u> | (35,011) |) | (39,871) |) (| (41,157 | _ |
| MK 46 Torpedo (# components) | 20,817 | 3,425 | 17,198 | 4,050 | 22,580 | 4,381 | 23,828 | 4,451 |
| ASROC Launchers (* launchers) | 9,044 | 6 | 9,878 | == | 7,255 | ω | 7,235 | ω |
| ASROC Missile (O/H) (# components) | 2,215 | 1,373 | 2,053 | 1,212 | 4,270 | 2,384 | 4,096 | 2,266 |
| Torpedo Tube Rework (# torp tubes) | 332 | 7 | 539 | 10 | 539 | 10 | 539 | 10 |
| Vertical Launch ASROC (VLA) (Support Equipment) | 166 | 19 | 1,141 | 69 | 645 | 122 | 1,006 | 207 |
| CAPTOR mine IMA (# of mines) | 4,000 | 408 | 4,202 | 653 | 4,582 | 720 | 4,453 | 651 |
| | | | | | | , | 1 | |

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | Ε¥ | FY 1990 | FΥ | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | Ε¥ | FY 1993 |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|--------|-------------------|----------|---------|----------|----------|
| | ~ | \$ UNITS | • | \$ UNITS \$ UNITS | ~ | | ~ | \$ UNITS |
| Surface Sensors (| 11,616) |) (9 | 6,773) |) () | 6,616) | • | 8,714) | ~ |
| AN/SQQ-89 Combat System | 5,475 | ĸ | 670 | _ | 1,805 | | 2,137 | |
| Sonar Systems (various) | 3,763 | e | 3,860 | | 3,308 | | 5,228 | |
| Fire Control Systems Rework | 2,166 | 9 | 1,999 | _ | 1,285 | | 1,287 | |
| LAMPS Signal Processor (SqR-17) | 212 | 2 | 244 | | 218 | | 62 | |

1. SURFACE SHIP ASM SYSTEMS (SSAS)

This effort provides for the repair and overhaul of the MK-50 torpedo and the AN/SQQ-89 ASW combat system. These programs transfer from NAVSEA in FY 1991.

Funding for the MK-50 torpedo program supports fleet Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA) activation/maintenance for Naval Wearon Station (NWS) Charleston due to become operational in FY 1991. FY 1990 funds accounted for certification of Naval Undersea Warfare Engineering Station (NUWES) Keyport depot/IMA and IMA personnel. NWS Charleston FY 1991, FY 1992, and FY 1993 funds account for actual torpedo maintenance. Lualualei and Jacksonville are scheduled to become operational in FY 1993. MK-50 Software Support Activity setup, staffing, and initial operational capability are funded in this program. Depot-level maintenance is provided to establish extensive overhauls, Support & Test Equipment (S&TE) repairs, AN/AYK-14 NAVAIR depot repairs, SPCC

ASW Systems Maintenance (continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

Performance Criteria (continued).

and ancillary equipment. SalE funds are provided to set up, test, and provide on-site maintenance for the Torpedo depot and IMA equipment installation and on-site maintenance requirements for all Automatic Test Equipment (ATE) 41-Cog refurbishment, boiler deactivation, and container repair capability in support of fleet IMAs coming on line. Some ordalt installations are anticipated to begin late in FY 1993. SaTE funds are provided to support Data System/IMA Data Processing System (DPS).

UYQ-21 and USQ-69 displays, UYH-2/3 mass storage disks, and various sonar transmitters/receivers, and interface units. Other major components include towed array sonar modules, handling, and storage gear, along with hull-mounted transducers. Operational and support computer programs consist of approximately 1,300 to 1,500 lines of source code. Current plans call for fitting this combat system aboard 141 combatants. The AN/SQQ-89(V) is an advanced ASW combat system to be fitted aboard the upcoming DDG-51 class of destroyers and new construction cruisers and guided missile frigates beginning with CG-54 and FFG-59. The backfit population for the SQQ-89 includes CG-47, DD-963, DDG-993, and FFG-7 class combatants. This system integrates ASW sensor, fire control, performance prediction, and training functions. Depending upon ship class, an SQQ-89 suite consists of approximately 50 to 100 electronic equipment cabinets including AN/UYK-7/20/43/44 tactical computers, UYK-25 signal processors,

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | F | FY 1990 | FY | FY 1991 | Ε | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | 1993 |
|--|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|
| | • | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | ~ | \$ UNITS | • | UNITS |
| Total Funding | 6,766 | 10 11 10 14 15 16 | 10,933 | 6,766 10,933 10,323 | 10,323 | 4 8 8 1 | 9,761 | ; ; |
| 1. MK-50 Torpedo | 695 | | 4,497 | | 8,465 | | 8,297 | |
| IMA Maintenance Depot-level Maint S/W SPT Activity | 201 197 294 | 2.1 3.2 | 1,531 1,766 1,206 | 1,531 64 1,760 212 1,206 3,428 | | 3,235 224 4,071 790 1,159 5,069 | 6,942 1,355 | 6,942 512 1,355 306 0 2,169 |
| 2. AN/SQQ-89 Combat System (Funded Fleet Units) (Funded Shore Sites) | 6,074 | 25 | 6,436 | 25 0 | 1,858 | 13 | 1,464 | 12 0 |

SOHOLO

ASW Systems Maintenance (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Performance Criteria (continued).

5. AVIATION ASW MAINTENANCE

equipment including Torpedo MK 48, sonars, sonobuoys, and Magnetic Anomaly Detection (MAD) equipped aircraft. depot and IMA repairs. CV (Aircraft Carrier)-ASW Modules provide pre-flight, in-flight and post-flight ASW support to carrier-based S-3 "Vikings" and helicopters. The modules consist of digital computers, displays, beyond the capability of the Intermediate Maintenance Activities (IMAs). The program also provides services pinger systems. The Target Program shows the number of depot repairs, the Pinger effort shows the number of The program provides depot level repair for the overhaul and maintenance of target end items/subassemblies for fleet torpedo firings required for ASW fleet exercises, including maintenance and turnaround of range The Aviation ASW Maintenance Program provides targets and pingers required for training exercises for all mass memories, plotters, printers, acoustic analysis equipment, and interface devices. Nineteen CV-ASM Modules (14 shipboard/5 shore sites) are currently operational. Funding maintains computer programs and refurbishes systems to ensure full operational capacity.

| | FY 1990 | FY 1990 FY 1991 FT 1992 | | 1992 | | F1 1333 |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|
| | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS \$ UNITS \$ UNITS | \$ S | UNITS | ~ | UNITS |
| Total Funding | 19,647 17,226 17,163 16,196 | 17,226 | 17,163 | # # } } } | 16,196 | H 64 M 66 M 36 18 |
| l. Targets IMA repairs Depot Repairs | 14,242 1,315 2,901 | 12,514 860 1,361 | 12,416 | 822 2,056 | 11,675 | 701 |
| 2. Pingers Pinger Preparation Depot repairs | 3,561 2,565 394 | 3,129 1,858 234 | 3,104 | 1,895 436 | 2,919 | 19 1,684 401 |

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY | FY 1990 | FY | FY 1991 | Ŧ | FY 1992 | FY | FY 1993 |
|---|----------|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | ~ | \$ UNITS \$ UNITS \$ UNITS | ~ | \$ UNITS | ~ | \$ UNITS | ~ | \$ UNITS |
| CV/ASW Modules 0/H Systems Refurb. Software (LOC in 000's)* | 1,844 | 9.0 | 1,583 | 8.2 | 1,643 | 3 8.3 | 1,602 | 8.3 |
| *LOC = Lines of Code | | | | | | | | |

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

6. NAVY STANDARD SIGNAL PROCESSOR (NSSP) COMPUTER PROGRAM MAINTENANCE

Advanced Signal Processor (ASP), AN/UYS-2 Enhanced Module Signal Processor (EMSP), applicable programming methodologies, computer programming environments, associated documentation and other NSSP configuration items, including the establishment of an in-house Computer Program Support Activity. This program includes upgrade of computer programs and documentation and associated services necessary to support NSSP commodities. The AN/UYS-I products are being used in 20 platforms and weapons systems, ground applications and trainers. The significant improvement in performance of the AN/UYS-2 permits its use in a wider array of applications than the AN/UYS-1. The units represent the number of ASP's and EMSP's and modules represent the number of evaluation of Engineering Change Proposals, analysis of operational and maintenance data, maintenance and This program provides computer program maintenance and support of all NSSP commodities including AN/UYS-1 circuit boards used in ASP's and EMSP's.

| | FΥ | FY 1990 | FΥ | FY 1991 | £ | FY 1992 | ΕV | FY 1993 |
|------------------------------|--------|----------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | • | \$ UNITS | ~ | \$ UNITS | ~ | \$ UNITS | ~ | \$ UNITS |
| Total Funding | 10,958 | 11 14 18 18 | 11,806 | 11 14 14 14 14 14 | 6,232 | | 6,659 | |
| 1. NSSP Support | 10,958 | | 11,806 | | 6,232 | | 6,659 | |
| Units Modules (Thousands) | | 1,613 | | 1,813 | | 1,963 | | 2,113 |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

60h 33

0.1410

Activity Group: ASW Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

IV. Personnel Summary

11

78

0

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Budget Activity: Claimant:

Other Ship Systems Maintenance Z - Central Supply and Maintenance

Naval Sea Systems Command

. Description of Operations Financed,

Other Ship System Maintenance activity group funds the depot overhaul and maintenance of: shipboard electronic and HM&E equipment; calibration, salvage and underwater ship repair equipment; small arms; AEGIS weapons systems and software; and other shipboard computer programs. Requirements for these programs are not constant each year but vary according to factors such as ship overhaul schedules, age of equipment, and new, more complex equipment entering the Fleet.

Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | FY 1990 | Budget | Appro- | Current | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | Actual | Request | priation | Estimate | Request | Request | |
| OTHER SURF WARFARE SYS MAINT | \$26,770 | \$32,719 | \$28,380 | \$24,799 | \$24,016 | \$22,991 | |
| ELECTRONIC SYS MAINT | 31,414 | 32,687 | 27,222 | 21,875 | 21,563 | 23,785 | |
| UNDERSEA WARFARE SYS MAINT | 16,539 | 23,243 | 17,908 | 20,561 | 23,085 | 22,921 | |
| EMISSIONS CONTROL EQUIP MAINT | 10,547 | 10,924 | 8,081 | 10,380 | 7,842 | 7,191 | |
| DIVING AND SALVAGE MAINT | 9,473 | 13,563 | 11,027 | 10,890 | 9,216 | 9,183 | |
| SURFACE SHIP MAINT | 30,375 | 27,763 | 22,630 | 28,422 | 20,995 | 22,010 | |
| MAJOR SHIP/BOAT REPAIR PROGRAM | 1,814 | 2,015 | 1,636 | 1,810 | 0 | | |
| SHIP SYSTEM SOFTWARE MAINT | 33,879 | 43,655 | 34,781 | 34,461 | 28,891 | 30,662 | |
| CG47/DDG51 WPN SYS MAINT | 61,641 | 86,951 | 74,423 | 73,798 | 71,118 | 74,418 | |
| Total, OTHER SHIP SYS MAINT | \$222.452 | \$273.600 | \$226.088 | \$226.996 | \$206.726 | \$213.161 | |
| | | | 2000 | |) | 1016014 | |

...411

| Group: | Other Sh | Ship S | nip Systems | Other Ship Systems Maintenance (continued | (continued) | |
|-----------|-------------|--------|-------------|---|-------------|--|
| Claimant: | Navai sea s | e s | ystems | command | | |

| æ | Rec | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | | \$000 |
|----------|-----|---|---|-----------------|---------|
| | 1. | FY 1991 Current Estimate | | | 226,996 |
| | 2. | Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | _ | 187) 182 | 11,222 |
| | | 2) Wage Board B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | _ | 440) 438) | |
| | | Wage Board Defense Business Operations Fund (OBOF) Non-Fuel (Supplies, Materials and Equipment) | _ | 5,379) | |
| | | 2) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) D. Other Pricing Adjustments | J | 5,3/3 5,216) | |
| | | Functional Program Transfers | | | -17 |
| | | A. Transfers-Out | J | -17) | |
| | | Intra-Appropriation Standard Level User Charge (SLUC) - funds to rent commercially leased space transferred to Budget Activity 9, Base Operations Support, for direct payment to General Service Administration (GSA) Federal Building Fund. | | -17 | |
| | 4. | Program Increases | | | 4,104 |
| | | A. One-Time FY 1992 Costs 1) One additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 at the Fleet Combat Direction System Support Activity (FCDSSA) (37). Also, there is one additional | _ | 74) | |

| Activity Group: | Other Ship Systems Maintenance (continued) |
|-----------------|--|
| Claimant: | Naval Sea Systems Command |

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). ж Э

which eliminates reimbursable funding at non-industrial field activities reflecting the DOD personnel policy workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 at various funded activities (37).

Other Program Growth in FY 1992

Ξ.

Surveillance Monitoring (ESM) equipment installed on US 1) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE - The increase to the Coast Guard Support program provides for the maintenance and overhaul of 18 additional Electronic Coast Guard ships.

2) ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE - In the 2F COG Electronics program, the increase will provide for the restoration of mission essential Navy Tactical Data number of components restored is decreasing due to the Even though funding is increasing, the total Systems (NTDS) components and inertial navigation complexity of restoring individual navigation systems.

9

increased support for the decreasing number of repairs components and assemblies (1,200). The increase also reflects repair and restoration of 35 additional towed array sonars and their associated cables as well as a and 91 additional sonar equipments being repaired and continued reduction in the backlog of AN/SQR-19 towed restored. The increased support includes pre-repair and restorations of transducers and hydrophones (88) replacement of damaged or unserviceable sonar parts, 3) UNDERSEA WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE - There is test and failure analysis. There is increased components.

ر ۲

Other Ship Systems Maintenance (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

\$000

233

Combat Direction Systems Support Activity (FCDSSA), the Program Trouble Reports (PTRs) (983). In addition, the increase is due to an average grade salary adjustment, maintenance and overhaul support for 4 additional Mine array sonar modules (267). The increase also reflects supports requirements in the development of underwater Counter Measure (MCM) ships and the one Mine Sweeper Ocean (MSU) ship (56) installed equipments. 4) DIVING AND SALVAGE MAINTENANCE - The increase non-destructive testing (diagnostics) support system. 5) SHIP SYSTEMS SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE - For the Fleet nusbandry equipment overhaul and replacement cycles, equipment modifications, techniques and procedures, including any adjustments to benefits, necessary to which includes analysis of optimum underwater ship project and help reduce the backlog of uncorrected and provides additional funding for the underwater increase will provide additional support for the Advanced Combat Direction Systems (ACDS) Block 0 Dalance workyears and salary requirements (17).

1) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE - The decrease in the Search Radar program will eliminate the Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 **Program Decreases**

radar antenna groups and five radar ancillaries (-778).

reflects seven less Gun Systems overhauls on US Coast

In the Coast Guard Support program, the decrease

restoration of two radar antennas, one US Coast Guard

-35,579

| Other Ship Systems Maintenance (continued) | il Sea Systems Command |
|--|------------------------|
| 0the | Nava |
| y Group: | |
| Activity | Claimant: |

| (continued). |
|-----------------------------|
| " |
| and |
| n of Increases and Decrease |
| of |
| Reconciliation |
| ₩. |

| Guard ships (-710). In the Small Arms Repair program, over 3,500 fewer weapons will be repaired (-1,321). | 36F 6- |
|---|--------|
| Test Equipment Maintenance is reflected in the calibration of approximately 3.500 fewer pieces of | 2 |
| equipment. | -381 |
| reflects 1 less periscope being repaired and restored. | -3.215 |
| reflects the repair and restoration of 10,000 fewer inoperative Radiation Detection. Indication and Computation (RADIAC) | 6 |
| equipment. 5) DIVING AND SALVAGE MAINTENANCE. The decrease in | -2.348 |
| funding will cause curtailed operations at one of the | |
| Emergency Ship Salvage Maintenance (ESSM) bases and also reflects the elimination of overhaul repairs on an | |
| manned submersible (-2,173) and decreased support for | |
| the Explosive Ordnance Disposai (EUU) program (-1/5). 6) SURFACE SHIP SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE - The decrease | -8,227 |
| réflects a substantial reduction in repair and | |
| restoration efforts on Propulsion equipment such as Marine Gas Turbine engines, fewer pieces of Hull | |
| equipment such as the transfer terrier car and air | |
| flasks/probes and fewer pieces of Electrical equipment | |
| ich as the Secondary Propulsion Motor (SPM), all of | |
| which affects the depot maintenance backlog levels. | |

-6,310

-1,881

Activity Group: Other Ship Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Mayal Sea Systems Command

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

repaired (-1,771), 1,015 fewer radar microwave tubes being repaired (-1,237), 2 less computer program (C/P) backfit modifications being supported (-961), 188 fewer decrease reflects 741 fewer electronic components being systems testing, and simulator software updates (-440). computer program problem resolutions (CPPRs) (-1,620), Systems Software Support program, the decrease impacts At the Fleet Combat Systems Support Activity (FCDSSA), and less C/P deliveries (-506). There is also a decrease of 4 C/P technical assistants (-215). the decrease of 24 workyears is based on anticipated Embedded Computer Software Maintenance program, the decrease will cancel the transition of the AN/UYK-43 product improvement and increase the downtime of key reflects the ceasing of activity at the target depot decreased software trouble reports analysis, weapon reduced workload levels (-1,060). In the Tactical AN/UYK-44 computer servicing/repairs and no normal thereby increasing costs for depot level repairs. Depot from the contractor to an organic facility, activity and the boat rehabilitation depots.

8) CG-47/DDG-51 WEAPON SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE - The software revisions. These reductions will limit 7) MAJOR SHIP/BOAT REPAIR PROGRAM - The decrease addition, there will be increased lead time for computer program maintenance support including combat/weapon systems (-6,582). 0

| | 0003 | 206,726 | 5,407 | _ | | 7 911 | 110,1 | |
|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------------|--|--------------|
| | | | 153 | 501 497 | 294 51 243 | 4,459 | 7,811 | 7 587 |
| | | | _ | ~ | • | ~ | _ | |
| Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | 6. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | 7. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | 2) Wage Board B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | 2) Wage Board C. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) 1) Non-fuel (Supplies, Materials and Equipment) 2) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) | D. Other Pricing Adjustments | Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE - The increase to the Coast Guard Support program reflects additional purchases of sonar replacement parts and increased techical assistance for guns installed on US coast | Guard ships. |

774

additional Navigation Components. In addition, the increase will provide for extensive refurbishment of Navy Tactical Data Systems (NTDS) displays in the CG and DD class ships scheduled for overhaul.

3) UNDERSEA WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE - The increase reflects repair and restoration of an additional 61

2) ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE - The increase to 2F

COG Electronics will allow for the repair of 31

towed array sonars and their associated tow cables and

2,587

1,100

1,017

Activity Group: Other Ship Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

| increased repair and restoration support for the periscope effort (241). The increase also reflects 7 additional sonar equipments being repaired (181) and restored and overhaul and maintenance support for 1 Mine Hunter Coastal (MHC) ship entering the Active | 4) DIVING AND SALVAGE MAINTENANCE - The increase reflects 2 additional equipments sets maintained/repaired. 5) SURFACE SHIP SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE - The increase | reflects accommodation of required removals necessitated by growth in the Hull, Mechanical and Electrical (HM&E) population and the overall increasing age of the Marine Gas Turbine (MGT) population. The units to be refurbished include such items as hydraulic transmissions for Hull equipment, MGTs for Propulsion | Electrical equipment. Electrical equipment. 6) CG-47/DDG-51 WEAPON SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE - The increase reflects more electronic components being repaired (328), 812 additional microwave tubes being repaired prior to the ship parts control center (SPCC) | (C/P) backfit modifications (178). The increase is also due to 221 additional computer program problem resolutions (CPPRs) (147), 2 more C/P deliveries (94) and 1 additional C/P technical assistant (41). 7) SHIP SYSTEMS SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE - In the Tactical Embedded Computer Software program, the increase will |
|---|--|--|---|---|
| increased repair periscope effor additional sona restored and ov Mine Hunter Coa | 4) DIVING AND Sreflects 2 addimaintained/repa | by growth in the population and Gas Turbine (MG refurbished inctransmissions for the population of the | Electrical equipment, and Electrical equipment, and increase reflectrepaired (328), repaired prior | (C/P) backfit malso due to 221 resolutions (CF and 1 additions 7) SHIP SYSTEMS Embedded Comput |

8 th. 5

1,838

| | (09-) | (-6,723) -1,963 | 988- | -1,355 |
|---|--|---|---|--|
| Activity Group: Other Ship Systems Maintenance (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). provide additional support for software maintenance and revisions required to support an increasing number of | 9. Program Decreases A. One-Time FY 1993 Costs 1) One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 at the Fleet Combat Direction System Support Activity (FCDSSA) (-36). Also, there is one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 at various field activities reflecting the DOD personnel policy which | funded activities (-24). B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) OTHER SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE - The decrease to the Search Radar program will defer the restoration of 17 equipments, 1 radar electronic component, and 5 radar ancillary equipments which will increase the unfunded backlog (-1,902). The decrease to the Small Arms Repair program will defer the repair | of 160 weapons (-61). 2) ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE - The decrease in the Test Equipment Maintenance program reflects 600 fewer | 3) UNDERSEA WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE - The decrease reflects reduced maintenance, technical and logistics support for the Transducer/Hydrophone effort (-201). The decrease also reflects reduced overhaul and repair support for 2 additional Mine Counter Measure (MCM) |

-6,783

-808

-544

Activity Group: Other Ship Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

-40

-1,127

5 ... 450

8. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

capabilities of CDS computer programs in the flet. (-495). The decrease of 13 workyears represents reduced workload at the FCSSA (-594). In addition, e decrease is due to an average grade salary adjustment, including any adjustment to benefits, necessary to balance workyears and salary requirements at FCDSSA (-12).

10. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

· ...421

111. Performance Criteria.

A. SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS

\$20 thousand to \$2 million depending on the type of equipment being restored. Also included in this funding is: maintenance of the Navy's small arms (.50 caliber or less) weapons. approximately twenty-five percent of the cost of new procurement. Search radar restoration costs vary from Provides depot maintenance for warfare systems on surface ships. Includes major maintenance and repair of search radar components in the Fleet and the repair and overhaul of Navy-owned weapons systems on Coast Guard ships. Requirements are based on replacement commitments to specific ships during industrial availabilities and/or time usage factors. Restored search radar components provide equipment for

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | |
|---------------|---|--|----------|---|
| | 1 | | 1 | 1 |
| | \$ Uni | \$ Units \$ Units | \$ Units | s \$ Units \$ Units |
| Total Gunding | 26.770 | 24,799 | 24,016 | 22,991 |
| local cultury | | . 化计算器加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加加 | | 医骶侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧侧 |

1. SEARCH RADAR MAINT

| 604 449 1,737 98 | 118 9 35 |
|--|--|
| | 11,028 |
| 618 470 1,781 98 | 135 10 40 |
| | 12,511 37 10 45 |
| 645 503 1,824 98 | 137 10 45 |
| | 12,338 |
| 682 563 1,871 98 | 143 11 50 |
| | 12,705 |
| Depot Supported Fleet Population Antenna Groups Electronics Ancillary Equipments U.S. Coast Guard (radars) | Radars Repaired Antenna Groups Electronics Ancillary Equipments |

Claimant:

III. Performance Criteria (continued)

| | FY | FY 1990 | F | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | FY 1993 |
|--|--------|----------|-------|---------|-------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| | • | \$ Units | | Units | \$ Units \$ Units | Units | \$ Units | Units |
| . COAST GUARD | 11,518 | | 9,946 | | 10,062 | | 10,569 | |
| Equipped Cutters High Endurance (WHEC) Medium Endurance (WMEC) | | 10 | | 10 | | 10 | | 10 |
| . SMALL ARMS REPAIR | 2,547 | | 2,515 | | 1,443 | | 1,394 | |
| Approximate No. of Wpns Repaired | | 8,304 | | 8,219 | | 4,715 | | 4,555 |

B. ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE

Provides depot level support for electronics systems under the cognizance of the Naval Sea Systems Command, which includes refurbishment and restoration of Navy Tactical Data Systems (NTDS) on all active ships, restoration of inertial navigation and stabilized gyrocompass systems on surface combatants and depth detectors on SSNs and SSBNs. Requirements are driven by ship overhaul schedules and repair requirements based on operational schedules. In addition, this program provides for the calibration and repair incidental to calibration of all fleet electronic and electrical test, measuring and diagnostic equipment (IMDE) (including gas turbine ship support) which is beyond the capability or capacity of the fleet activities. This program also supports the restoration of non-ready for issue Radiation Detection, Indication and Computation (RADIAC) equipment to a safety level status.

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FΥ | FY 1990 | Ε¥ | FY 1991 | FY | FY 1992 | 7 | FY 1993 |
|---|--------|---------------------------|--------|----------------|--------|----------------------------------|----------|---|
| | · • | Units | - | Units | • | \$ Units | ~ | Units |
| Total Funding | 31,414 | | 21,875 | | 21,563 | | 23,785 | |
| 2F COG ELECTRONICS NUMBER OF IN-SERVICE SYSTEMS: | 12,951 | 11 # 11 11 11 | 9,557 | 14 18 18 | 10,672 | 13 18 18 19 19 19 | 13,485 | W 16 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 |
| NTDS Suites Navigation Components | | 156 1,214 | | 144 | | 141 | | 138 |
| EFFORTS PERFORMED: | | | | | | | | |
| Overhauls scheduled * NTDS Suites Navigation Components | | 7 304 | | 8 263 | | 244 | | 5 275 |
| Test Equip. Maint. | 18,463 | | 12,318 | | 10,891 | | 10,300 | |
| Standards Calibrations (000's) | | 11 | | Ξ | | 7 | | 7 |
| <pre># of Gas Turbine Ships Calibrated Fleet Calibrations (000's)</pre> | | 54 | | 58 5 | | 58 | | 58 |

* Units costs may vary depending on the extent of repair required for individual suites and components.

III. Performance Criteria (continued)

UNDERSEA WARFARE SYSTEMS :

2f Cog Electronics USW

5 arrays, depth sounders, acoustic countermeasures, periscopes, and undersea communication systems installed to be installed in attack submarines, ballistic missile submarines, major surface combatants, and support ships. This program also provides maintenance, technical and logistic support at the Intermediate Level. components, modules, cables, or assemblies; manufacture of critical non-available parts; array and cable certification; post repair calibration, and technical assistance to using organizations for the AN/NQM-6, The program supports repair/restoration of 2F Cog Undersea Warfare Equipment such as sonar systems towed includes pre-repair test and failure analysis; repair/replacement of damaged or unserviceable parts, periscopes, towed arrays and the AN/SQS-35/38.

Program requirements are based on quantities of installed equipment, the age of equipment, the cycle time required to repair items, the position of the installed equipment on the ship, issue rates of equipment to the fleet and emergent fleet problems. Units represent the total for submarines, surface combatants and support ships including the USW equipment aboard MCM and MSO ships.

Transducers, hydrophones, scanning switches and domes are major components of a sonar system.

Transducers receive and send acoustic signals and are used on active systems.

Scanning switches are electro-mechanical switches made primarily of silver, which are necessary for Hydrophones, used on passive systems, only receive acoustic signals.

ن

Domes encase and protect the hull-mounted elements of sonar systems from physical damage. "Sonar equipment" designates various other components of sonar systems that are refurbished with program sonar system to process audio and visual signals.

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

In FY 1990, funds transferred from naval reserves for the overhaul/maintenance of sonar equipments aboard Mine Countermeasure (MCM) Ships and Ocean-going Minesweepers (MSO). (Units represent the number of ships with sonar systems to be overhauled/maintained within funding controls).

| | FY | FY 1990 | FΥ | FY 1991 | F | FY 1992 | Ε¥ | FY 1993 |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------|----------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|----------|-------------------|--|
| | • | \$ Units | ~ | Units | ! | \$ Units | <u>.</u> | Units |
| Total Funding | 16,539 | | 20,561 | | 23,085 | 1 | 22,921 | 64 84 84 84 84 84 84 84 |
| Transducors/Hydronhones | H H H H H | | 11 14 14 11 11 11 | 2.952 | ; ;; ;; ;; ;; ;; | 2,455 | 2,952 2,455 2,456 | 2,456 |
| Const Fallingert* | | 55.4 | | 554 | | 645 | | 652 |
| Jonar Equipment | | 600 | | 8 | | 27 | | 27 |
| reriscopes ~ | | 170 | | 200 | | 235 | | 296 |
| lowed Arrays* | |) - | | 9 | | 500 | | 3 |
| Mine Warfare - MCM | | | | 7 | | 0 (| | 0 (|
| Mine Warfare - MSO | | 0 | | | | ? | | 7 • |
| Mine Warfare - MHC | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | - |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

* Transferred from the 2F Cog Electronics USW IM program to consolidate Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA) and depot maintenance efforts beginning in FY 1991. The Sonar Equipment and Periscope units represent a consolidation of similar efforts performed in both the Intermediate Maintenance program and the 2F Cog Electronics program.

** A breakout of the Productivity Investment Fund resources for a specific project.

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

D. EMISSIONS CONTROL EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE

This program provides depot level maintenance for calibration and repair of Radiation, Detection, Indication and Computation (RADIAC) equipment for all ships and shore activities.

| | FY 1990 | 066 | ΕY | FY 1991 | F | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | FY 1993 |
|--|----------|-------|----------|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| | \$ Units | Units | ~ | \$ Units | . | \$ Units | ~ | \$ Units |
| Total Funding | 10,547 | # H | 10,380 | N N H N N N | 7,842 | # # # # # | 7,191 | 10,547 10,380 7,842 7,191 |
| RADIAC Repair # equips calibrated (000's) | 8,614 | 46 | 8,760 | 39 | 7,842 | 34 | 7,191 | 31 |
| RADIAC Restoration | 1,933 | | 1.620 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| #equips repaired/ maintained (000's) | | 2 | | ς. | | 0 | | 0 |

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

E. DIVING AND SALVAGE SHIP MAINTENANCE

Navy salvage equipment aboard Navy salvage ships, assigned to Navy Mobile Diving and Salvage Units, and stored in the Emergency Ship Salvage Material (ESSM) bases, located worldwide. Program also funds the repair, maintenance, and overhaul of the Navy's three unmanned submersible vehicles (used for ship/aircraft The Salvage Equipment Depot Maintenance (DM) portion of this program repairs, overhauls, and maintains all salvage, special search, and pollution abatement missions), and maintenance of the Navy's two heavy lift craft (YHLCs) in an inactive status.

with equipment maintenance required to accomplish their EOD mission. This effort provides depot maintenance The Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) Depot Maintenance portion provides the forces of all military services support for EOD underwater and marine mammal systems.

hull maintenance. Program emphasis is on the development of undermost the mergent requirements basis drydock time and to avoid the associated costs. Actual work is performed on an emergent requirements basis are drydock time and to avoid tools are perfected and placed in service. Funds are also used for the as procedures, techniques and tools are perfected and placed in service. Funds are also used for the The Underwater Ship Husbandry portion of the program provides funds to modify existing tools for underwater usage, and to develop and document techniques and procedures for the underwater accomplishment of routine hull maintenance. Program emphasis is on the development of underwater techniques that do not require refurbishment of existing systems in the Underwater Ship Husbandry Equipment Pool which is located at the Cheatham Annex ESSM Base.

| Units | n 11 12 14 13 |
|----------|--|
| • | 9,183 |
| Units | H (1) |
| ~ | 9,216 |
| Units | 11 11 11 11 11 11 13 |
| ~ | 10,890 |
| Units | 11 17 11 11 11 11 |
| ~ | 9,473 |
| | |

Total Funding

2.0 H29

| vity Group: Other Ship Systems Maintenance (continued) | Naval Sea Systems Command |
|--|---------------------------|
| Activity Group: 0 | • • |
| | |

ļ

| nce Criteria (continued). |
|---------------------------|
| Performance |
| 111. |

| | fγ | FY 1990 | FY | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|--|-------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|----------|----------------|----------|----------------|
| | • | Units | • | Units | ~ | Units | ∽ | Units |
| Salvage DM | 7,118 | | 8,749 | , | 6,916 | • | 6,854 | |
| ESSM Bases Fully Operational Partially Operational | 4,725 | ო ო | 6,488 | 40 | 5,052 | mm | 5,235 | ოო |
| Submersibles # Vehicle repairs routine repairs >\$500 thousand <\$500 thousand | 1,000 | 0 5 | 1,030 | 0 0 | 1,070 | 20 | 1,112 | 0 0 |
| <pre># regular overhauls >\$1,333 thousand <\$1,333 thousand</pre> | | 00 | | 00 | | 00 | | 00 |
| % Vehicle availability DEEP DRONE CURV III ORION | | 100 0 100 | | 30 30 100 | | 30 30 30 | | 30 30 30 |

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FΥ | FY 1990 | Łλ | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|---|---|----------|----------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|-------|
| | . | \$ Units | ~ | \$ Units | • | \$ Units | ~ | Units |
| EOD System Maintenance | 878 | | 774 | | 632 | | 650 | |
| # Systems Maintained | 1 1 6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 | 387 | ; | 286 | ; ; ; ; | . 228 | | 224 |
| Undrwtr Shp Husbandry | 1,477 | | 1,367 | | 1,668 | | 1,679 | |
| Number of Equipment mods, techniques/procedures | 1,028 | | 1,153 | | 1,482 | | 1,336 | |
| developed >\$300 thousand <\$300 thousand Fourinment Sets | 449 | € | 214 | - 3 | 186 | 4 | 343 | m ~ |
| maintained/repaired >\$150 thousand <\$150 thousand | | 1 2 | ! ! | | • | 0 | • | 7 |

F. SURFACE SHIP SUPPORT

Program provides for refurbishment of a wide variety of ship equipments such as gas turbine engines, propellers, shafts, SONAR domes, main feed pumps, and generators for the operating fleet and for ship overhauls. The cost and time to refurbish is approximately one third that to procure new equipment. Equipment stocks are determined by fleet maintenance history, casualty report (CASREPT) demands and emergent overhaul requirements. Costs for equipment repaired are based on size, type, complexity, and condition before repair.

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY | FY 1990 | FY | FY 1991 | FY | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|----------------------|--------|-------------|------------|-------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| | | \$ Units | ~ | \$ Units \$ Units | ~ | Units | \$ Units | Units |
| Total Funding | 30,375 | | 28,422 | | 20,995 | \$1 81 11 15 15 1 | 22,010 | 15 14 16 11 11 |
| Hull Equipment | 1,823 | ü # # | 20 2,127 | 24 | 24 1,668 | 20 | 20 1,667 | 20 |
| Propulsion Equipment | 25,272 | | 217 23,636 | | 203 16,953 | 173 | 173 18,171 | 268 |
| Auxiliary Equipment | 1,957 | | 25 1,867 | 18 | 18 1,604 | 15 | 15 1,339 | 14 |
| Electrical Equipment | 1,323 | | 59 792 | 35 | 35 770 | 33 | 833 | 33 |

G. MAJOR SHIP/BOAT REPAIR PROGRAM

This program provides funding for depot maintenance/repair for seaborne targets including: remotely controlled powered boats, towed targets, target hulks, free-floating targets, and augmentation, to ensure these targets either new or repaired to replace those in service aboard ships and at shore activities that are no longer economically repairable, and to fill new allowances. are in a Ready-For-Issue (RFI) condition to support fleet readiness training exercises and weapon systems development Test and Evaluation (T&E). This funding is also used for providing boats and landing craft,

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | | FΥ | FY 1990 | ΕY | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | FΥ | fγ 1993 |
|---|-----------|-------|---------------------|----------|-----------------|----------|---------------------------|----------|------------------|
| | 1 | | \$ Units | . | \$ Units | . | \$ Units | ~ | \$ Units |
| Total Funding | | 1,814 | 1 1 1 | 1,810 | 1,814 1,810 0 0 | | 7 29 17 17 11 | # 0 | # H H H |
| 1. Seaborne Targets | (1,082) | 082 |) (| (820) | | 0 | | 0 | |
| a) Minor Maint Spt | | 832 | • | 9/9 | | 0 | c | 0 | 0 |
| (Manyears) b) Major Depot Repair | | 250 | 17.0 | 174 | 13.8 | 0 | | 0 | • |
| (QST33 & QST35)* (Manyears) | | | 10.0 | | 7.0 | c | 0.0 | _ | 0.0 |
| 2. Boat Rehabilitation # Roats Rehab/Issued | <u> </u> | 732 | 732) (SE7 7/29 | | 06/6 | > | 0/0 | • | 0/0 |

*QST- Q-Remote Controlled, S-Surface, T-Target

H. CG-47/DDG-51 WEAPONS SYSTEM MAINTENANCE

This account provides AEGIS Combat Systems depot maintenance in the following areas:

AEGIS Weapon System Maintenance. This account funds the depot repair of failed AEGIS combat system electronic Moorestown, N.J.. Repair of power tubes including Cross Field Amplifiers, Switch Tubes, 10KW Traveling Wave Tubes and Continuous Wave Illuminator Tubes is conducted at the Naval Weapons Support Center (NWSC) in Crane, components and high power microwave tubes. Repair of electronic components such as power supplies, printed circuit boards and electronic chassis is accomplished at the RCA operated AEGIS Depot Operations (ADO) in Reclamation of failed but repairable tubes and electronic components is cost effective in that unit

26 + 000

Performance Criteria (continued).

repair costs average less than 50% of new procurement costs and the repair turn- around time is less than 60% of the procurement lead time required for new items. The increase in the FY FY 1991 request reflects the requirement for greater capacity to handle the rapidly increasing AEGIS fleet.

requirements. It is driven by computer program problem reports and the need for product line improvements. It is also tied to the understanding that the performance of this highly automated combat system hinges on operational software readiness. The increase in the FY 1991 request reflects the rapidly growing AEGIS fleet and the increasing complexity of AEGIS combat system baselines. The introduction of crusier and destroyer baseline 4 computer programs to the fleet during FY 1991 will require the capability to maintain combat system accommodate changes in interoperability requirements. Although computer programs do not "break", this effort is the computer program equivalent to repair for tubes or electronic components with new versions periodically Computer program maintenance provides updates of shipboard computer programs and tactical team training AEGIS Combat System Computer Program Maintenance is conducted at the AEGIS Computer Center (ACC) in Dahlgren, replacing older versions. AEGIS combat system computer program maintenance is directly linked to operational computer programs which are three times as complex as those currently maintained for Baseline 1 cruisers. exercises required to maintain the combat readiness of AEGIS ships. This includes deliveries of program updates to the fleet, as well as engineering support sites. Updates will be required to correct errors, increase system performance, accommodate new equipment, adhere to changes in military doctrine, and to

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FΥ | FY 1990 | FΥ | FY 1991 | FY | FY 1992 | F | FY 1993 |
|--------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|---------|----------|
| | ~ | \$ Units | ~ | \$ Units | ~ | \$ Units | • | \$ Units |
| Total Funding | 61,641 | # 11 44 M | 73,798 | 11 13 10 10 11 | 71,118 | 61,641 73,798 71,118 74,418 | 74,418 | |
| 1. Electronic Components | | | | | | | | |
| Repaired | 13,116 | | 19,613 | | 18.828 | | 19, 769 | |
| 2. Tubes Repaired | 16,370 | | 13,701 | | 13, 153 | | 13,811 | |
| 3. C/P Backfit Mods | 9,400 | | 10,645 | | 10,219 | | 10,730 | |
| 4. L/P Maint Problem | | | | | | | | |
| | 15,638 | | 21,831 | | 21,230 | | 22,035 | |
| 5. C/P Deliveries | 4,920 | | 5,598 | | 5,374 | | 5,643 | |
| | 2,197 | | 2,410 | | 2,314 | | 2,430 | |

Activity Group: Other Ship Systems Maintenance (continued) (laimant:

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

. SHIP SYSTEMS SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE

helicopters. The Fleet Combat Direction Systems Support Activities (FCDSSA) provide technical assistance and computer programs to shore establishments, communication systems, satellite systems and navigation systems in addition to regular support of Surface and Air Tactical Data Systems. Sonar Software Maintenance provides computer program support for the LAMPS MK III Integrated Aircraft/Shipboard Weapons Systems including the SH-60B Helicopter and AN/SQQ-28(V) sonar processor. Standard Tactical Embedded Computer command and control systems. Funding provides planning, design, repair, production, testing and delivery of Ship Systems Software funds the maintenance of complex computer programs for specific shipboard weapon and tactical computer programs, computers and command and control systems on surface combatants, aircraft and Resources provides software and hardware maintenance for the AN/UYK-43(V), AN/UYK-44(V) and OL-385(V) computer card-sets.

| | FΥ | | Ŧ | 1991 | - | FY 1991 FY 1992 | | | |
|---|----------|------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|---|----------|-----------------------------|--|
| | \$ Units | | \$ Units | Units | ~ | Units | \$ Units | Units | |
| Total Funding | 33,879 | 3 | 34,461 |) 1 1 1 | 28,891 | 4 11 22 21 11 11 11 11 11 | 30,662 | 33,879 34,461 28,891 30,662 | |
| FCDSSA | 22,893 | # 2 | 22,755 | 1 1 1 1 4 1 | 23,640 | | 23,423 | | |
| Efforts Funded: | | | | | • | | 6 | | |
| Surf Tac. | 7,018 | | 7,033 | | 8,328 | | 8,703 | | |
| Data System (No. of Ships Supported) | | 157 | | 145 | | 145 | | 145 | |
| Air Tac. | 935 | | 923 | | 1,271 | | 1,152 | | |

GCC432

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY | FY 1990 | FΥ | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|--|----------|---------|------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|-------|
| Data Svetom | ~ | Units | ~ | Units | ∽ | Units | · | Units |
| (No. of Aircraft Supported) | | 102 | | 102 | | 102 | | 102 |
| Spt. Software, Commun. & Tac. Intelligence Systems | 1,568 | | 1,495 | | 1,505 | | 1,495 | |
| J110S | 1,124 | | 1,091 | | 1,108 | | 1,325 | |
| Facility, Req. Maint. and General Costs | 12,248 | | 12,213 | | 11,428 | | 11,168 | |
| SONAR SYSTEMS SOFTWARE MAINTENANCE | 1,421 | | 1,173 | | 803 | | 793 | |
| Number of LAMPS MK III Sys | | 63 | 1 1 1 1 | 75 | | 87 | | 94 |

32h000

CCC437

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

Activity Group: Other Ship Systems Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY | FY 1990 | FΥ | FY 1991 | F | FY 1992 | FY 1933 | 933 |
|---|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|----------|------------|----------|----------------|
| | | Units | ~ | Units | ~ | Units | ~ | Units |
| TACTICAL EMBEDDED COMPUTERS | 9,565 | | 10,533 | | 4,448 | | 6,446 | |
| Fleet Populations: | | | | | | | | |
| AN/UYK 43 Computers AN/UYK 44 Computers | | 781 3,214 | | 883 3,632 | | 999 | | 1,107 4,619 |
| Lines of Comptr Code (000s) | | 4,500 | | 5,000 | | 5,500 | | 6,000 |
| Number of Comptr Prgrms Users | | 400 | | 400 | | 425 460 | | 475 |
| Efforts Funded (WYs): Support Software Mtce ADA Software Mtce | 2,667 | 38 | 2,750 2,749 | 35 35 | 2,224 | 28 | 3,223 | 40 |
| Hardware Maintenance: UYK-43 UYK-44 | 1,911 2,000 | 27 29 | 2,517 | 32 | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |

300438

Activity Group: Other Ship Systems Maintenance (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

IV. Personnel Summary

| | FY 1990 FY 1991 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 FY 1993 | FY 1993 |
|---------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------------|---------|
| End Strength (E/S) | | | | |
| A. Military | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Officer Enlisted | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| B. Civilian | 252 | 239 | 336 | 330 |
| HOSO | 252 | 239 | 336 | 330 |

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Budget Activity:

Claimant:

Intermediate Maintenance
7 - Central Supply and Maintenance

Naval Sea Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

organizations using the equipment. Intermediate maintenance of equipment is normally accomplished in fixed or mobile shops, tenders, shore based repair facilities, or by mobile teams. The Intermediate Maintenance Activity Group funds that maintenance which supports Organizational Level Maintenance. The efforts funded consist of calibration, repair or replacement of damaged or unserviceable parts, components or assemblies; the manufacture of critical nonavailable parts; and technical assistance to

11. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | FY 1993 Request | 00\$ | 6. |
|---------|---|--|---|
| | Current FY 1992 Estimate Request | 00\$ | \$0 |
| | Current | 0\$ | \$ |
| FY 1991 | Appro- Current FY 1992 F priation Estimate Request R | | \$5,363 \$5,363 |
| | • | \$3,257 2,106 | \$5,363 |
| | FY 1990 Actual | \$1,306 | \$2,546 |
| | | SURF WARFARE SYS INTRMED MAINT UNDERSEA WAR SYS INT MAINT | Total, INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE \$2,546 |

300439

Activity Group: Intermediate Maintenance (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

11. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases.

\$000

1. FY 1991 Current Estimate

2. FY 1992 President's Budget Request

3. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

100441

Activity Group: <u>Intermediate Maintenance (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

111. Performance Criteria.

A. SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS

Provides intermediate level maintenance to mines and destructors. Efforts funded include screening, testing, adjustment, and replacement of parts and components for mines. Also included is the field calibration and repair of test equipment for mines.

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|----------------------|----------|----------------------------|----------|--|
| | \$ Units | \$ Units \$ Units \$ Units | \$ Units | \$ Units |
| Total Funding | 1,306 | # U | # U H | 1,306 * * * * * series established establi |
| Mines Repaired | 3,968 | * | * | * |
| Destructors Repaired | 6,681 | * | * | * |

*This program is realigned into the Mine Depot Maintenance and Mine Maintenance Support program in FY 1991 to achieve greater consistency in budget presentations in Depot Maintenance and Maintenance support programs.

B. UNDERSEA WARFARE SYSTEMS

The program provides pre-repair test and failure analysis; repair/replacement of damaged or unserviceable parts, components, modules, cables, or assemblies; manufacture of critical nonavailable parts; array and cable certification; post-repair test and calibration, and technical assistance to organizations using AN/WQM-6, SIASS 2F Cog USW equipment, periscopes and the AN/SQS-35 Sonar Sensing Unit (SSU).

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

Program requirements are based on quantities of installed equipment, the age of equipment, the cycle time required to repair items, the position of the installed equipment on the ship, issue rates of equipment to the fleet and emergent fleet problems. Costs include material, travel, shipping, and administrative

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|-----------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | \$ Units | \$ Units \$ Units \$ Units | \$ Units | \$ Units |
| Total Funding | 1,240 | # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # | # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # | 1,240 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * |
| Sonar/Periscope Total | 1,240 | * | * | * |
| Sonar Equipment | 16 | * | * | * |
| Periscopes | 10 | * | * | * |
| Towed Arrays | 30 | * | * | • |

* This program is realigned in FY 1991 to the 2F Cog Electronics-USW program to achieve greater consistency in budget presentation efforts.

Audit Savings Incorporated in Budget Controls

IV. Personnel Summary. N/A

C22442

OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Budget Activity: Claimant:

Maintenance Support 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Naval Sea Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed.

technical and engineering efforts in the development of maintainability concepts and the maintenance portion maintenance requirements. The second area is maintenance, technical and engineering support, which includes includes the preparation of technical and engineering data for all types of equipment, and provides for the preparation, editorial review and/or revision of equipment publications pertaining to the operation, repair of logistics plans dealing with weapons and equipment. The third is technical and engineering data, which The Maintenance Support Activity Group supports functions which are not a part of depot, intermediate or organizational maintenance. Maintenance support can be divided into three areas. The first, programming and planning support includes long range workload scheduling and resource utilization, centralized planning for all maintenance and all logistics support efforts (except engineering) for the development of weapon system and weapon support activity and repair parts support of DOD material.

Activity Group: Maintenance Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

11. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout (continued).

FY 1991

| | | 1 | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | FY 1990 | Budget | Appro- | Current | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
| | Actual | Request | priation | Estimate | Request | Request |
| | \$35,354 | ; | • | \$56.918 | \$55.645 | • |
| UNDERSEA WARFARE SYS MAINT SPT | 8,920 | 15,956 | 8,779 | 18,092 | 16,945 | |
| TMD SUPPORT | 1.979 | | | 1,989 | 1.744 | |
| AMMUNITION SYS MAINT SPT | 798 | | | 5,507 | 7,356 | |
| EMISSION CONTROL MAINT SPT | 4.040 | | | 3,818 | 3,513 | |
| INACTIVE SHIP MAINT SUPPORT | 5,291 | | | 10,576 | 11,343 | |
| AVIATION ASM MAINT SPT | 510 | | | 3,543 | 2,568 | |
| NSSP MAINTENANCE SUPPORT | 3,709 | 4,338 | 3.798 | 3,627 | 2,092 | |
| SUBMARINE ASM EMS | 7,816 | 0 | | 27,281 | 31,649 | |
| SURFACE ASM MAINT SPT | 1.940 | 0 | 0 | 5,327 | 4.944 | |
| MS AEGIS SYSTEMS | 56,061 | 83,119 | 69,036 | 68,500 | 66,845 | 70,992 |
| Total, MAINTENANCE SUPPORT | \$126,418 | \$171,825 | \$132,594 | \$205,178 | \$204,644 | \$209,175 |

444000

| ancial Summa | Financial Summary (continued) | | | 000 |
|----------------------------|--|----------|---------------------------------|---------|
| Reconciliat | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | | 2002 |
| 1 FY 1991 Current E | Current Estimate | | | 205,178 |
| | | | | 12.470 |
| 2. Pricing A. Ann 1) | Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises Classified | _ | 230) 215 15 | |
| 8. 1. F.2) | 2) Wage Board FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | J | 594) 585 9 | |
| C. C. Sel | 2) Wage Board Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) 1) Non-Fuel (Supplies, Materials and Equipment) 2) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) 6) Other Pricing Adjustments | | 7,012) 49 6,963 4,634) | |
| 3. Function A. Tra | Functional Program Transfers A. Transfers-Out 1) Intra-Appropriation a) Standard Lead User Charge (SLUC) - funds to rent commercially leased space transferred to Budget Activity 9, Base Operations Support, for direct navment to General Service Administration (GSA) | ~ | -365) | -365 |
| 4. Progra A. On 1) | Federal Building Fund. Program Increases A. One-Time FY 1992 Costs 1) The increase reflects one additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 for the NATO SEASPARRO" | ~ | 6 16 | 14,876 |

Maintenance Support(continued) Naval Sea Systems Command

Activity Group: Claimant:

11.

0003

Maintenance Support(continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued) Ξ.

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Project Office (4), the Inactive Ship Maintenance Facitilites (5), and the AEGIS Combat Systems Center, Wallops Island (9). Also, one additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 at various field activities reflecting the DOD personnel policy which eliminates reimbursable funding at non-industrial funded activities (73).

Other Program Growth in FY 1992 1) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - In the the In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) functions(29). There is increased maintenance support for the Vertical Supportability Evaluation (FSE) and Maintenance Control enCAPsulated TORpedo) mine program has an increase in which provides technical support to the llimited depot evel overhaul facility at Curtis Bay Coast Guard Yard increase in the Vertical Launch ASROC (ANTI-Submarine Surface ASW Weaponry program, the increase supports Rocket) program will provide support for additional In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) as the program _aunch Systems (VLS) in the fleet (144). There is increased engineering support for MK 75 Gun Mounts documentation in the Mk-46 torpedo program (403). 106). The Gun Weapon System Maintenance program provides additional support for MK 45 Gun Mount Engineering efforts (112) and increased Fleet additional safety-related updates to technical eaches full production (1,258). The CAPTOR ъ.

Maintenance Support(continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Financial Summary (continued)

Π.

Report (MCR) inspection support (374). The increase provides for the shipboard tests and Ship Qualification class ballistic missile submarines (154). The increase in the OD-44979 "Firing Craft Operating Procedures and documents and manual updates (319). Funding for the Desktop Computer program will provide for the start-up Checklists" program, which outlines the guidelines for costs of expanding and upgrading the Navy Standard Desktop Calculator (NSDIC) program (524). In addition, increased in order to provide support for 4 additional control throughout the ammunition life cycle, studies, increase will support program management and technical rials (SQIs) which are required to support the Fleet MK-118 Defensive Weapon Systems (DWS) aboard SSBN-726 weapons employment, will support approximately 21 new 2) UNDERSEA WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - For assets to facilitate the cyclic overhaul program (7). includes providing configuration, identification and he Submarine Fire Control System (FCS) program is acquisition functions for 2T Cog ammunition. This provide Inventory Management tracking of periscope processes and equipment to improve reliability and ntroduction of RAM missiles and launchers (769). the 2F Cog Electronics program the increase will 3) AMMUNITION SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The requirements planning, and analyzing production the ASW Target program is increased (17).

7447

Act vity Group: Maintenance Support(continued)
Claymant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

\$000

737

6) NSSP MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The increase will provide 4) INACTIVE SHIP MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The increase is Wallops Island. This increase consists of 6 workyears will also support 20 additional workyears of effort on increase in Maritime Administration costs will support workyears and salary requirements (14). The increase support for drydocking and hull maintenance (497). 5) CG-47/DDG-51 WEAPON SYSTEM ENGINEERING MAINTENANCE technical efforts at the AEGIS Combat Systems Center, due to an average grade salary adjustment, including provides for the preparation, review and revision of 7) SUBMARINE COMBAT & WEAPONS SYSTEMS - The AN/BSY-1 manpower support for engineering, administrative and 3 additional vessels (7). There is also additional any adjustments to benefits, necessary to balance SUPPORT - The increase reflects additional direct additional Program Planning Support for all Navy This support required to support the additional ships in the inactive fleet beginning in FY 1992 (219). The Contractor-Operated contract. The increment is the inactive ship maintenance Government-Owned technical manuals for an increasing number of Standard Signal Processors (NSSP). and associated endstrength. in-service systems. reduce costs.

43

I,340

6,805

844000

combat system maintenance and technical support efforts

:

Maintenance Support(continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

associated with the AN/BSÝ-2 combat system are required in order to monitor contractor progress in developing a increase in the number of ship visits and phone assists made by the Naval Sea Systems Engineering Services and MK-117 Fire Control System (FCS)/ Combat Control System (CCS) MK-1, the increase in funding will allow for an number of fleet feedback reports to be investigated and dentification of provisioning and storage requirements program (231). For the AN/BQQ-5 sonar system, there are life-cycle quantities of AN/BSY-1 unique items (2,335) casualty assists (phone calls and actual visits) (1,273). The support for Reliability, Maintainability, efforts also increase (53). The 2 additional man-years check-out of an additional 4 systems (1,553). For the and to implement contractual procedures for procuring correct high failure rate items identified in deficiency reports (41). Technical and administrative services is due to an increase in the number of ship Second, logistics support increases due to a greater The increase for In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) and Availability (RMA) efforts increase in order to Logistics Support Analysis (LSA) data base for the software maintenance efforts are enhanced (1,079). incorporate a number of funding increases. First, ncreases for training and for the installation resolved, along with the need to support the Analysis Center (NESAC) (240).

Maintenance Support(continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group:

Claimant:

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

1) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT-In the decrease reflects reduced maintenance actions and ASW Sensors category, the AN/SQQ-89 combat system Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 **Program Decreases** . 2

operational integrity as well as engineering changes to hardware.(-2,919). The Long Range Misssile Maintenance integrated logisitcs support efforts and a reduction in decrease reflects a reduction in production engineering procurements. The reduced support includes operational Systems (FCS) installed on Terrier Anti-Aircraft Warfare (AAW) ships (-241). The decrease also reflects and in-service engineering to cover ammunition support and maintenance support for operational systems (-164) costs associated with additional component and system Additionally, the decrease reduces logisitics support for the Acoustics Trials program (-34). Search Radar In-Service Engineering Agent support is also reduced In-Service Engineering Agent efforts for Fire Control in-service sonar systems effort will reduce technical (-166). For the Mine Maintenance Support program the the response to fleet request actions (-265). The support and logisitics support to analyze hardware Support program decrease reflects reduced on-site failure and determine deficiencies to maintain

000\$

-27,515

reduced In-Service Engineering support to Combatant

Activity Group: Maintenance Support(continued)

Einancial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

in-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) technical and fleet technical and engineering issues required to sustain high levels of system availability. Specifically, the -631). In addition, ISEA technical support is reduced Maintainability and Availability analyses to the Coast following kinds of activities are affected: resolution procedures (-635). The decrease in the NATO SEASPARROW is due to an average grade salary adjustment,including System Ship Qualification Trial (CSSQT), Reliability, An additional decrease for NATO SEASPARROW programs; and performing necessary logistics support program reduces the quick reaction time support for Guard Medium and High Endurance Cutters (-638). In Anti-Ship Missile (ASM) Electronic Warfare (EW) program, there is a decrease in AN/SLQ-32 EW suite efforts for SM-2 Block IV Missile introduction and maintenance support impacting system improvements reviewing and updating documentation and computer or the AN/SLO-17 and AN/WLR-1H EW systems (-88). addition the decrease impacts logisitics support any adfjustment to benefits necessary to balance workyears and salary requirements (-1). For the efforts essential to maintain systems operation delays the development of maintenance and test maintainability to improve system performance; management; evaluating system reliability and of casualty reports; conducting configuration (-2,981).

C. . 45.

000\$

-3,391

Activity Group: Maintenance Support(continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

| | | | [[e |
|---|--|--|--|
| 2) UNDERSEA WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) of in-service MK-48 torpedoes is reduced, causing fewer ready for issueruns to be performed. These runs identify procedural and design problems which, once corrected, result in improved torpedo readiness levels (-415). For the ASW Test process. | Trial (WSAT), 3 fewer surface WSATs, 2 fewer Consolidated Operability Tests (COTs), 23 fewer at-sea bearing tests, and 16 fewer surface Ship Consolidated Operability Tests (SCOTs) will be performed (-1,646). The Transducer Repair Facility (TRF) program will reduce the level of preventive maintenance and | technical support provided for transducers and hydrophones (-60). Additional reductions impact the Acoustic Countermeasures and other logistics efforts (-142). For the submarine Vertical Launch System (VLS) program, the decrease reflects discontinuation of the VLS effort in the Maintenance Support Activity Group. Beginning in FY 1992 the effort is assumed in the | Submarine Technical Support Program (-1,128). 3) TMD SUPPORT - The decrease reflects reduced support for all fleet held electronic, electrical and mechanical Test Measurement and Diagnostic Equipment (TMDE). 4) EMISSIONS CONTROL MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The decrease reflects reduced support to autor to data systems, which provide tracking and management information for Radiation |

C00452

-437

-492

Maintenance Support(continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

and to convert these measurements into meaningful terms so that equipment is designed to detect and measure ionizing radiation Navy personnel can adequately control personnel exposure to Detection, Indication and Computation (RADIAC) equipment. ionizing radiation.

decreased support for deferred drydocking efforts (-195). This reduced workload results in a decrease of 5) INACTIVE SHIP MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The decrease

three workyears at the Inactive Ship Maintenance

Facility (-108). 6) AVIATION ASW MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The reduction in

the Target Maintenance Support program will cause the In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) to reduce target availability and reliability (-207). For the Aircraft Carrier (CV) ASW Module program, the decrease in funding will reduce the number of technical assists for 7) NSSP MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The decrease will result activities. The Navy will not provide support for the capability of ship's force personnel (-619). For the Tape Lab Support program, the elimination of funding acceptance of magnetic tape, reels, and hubs (-443). in a reduction in the program's ability to maintain equipment malfunctions which are beyond the repair will cause an immediate cessation in all program qualification, certification, procurement, and

fleet equipment and provide Logistics Support for the

2000

Activity Group: Maintenance Support(continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

hull, mechanical, and electrical support (-249) for the AEGIS ships at sea and availabilities. The decrease in Follow-On Test and Evaluation (FOT&E) indicates less support for the DDG-51 (-53). There is also a decrease in AEGIS Combat System Life Support Engineering efforts at Wallops Island (-1,008). Furthermore, there is a decrease in engineering support for the AEGIS Warfighting Improvement Program (WIP) (-465) and less Operating (OP) Cycle Integration which will affect yard times and availability costs (-2,304). The decrease is also due to an average grade salary adjustment, associated testing runs (-243). In addition, there will System In-Service Engineering support (-1,031) and less organization and guidance in solving equipment problems AN/UYS-2 Enhanced Modular Signal Processor (EMSP). In addition, the decrease will also cause the elimination balance workyears and salary requirements (-29). 9) SUBMARINE COMBAT & WEAPONS SYSTEMS - For the MK-48 support is a 24-hour telephone service which provides 8) CG-47/DDG-51 WEAPON SYSTEM ENGINEERING MAINTENANCE decrease in depot maintenance engineering which will SUPPORT - The decrease reflects less required Combat including any adjustments to benefits, necessary to Hot line AÓCAP (Advanced Capability) torpedo, there is a delay the introduction of software upgrades and of the Field Services/Hot Line Support. and failures.

3,715

424CC0

Maintenance Support(continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Financial Summary (continued) Ξ.

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

be reductions in Follow-On Test and Evaluation (FOT&E), Array Sonar System (STASS)/Submarine Sonars program, a planned fix for BQH-1 bathythermograph will not be efforts are reduced (-219). The reduction in technical operational units (-951). The MK-117 Fire Control System (FCS)/Combat Control System (CCS) MK-1 program will decrease deficiency analysis efforts and 6 fewer torpedo hardware engineering, and other logistics efforts (-613). For the AN/BSY-1 combat system, there guidelines support functions (-113). For the AN/8QQ-5 sonar system, maintenance supply and depot support Integrated Logistics Support (ILS)/Configuration Management (CM) will be required (-297). In addition, deficiency analysis and installation service efforts will be reduced (-138). For the Submarine Tactical support will result in 8 fewer system certifications (-969) and 598 fewer technical assists will be is a decrease in program management and operational performed to resolve sonar malfunctions aboard

tracking cataloging. The In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) activity will be unable to support the increasing ISEA requirements associated with this new 10) SURFACE SHIP ASM SYSTEMS - For the MK-50 torpedo eliminating configuration status accounting and maintenance engineering support resulting in program the decrease reflects a reduction in accomplished (-172).

| | | | | (235) 217 18 | (889) (677 11 | (351) -4 -4 355 | (3,961) | (6,768) 883 |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|------------------------------|--|
| Activity Group: Maintenance Support(continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command II Financial Summary (continued) | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | weapon system (-643). For the MK-50 program the reduction will limit full response to Fleet requested actions, reduce operational test and evaluation efforts, and reduce integrated logistic support efforts (-139). | 6. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | 7. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | c) Maye board C. Defense Business Operations Fund (Other DBOF) 1) Non-Fuel (Supplies, Materials and Equipment) 2) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) | D. Other Pricing Adjustments | Program Increases Other Program Growth in FY 1993 SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - For the ASW Surface Systems program, the increase reflects additional safety related updates to technical documentation and limited trend analysis for quality control for the MK-46 torpedo program (286) and the |

5,235

2000

Maintenance Support(continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

enCAPsulated TORpedo) mine program has an increase in in-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) functions (60). The ASW Sensors Sonar Systems category increases to provide refurbishment for 1 additional in-service sonar system The CAPTOR (107). For the AN/SQQ-89 program the increase reflects logistics efforts including 1 additional acoustic trial as well a repair for switches and transducers (179). including adjustments to benefits necessary to balance engineering changes to hardware and logisitics support workyears and salary requirements (40). 2) UNDERSEA WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - For reflects additional production engineering support to the Submarine Weaponry category of the Submarine ASW there is an increase for the NATO SEASPARROW Project required to maintain operational suitability (69). cover ammunition support costs associated with the Maintenance Support, there is an increase in MK-48 Search Radar technical support is increased (11). increase for the Mine Maintenance Support program Office due to an average grade salary adjustment, increased integrated logisitics support (47) and additional increases are to support a variety of torpedo field engineering support. 3) AMMUNITION SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The component and system procurements as well as ASROC (Anti-Submarine Rocket) program (84).

99

increase will support program management and technical

Einancial Summary (continued)

3. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

acquisition functions for 2T Cog ammunition. This includes providing configuration, identification and control throughout the ammunition life cycle, studies, requirements planning, and analyzing production processes and equipment to improve reliability and reduce costs.

4) NSSP MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The increase will provide additional logistics support for the AN/UYS-2 Enhanced Modular Signal Processor (EMSP).
5) INACTIVE SHIP MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The increase

5) INACTIVE SHIP MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The increase reflects 37 additional vessels being maintained and

supported.

6) CG-47/DDG-51 WEAPON SYSTEM ENGINEERING MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The increase reflects additional Combat System In-Service Engineering (556) and more hull, mechanical, and electrical (H,M&E) systems support (135) for the 3 new ships at sea. The increase also reflects more Follow-On Test and Evaluation (FOT&E) for the DDG-54 Class ships (28), additional AEGIS Combat System Life Support Engineering efforts at Wallops Island (545), and an increase in engineering for the AEGIS Warfighting Improvement Program (WIP) for Baseline 1,2, and 3 Cruiser modernization (251). There is also more Operating (OP) Cycle Integration due to the increase in the number of Selected/Restricted Availabilities (SRAs) and Regular Overhauls (ROHs)

112

2,005

620458

The increase is also due to an average grade

Maintenance Support(continued) **Naval Sea Systems Command** Activity Group: Claimant:

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Logistics support needs increase due to a greater number of freet feedback reports to be investigated and services is due to an increase in the number of ship casualty assists (phone calls and actual visits) (119). Availability (RMA) efforts increase in order to correct high failure rate items identified in deficiency identification of provisioning and storage requirements for the categorizing of manuals received from the prime and to implement contractual procedures for procuring supply and depot support efforts (62). In addition, 2 life-cycle quantities of AN/BSY-1 unique items (852). requirements (56). 7) SUBMARINE COMBAT & WEAPONS SYSTEMS - The AN/BSY-1 funding allows for the upkeep of an inventory system changes (246). In the Maintenance Support portion of operation of a technical manual depot. Specifically, The increase for In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) benefits, necessary to balance workyears and salary the AN/BQQ-5 sonar program, there are increases in The support for Reliability, Maintainability, and reports (15). For the AN/BSY-2 combat system, the contractor, and for the update of manuals due to software maintenance efforts are enhanced (512). additional funding is required for the start-up salary adjustment, including any adjustments to resolved, along with the need to support the more systems will receive post-installation

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

certification (238) and 100 additional technical assists will be performed (196).

-7,472

\$000

10. Program Decreases

A. One-Time FY 1993 Costs
The decrease reflects one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 for the NATO Seasparrow Project Office (-4), the Inactive Ship Maintenance Facilities (-4), and the AEGIS Combat Systems Center, Wallops Island (-9). Also, one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 at various field activities reflecting the DOD personnel policy which eliminates reimbursable funding at non-industrial funded

activities (-73).

9. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993

1) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT-For the ASW Weaponry program the Vertical Launch ASROC (Anti-Submarine Rocket) program will decrease In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) functions, Test and Evaluation (T&E), and software maintenance efforts (-297). For the ASW surface Torpedo Tubes effort, the decrease reflects reduced maintenance engineering and depot support (-77). The decrease reflects reduced maintenance support for the Vertical Launch System in the Fleet (-18). The decrease also reflects reduced engineering support for MK 75 Gun Mounts (-11) and less engineering support effort for MK 33 Gun Mount

11. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

is also a decrease of 2 workyear and associated endstrength (-130). For the Anti-Ship Missile (ASM) Electronic Warfare (EW) program, there is a decrease in AN/SLQ-32 EW suite In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) SEASPARROW program which impacts the progams ability to issues (386). Associated with these program reductions System Accuracy Trial (WSAI), I less surface WSAI, and update of one Engineering Change Proposal (ECP) (-29). For the ASW Test program, 1 less submarine Weapon technical support (-48). STINGER In-Service Engineering Agent monitoring of Fleet equipments is also decreased (-73). In addition the decrease reflects (NTU) ships (-81). Reduced In-Service Engineering Agent 2) UNDERSEA WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - FOR efforts to an increasing number of new threat upgrade support efforts to an increasing number of New Threat the 2F Cog Electronics program the decrease reflects transducer restoration and defer the preparation and provide quick responses to technical and engineering reduced on-site In-Service Engineering Agent support addition, ISEA technical support is reduced for the AN/SLQ-17 and AN/WLR-1H EW systems (-11). technical and fleet maintenance support (-151). In manyears (-131). There is a decrease to the NATO Upgrade (NTU) ships (-166) and reduced logistics support efforts for Standard Missile (SM-2) by 2 reduced maintenance and technical support for

CCC481

000

Activity Group: Maintenance Support(continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

and to convert these measurements into meaningful terms so that Detection, Indication and Computation (RADIAC) equipment. The equipment is designed to detect and measure ionizing radiation Additional reductions impact the ASW Target, Acoustic Countermeasures, and other logistics efforts (-99). 3) TMD SUPPORT - The decrease reflects reduced support for all Navy personnel can adequately control personnel exposure to provide tracking and management information for Radiation reflects reduced support to automate data systems, which Measurement and Diagnostic Equipment (TMDE). 4) EMISSIONS CONTROL MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The decrease support provided for transducers and hydrophones (-62). 5) INACTIVE SHIP MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The decrease is workyears and salary requirements at the Inactive Ship missile submarines decreases from 25 to 18 (-32). The fleet held electronic, electrical and mechanical Test ransducer Repair Facility (TRF) program will reduce due to an average grade salary adjustment, including program is reduced as the population of old Poseidon (-370). Support for the Submarine Fire Control (FCS) l less Consolidated Operability Test (COTs) will be conducted, reducing fleet operational ASW readiness any adjustments to benefits, necessary to balance the level of preventive maintenance and technical Facility (-148). The decrease also reflects the drydocking of 2 fewer hulls (-221) and the ionizing radiation.

-222

-89

CCC 482

-124

-182

Maintenance Support(continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Einancial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

the Inactive Ship Facility (-66) and 15 fewer workyears associated testing runs (-163). In addition, there will be reductions in Follow-On Test and Evaluation (FOT&E), be reduced for 2 fewer system installations (-861). For the MK-117 Fire Control System (FCS)/Combat Control maintenance support (-36) and technical assistance will Government-Owned Contractor-Operated contract (-633). 6) AVIATION ASW MAINTENANCE SUPPORT - The decrease in -1,163). These program reductions also result in the decrease of 2 workyears and associated endstrength at 8) SUBMARINE COMBAT & WEAPONS SYSTEMS - For the MK-48 funding will degrade the In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) support for the MK 30 Target program. 7) CG-47/DDG-51 WEAPON SYSTEM ENGINEERING MAINTENANCE efforts (-356). For the AN/BSY-1 combat system there SUPPORT - The decrease of 3 workyears and associated endstrength is due to projected reduced requirements. decrease in depot maintenance engineering which will System (CCS) MK-1, the reductions will cause 7 fewer torpedo hardware engineering, and other logistics discontinuation of the deferred drydocking effort technical/administrative services (-538). In the ADCAP (Advanced Capability) torpedo, there is a delay the introduction of software upgrades and AN/BQQ-5 sonar program, there is a reduction in of effort on the inactive ship maintenance are reductions in program management and

000 HS3

2000

Maintenance Support(continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Tactical Array Sonar System (STASS)/Submarine Sonars program is reduced (-25). 9) SURFACE SHIP ASW SYSTEMS - For the MK-50 torpedo configuration management document, and 1 less maintenance study (-119). Support for the Submarine maintenance support ship visits/phone assists, less In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) support, 1 less

program the decrease reflects a reduction in Technical Support for the technical data system effort and a reduction in the Reliability/Maintainability effort reflects a reduction in requirements for operational (-161). For the AN/SQQ-89 program the decrease testing and evaluation (-103).

11. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

1842.00

Maintenance Support (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

III. Performance Criteria,

A. SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS

provides maintenance support for missiles, Long and Medium Range Missile Systems, Vertical Launch Systems, Basic Point Defense Surface Weapon Systems, STINGER, guns, search radars, mines, Anti-Submarine Warfare (ASW) Systems and Anti-Ship Missile (ASM) Electronic Warfare (EW) systems. Specific tasks include equipment maintenance analysis to develop solutions to problems identified by engineering changes, on-site assistance, writing technical feedback reports and technical document changes and maintaining data on maintenance actions. The program also includes maintenance support for Navy-owned systems Activity (FMA), Engineering Technical Services, and Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA) support for Electronic Warfare Systems. The performance criteria for ASW Surface Systems reflects the consolidation of various Anti-Submarine Warfare (ASW) efforts previously performed in the Surface Ship ASW Technical Support on Coast Guard cutters and readiness improvement and test capability development for the NATO Seasparrow the fleet, engineering and management support to correct casualty reports (CASREPs) including planning, missile systems. Additionally, funding is provided for life-cycle software support, Fleet Maintenance this program funds engineering and technical support for maintenance of Surface Warfare Systems. program into the Surface ASW Maintenance Support program beginning in FY 1991.

| \$ UNITS \$ UNITS \$ UNITS \$ UNITS 35,354 56,918 55,645 56,007 | 1330 | 1661 13 | 11 1992 | 1333 |
|---|---|-----------------|-------------------|----------|
| UNITS \$ UNITS \$ UNITS \$ 56,007 | 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| 56,918 55,645 | S UNITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS |
| | | 56,918 | 55,645 | 26,007 |

Missile Weapons Systems IN-SERVICE POPULATION

Total Funding

Medium Range Missile Weapon Systems (SM-1,2 MR) (Major Systems/Ships) C. 455

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1 | FY 1990 | FΥ | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | F | FY 1993 |
|---|------|---------------------|-----|---------------------|-------|---------------------|----------|----------------------|
| | | UNITS | · · | UNITS | · · · | STIND | ∽ | UNITS |
| Long Range Missile Weapon Systems (SM-2 ER) (Major Systems/Ships) | | 156/29 | | 155/28 | - | 141/24 | | 137/23 |
| MK-41 Vertical Launch Msl Systems/Ships | | 50/31 | | 65/39 | | 71/45 | | 83/54 |
| Basic Point Defense Msl Sys (BPDMS)/Ships | | 24/15 | | 22/13 | | 22/13 | | 18/10 |
| NATO SEASPARROW Surface Missile Systems/Ships | | 84/58 | | 84/58 | | 09/98 | | 92/63 |
| Mk-23 Target Acquisition Systems/Ships | | 46/46 | | 46/46 | | 53/53 | | 59/59 |
| Gun Weapons Systems/ Coast Guard Guns | | 442/146 | 10 | 442/146 | 10 | 420/130 | 0 | 420/130 |
| Search Radar Systems Antenna Groups Electronics Systems Ancillary Equipments | | 682 563 1,871 | | 645 503 1,824 | | 618 470 1,781 | | .004 449 1,737 |

III. <u>Performance Criteria (continued)</u>.

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|---------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| | | \$ UNITS | S S UNITS | STIMI |
| EFFORTS FUNDED: | | | | |
| 1. INDUSTRIAL SPT (WYs) | 3,600 | 3,792 | 4,089 | 3,960 |
| Missiles | 13 | | 13 11 | 10 |
| Missile Weapons Systems Medium Range MWS | 16 | - | 7 15 | 4. |
| Long Range MWS Vertical Launch | 10 | | 10 9 2 3 | <u>ი</u> თ. ო |
| Gun Weapons Systems | S | | 5 | 6 |
| 2. IN-SERVICE ENGINEERING (WYS) | 25,425 | 30,930 | 27,463 | 27,328 |
| Missiles | 26 | | 26 21 | 20 |
| Missile Weapons Systems Medium Range MWS Long Range MWS Vertical Launch Sys | 52 22 6 | 48 15 5 | 8 5 5 6 | 42 23 6 |

C22457

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | u. | FY 1990 | ű. | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | 5 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|---|-----|----------|-----|---------|---------|------------|---------|----------|
| | · • | UNITS | · • | UNITS | Nn \$ | UNITS | - | UNITS |
| Gun Weapons Systems Coast Guard Guns | | m m | | 3 8 | | 0 4 | | N 4 |
| NATO SEASPARROW/Funded WYS Direct Workyears | | 53 20 | | 54 | | 45 | | 45 15 |
| Mk-23 Target Acquisition Systems/Ships | | 4 | | 51 | | 45 | | 41 |
| Basic Point Defense Msl Sys/Ships | | 14 | | 14 | | 10 | | ω |
| STINGER MS1 | | 2 | | 4 | | 13 | | 12 |
| Search Radar Systems | | 40 | | 37 | | 32 | | 33 |
| Mines Systems* | | 20 | | 85 | | 51 | | 51 |
| | | | | | | | | 4500000 |

^{*} The Mine Warfare Engineering Support Program was realigned into the Mines Maintenance Support program to consolidate similar efforts in depot maintenance.

Activity Group: Maintenance Sup, art (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

٣.

| | FY 1990 | 066 | F | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | 366 | FΥ | FY 1993 | |
|---|---------|-------|---------|---------|----------|-------|---------|----------|-----|
| | · • | UNITS | | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | • | UNITS | |
| ASW SURFACE SYSTEMS | 3,295 | | 18,370 | | 20,172 | | 21,523 | ~ | |
| ASW Weaponry | (1,408 | _ | (4,458) | _ | (6,440) | _ | (6,625 | - 2 | |
| MK-46 Torpedo (W/Ys) | 633 | 9.0 | 2,399 | 32.0 | 2,940 | 36.0 | 3,286 | 5 40.0 | 0 |
| ASROC Maintenance (W/Ys) | 248 | 2.5 | 229 | 2.3 | 251 | 2.2 | 339 | | 3.0 |
| Torpedo Tube Rework (W/Ys) | 44 | 0.4 | 115 | 1.0 | 126 | 1.1 | 51 | 4.0 | ₹. |
| Vertical Launch ASROC (VLA) | 377 | | 450 | | 1,718 | | 1,460 | - | |
| CAPTOR Mine (W/Ys) | 106 | | 1,265 | 14 | 1,405 | 15 | 1,489 | | 15 |
| ASW Sensors | (1,887 | _ | (8,957 | ~ | 6 9,084 | ~ | (9,372 | (; | |
| Sonar Systems (W/Ys) | 1,887 | 20 | 3,589 | 45 | 3,662 | 42 | 3,841 | | 43 |
| AN/SQQ-89 Combat System (# of systems supported) | * | 19 | 5,368 | 25 | 5,422 | 25 | 5,531 | | 25 |
| ASW Surface Logistics (# of Acoustic Trials conducted) | * | | (4,955 | 44 | (5,248 | 45 | 925'5) | ~ | 46 |

NOTE: A "*" in FY 1990 means that funding was reported in the ASW Technical Support program.

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | Ŧ | FY 1990 | FΥ | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | FΥ | FY 1993 | |
|---|-------|----------|-------|---------|-------|-----------|-------|----------|--|
| | · • | UNITS | · ~ | UNITS | ! | UNITS | 5 | UNITS | |
| ANTI SHIP MISSILE (ASM) (Electronic Warfare) ASM (EW) Sys Maint Spt | 3,034 | ⋖ | 3,826 | 9 | 3,321 | | 3,196 | 96 | |
| AN/SLQ-32 (# of systems) AN/SIG-17 (# of systems) | | 325 | | 328 | | 338 | | 349 8 | |
| AN/WLR-1 (# of systems) | | 21 | | 22 | | 58 | | 28 | |
| uther surrace tw tquip (units) | | 585 | | 585 | | 585 | | 285 | |

B. UNDERSEA WARFARE SYSTEMS MAINTENANCE SUPPORT

combat system readiness; and 3) VLS Maintenance Support - the submarine Vertical Launch System (VLS) supports 688 Class Submarines (Improved variant) equipped with vertical launch tubes for Tomahawk cruise missiles. This program has three main efforts: 1) 2F Cog Electronics USW - Maintenance Support - provides programming lechnical support includes development and updating of planned maintenance, software documentation, logistic Specifically, this part of the program provides technical support for the VLS Missile Tube System (MTS) electronic equipment, we must be supported by the control system (FCS) electronic equipment engineering support), for repairable 2F Čog Undersea Warfare Equipment such as sonar systems towed arrays, weapon systems. This includes in-service engineering support for each system for the purpose of ensuring depth sounders, acoustic countermeasures, periscopes, undersea communication systems installed or to be installed in attack submarines, ballistic missile submarines, and major surface combatants and support ships: 2) Submarine ASW Maintenance Support - provides for direct maintenance support of submarine ASW support analysis, configuration management planning, auditing and accounting, system effectiveness and planning support (workload scheduling and resource utilization and maintenance, technical and

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

engineering and in-service field engineering.

Submarine ASW Maintenance Support efforts are detailed in the following text:

torpedo incorporates substantial improvements generated by an evolving threat. Though production of the MK-48 has ended, significant numbers will remain in the fleet for a number of years. Funding provides for specialized assistance for MK-48/ADCAP field engineering support to the fleet and maintenance support to shore distribution of Automatic Test Equipment (ATE) software changes. Also provides for the management and coordination of all aspects of the MK-48/ADCAP Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) program for depot operations which include maintenance engineering, system performance engineering, fleet support engineering, and support and sea-based maintenance activities. In addition, this effort provides for the development, evaluation, and the MK-48 is the Navy's standard heavyweight submarine-launched torpedo. The ADCAP, or Advanced Capability, for the Heavyweight Torpedo Technical Data System (HTTDS). SUBROC (Submarine Rocket) is an inertially guided, rocket-propelled ASW standoff weapon armed with a nuclear warhead and launched from standard 21-inch submarine torpedo tubes. SUBROC can be deployed by the SSN 594/637/688 classes of nuclear attack submarines. The Navy began disposal of platforms and missiles in FY 1988 for the SUBROC missile system in preparation for its CNO mandated early retirement. Funding supports In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) and contractor efforts in providing maintenance and logistical aid to the depots, fleet Intermediate Maintenance Activities (IMAs), service schools, and associated activities. Quality Assurance efforts are provided by Naval Weapon Station (NWS) Seal Beach. The planned retirement of this weapon system requires removal of missile assets from the fleet, transfer to demilitarization and disposal sites, and disestablishment of SUBROC activities. The performance criteria equates to the fleet population and sites supported and not to the number of systems fully supported

the ASW Test Program consists of the following interrelated elements:

is in satisfactory material condition and capable of performing assigned mission tasks. Trial results Weapon System Accuracy Trials (WSAI) - Ensures that the ASW combat system of each ship and submarine

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

are used to certify the operational status of ASW combat systems.

cycles, so that the responsible contractor may correct deficiencies prior to the ship leaving the yard. Consolidated Operability Test (COI)- Ensures that submarines leave shipyards with fully operational ASW combat systems. COI testing is performed near the end of construction, conversions, or refit

Fleet Operational Readiness Accuracy Check (FORACS) - Provides data on combat system range and bearing accuracy. Ship ASW sensors are tested 18 months prior to deployment.

system. Results are used by command personnel as an indicator of additional work needed to perform Surface Ship Consolidated Operability Test (SCOT) - Determines combat readiness of an ASW combat prior to the end of a overhaul period.

Standardized Test Program (STP) - Provides standardized test documentation for all activities

Consolidation ASW Readiness Test (CART) - Verifies the readiness of ASW combat systems on operational submarines and provides training by having shipboard personnel perform the tests. These tests identify ASW system deficiencies that reduce the material readiness of fleet ASW-oriented combatants. The scope of these tests extend from examining a ship's ASW range and bearing (via a SAT or a BRD-7 test) to a complete combat system certification through a WSAT. In order to maintain a minimum level of ASW operational material readiness, ASW combat systems and sensors must be tested after overhaul periods, after 18 months of operation, or prior to deployment. The Submarine Logistics category includes various fire control, sonar, countermeasure, and target programs Specifics are described in the following.

The Fire Control System Engineering program provides In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA), Technical Design Agent, and Life Cycle Support for the MK-113 Fire Control System (FCS). The MK-113 FCS is installed aboard

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

effort resolves fleet report problems common to specific classes and provides answers for specific hull related older Fleet Ballistic Missile (FBM) submarines; the number in service being shown in the performance criteria. This program also supports interface equipment such as the MK-1 cable reel, MK-11 switch box, MK-17 bearing transmitter, MK-19 plotter table, MK-21 indicator panel, MK-22 weapon simulator, MK-116 bearing ranger indicator, and MK-140 amplifier in support of FCS MK-117/CCS MK-1, MK-118, AN/BSY-1. This

fulfill repair and test requirements for sonar transducers, hydrophones, and Towed Line Arrays (TLAs) for operational ASW combatants. In general, funding provides technical, maintenance, and logistical support for the TRF/ILA effort and includes developmental and life-cycle support of specialized facilities test equipment, calibration, trend studies, failure analysis, along with designing replacements for outmoded items. TRFs also the TRF/TLA program provides sonar Transducer Repair Facilities (TRF) support for transducer testing, repair, instrumentation, and documentation. Performance criteria elements reflect units being serviced

Acoustic Warfare Systems (SAWS), General Noise And Tonal Systems (GNATS), and various expendable decoys and environmental probes. These items enhance submarine mission effectiveness and survivability The Submarine Countermeasures program maintains MOSS (Mobile Submarine Simulators) decoys, Submarine against undersea sensor acquisition.

The ASW Target program provides engineering and asset management support for all underwater pinger and range tracking systems and stationary targets. This effort also provides for the operation of MK-28 targets during fleet service weapons testing

The other support category includes the OD-44979 guidelines for submarine weapons employment, desk top computer, and Systematic Acquisition Requirements Tailoring & Scheduling (SMARIS) efforts.

Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | 0 FY 1991 | = | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|-----------|--------------|----------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|
| | NO \$ | UNITS \$ UN | UNITS \$ | UNITS | \$ UNITS |
| Total Funding | 8,920 | 18,092 | | 16,945 | 16,945 |
| 2f Cog Electronics Workyears | (416) | (416) 6 | 9 | 444) | |
| Submarine ASW Maint Spt | (5,831) | (16,602) | | (16,501) | (16,188) |
| 1. Submarine Weaponry | (3,083) | (3,969) | Ü | (3,830) | (3,938) |
| MK-48 Torpedo | 2,531 | 3,969 | ` ' | 3,830 | 3,938 |
| SUBROC Disposal (# of missiles) | 552 | 0 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2. ASW Test Program | * | (9,125) | 87 (| 87 (8,110) 43 | 42 (7,831) 39 |
| Test Development Agencies Test Operations (# funded tests) Submarine WSATS ASW Primary Surface WSATS COTS (WH/L) At-Sea Bearing Tests SCOT Test | | 1,967 | 15 17 16 23 16 | 5,045 | 1,519 4,848 13 4 13 0 0 |

Maintenance Support (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|----------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | STIND \$ | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS |
| Range Support NATO Support | | 1,338 260 | 1,295 268 | 1,188 276 |
| 3. Submarine Logistics | 2,748 | 3,508 | 4,561 | 4,419 |
| Fire Control Systems MK-113 FCS (# of hulls) | 25 | 25 | 25 | 18 |
| (SSBN-616/62//64U) Other FCS Equipment (# Interface Equip) | 456 | 431 | 435 | 435 |
| Transducer Repair Facility/ Iowed Line Array Program TRF TLA | 45 | 40 | 39 | 36 |
| SSN-688 VLS Maint Spt ** | 2,673 | 1,074 | 0 | 0 |
| <pre># Tubes Supported (12 per boat)</pre> | 156 | 192 | | |

UW FCS = Underwater Fire Control System; SUBROC = Submarine Rocket
IRF/ILA = Iransducer Repair Facility/Towed Line Array
* These efforts were previously performed in ASW Systems Support.
** Efforts assumed in the Submarine Technical and Maintenance program in FY 1992.

974000

Maintenance Support (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group:

Claimant:

C. TEST EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SUPPORT

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

This program provides for the technical engineering support for all fleet held electronic, electrical and mechanical test measurement and diagnostic equipment (TMDE). This includes developing calibration procedures, establishing calibration intervals, acquisition of calibration standards, developing specifications for standards and responding to fleet calibration problem reports.

| | FY 1990 \$ UNITS | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 \$ UNITS |
|------------------------------------|---------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------|
| Total Funding | 1,979 | 1,989 | 1,744 | 1,979 1,989 1,744 1,530 |
| Engineering Support (workyears) | 17.71 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 14.0 |

AMMUNITION SYSTEMS MS

Provides support to investigate malfunctions and to prepare and update depot maintenance work requirements and automated data lists used by depot maintenance activities. Also, perform program management and technical acquisition and maintenance functions for NAVSEA 21 Cog Ammunition.

Activity Group: Maintenance Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| FY 1993 | 8,757 | 80 |
|---------|-----------------------|---|
| FY 1992 | 798 5,507 7,356 8,757 | 30 |
| FY 1991 | 5,507 | 65 11 |
| FY 1990 | 798 | 12 0 |
| | Total Funding | Production/Acquisition Support ISEA Function |

E. EMISSIONS CONTROL MAINTENANCE SUPPORT

This program supports the maintenance of Radiation, Detection, Indication and Computation (RADIAC) equipment.

| FY 1993 | 3,528 | 47 |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| FY 1992 UNITS | 4,040 3,818 3,513 3,528 | 47 |
| FY 1991 | 3,818 | 52 |
| FY 1990 | 4,040 | 52 |
| | Total Funding | RADIAC (WY) |

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

. INACTIVE SHIP MAINTENANCE SUPPORT

Maintenance Facilities at Bremerton, WA., Portsmouth, VA., Pearl Harbor, HI., and Philadelphia, PA., as well as for the salaries of civilian personnel at those facilities; 2) supports repairs and regular maintenance to This program: 1) provides for the operation of four Government-Owned Contractor-Operated (GOCO) Inactive Ship including removal of urgently required materials to meet known system requirements, and 3) reimbursing the Maritime Administration (MARAD) for the maintenance and temporary lay-up of Navy assets. Navy policy is to ensure that inactive ships and crafts are maintained in the highest practicable state of material readiness consistent with their probable employment. The composition of the inventory of inactive ships is reviewed the inactive ships berthed at these activities and the preparation of selected ships/craft for disposal, annually by the CNO to determine the number of ships to be held in the various categories of readiness.

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|---------------------|----------|-----------|------------------|----------|------------------------|
| | \$ UNITS | STINU \$ | | \$ UNITS | |
| otal Funding | 5,291 | 10,576 | 11,343 | 9,615 | - - 10 10 |
| . GOCO Contracts | 2,905 | 5,525 | 2,905 5,454 188 | 5,454 | 188 |
| (WY) | 1.299 | 104 1,300 | 1,258 | 1,090 | ; ; |
| (W) | | | | | 33 |
| 3. Other Maint. and | 777 | 1 639 | 1.561 | 1,720 | |
| Vessel Spt. | | | 150 200 | 200 | 237 |
| t. MARAD Costs | 520 | 366 | 384 33 384 36 | | 36 |
| (# of vessels) | | | | | |

82h000

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| FY 1992 FY 1993 | UNITS \$ UNITS | 3 955 1 |
|-----------------|----------------|---|
| FΥ | 1 | 1,142 |
| FY 1991 | \$ NNITS | 500 3 1,246 1 |
| FY 1990 | \$ UNITS | |
| | Orydocking* | Support Craft Deferred Ship Disposals** (# vessels) |

.

ۍ . * Orydocking effort was executed in BA-2, Inactivation of Ships program prior to FY 1991. **Disposal effort is to be executed in BA-2, Inactivation of Ships program, beginning in FY 1991.

G. CG-47/DDG-51 WEAPON SYSTEM ENGINEERING MAINTENANCE SUPPORT

This account provides AEGIS System Maintenance support in the following areas:

maintenance/modernization requirements are accomplished during time constrained availabilities. The AEGIS Expanded, Expanded Planning Yards (Ingalls Shipbuilding for AEGIS cruisers and BIW for AEGIS destroyers) are closely match those of AEGIS cruisers and destroyers. AEGIS Planning Yards perform all the traditional Navy operating cycle was extended to 80 months for AEGIS cruisers in September of 1986. In order to achieve this operating cycle that would provide maximum operational availability while maintaining war readiness. This modernization planning and more intensive work in the areas of testing, material management, configuration the Program Manager's key agents in carrying out this tasking. The Expanded, Expanded Planning Yard is built on concepts and procedures developed by the submarine community whose operational requirements most Op-Cycle Integration. In 1979 the Chief of Naval Operations directed the establishment of a CG 47 class management, and hands-on industrial support. The Expanded, Expanded Planning Yards support a demanding Planning Yard functions as well as integrating maintenance and modernization work packages, long term operational goal, innovative maintenance planning and better execution are required to ensure that

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

Execution of the ROH in seven months (vice the normal twelve month period) reduces the high industrial costs associated with a shipyard industrial period by 41% and provides the operational commander with 5 additional kept to seven months and complete with ships in the directed new configuration for the subsequent opcycle. operations tempo while maintaining the high engineering quality and standards of AEGIS ships. Selected Restricted Availability (SRA/DSRAs of 2 and 3 months respectfully) occur every 20 months. At the end of the 80 month point a Reyular Overhaul (ROH) of seven months is conducted. Maximum phased modernization must be done during each SRA/DSRA if the ROH is to be months of ship operational availability. Extending overhauls to twelve months due to a lack of sound integrated planning for this complex system equates to removing 2 AEGIS Cruisers from the Fleet - when viewed over the life of the AEGIS cruiser fleet.

maintenance support capabilities and experience to maintain a totally integrated combat system. This account provides responsive engineering support to maintain CG 47 and DDG 51 class ships combat ready, world wide. It provides the engineering base and incidental material support needed to assess ship/fleet readiness, provide shipboard engineering support, implement combat system changes, evaluate ship/system doctrine, and perform integrated logistics support. The combat system in-service engineering program has Combat System In-Service Engineering. The uniqueness of the AEGIS combat system requires organic fleet been structured to accommodate growth in ship population, system differences among ships (principally Baselines) and the introduction of combat system changes derived from corrective actions and fleet modernization requirements.

capabilities required to support the CG 47 class are in place to support the OO 963 class. This account supplements those in place capabilities to cover (1) differences between CG 47 and DD 963 equipment suites and (2) the significant difference in programmed operational availability of the two classes: approaching 90% for CG 47 vice 60% for OO 963. This line initiated in-service engineering capabilities in FY 1989 to support the the Arleigh Burke is a new hull form with much of its own machinery and equipments. The Arleigh Burke class 00G 51 class. Although most of the CG 47 class unique systems and equipments will carry over to the DOG 51, will contain a unique collective protection system and a new machinery control system which will require Hull, Mechanical, and Electrical (HM&E) IN-Service Engineering. Much of the in-service engineering

11. Performance Criteria (continued)

dedicated in-service engineering.

validate their capabilities and performance. This account supports required range services, technical support, test equipment modifications, test scenario development, data reduction and aircraft services. Effective FOI&E contributes directly to the Navy objective of increasing fleet readiness by realistically determining improvement areas for systems and equipments. FY 1990 funds supported FOI&E for cruiser baseline 3 phase 3 upgrades, and FY 1991 funds will support the extensive FOI&E which must precede DOG 51 entrance to Follow-on Test and Evaluation is required with the introduction of combat system upgrades to verify and active fleet service.

replication of the combat system configuration affected. This account also supports ACSC and Wallops Island Combat System Life Support Engineering (LSE). The concept of operational land based sites for combat system life support engineering has been integral to AEGIS program planning for the past twelve years. The current plan identifies three sites to be located at NSWC, Dahlgren: a computer center, the AEGIS Computer Center (ACC), a C-school, the AEGIS Education Center (AEC), and a land based "ship", the AEGIS Combat System Site (ACSC). In 1982, the Congress mandated that the land based "ship" be re-sited at Wallops Island, Virginia. A land based "ship" replicating key combat system spaces in the AEGIS cruiser began performing engineering operations in February 1988. A similar site replicating the AEGIS destroyer was approved for construction at Wallops Island and commenced operations in 1990. These sites will accommodate proofing of selected operations and maintenance both Command Support and operational functions required to execute their combat ACSC facilitates combat system engineering by allowing problem resolution to be pursued using a faithful equipments and computer program changes as well as combat system engineering development. AEGIS combat system engineering supports not only forward fit developments but version upgrades incident to being implemented into in-service ships in accordance with the AEGIS Warfighting Improvement Program (WIP). system LSE functions. To date \$300M has been invested in plant and equipment.

Warfighting Improvement Program (WIP) Engineering: AEGIS WIP Combat System Engineering is an indispensable part of the implementation of the approved AEGIS Warfighting Improvement Program which lies at the core of the backfit modernization plans for liconderoga class cruisers. The AEGIS WIP involves the backfit

Maintenance Support (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Performance Criteria (continued)

modernization of Baseline I (CG 47-51), and Baseline 2 (CG 52-53) cruisers.

WIP B/L I upgrades include: SPY-1A ordalts, UYK-44 computer upgrades, SM-2 BLOCK III integration, LAMPS

MK III installations for the CG 47-48, SLQ-32 upgrades, JTIDS/C2P, and TACTAS ASW upgrades. WIP B/L 2

upgrades include: changeout of UYK-7 with UYK-43 computers, (two shipsets only) changeout of UYA-4 to UYQ-21

displays, (two shipsets only) SPY-1A ordalts, TACTAS installations in CG 52-53, CIWS upgrades, JTIDS/C2P, and HARPOON WSG-1A integration.

The critical importance of this effort is that it will facilitate the cost effective integration of the total AEGIS WIP work package to be accomplished during time constrained availabilities. WIP engineering is required to successfully execute the entire modernization work package and not solely the high visibility items such as the swapout of computers and displays in Baseline 2 cruisers.

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | STINO \$ |
| Total Funding | 56,061 | 68,500 | 66,845 | 70,992 |
| AEGIS Ships At Sea | | | 21 | 13 17 21 24 |
| In-Service Engineering Combat System Ship System (HM&E) FOT&E | 16,091 4,506 0 | 16,423 3,961 828 | 16,095 3,882 811 | 17, 221 4, 154 867 |
| 2. Combat System Engineeringa) AEGIS C/S Life Supt Engrb) AEGIS WIP Engineering | 17,380 0 | 16,072 7,390 | 15,751 7,242 | 16,854 7,749 |

684333

Activity Group: Maintenance Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| FY 1993 | 21,841 | 2,306 |
|---------|-------------------------|--|
| FY 1992 | 20,717 | 2,347 |
| FY 1991 | 21,895 | 1,931 |
| FY 1990 | 17,360 | 724 |
| | 3. OP Cycle Integration | 4. ACSC, Wallops Isl. Salaries/Benefits |

. AVIATION ASW MAINTENANCE SUPPORT

firings required for ASW fleet exercises. This program also provides for the reliability improvement of Aircraft Carrier (CV) ASW Modules along with life-cycle engineering and logistics support for the Integrated Carrier Acoustic Processor System (ICAPS). ICAPS is a computer-based ASW sensor performance, mission planning support, and command decision aid system currently operational aboard aircraft carriers and maritime patrol operations centers (supporting P-3 ASW aircraft). The Tape Lab program provides the basic source of technical support for DOD procurement of magnetic instrumentation tape. The mobile ASW Target program provides training exercise capability for all torpedoes fired actively or passively including Torpedo MK 48, sonars, sonobuoys, and Magnetic Anomaly Detection (MAD) equipped aircraft. The aviation maintenance program provides for direct maintenance support for fleet torpedo

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--------------------------------|----------|-----|----------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| | \$ UNITS | | \$ UNITS | \$ STINU \$ STINU | \$ SLIND |
| Total Funding | 510 | 3, | 3,543 | 2,568 | 510 3,543 2,568 2,485 |
| 1. Target Support (w/y) | | 6.4 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 3.8 |
| 2. CV/ASW Module Spt (Flt Pop) | * | * | 18 | 20 | 20 |
| 3. ICAPS (Unit Population) | * | * | 48 | 40 | 41 |
| 4. Tape Lab (w/y) | * | * | 0.3 | 0 | 0 |
| | | | • | | |

* These efforts were executed in the ASW Avionics Technical Support program.

Maintenance Support (continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued) 1. NSSP MAINTENANCE SUPPORT

The Navy's Standard Signal Processors (NSSP) Equipment Maintenance Support Program provides interim in-service upport for delivered AN/UYS-1 Advanced Signal Processors (ASP) and the AN/UYS-2 Enhanced Modular Signal Processors (EMSP) and establishes the organic In-service Engineering Agent (ISEA). The NSSP Equipment Maintenance Support Program constitutes critical support measures of centralized planning and execution for determine design defects, system engineering change processing, failure mode assessment, test and operational support, i'S planning and implementation, and maintenance engineering. Nearly 2000 units of both processor maintenance and other support efforts such as: analysis of operational and maintenance performance data to systems are deployed and are critical components of major land, sea, and air weapon systems platforms.

| FY 1990 FY 1991 | STINU \$ STINU \$ | 33 3,627 3,709 33 3,627 | | 405 | Support Field Services and 2,579 | Hot Line Support Logistics Support 896 645 |
|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| FY 1992 | \$ STIND \$ | 3,709 33 3,627 34 2,092 25 2,251 28 | 1,416 1,566 1,708 1,881 | 1,812 1,928 | 0 | 280 |
| FY 1993 | STIND | 51 28 | 1,881 | 82 | 0 | 323 |

*NOTE - Performance Criteria has been renamed to better reflect program efforts.

III. Performance Criteria (continued)

SUBMARINE COMBAT WEAPON SYSTEMS

This effort provides maintenance and technical support for major submarine ASW weapons and sensors including the ADCAP torpedo and the AN/BSY-1, AN/BSY-2, AN/BQQ-5, MK-117/CCS MK-1 sonar/fire control

The ADCAP, or Advanced Capability, MK-48 torpedo incorporates substantial improvements generated by an evolving and maintenance support to shore and sea-based maintenance activities. In addition, this effort provides for threat. Funding provides for specialized assistance for MK-48/ADCAP field engineering support to the fleet provides for the management and coordination of all aspects of the MK-48/ADCAP Integrated Logistics Support the development, evaluation, and distribution of Automatic Test Equipment (ATE) software changes. funding ILS) program for depot operations which include maintenance engineering, system performance engineering, fleet support engineering, and support for the Heavyweight Torpedo Technical Data System (HTTDS).

supports engineering technical services, Integrated Logistics Support (ILS), management and provisioning support, along with technical documentation and analyses for Timely Spares Provisioning (TSP). The performance criteria break out Software Lines of Code to maintain, numbers of fleet feedback reports, and man days applied The AN/BSY-1 is an advanced sonar/fire control system installed on FY 1983 and later (SSN-751 onward) SSN-688 less space than previous SSN-688 combat systems and employs a new display console for under ice sounding and class nuclear attack submarines. BSY-1 provides enhanced capabilities for vertical (vertical launch Tomahawk Technical support provides for ISEA (In-Service Engineering), technical/adminstrative support, maneuvering. Technical support provides for ISEA (In-Service Engineering), technical duminical activities. Maintenance support funding Reliability, Maintainability & Availability (RMA), and operational guidelines. Maintenance support funding cruise missiles) and horizontal (torpedo) weapons launch, under ice operations, and sonar performance. The tactical software programs include all of the signal processing and data processing required to provide for the functional capabilities of the subsystem. These functions include detection, classification, tracking, acoustic support, sounding and maneuvering, IMA (target motion analysis), combat system management, onboard training, weapons and countermeasures control, piloting and navigation. The hardware configuration requires towards program management.

98h:::3

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

The AN/BSY-2 Submarine Combat System (SCS) program provides the new combat system for SSN-21 Seawolf class submarines beginning with the FY 1989 new construction lead ship. This program also provides the AN/BQG-5 Wide Aperture Array (WAA) to SSN-688 class submarines. The program provides support for operator, team, and maintenance trainers for the AN/BSY-2 and BQG-5 systems and also addresses planning for major system upgrades. The AN/BSY-2 SCS integrates previous advancement in submarine combat systems with new technologies, threat-driven upgrades, and interfaces with the improved SSN-21 sensor suite and weapons mix. The system is designed to incorporate evolutionary upgrades throughout the submarines life cycle. Funding will provide for the monitoring of contractor progress in generating Logistics Support Analysis (LSA) efforts.

systems, and the Accelerated Stand-alone (TBX) array. In March of 1988, approval was given to install functionally equivalent BQQ-5 systems on SSN-688 class attack and SSBN-726 class ballistic missile submarines. Technical support criteria consist of installation support, sonar certification, product improvement, and fleet support efforts. Installation Support and Sonar Certification efforts are driven by overhaul schedules. Installation support equates to the number of installations which receive technical support during installation and check-out of upgraded and TB-23 towed arrays, OK-276, OK-545, and OA-9070 towed array handling systems, BQQ-5B/C/D sonar provides technical support during system checkout and testing; as well as fleet support for TB-16 Logistics Support (ILS) for maintenance, supply support, test equipment, and training in support units equate to the number of performance and reliability analysis actions taken. Fleet support equipment. Sonar certification fixes malfunctions found during installation and certifies the sonar system after an overhaul. Units represent the number of fixes made. Product improvement The AN/BQQ-5 sonar system is installed aboard SSN-594/637/688 class submarines. The program Maintenance support efforts provide Integrated of over two million circuit cards and over 200 towed sonar arrays. technical assists help with minor repairs.

The MK-117/CCS MK-1 Combat Control System is installed aboard SSN-594/637 (includes SSN-671 and SSN-685) and all pre-BSY-1 SSN-688 class attack submarines. Principal efforts include installation support, logistics products and management, product improvement, and in-service engineering. The performance

C30487

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

criteria for installation support records the planned number of installations per fiscal year. Logistics products and management (technical manual updates, configuration management) is measured by the number of documents expected to be produced in a fiscal year. Various product improvement actions refer to emergency ship visits, minor repairs, or ORDALT (Ordnance Alteration) installations. Other performance criteria breaks out specific maintenance support efforts via NESAC (NAVSEA Engineering Services and Analysis Center).

The STASS (Submarine Towed-Array Sonar System)/Submarine Sonars effort provides for technical support, installation and checkout, and various updates and improvements to a variety of signal processors, sonar systems, and towed arrays.

| | FY 1990 | | 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|------|--|---|
| | \$ UNITS | · • | NITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS |
| Submarine Combat & Weapon Systems | (8,880) | (27,281) | (1 | (31,649) | (32,629) |
| 1. MK-48 ADCAP Torpedo | (3,166) | (8,054) | | (7,575) | (7,257) |
| Maint/Tech Support Integrated Logistics Support Depot Maint Eng Follow-On Test & Evaluation Torp Hardware Engineering Test Equip Hardware Eng Perf & Reliability Analysis ADCAP Hybrid Simulator Program Management | 77 534 287 539 338 108 | 233 1,309 1,425 1,425 684 819 327 608 | m | 240 1,135 564 1,256 705 844 337 626 | 247 1,002 370 1,126 869 347 645 |

| Activity Group: | الد |
|-----------------|---------------------------|
| Claimant: | Naval Sea Systems Command |

| Naval Sea Systems Command | erformance Criteria (continued). |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|
| ant: | Perfo |
| Claimar | - |

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

. .

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | د |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|
| | \$ UNITS | STINU \$ | <u>\$</u> | UNITS | | UNITS |
| AN/BSY-1 Combat System | (2,724) | (4,752) | 6) | (6,643) | (10,858 | _ |
| Maintenance Support Software Maintenance (Lines of Code - millions) Logistics Support (# Flt Feedback Rpts) Program Management (M/Ds in Thousands) | 430 1,328 478 966 3.3 | 495 730 1,148 | 4.3 307 3.9 | 1,600 4.3 3 103 1,175 1,175 4.0 | 2,155 3 4,038 5 891 0 | 4.3 |
| Technical Support In-Service Eng Agent (ISEA) (# Technical Assists) | * | 1,088 | 2 107 9 | 2,418 221 251 25 | 2, | 325 26 |
| <pre>(# AN/ Dat-1 riset neusages) Technical/Admin Support Reliability, Maint, Avail (RMA) (Est # of Deficiency Rpts) Operational Guidelines</pre> | * * * | 900 294 97 | 560 | 1,000 350 673 0 | 800 374 3 | 713 |
| | | | | | | |

Activity Group: Maintenance Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

. ب

| | | FY 1990 | 066 | | FΥ | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | 192 | | FY 1993 | |
|---|----------|-------------|-------|----------|-------|---------|----------|-----------------------|-------|-----|--------------|-----|
| | · 🗢 | 1 ! ! | UNITS | 0 | | UNITS | | 1 1 1 4 1 | UNITS | • | UNITS | . S |
| AN/BSY-2 Combat System | <u> </u> | 0 | ~ | _ | ά | 84) | _ | 319) | | _ | 574) | |
| Maintenance Support Technical Manual Depot (m/y) | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 217 | 2 |
| Logistics Support Analysis (m/y) | | 0 | | 0 | 84 | _ | _ | 319 | က | | 357 | က |
| AN/BQQ-5 Sonar System | (2 | 2,206 | ~ |) | 8,349 | (|) | 8,154) | | () | 7,969) | |
| Maintenance Support | | | 6 | 963 | | 937 | | | 932 | | | 976 |
| Supply Support | | 882 | | ! | 86 | | | 768 | l | | 837 | • |
| Maintenance Support | | 485 | | | 475 | | | 497 | | | 475 | |
| Training Support | | 132 | | | 129 | ~ | | 253 | | | 260 | |
| Depot Support | | 707 | | | 989 | • | | 645 | | | 675 | |
| Technical Support | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <pre>Installation Support (# Installations)</pre> | | * | | | 1,648 | ~ | 4 | 3,392 | α | 2 | 2,622 | œ |
| Sonar Certifications | | * | | | 1,582 | | • | 969 |) | | 952 | • |
| (# Certifications) | | | | | | | 14 | | 9 | | | œ |
| Product Improvements | | * | | | 465 | | c | 486 | ć | | 497 | ć |
| (# tng change instruct) Fleet Support | | * | | | 2,486 | | ۶ ا | 1,404 | 30 | 1 | 1,637 | 30 |
| (# Tech Assists) | | 4 | | | ` | 1,333 | m | | 735 | | 7. | 835 |
| Iravel | | ĸ | | | 13 | _ | | 13 | | | 4 | |

000491

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

Activity Group: Maintenance Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

2.

| | | FY 1990 | ΕV | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | FΥ | FY 1993 | |
|---|------------|-----------|-----------|---------|---------|---------|-----------------------------|-----------|--|
| | ; ~ | UNITS | | UNITS | · • | UNITS | · i , i (• • • | UNITS | |
| MK-117 Fire Control System/ Combat Control System MK-1 | _ | 784) | (4,994) | _ | (5,033) | _ | 0'5) | 5,046) | |
| Maintenance Support NESAC Fleet Support* | | 535 | 758 | • | 1,038 | 1 263 | 1,063 | 63 1 346 | |
| (# Visits/Phone Assists) Planned Maint Sys (PMS) | | /40 16 | 0 | 1,018 | 0 | 1,333 | | 0 0 | |
| (# of Feedback Reports) NESAC Operations | | 200 | • (| o c | 0 | , , | | 0 | |
| (# of Quarterly Reports) Maint Replacement Cards (MRC) | | 33 | 0 | | 0 | , | | 0 | |
| (# Facsimile Sets) Installation Support | | * | 885 | 0 1 | 906 | | 6 | 924 7 | |
| (# Installations) In-Service Eng Agent (ISEA) | | * | 1,540 | 96 | 1,616 | , 6 | 1,645 | 45 96 | |
| (# Ships & Irainers) Tech Manual Maint (# of Manual Chanared) | | * | 284 | _ | 291 | - | 7 | 1.7 | |
| (# of Documents) | | * | 1,160 | 92 | | 50 | | 915 19 | |
| Oeficiency Analysis (# Maint Studies) | | * | 281 | 4.5 | 258 | 4.0 | | 3.0 | |
| Installation Services (# of ASW Tests Updated) | | * | 86 | | J | 0 | | 0 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

NESAC \approx NAVSEA Engineering Services and Analysis Center located in Washington, DC.

Maintenance Support (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Performance Criteria (continued)

| | ΕY | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | | FY 1993 |
|------------------------------|----|-----------|---|-----------------|----|---|
| | | 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | 1 |
| | • | UNITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | 12 | CNITS |
| Sub Towed-Array Sonar System | * | _ | (1,048) | (626) |) | 925) |

- (STASS)/Submarine sonars
- Previously budgeted in ASW Systems Support.

K. SURFACE SHIP ASW SYSTEMS (SSAS)

The SSAS effort funds engineering and technical support efforts for the maintenance of the MK-50 torpedo and the AN/SQQ-89 ASW combat system.

maintenance, and implement procedures to ensure the operability of equipment delivered to installing activities. The Maintenance support efforts for the MK-50 torpedo In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) responsibilities include safety, equipment installation, testing, and resolution of operational failures. In addition, technical engineering support is provided by the ISEA at the Intermediate Maintenance Activities (IMA) depots and the fleet platforms. Funding will provide for a technical support team tasked with conducting IMA and platform certifications. The Supply Support Center (SSC) Orlando will be provided with technical assistance in establishing MK-50 training courses. MK-50 support efforts include logistics management, performance analyses, reliability and maintainability efforts, technical data reporting. evaluation programs. Logistics management efforts will support Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) requirements through Logistics Support Analysis (LSA) and Integrated Logistics Support Management Team (ILSMT) program reviews. These efforts will provide annual updates to the Support and Test Equipment (S&TE) management plan and review Engineering Change Proposals (ECPs) and ordnance alterations (ORDALTS) for ILS impact. Performance Analyses will performance of MK-50 torpedo maintenance equipment and logistics support items. Reliability and Maintainability efforts will provide for the review and update of maintenance documentation, provide consultation per equipment utilize established operating maintenance data reporting systems, where feasible, to analyze the operational

Activity Group: Maintenance Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

technical data system will provide automated reporting of MK-50 logistic and managerial information. The quality evaluation program is intended to extend maintenance intervals after satisfactory performance evaluations

construction cruisers and frigates beginning with the CG-54 and FFG-59. The system will be backfitted aboard CG-47, DD-963, DDG-993, and FFG-7 class ships. The AN/SQQ-89(V) integrates sensor, fire control, performance prediction, and training functions. Depending on ship class (and system variant) the AN/SQQ-89(V) consists of approximately 50 to 100 electronics cabinets including various computers, signal processors and displays. update of technical publications, integrated logistics support, configuration management support, operation of currently planned for shipboard installation. Operations financed by the SSAS PEO provide for the resolution of fleet technical and logistic problems, evaluation and implementation of engineering changes, review and The AN/SQQ-89(V) is the ASW combat system which will be fitted aboard the new DDG-51 class destroyers and new Other major components include hull mounted and towed sonar arrays with associated handling gear. The system employs approximately 3,600 thousand lines of software code. A total of 141 AN/SQQ-89(V) systems are installation and check-out support, and program support. Performance criteria units represent the number of the Land Based Integrated Test Site (LBITS), product improvements to maintain specified performance levels, systems which can be supported

Activity Group: Maintenance Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | 0 | FY 1991 | = | FY 1992 | 5 | ΕΥ 1993 | ; |
|---|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | S UN | UNITS | S | UNITS | Nn \$ | UNITS \$ | UNITS | 15 |
| SURFACE SHIP ASW SYSTEMS (SSAS) | 1,940 | | 5,327 | | 4,944 | | 4,763 | |
| MK-50 Torpedo | (1,940) | | 21 (3,926) | 17 | 17 (3,629) | | 17 (3,496) | 18 |
| Maintenance Support IMA Fleet Support (w/y) Depot Support (w/y) System Engineering Spt (w/y) | 209 291 1,440 | 2.2 3.1 16.0 | 681 244 651 | 7.1 2.5 6.8 | 746 297 198 | 7.5 3.0 2.0 | 765 250 204 | 7.5 2.4 2.0 |
| Technical Support Logistics Management (w/y) Performance Analysis (w/y) Reliability/Maintainability (w/y) Technical Data System (w/y) Torpedo Support | * * * * * | | 987 552 743 62 | 10.3 5.7 7.7 0.6 | 1,474 419 368 0 127 | 14.8 4.2 3.7 0.0 | 1,632 372 142 0 131 | 16.0 3.6 1.4 0.0 |
| AN/SQQ-89 Combat System Fleet Units (# funded) | * | | (1,401) | 23 | (1,401) 23 (1,315) | 23 (| 23 (1,267) | 21 |

*These efforts were previously performed in ASW System Support.

Audit Savings Incorported in Current Budget Controls

96h000

184000

| Maintenance Support (continued) | Naval Sea Systems Command |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Activity Group: | Claimant: |

IV. Personnel Summary

| End Strength (E/S) | FY 1990 FY 1991 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | <u>. "</u> |
|---------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| A. Military | 433 | 431 | 421 | 414 |
| Officer Enlisted | 25 408 | 25 406 | 20 401 | 19 395 |
| B. Civilian | 89 | 88 | 425 | 422 |
| HOSO | 88 | 86 | 425 | 422 |

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Budget Activity: Claimant:

7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Naval Sea Systems Command Procurement Operations

1. Description of Operations Financed.

Procurement operations provides for centralized procurement and contract administration services and technical services in support of the design, acquisition, construction, overhaul, repair, and alteration of ships and shipboard weapons.

Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

Sub-Activity Group Breakout. Ä FY 1991

| | FY 1990 Actual | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 Request | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICES CONTRACT ADMIN OPERATIONS SHIP BUILDING SUPPORT OFFICE THEATRE NUCLEAR WARFARE SUB ASW CIVPERS SURF ASW CIVPERS AEGIS CIVPERS AEGIS SHIP PROCUREMENT SPT SSN-21 CIVPERS | \$74,233 209,092 2,150 2,946 2,946 0 0 3,756 | \$81,571 208,579 2,640 6,015 0 0 | \$77,014 200,006 2,528 5,420 0 0 | \$55,878 196,631 2,204 5,400 8,016 3,590 8,349 4,465 3,773 | \$54,918 193,487 1,521 3,704 8,742 3,966 8,817 4,073 4,073 | \$55,740 190,813 1,339 3,423 9,162 4,195 9,508 3,884 4,386 | |
| Total, PROCUREMENT OPS | \$292,177 | \$298,802 | \$284,968 | \$288,306 | \$283,367 | \$282,450 | |

86h 333

| II. Financi | II. Financial Summary (continued). | | | |
|-------------|---|------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| B. Re | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | | 2000 |
| | FY 1991 Current Estimate | | | 288,306 |
| 2. | 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | <u> </u> | 3,541) | 11,482 |
| | 8. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board | ~ | 870 6,751) 6,231 520 | |
| | C. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF)1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund)O. Other Pricing Adjustments | - - | 300) 300 890) | |
| e, | Func A. | ~ | -1,388) | -1,388 |
| | a) Standard Level Users Charge (SLUC) - funds to rent commercially leased space transferred to Budget Activity 9, Base Operations Support, for direct payment to General Service Administration (GSA) | | -358 | |
| | b) Marine Corps Personnel and Support - The transfer of direct salary funding (-916) for 16 workyears and associated endstrength and the support dollars (-114) to the Marine Corps. | | -1,030 | |
| ਰ | . Program Increases A. One Time FY 1992 Costs | <u> </u> | 6 926 | 5,078 |

personnel in hazardous waste management, contract administration, ADP/computer security and property administration. These efforts are necessary as the

| Reconci | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued) | | |
|---------|--|----------|--|
| | 1) PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICES - The increase reflects | 207 | |
| | one additional workday of civilian employment in ri 1992 at Washington Headouarters. | | |
| | 2) CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION OPERATIONS - The increase | 0/9 | |
| | reflects one additional workday of civilian employment | | |
| | in FY 1992 at the Supervisors of Shipbuilding Offices | | |
| | (SUPSHIPs) (668) and the Naval Plant Representatives | | |
| | Offices (NAVPROs) (2). | | |
| | 3) SUBMARINE COMBAT WEAPONS SYSTEMS PROJECT OFFICE - | 33 | |
| | The increase reflects one additional workday of | | |
| | civilian employment in FY 1992. | | |
| | 4) SURFACE SHIP ASW SYSTEM PROJECT OFFICE - The | 15 | |
| | increase reflects one additional workday of civilian | | |
| | employment in FY 1992. | | |
| | 5) AEGIS PROJECT OFFICE - The increase reflects one | 34 | |
| | additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992. | | |
| | 6) SSN-21 PROJECT OFFICE - The increase reflects one | 17 | |
| | additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992. | | |
| ക് | Other Program Growth in FY 1992 | (4,102) | |
| | 1) CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION OPERATIONS - The increase | 3,214 | |
| | reflects additional requirements for travel, necessary | | |
| | to support such areas as the SUPSHIP Planning Function | | |
| | which is being consolidated on the east cost, mandatory | | |
| | training required for professional and technical | | |
| | to the contract of the contrac | | |

2000

Procurement Operations (continued)
Naval Sea Systems Command

Activity Group: Claimant: II. Financial Summary (continued).

<u>.</u>

361

Activity Group: <u>Procurement Operations (continued)</u>
Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

II. Financial Summary (continued).

. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued)

adjustments to benefits, necessary to balance workyears adjustments to benefits, necessary to balance workyears resident offices (3,139). There is also an increase at introduction and life cycle management (291). 3) SURFACE SHIP ASW SYSTEM PROGRAM OFFICE (SSAS) - The necessary to balance workyears and salary requirements associated endstrength to support growing SCWS program associated endstrength to support growing SSAS program SCWS) -The increase is due to an average grade salary the NAVPROs due to an average grade salary adjustment, increase of 3 workyears and associated endstrength to increase also reflects additional oversight authority administrative support efforts at NAVPRO Laurel (44). 2) SUBMARINE COMBAT WEAPONS SYSTEMS PROGRAM OFFICE 5) SSN-21 PROJECT OFFICE - The increase is due to an valance workyears and salary requirements (31). The including any adjustments to benefits, necessary to 70). There is also an increase of 5 workyears and introduction and life cycle management.
4) AEGIS PROJECT OFFICE - The increase is due to an idjustment, including any adjustments to benefits, SUPSHIPs are reorganizing into parent SUPSHIPs and increase reflects an increase of 4 workyears and and salary requirements (25). There is also an average grade salary adjustment, including any average grade salary adjustment, including any and salary requirements.

231

CCC501

195

Procurement Operations (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued)

support growing SSN-21 program introduction and life cycle management (170). Program Decreases <u>ي</u>

adjustments to benefits, necessary to balance workyears and salary requirements (-456) and a Senior Executive Service (SES) reduction of 1 workyear (-95). The decrease also reflects reduced funding for rent for Crystal Park 5 for the Secure Compartmentalized Information Facility (SCIF) and headquarters support reduced workload in headquarters project management offices (-682). There is also a decrease due to an Other Program Decreases in FY 1992
1) PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICES - The decrease of 11 workyears and associated end strength represents average grade salary adjustment, including any costs (-1,173).

2) CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION OPERATIONS - The decrease of reduced workload at SUPSHIPs (-14,244), with a reduction of 2 workyears and associated end strength at 335 workyears and associated end strength represents the NAVPROs (-86).

-14,330

-837

3) SHIPBUILDING SUPPORT OFFICE - The decrease results in less acquisition assessment support at the NAVSEA Shipbuilding Support Office (NAVSHIPSO) which results in less analyses and planning in support of shipbuilding programs and the ability to conduct

000502

\$000

-20,111

-20,111) -2,406

| 0003 | | | | 283,367 | 11,764 | | |
|--|---|--|---|---------|---------------------|------------------------|--|
| | -1,957 | - 19 | - 562 | | 3,502) | 7,436) 6,892 544 | 23) 23) 803) |
| Activity Group: Procurement Operations (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command II. Financial Summary (continued). B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued) | surveys and prepare reports on the supplier base. 4) THEATRE NUCLEAR WARFARE - The decrease reflects the elimination of support for assessing the vulnerability of the fleet systems to nuclear effects and the development of hardening techniques (-1,832) and reduced efforts in electromagnetic pulse (EMP) | standards (-125). 5) SURFACE SHIP ASW SYSTEM PROGRAM OFFICE (SSAS) - The decrease is due to an average grade salary adjustment, including any adjustments to benefits, necessary to | balance workyears and salary requirements. 6) AEGIS SHIP PROCUREMENT SUPPORT - The decrease reflects less procurement support for contract awards and post contract awards. | | Direct Pay Raises (| | 2) Wage Board C. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) D. Other Pricing Adjustments |

| | <u>\$000</u> | -28,807 | 34,567 | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | -28,807) | 34,567) 28,807 | 2,810 | 2,432 |
| | | _ | _ | | |
| Activity Group: <u>Procurement Operations (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u> II. <u>Financial Summary (continued)</u> . | B. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued)</u> | 8. Functional Program Transfers A. Transfers-Out 1) Inter-Appropriation a) This transfer reflects funding for Major Repair Projects and Minor Construction transferred to MILCON. | 9. Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 1) INCREASE FOR MILCON TRANSFER - The increase is to accommodate funding for Major Repair Projects and Minor Construction. These funds have been transferred to the | ALCON Appropriation. 2) PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICES - The increase reflects additional support costs in training, travel, and | 3) CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION OPERATIONS - The increase reflects more realistic expectations of travel, necessary to support such areas as the SUPSHIP Planning Function which is being consolidated on the east cost, mandatory training required for professional and technical personnel in hazardous waste management, contract administration, ADP/computer security and property administration. These efforts are necessary as the SUPSHIPs are reorganizing into parent SUPSHIPs and resident offices (2,122). The increase also |

| Procurement Operations (continued) | laval Sea Systems Command |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| y Group: | Claimant: |

II. Financial Summary (continued).

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued)

65

314

64

10. Program Decreases
A. One-Time FY 1993 Costs
1) PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICES - The decrease reflects one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 at Washington Headquarters.

(-1,045)

-18,441

75

Procurement Operations (continued)
Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

II. Financial Summary (continued).

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued) **α**

\$000

| 2) CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION OPERATIONS - The decrease reflects one less workday of civilian employment in F1993 at the SUPSHIPS (-680) and the NAVPROS (-2). 3) SUBMARINE COMBAT WEAPONS SYSTEMS PROJECT OFFICE - The decrease reflects one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993. 4) SURFACE SHIP ASW SYSTEM PROJECT OFFICE - The decrease reflects one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993. 5) AEGIS PROJECT OFFICE - The decrease reflects one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993. 6) SSN-21 PROJECT OFFICE - The decrease reflects one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993. 7) AEGIS PROJECT OFFICE - The decrease of 66 workyears and associated end strength is based on reduced requirements in headquarters project management offices. 2) CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION OPERATIONS - The decrease of fices. 2) CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION OPERATIONS - The decrease of fices. 2) CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION OPERATIONS - The decrease of SNIP reduction of 2 workyears and associated end strength is based on reduction of 2 workyears and associated end strength the NAVPROS (-91). 3) SHIPBUILDING SUPPORT OFFICE - The decrease results in a reduction of 3 workyears of in-house industry planning and analysis capabilities for Ship | e - 682 | -35 | -16 | -36 | - 17 | (-17,396) -3,976 nent | e of -12,512 on n a h at | ts -208 |
|---|---|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|
| | 2) CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION OPERATIONS - The decrease reflects one less workday of civilian employment in FY | 1993 at the SUPSHIPs (-680) and the NAVPROs (-2). 3) SUBMARINE COMBAT WEAPONS SYSTEMS PROJECT OFFICE The decrease reflects one less workday of civilian | employment in FY 1993. 4) SURFACE SHIP ASW SYSTEM PROJECT OFFICE - The decrease reflects one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993. | 5) AEGIS PROJECT OFFICE - The decrease reflects one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993. | 6) SSN-21 PROJECT OFFICE - The decrease reflects on loss workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 | Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICES - The decrease of 66 workyears and associated end strength is based on reduced requirements in headquarters project management | offices. 2) CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION OPERATIONS - The decrease of 281 workyears and associated end strength is based on reduced requirements at the SUPSHIPs (-12,421), with a reduction of 2 workyears and associated end strength at | Life NAVERUS (-51). 3) SHIPBUILDING SUPPORT OFFICE - The decrease resulting a reduction of 3 workyears of in-house industry planning and analysis capabilities for Ship |

| Group: Procurement Operations (continued) : Naval Sea Systems Command | 11. Financial Summary (continued). | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued) | 4) THEATRE NUCLEAR WARFARE - The decrease reflects a reduction in program support (-28), a reduction in the support of EMPRESS II (-262) and a further reduction in the electromagnetic pulse (EMP) standards efforts (-75). 5) AEGIS SHIP PROCUREMENT SUPPORT - The decrease reflects less procurement support for procurement actions and contract awards. | 1) Fy 1993 President's Budget Request |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| Activity Group: Claimant: | II. Financial S | B. Recond | | - |

000\$

-365

282,450

-335

Procurement Operations (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

111. Performance Criteria.

PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICES. Ä.

and administrative personnel in these offices. Automated Data Processing (ADP) Equipment consists of purchase and acquisition projects. This program provides salaries, benefits, and administrative support costs for engineers Project Management Offices are responsible for integration and coordination of major ship and weapon system maintenance of equipment and software for Headquarters staff. Other support includes travel, printing and reproduction, furniture/equipment, supplies, and purchased services.

| | FY | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | |
|----------------------------------|--------|---------|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------------|
| | · • | UNITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | STINO |
| Total Funding Total Workyears | 74,233 | 1,298 | 74,233 55,878 54,918 1,298 893 | 54,918 | 55,740 881 815 |
| ivilian Salaries | 70,856 | | 52,870 | 53,999 | 51,977 |
| AUF tquipment Other Support | 3,140 | | 2,148 | 616 | 3,763 |
| Marine Corps funding | | | 860 | | |

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION OPERATIONS

private contractors meet government specification requirements in the construction, repair and alteration of naval Provides contract administration support at various activity sites. Responsibilities include quality assurance, engineering design review, industrial management, systems integration and problem resolution as well as other areas of contract administration. The Supervisors of Shipbuilding, Conversion and Repair (SUPSHIPs) provides salaries and associated personnel support costs for SUPSHIPs personnel who are responsible for insuring that

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

ships. They administer Navy department and other defense department shipbuilding, design, conversion and facility contracts at private shipyards. SUPSHIPs are also involved in procuring and administering overhauls, repairs, alterations and inactivations performed on naval ships at private yards under master ship repair contracts. I NAVPROS ensure that weapon systems manufacturers conform to contractual requirements. AEGIS Ship Procurement Support provides unique on-site technical functions not provided for CG-47 and DOG-51 Class ships by resident SUPSHIP, NAVPRO or Defense Contract Administration Service activities.

| | FY 1990 | 066 | FY 1991 | 991 | Ε¥ | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|---|------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------|--|
| Total Funding | \$ 209,092 | UNITS | \$ 196,631 | UNITS | \$ 193,487 | UNITS | \$ 190,813 | 115 |
| SUPSHIPs | 196,035 | # # # # # # # | 195,850 | 11 11 11 11 11 11 | 192,685 | 11 (t 12 13 14 11 11 14 | 189,762 | 1) 2) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) 1) |
| Salaries Support Workyears Avg salary | 181,659 6,137 | 4,519 40,199 | 180,822 6,110 | 4,357 41,501 | 175, 141 9, 689 | 4,022 43,545 | 169,564 12,847 | 3,741 45,325 |
| Design Service Allocation Restricted Availabilities/ Technical Availabilities | 4,696 3,543 | | 5,084 3,834 | | 4,567 | | 4,274 | |
| TOTAL PROGRESS PAYMENTS (\$Mil) 6,581 | il) 6,581 | | 969'9 | | 6,023 | | 5,636 | |
| # Activity sites # Remote sites | | 15 | | 13 | | 10 36 | | 10 36 |
| # Procurement contracts awarded | | 4,567 | | 4,548 | | 4,107 | | 3,843 |

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | ΕY | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|---|--------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | - | UNITS \$ | \$ SLIND | UNITS | NITS | |
| <\$25K >\$25K Post contracts actions (000) | | 1,146 3,421 4,520 | 1,157 3,391 4,488 | 1,041 3,051 4,037 | 974 2,855 3,778 | |
| NAVPROS | 13,057 | 7 | 781 | 802 | 1,051 | |
| <pre># workyears (direct) # procurement actions</pre> | | 229 12,852 | 17 | 15 30 | 13 | |
| processed* # contracts awarded | | 495 | 30 | 30 | 30 | |
| <pre>(above \$25K) # activity sites</pre> | | 4 | 1 | | 1 | |
| Post-Contract Award Actions | | | | | | |
| # quality assurance | | 228,011 | 1,400 | 1,400 | 1,400 | |
| inspections # engineering change | | 5,187 | 2,700 | 2,700 | 2,700 | |
| <pre>proposals # contract mods</pre> | | 3,335 | 160 | 160 | 160 | |

C10510

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

C. SHIPBUILDING SUPPORT OFFICE.

conducting advance planning, monitoring the delivery of shipbuilding components and materials, and assisting in the acquisition and major repair source selections. This office also maintains the Naval Vessel Register and the Ship's Data Book for the Department of the Navy. This is a two-volume publication which contains the names, The NAVSEA Shipbuilding Support Office (NAVSHIPSO) supports all Ship Acquisition Project Managers (SHAPMs) by characteristics, assignments and disposition of all the Ships and Service Craft in the Active Fleet, Reserve Fleet, Inactive Fleet, Military Sealift Command and the U.S. Army vessels.

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|---------------|
| | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS |
| Total Funding | 2,150 | 2,204 | 1,521 | 1,339 |
| Acquisition Assessment Spt (# of Studies) (# of Manyears) | 603 | 656 | 43 | 0 372 8 |

D. THEATER NUCLEAR WARFARE.

agencies, notably the Department of Energy. Survivability efforts entail assessing the vulnerability of fleet systems to nuclear effects and developing hardening techniques, including the development of Electromagnetic Púlse (EMP) standards and specifications for all phases of a Command, Control, and Communication (C3) systems and ensuring the survivability of fleet assets in a nuclear environment. Weapons development efforts which include life cycle support require detailed coordination with other Department of Defense and federal The Theater Nuclear Warfare Program is the Navy focal point for the development of tactical nuclear weapons ife through total in-service use.

Procurement Operations (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | | FY 1990 | 06 | FΥ | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | | FY 1993 | ļ |
|-----|---|---------|-------|----------|-----------------------|--------|---------|----------------|---------|----------------|
| | | In\$ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | · • | UNITS | • | UNITS | |
| lot | lotal funding | 2,946 | | 5,400 | ; ; ; ; ; | 1 | 3,704 | 14 11 11 | 3,423 | 11 14 11 |
| | Program Connort | 196 | 1 | 250 | | ! ! | 250 | | 250 | |
| . 2 | 2. EMPRESS II | 1,009 | - | 2,675 | _ | | 2,104 | _ | 1,873 | _ |
| | Data Acctg and Processing | | | | | | | | | |
| | System (DAMPS) Mobile Vans: | 518 | - | 1,350 | _ | | 1,350 | က | 1,300 | က |
| 4 | Survivability | | • | 1,000 | _ | | 0 | | o | c |
| | Nuc Effects Doc Dev | | 7 | | | | | 5 (| | > < |
| | Hardening Suppt Efforts | | 2 6 | | | | | > | | - - |
| יר | Systems lest Prep 5 (3 FMP Standards | 287 | 2 6 | 125 | | | 0 | , | 0 | - |
| ; | | | l | | | | | | | |

E. AEGIS SHIP PROCUREMENT SUPPORT.

AEGIS Ship Procurement Support provides unique on-site technical functions not provided for CG-47 and DDG-51 Class ships by resident SUPSHIP, NAVPRO or Defense Contract Administration Service activities.

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| FY 1993 UNITS | 3,884 | 7 5 66 |
|------------------|-----------------------|---|
| FY 1992 | 4,073 | 7 5 61 |
| FY 1991 | 4,465 | 6 4 4 57 |
| FY 1990 | 3,756 | , v |
| | AEGIS Ship Proc. Spt. | <pre># procurement actions # contract awards # post contract awards</pre> |

F. SUBMARINE COMBAT WEAPONS SYSTEMS PROGRAM OFFICE.

Submarine Combat Weapons Systems Project Office is responsible for integration and coordination of major ship and weapon system acquisition projects for Submarine ASW projects. This program provides salaries for engineers and administrative personnel in this office.

| FY 1993 | \$ UNITS | 2 149 | 5 |
|---------|----------|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | 9,162 | 9,162 |
| FY 1992 | . | 8,742 | 8,742 |
| FY 1991 | \$ UNITS | 8,016 | 8,016 |
| FY 1990 | \$ UNITS | 0 | 0 |
| | | Total Funding Total Workyears | Civilian Salaries |

000013

•

III. <u>Performance Criteria (continued)</u>.

G. SURFACE SHIP ASW SYSTEMS PROGRAM OFFICE.

The Project Office is responsible for integration and coordination of major ship and weapon system acquisition projects for surface ship ASW projects. This program provides salaries for engineers and administrative personnel in this office.

| | | 69 | 11 11 11 11 | |
|---------|------------|----------------------------------|--|-------------------|
| FY 1993 | \$ UNITS | 4,195 | | 4,195 |
| FY 1992 | \$ UNITS | 3,966 | ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## | 3,966 |
| FY 1991 | S \$ UNITS | 3,590 | | 3,590 |
| FY 1990 | \$ UNITS | 0 | W ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## | 0 |
| | | lotal Funding Iotal Workyears | | Civilian Salaries |

H. AIGIS PROJECT OFFICE.

The Project Office is responsible for integration and coordination of major ship and weapon system acquisition projects for the AEGIS ships. This program provides salaries for engineers and administrative personnel in this office.

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| FY 1993 | 9,508 149 | 9,508 |
|---------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|
| FY 1992 | 8,817 9,508 9 149 | 8,817 |
| FY 1991 | 8,349 8,849 0 | 8,349 |
| FY 1990 | # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # | 0 |
| | Total Funding Total Workyears | Civilian Salaries |

SSN-21 PROGRAM OFFICE.

The Project Offices is responsible for integration and coordination of major ship and weapon system acquisition projects for the SSN-21 submarine. This program provides salaries for engineers and administrative personnel in this office.

| | <u> </u> | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|----------------------------------|--|----------|----------|----------|
| | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS |
| Total Funding Total Workypars | 0 | 3,773 | 4,139 | 4,386 |
| | 0 ************************************ | 0/ | 73 | 73 |
| Civilian Salaries | 0 | 3,773 | 4,139 | 4,386 |
| Audit Savings Incorporated | ncorporated in Current Budget Controls | Controls | | |

*

IV. Personnel Summary

| ind Strength (E/S) | FY 1990 | FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|------------|
| A. Military | 675 | 657 | 675 | 699 |
| Officer Inlisted | 418 257 | 403 254 | 397 278 | 394 275 |
| B. Civilian | 5,809 | 5,564 | 5,344 | 5,067 |
| USDH | 5,809 | 5,564 | 5,344 | 2,067 |

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Budget Activity: Claimant:

Command and Administration
7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Naval Sea Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed.

This program provides salaries and administrative support for Naval Sea Systems Command headquarters personnel who provide technical direction and management for acquiring and supporting ships, weapons systems, and related equipment.

11. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

FY 1991

| | FY 1990 Actual | Y 1990 Budget Appro- Current FY 1992 FY 1993 Actual Request priation Estimate Request Request | Budget Appro- Current Request priation Estimate | Appro- Current FY 1992 FY 1993 priation Estimate Request | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 Request |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| COMMAND AND ADMINISTRATION | \$25,580 | \$25,580 \$26,392 \$23,736 \$23,758 \$23,241 \$21,628 | \$23,736 | \$23,758 | \$23,241 | \$21,628 |
| Total, COMMAND AND ADMINISTRATION | \$25,580 | \$26,392 | \$26,392 \$23,736 \$23,758 \$23,241 \$21,628 | \$23,758 | \$23,241 | \$21,628 |

000011

•

Command and Administration (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

11. Financial Summary (continued)

| 8. | Rec | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | | 2000 |
|----|------------|---|----------|---|--------|
| | <u>-</u> ; | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | | | 23,758 |
| | | 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board C. Other Pricing Adjustments | <u> </u> | 203) 173 30 536) 527 137) | 876 |
| | m. | A. One Time FY 1992 Costs A. One Time FY 1992 Costs I) COMMAND AND ADMINISTRATION - The increase reflects one additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 for Washington Headquarters personnel. B. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 I) COMMAND AND ADMINISTRATION - The increase reflects the requirements necessary to replace outdated administrative support equipment including fax and duplicating machines as well as furniture and machine installation costs for previously purchased items. | - | 72) 72 477) 477) | 549 |
| | ÷ | Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) COMMAND AND ADMINISTRATION - The decrease of 35 workyears and associated end strength represents | • | (-1,942) -1,942 | -1,942 |

000518

Command and Administration (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

II. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Congressional direction to reduce Navy civilian management headquarters personnel (-1,736). There is also a decrease of 5 workyears due to consolidation of ADP activities (-206).

| | (199) | 194 | (620) | (150) |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| 5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | 6. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | 1) Classified 2) Wage Board | B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises | 2) Wage Board C. Other Pricing Adjustments |

23,241

696

.

Activity Group: Command and Administration (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

11. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

activities (-103). The decrease also reflects reduced travel (-279), printing (-43), supplies (-554), equipment/furniture (-219) and purchased services (-1,121), which includes facility maintenance, training and American Society of Naval Engineers (ASNE) seminar costs.

8. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

Activity Group: Command and Administration (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria.

COMMAND AND ADMINISTRATION

This program provides salaries, benefits, and administrative support costs for Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA) Headquarters staff responsible for policy, planning, technical guidance, resource allocation, management and support of NAVSEA operations. Automated Data Processing (ADP) equipment consists of purchase and maintenance of equipment and software for Headquarters staff. Other support includes personnel training, travel, printing and reproduction, furniture/equipment, supplies, and purchased services.

| | FY 1990 | 066 | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | | FY 1993 | 993 |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|-------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|
| | ~ | \$ Units \$ Units \$ Units \$ Units | | Units | | \$ Units | · • | \$ Units |
| Total Funding Workyears | 25,580 | 25,580 23,758 23 | 23,758 | 424 | 23,241 | 380 | 21,628 | 373 |
| Civilian Salaries ADP Equipment Other Support | 22,051 673 2,856 | A | 19,970 962 2,826 | | 18,839 616 3,786 | | 19,292 829 1,507 | |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

Activity Group: Command and Administration (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

IV. Personnel Summary

| End Strength (E/S) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | FY 1993 |
|---------------------|---------|----------|---------------------------------|---------|
| A. Military | 33 | 36 | 36 | 32 |
| Officer Enlisted | 38 © | 26 10 | 26 10 | 23 |
| B. Civilian | 432 | 407 | 390 | 374 |
| изон | 432 | 407 | 390 | 374 |

OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5 DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

Budget Activity: Activity Group:

Field Operations

- Central Supply and Maintenance

Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed.

Field operations provides the salaries and operating costs for a variety of support functions at Naval shore activities. Typical support functions include design and development of computer software for shore activities, engineering and administrative services for major weapons systems and shipboard equipment, and overhaul planning.

11. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | FY 1990 | Rudget | FY 1991 Appro- | Current | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|
| | Actual | Request | priation | Estimate | Request | Request | |
| PERATIONAL SUPPORT-FIELD | \$169,121 | \$186,436 | \$181,253 | \$170,229 | \$152,965 | \$164,735 | |
| NAVSEA FIELD DIVISIONS | 19,919 | 19,653 | 16,970 | 19,2/9 | 2,472 | 2,874 | |
| PO | 10,973 | 10,222 | 9,652 | 10,429 | 9,951 | 10,635 | |
| Total, FIELD OPERATIONS | \$204,953 | \$221,867 | \$221,867 \$213,028 | \$202,092 | | \$196,784 | |

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued) (Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (continued)

| 8 | Rec | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | | 000 \$ |
|---|-----|---|---|---------|---------------|
| | 1. | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | | | 205,092 |
| | 2. | Pricing Adjustments | | | 7.342 |
| | i | | _ | 1,817) | ! |
| | | 2) Wage Board | | 8 | |
| | | B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | J | 4,757) | |
| | | 1) Classified 2) Wage Roard | | 4,754 | |
| | | C. Defense Business (Derations Fund (DBOF) | _ | 65) | |
| | | 1) Non-Fuel (Supplies, Materials and Equipment) | • | 6 | |
| | | 2) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) | | 26 | |
| | | D. Other Pricing Adjustments | _ | 703) | |
| | ~ | Functions Drogram Transfers | | | -332 |
| | | A. Transfers-Out | J | -332) | 3 |
| | | 1) Intra-Appropriation | | 6 | |
| | | a) Standard Level User Charge (SLUC) - funds to rent | | -332 | |
| | | Date Operations Compart for direct payment to Coneral | | | |
| | | Service Administration (GSA) Federal Building Fund. | | | |
| | , | | | | • |
| | 4. | Program Increases A One Time FY 1992 Costs | _ | 642) | 868 |
| | | 1) The increase reflects one additional workday of civilian | - | 642 | |
| | | employment at FY 1992 at Field Operations offices at Washington Headquarters (558), the Sea Centers (32), the Logistics Center | | | |
| | | | | | |

| OUC | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | | | \$000 |
|--------------------|--|---|-------------|---------|
| Sed 51 | and the Consolidated Combat Systems Test Facility (ICSTF) (5), and the Consolidated Civilian Personnel Office (CCPO) (30). Other Program Growth in FY 1992 1) NAVSEA FIELD DIVISIONS - The increase is for direct fleet technical support for new systems, resulting in 2 additional workyears and associated end strength at the Sea Centers (84). The increase is also due to an average grade salary adjustment, including and | _ | 256) | |
| 2 4 7 5 B | and salary requirements (143). 2) INTEGRATED COMBAT SYSTEMS TEST FACILITY (ICSTF) - The increase is due to an average grade salary adjustment, including any adjustments to benefits, necessary to balance workyears and salary requirements | | 23 | |
| @ W = = = 0 | at the ICSIF. 3) CONSOLIDATED CIVILIAN PERSONNEL OFFICE (CCPO) - The increase is due to an average grade salary adjustment, including any adjustments to benefits, necessary to balance workyears and salary requirements at CCPO. | | 9 | |
| ogr 1 1 s | Arogram Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) OPERATIONAL SUPPORT-FIELD - The decrease also reflects reduced travel (-296), supplies (-74), equipment/furniture (-114) and training and other services (-483). There is also a reduction for ADP maintenance (-144) and equipment purchase (-431). | _ | (-31,303) | -31,303 |

Field Operations (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command

Activity Group: Claimant: II. Financial Summary (continued)

000\$

Activity Group: <u>Field Operations (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

(-132), printing and reproduction (-50), equipment and facility maintenance (-430), equipment purchases (-219) and other support costs at both the Logistics Center the decrease reflects a loss of 8,400 hours of computer represents reduced workload (-48). 4) CONSOLIDATED CIVILIAN PERSONNEL OFFICE (CCPO) - The delivery of untested Integrated Combat System Software (CSII) packages and limit the testing of multiple ship mission execution capabilities (-2,880). The decrease of 1 workyear and associated end strength at the ICSTF 2) NAVSEÁ FIELD DIVISIONS - In addition, the decrease reflects reduced administrative overhead support for decrease of 398 workyears and associated end strength decrease of 16 workyears and associated end strength represents reduced Navy civilian levels (-631). The decrease reflects the reduction of purchases of ADP and at the Sea Centers (-1,274). Logistic Center programmatic reductions also allow a decrease of 36 workyears and associated end strength (-883). 3) INTEGRATED COMBAT SYSTEMS TEST FACILITY (ICSTF) class Combat Systems, which would compromise fleet travel (-350), rents (-354), supplies and material testing and a reduction of 1,468 thousand lines of Headquarters programmatic reductions also allow a computer code generation for computer simulation Theses reductions will result in the programs.

-2,928

| I. Financi | II. Financial Summary (continued) | | | |
|------------|--|----------|-----------------------|---------|
| B. Rec | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | | | 000\$ |
| | equipment in modernization of paper/data management and purchase of systems furniture (-286). | | | |
| 9 | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | | 181,697 |
| 7. | 7. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | J | 1,944) | 8,107 |
| | 1) Classified 2) Wage Board R FY 1993 Direct Pav Raises | _ | 1,936 8 5,706) | |
| | | - | 5,701 | |
| | C. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) 1) Non-Fuel (Supplies, Materials and Equipment) 2) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) | <u> </u> | 10) | |
| | D. Other Pricing Adjustments | _ | 447) | |
| ж ж | Funç A. | <u> </u> | -20,212) | -20,212 |
| | a) Transfer of funding for Major Repair Projects and Minor Construction transferred to MILCON. | | -20,212 | |
| .6 | 9. Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 1) INCREASE FOR MILCON TRANSFER - The increase is to | <u> </u> | 29,137) 20,212 | 29,137 |
| | accommodate funding for Major Repair Projects and Minor | | | |

Field Operations (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command

Activity Group: Claimant:

grade salary adjustment, including any adjustments to benefits, administrative overhead support for travel (414), supplies and material (210), printing and reproduction (33), equipment and facility maintenance (502), equipment purchases (90) and other NAVSEA FIELD DIVISIONS - The increase is due to an average necessary to balance workyears and salary requirements (51). 2) OPERATIONAL SUPPORT-FIELD - There is an increase of 81 workyears representing support of the Ship and Weapons Acquisition Programs (5,063). The increase also reflects additional requirements for training (181) and travel (50) and additional procurement of ADP software Construction. These funds have been transferred to the 5) CONSOLIDATED CIVILIAN PERSONNEL OFFICE (CCPO) - The increase is due to an average grade salary adjustment, The increase will provide additional computer program support for Combat System Integration Testing (CSII) (415). Also, the increase is due to an average grade balance workyears and salary requirements (30). The including any adjustments to benefits, necessary to support costs (966). 4) INTEGRATED COMBAT SYSTEMS TEST FACILITY (ICSTF) benefits, necessary to balance workyears and salary In addition, the increase reflects additional for salary adjustment, including any adjustments to Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). Field Operations (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command MILCON Appropriation. requirements (4). Financial Summary (continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

000008

.

| II. Financial Summary (continued) | | | |
|---|----------|--------------|---------|
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | | | 000\$ |
| increase reflects the deferral for replacement of data general computer equipment which will generate higher equipment maintenance costs (200) and the equipment purchases for furniture and other contracts (612). | | | |
| 10. Program Decreases A. One-Time FY 1993 Costs 1) The decrease reflects one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 at Field Operations offices at Washington Headquarters (-558), the Sea Centers (-32), the Logistics Center (-17), the Integrated Combat | _ | -641 -641 | 1,945 |
| Systems Test Facility (ICSIF) (-5), and the Consolidated Civilian Personnel Office (CCPO) (-29). B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 I) NAVSEA FIELD DIVISIONS - The decrease of 12 workyears and associated end strength represents | <u> </u> | -1,304) | |
| reduced workload (-669). 2) INTEGRATED COMBAT SYSTEMS TEST FACILITY (ICSTF) - The decrease of 2 workyears and associated end strength | | - 100 | |
| represents reduced workload (-100). 3) CONSOLIDATED CIVILIAN PERSONNEL OFFICE (CCPO) - The decrease of 13 workyears and associated end strength represents reduced workload. | | -535 | |
| 11. FY 1993 President's Budget Request | | | 196,784 |

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sta Systems Command

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria.

A. OPERATIONAL SUPPORT-FIELD

maintenance of equipment and software for Headquarters staff. Other Support includes travel, printing and ship design and maintenance oversight. Automated Data Processing (ADP) Equipment consists of purchase and The program provides basic salaries, benefits, and administrative support costs for personnel responsible integration; acquisition policy and planning development; engineering and technical logistic support; and for the management of ship and combat systems not assigned to designated project management offices. I performed include contract administration, material management coordination for ship and weapon system reproduction, furniture/equipment, supplies, and purchased services.

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|---------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| Total Funding Workyears | \$ Units 169,121 2,988 | \$ Units \$ 09,121 | \$ Units 152,965 2,404 | \$ Units \$ Units \$ Units 170,229 152,965 164,735 2,802 2,404 2,500 |
| Civilian Salaries ADP Equipment Other Support | 160,087 743 8,291 | 162,100 748 7,381 | 146,133 202 6,630 | 157,349 313 7,073 |

3. CONSOLIDATED CIVILIAN PERSONNEL OFFICE - CRYSTAL CITY (CCPO-CC)

classification, position management, staffing, performance appraisal systems, employee relations and services, employee assistance and counseling programs and employee development and training programs. In addition, The mission of the Consolidated Civilian Personnel Office - Crystal City (CCPO-CC) is to provide the full range of civilian personnel services for Navy components in the National Capital Region including position

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

which offers acquisition management and other training courses. CCPO-CC maintains liaison with the Systems Commands, Chief of Naval Operations, Office of Personnel Management and other offices on civilian personnel operations policies and procedures. Recruiting efforts include a nation-wide effort to locate and hire qualified personnel with skills currently in short supply in the National Capital Region. CCPO-CC manages Department of Navy-wide career management programs, initiating and conducting Navy system also provides for the development of training requirements and operates the Career Development Institute, commands-wide occupational studies and analyses leading to the establishment of formal career programs.

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| Total Funding | \$ Units | \$ Units | \$ Units | . — # |
| Workyears | 10,973 236 | 10,429 213 | 9,951 197 | |
| Salaries and Benefits | 8,489 | 8,055 | 7,773 | 7,571 |
| Other Services | 2,484 | | 2,178 | 3,064 |

C. NAVSEA FIELD DIVISIONS

technical services to the fleet, such as installation support and operation and maintenance support of ship-board equipment and systems. The Naval Sea Support Centers support all systems which are under the management control of the NAVSEASYSCOM. NAVSEALOGSUPENGACT performs engineering and related functions associated with selected electronic equipments. Starting in FY 90, the program reflects realignment from the Buy Our Spares Funds salaries and support costs of overhead personnel for the Naval Sea Support Centers (SEACENs) and the Naval Sea Systems Command Logistics Support Engineering Activity (NAVSEALOGSUPENGACT). The SEACENs provide establishing and maintaining effective life-cycle supply support for hull, mechanical, electrical, and Smart (BOSS) program for direct funded personnel. ?

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| FY 1993 | \$ Units 18,540 288 | 12,851 5,689 |
|---------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| FY 1992 | 190 | 12,958 3,351 |
| FY 1991 | \$ Units 19,279 321 | 13,049 6,230 |
| FY 1990 | \$ Units 19,919 349 | 15, 162 4, 757 |
| | Total Funding Workyears | Civ. Pers Salaries Other Support |

D. INTEGRATED COMBAT SYSTEMS TEST FACILITY (ICSTF)

testing and inservice engineering for multiple ship class combat system computer programs. This program supports ship class test teams, assists in evaluation of diagnostic results and problem isolation and provides technical support to headquarters in matters related to combat systems. ICSTF acts as the Simulation Technical Agent for the Standard Simulator System (SSS); manages facilities design, and develops, The Integrated Combat System Test Facility, San Diego, provides support for combat system integration, tests and validates SSS.

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Performance Criteria (continued).

| | | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|---|----------------------|--|----------------------|
| Total Funding Workyears | \$ Units 4,940 28 | \$ Units 5,155 27 | \$ Units \$ Units \$ Units 4,940 28 5,155 27 2,472 26 2,874 27 | \$ Units 2,874 24 |
| CSIT Lab operations User Hours of Testing | 4,337 4,552 2,122 2,524 8,000 8,000 8,000 | 4,552 16,400 | 2,122 8,000 | 2,524 8,000 |
| Computer program Support | 603 | 603 | 350 | 350 |
| Lines of Computer Code (000s) | 1,851 | 1,851 | 518 | 383 |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

*

463000

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

IV. Personnel Summary

| FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | | 633 636 634 619 | 297 294 294 289 336 342 342 330 | 3,479 3,308 3,106 3,023 | 3,479 3,308 3,106 3,023 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| | End Strength (E/S) | A. Military | Officer Enlisted | B. Civilian | USDII |

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Budget Activity: Claimant:

Logistics Support Activities 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance

Naval Sea Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed.

Programs included in this activity group provide support for fleet and shore station operations in such areas as:

. Technical documentation required for ship design and maintenance

b. Ammunition movement, handling and disposal

Safety of personnel and security of ships, shore stations, and sensitive weapons and material ن

d. Equipment inventory control and accounting

Management information systems and ADP support

Underutilized capacity at ordnance stations and shipyards

g. Salvage operations and diving

h. Other engineering and technical services in support of Fleet equipments, cluding surface missile systems, marine gas turbines, and standard embedded computers.

000535

•

Activity Group: Logist | Naval S

Logistics Support Activities (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

FY 1991

| | FY 1990 Actual | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 Request |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| SURFACE WARFARE SYS 106 | \$6.068 | \$8,426 | \$7,950 | \$8,051 | \$10,801 | \$11,856 |
| EMBEDDED COMPUTER SPT | 4,594 | 5,467 | 5,007 | 5,314 | 4,869 | 5,531 |
| AMMINITION SYSTEM LOGISTICS | 77,505 | 70,611 | 70,017 | 87,357 | 74,923 | 80,977 |
| SAFETY & SECURITY LOGISTICS | 22,975 | 23, 145 | 19,611 | 26,838 | 24,547 | 29,532 |
| SHIP SYSTEMS LOGISTICS | 14,006 | 14,434 | 13,723 | 12,228 | 15,254 | 16,526 |
| ACRITISTITION & LOGISTICS SPT | 38,004 | 47,821 | 43,640 | 38,263 | 30,257 | 30,616 |
| OTHER LOGISTICS | 1,282 | 1,584 | 1,512 | 1,512 | 1,474 | 1,627 |
| SUBFACE SHIP LOGISTICS SPT | 2,525 | 1,846 | • | 3,312 | 1,173 | 1,301 |
| DIVING & SALVAGE LOGISTICS | 4.047 | 4,585 | 4.359 | 4,339 | 4,681 | 4,807 |
| SHIPYARD MODERNIZATION | 90,722 | 4,410 | 4,170 | 4,549 | 4,237 | 4,720 |
| DATA SUPPORT | 8,280 | 7,238 | 6,130 | 6,427 | 4,345 | 4,422 |
| UNDERUTILIZED PLANT CAPACITY | 75,121 | 96,729 | 93,372 | 67,727 | 60,075 | 72,089 |
| Total, LOGISTICS SPT ACTIVITIES | \$345,129 | \$286,296 | \$269,491 | \$265,917 | \$236,636 | \$264,004 |

Logistic Support Activities (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

II. Financial Summary (continued)

| ю. | B. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases.</u> | | | <u>000₹</u> |
|----|--|------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | | | 265,917 |
| | Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises Classified Wage Board FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | | 261) 244 17 632) | 18,550 |
| | 1) Classified 2) Wage Board C. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) D. Other Pricing Adjustments | ~ ~ | 023 15,444 15,444 2,213) | |
| | 3. Functional Program Transfers A. Transfers-Out 1) Intra-Appropriation a) STANDARD LEVEL USER CHARGE - funds to rent commercially leased space transferred to Budget Activity 9, Base Operations Support, for direct payment to General Service Administration (GSA) Federal Building Fund. | _ | 69- | 69 - |

27.1537

One Time FY 1992 Costs

1) One additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 at the Navy Experimental Diving Unit (EDU) (4) and at the Naval Sea System Command's Automated Data Systems Activity (12). Also, one additional workday of

Program Increases A. One Time FY 19

2000

Activity Group: Logistic Support Activities (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

civilian employment in FY 1992 at various field activities reflecting the DoD personnel policy which eliminates reimbursable funding at non-industrial funded activities (71).

2) The increase represents funding set aside for productivity enhancing projects. The funding is for 6 projects considered by the Navy as high priority efforts based on a combination of factors including internal rate of return and return on investment. Savings based on executing these programs are included in the Navy's total budget request (this includes a number of different appropriations largely beginning in FY 1993).

B. Other Program Growth in FY 1992

1) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS LOGISTICS - The Surface Warfare Magazine program will publish four additional issues of the Surface Warfare Journal (75). In the quality Evaluation program there is an increase for the Material Readiness Data Base (MRDB) effort. The MRDB will identify and assess the material readiness of combat system equipment (2,172). Various combat weapon systems provided funding for this effort previously.

2) AMMUNITION SYSTEMS LOGISTICS - The increase reflects an additional shipment of non-serviceable material and additional efforts to increase the inventory accuracy and reduce the backlog of serviceable materials for Property Disposal of Ordnance.

(13,135) 2,247

197

් **න**

2,154

Logistic Support Activities (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

II. Financial Summary (continued)

Reconcillation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Weapons Safety and Security program the increase reflects additional safety studies, sensor maintenance, commencement in asbestos level surveys/studies at Naval clearance levels at the shipyards can be reduced to .01 ogistic Support (ILS) of the Protected Voice Portable station security improvements in FY 1992 (1,133). The and additional installation and completion of deferred fiber per cubic centimeter (60). 4) SHIP SYSTEMS LOGISTICS – In the Marine Gas Turbine engineering investigations, development of 20 additional proposals (ECP), an increase of 97 on-board maintenance events and an increase of 62 depot failure criteria). The decline in the cost avoidance resulting Systems (MSS) (787). The increase to the Small Arms Management program will fund In-Service Engineering efforts at Naval Surface Weapons Center, Crane (174). Additionally, there is an increase which reflects the Shipboard Nuclear Weapons program is extended into FY 1992 and the outyears to include Fleet and Integrated insufficient prior year funding (nullifying projected from on board repairs during this period is driven by Communications Systems (PVPCS) and Magazine Security Shipyards. This effort will determine if asbestc (MGT) program the increase reflects 14 additional investigations (not broken out in the performance 3) SAFETY AND SECURITY LOGISTICS - In the Nuclear

000533

savings) and a significant decrease in depot repair

\$000

203

250

Activity Group: Logistic Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

industrial improvement program (240). 3) UNDERUILIZED PLANI CAPACITY - The increase reflects reflects the represervation and repackaging of equipments at the Naval Supply Center Long Beach.

6) DIVING AND SALVAGE LOGISTICS - The increase reflects systems and equipment (89) at the Expermential Diving Unit Facility. The additional funding will be used for also result in being able to certify five additional minor diving platforms such as the FADS-I system (161). such as laboratory improvement, development of wireless the configuration management support of diving related additional funding to support maintenance of increased casualty control systems and production trade workshop additional funding of efforts to support an additional experimentation and evaluation and this increase will which includes engineering development and revisions Federal Military Standard and Specification program, additional specifications/standards/drawing updates, costs of the LM2500 Power Turbine (5,040). For the ACQUISITION AND LOGISTIC SUPPORT - The increase number of inactive nuclear hulls (209) and support, initiatives at naval shipyards associated with the 7) INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES - The increase reflects maintenance of hyperbaric and diver life support 2 workyears and 1 endstrength for operation and the increase represents funding to support 88

1,115

U75...

Activity Group: Logistic Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

II. Einancial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

increased maintenance of drydock 4 for certification and equipment maintenance at Philadelphia Naval Shipyard (196), maintenance, dredging and sounding drydocks 3 and 4 at Charleston Naval Shipyard (645), and maintenance of piers, wharves, tools and equipment at Norfolk Naval Shipyard (274).

5. Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992

Other Program Decreases in FY 1992

1) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS LOGISTICS - The decrease reflects a lower level of support to Terrier and Tartar Test Equipments in the area of logistics support, technical data management and product improvement support.

Storage and Issue of Ammunition (RSS&I) reduced funding will result in the excess munitions currently deployed overseas not being returned to the CONUS and munitions that are identified to be shipped overseas will not be shipped via NAVSEA shore activities. The NAVSEA loadplan initiative/redistribution program will terminate causing excess and obsolete munitions to stockpile. Non-Arms, Ammunitions and Explosives (AA&E) assist visits to shore and Fleet activities will not be completed affecting inventory accuracy. The Fleet Optical Scanning Ammunition Marking Systems (FOSAM) will not be implemented fully, which will further

-64,543) -253

00.541

2000

Activity Group: Logistic Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

11. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

degrade improvement of inventory accuracy. This decrease will increase the backlog of munitions which will affect Fleet readiness.

3) SAFETY AND SECURITY LOGISTICS - For the Sensitive Ordnance Security (SOS) program the decrease impacts SOS guard and inventory efforts affecting the accountability of Arms, Ammunition, and Explosives at the Naval Ordnance and Weapon Stations (-5,443). In Radiation Control and Health, the decrease will reduce program efforts to maintain operational readiness for emergency responses and radiation safety (-186). For the Explosives Safety Program there is a decrease of 9 Hazards of Electromagnetic Radiation to Ordnance (HERO) weapon recertifications, 12 fewer HERO analyses and 11 fewer workyears (-1,185).

decrease will reduce logistics support for AN-UYK-7/20 decrease will reduce logistics support for AN-UYK-7/20 computers and postpone or cancel testing, verification and validation efforts for AN-UYK-43/44 computers.
5) SHIP SYSTEMS LOGISTICS - In the Ship Design, Automated Engineering Design (AED) program the reduction will affect operating suport, for computer systems, to approximately 434 users. Efforts that will be effected are engineering design services, hardware maintenance, supplies and contractor support. The reduction also reflects the deferral of Computer Automated Design (CAD) and Computer Automated

-754

-3,476

00.0542

Activity Group: Logistic Support Activities (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Engineering (CAE) Systems operation training, and fewer programs will be updated/developed (-2,527). The Marine Gas Turbine (MGT) program decrease reflects a reduction of 19 engineering investigations (not displayed in the performance criteria), resulting in the investigation of only emergent problems, rather than an on-going review of inspection reports and trend analyses. Also a decrease of 23 engineering change proposals (ECP) for Engineering Control Systems, Diesel Engines and Integrated Logistic Support (ILS) will reduce the scope of engineering analyses to resolve problems caused by low load operation and will cancel configuration management on Engineering Control System (ECS) equipment and the tracking of installed changes (-949).

6) ACQUISITION AND LOGISTIC SUPPORT - The decrease reflects a reduction in contracted ADP support necessary to sustain the Acquisition and Logistics Information and Analysis System (ALIAS) systems operations and applications development/maintenance (-335). The decrease also reduces executive development efforts in the Commander's Development Program (CDP), the NAVSEA Institute and the elimination of the Civilian Material Professional Program (CMPP) (-325). The Maintenance Material and Management decrease reflects 2,772 Maintenance Index Pages/Maintenance

14,145

Logistic Support Activities (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

II. Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Inspections being conducted (-545). The Visibility and Management of Operations and Support Costs (VAMOSC) program decrease reflects no production of standard mission (-277). The decrease also reflects 1.7 million outfitting analysis support which determines how a ship annual reports or customized reports on ship operating fewer Configuration Data Management (CDM) transactions support effort (-1,773). In the Inspection and Survey ogistic transactions help prevent duplication in the and support costs. This lack of production causes an the decrease will reduce documentation maintenance of documentation will affect the quality/standardization Meapon System File (WSF) which is needed in obtaining inability to provide user support or to meet emergent The decrease requirements (-101). 7) OTHER LOGISTICS - In the Standardization program, effort (-1,772). The decrease also reflects reduced support to be provided to ships. Configuration and reduction in the Integrated Logistic Overhaul (ILO) breakout reviews in the Buy Our Spares Smart (BOSS) is fitted out and equipped to support its assigned which support the repair parts and other logistic standard electronic modules. The lack of proper program, the decrease reflects 21 fewer material also reflects the discontinuation of full screen spare parts for the ship (-5,700). There is a Requirement Cards not updated (-3,317).

-2,268

-89

-961

-2,336

| Logistic Support Activities (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command | mary (continued) | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | of electronic modules. 8) SURFACE SHIP LOGISTICS - The decrease reflects less management of PHM-unique material in the Boeing-operated supply store at the PHM Squadron's home port (-1,119), less In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) support of PHM-unique equipment (-756), and no material for range and depth adjustments (-393). 9) DIVING AND SALVAGE LOGISTICS - The decreased funding to emergency salvage operations will result in reducing the support to the two major operations and one minor operation resulting in a lower effort (-73). The decrease represents a balancing of funding and workyears/endstrength at the Experimental Diving Unit (-16). 10) INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES - The decrease reflects reduced support of Type 3 Range systems associated with magnetic silencing (-126) and reduced support of drydock certification (-330), reduced travel in support of these programs (-108), and reduced support for asbestos litigation (-46). 11) DATA SUPPORT - There is a decrease due to an average grade salary adjustment, including any adjustments to benefits, necessary to balance workyears and salary requirements (-16) at the Naval Sea Systems Automated Data Systems Activity. The reduction in |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Activity Group: Claimant: | 11. Financial Summary (continued) | B. Reconcil | |

\$000

Activity Group: <u>Logistic Support Activities (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

II. Financial Summary (continued)

| (continued). |
|-------------------|
| Decreases |
| Increases and |
| conciliation of l |
| Re. |

funding will also result in no NARDAC/NIH support to the Naval Sea Systems Command's staff codes and no Command-wide ADP on-going or new initiatives (-1,839). Concurrent with these decreases is a reduction of 12 workyears and associated endstrength at the Automated Data Systems Activity (-481).

12) UNDERUILIZED PLANT CAPACITY - The decrease reflects reduced maintenance of tools and equipment at Long Beach and Mare Island Naval Shipyards (-299), decreased support of crane railway repairs at Pearl Harbor Naval Shipyard (-34), and reduced maintenance of piers, cranes and tools at Puget Sound Naval Shipyard (-85). There is also a decrease of the Underutilized Plant Capacity subsidy to the Naval Ordnance Stations (-11,126).

6. FY 1992 President's Budget Request

236,636

| 7. | Pri | cing Adjustments | | | 5,525 |
|----|----------|--|---|-----------|-------|
| | A. | Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | _ | 260) | |
| | | 1) Classified 2) Wage Board | | 741 19 | |
| | . | FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises | J | 712) | |
| | | 1) Classified | • | 700 | |
| | | 2) Wage Board | | 12 | |
| | ن | Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) | _ | 3,045) | |
| | | 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) | | 3,045 | |

•

| ancial Summary (con | | | 000\$ |
|--|----------|-------------------|--------|
| b. Neconciliation of Increases and Verleases toorcingsol. D. Other Pricing Adjustments | ~ | 1,508) | |
| 8. Functional Program Transfers A. Transfers-Out 1) Inter-Appropriation a) Transfer reflects funding for Major Repair Projects and Minor Construction transferred to MILCON. | ~ | -1,554) | -1,554 |
| Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 INCREASE FOR MILCON TRANSFER - The increase is to accommodate funding for Major Repair Projects and Minor Construction. These funds have been transferred to the | <u> </u> | 28,096) 1,554 | 28,096 |
| MILCON Appropriation. 2) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS LOGISTICS - The Surface Marfare Magazine program will publish one additional Marfare Magazine program will publish one additional issue of the Surface Warfare Journal for a total of six issues (23). In the Quality Evaluation program the increase reflects additional support for the Material Readiness Data Base (MRDB) and the Test and Evaluation reviews of ordnance equipment in the acquisition phase (708). For the Surface Missile Systems (SMS) Logistics Support program, the increase reflects additional repair and calibration of MK 39 and MK 665 | | 898 | |
| test sets (137). 3) STANDARD EMBEDDED TACTICAL COMPUTER SUPPORT - The | | 542 | |

Logistic Support Activities (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command

Activity Group: Claimant: \$000

Logistic Support Activities (continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

increase will provide additional logistics support for the AN/UYK-43 and UYK-44 computers entering the fleet. 4) AMMUNITION SYSTEMS LOGISIICS - The increased funding Health, the increase supports added program efforts to maintain operational readiness for emergency responses increased guard and inventory efforts to maintain the accountability of Arms, Ammunition and Explosives (AA&E) (4,317). In the Nuclear Weapons Safety and and radiation safety (38). The increase to the Small In-Service Engineering efforts at Naval Weapons Support Center (NWSC), Crane (240). There is an increase of 5 Hazard of Electromagnetic Radiation to Disposal of Ordnance (280). 5) SAFETY AND SECURITY LOGISTICS – For the Sensitive will enable the Receipt, Segregation, Storage, and Issue of Ammunition (RSS&I) program to support additional RSS&I Fleet requirements (5,128). The increase also reflects greater support for Property Security program the increase represents additional analysis support (141). In Radiation Control and Ordnance (HERO) recertifications, and 6 additional Ordnance Security program the increase reflects Arms Management program will partially fund the

5,094

Logistic Support Activities (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

operational readiness of the Fleet (334). The increase also reflects additional funding in support of the updates (181). The increase in Ship Design Engineering reflects efforts to support 51 additional users. It asbestos level surveys/studies at Naval shipyards (24). Maneuvering and Ship Control, Structural Design of Hull Appendages Electrical Power Distribution Systems and includes engineering development and revisions (110). The increase for the Marine Gas Turbines (MGT) program analyses (not displayed in the performance criteria). ACQUISITION AND LOGISTIC SUPPORT - The Visibility These recertifications and analyses will improve the 6) SHIP SYSTEMS LOGISTICS - For the Federal Military specifications, standards and drawing updates, which engines and efforts for emergent technical manual reflects additional efforts to support additional Standard and Specification program, the increase Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC) will also provide for software development for represents funding to support 17 additional Systems (543).

and Management of Operations and Support Costs (VAMOSC) reports of ship operations and support costs and will increase will support production of standard annual permit production of a limited number of customized increase also reflects additional support for the reports per individual user requests (68). The

Activity Group: Logistic Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and D. Teases (continued).

Commander's Development Program and the Acquisition and Cards (1,243). The increase also reflects 2 additional manyears of outfitting responsibilities which (49). The Maintenance Material and Management program increase reflects the revision/update of an additional repair parts and other logistic support to be provided ship system or the equipment for its life-cycle (266). ,611 Maintenance Index Pages/Maintenance Requirement to ships (1,349). The increase in the Inspection and additional management support for PHM-unique material Data Management (CDM) transactions which support the 8) ÖTHER LOGISTIČS - In the Standardization program, The increase also reflects additional Configuration Navy's requirements for spare parts to maintain the determines how a ship is fitted out and equipped to provisioning responsibilities which determines the support its mission, and 2 additional manyears of 9) SURFACE SHIP LOGISTICS - The increase reflects Logistics Information and Analysis System (ALIAS) documentation maintenance for the entire program. the increase will provide additional support for Squadron's home port. 10) DIVING AND SALVAGE LOGISTICS - The increase battery specification maintenance and increased in the Boeing-operated supply store at the PHM Survey program reflects 12 additional material inspections being conducted (166).

46

129

Activity Group: Logistic Support Activities (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

13) UNDERUTILIZED PLANT CAPACITY - The increase reflects additional maintenance of drydock 4 for certification wireless casualty control systems, and production trade reflects additional funding for Navy salvage operations asbestos litigation (71) and support at naval shipyards necessary to balance workyears and salary requirements maintenance of additional inactive nuclear hulls (204) grade salary adjustment, including any adjustments to and increased support for equipment maintenance (17). (40). The increase is due to an average grade salary (42), such as laboratory improvement, development of Shipyard (27), maintenance, dredging and sounding of drydocks 3 and 4 at Charleston Naval Shipyard (87), 12) DATA SUPPORT - The increase is due to an average benefits, necessary to balance workyears and salary Automated Data Systems Activity (20). The increase at the Experimental Diving Unit facility (7). 11) INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES - The increase reflects for two average operations and one minor operation adjustment, including any adjustments to benefits, associated with the industrial improvement program The increase also reflects additional support for additional support in drydock certifications (50) requirements at the Naval Sea Systems Command's and equipment maintenance at Philadelphia Naval also reflects additional ADP support (190). workshop initiatives.

210

| 4 | | | 7 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | | d | ١ |
| | _ | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Activity Group: <u>Logistic Support Activities (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

11. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

maintenance of tools and equipment at Long Beach and Mare Island Naval Shipyards (36) and additional support of crane railway repairs at Pearl Harbor Naval Shipyard (24). There is an increase of the Underutilized Plant Capacity subsidy to the Naval Ordnance Stations

10. Program Decreases

A. One-Time FY 1993 Costs

1) One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 at the Navy Experimental Diving Unit (EDU) (4) and at the Naval Sea System Command's Automated Data Systems Activity (11). Also, one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 at various field activities reflecting the DoD personnel policy which eliminates reimbursable funding at non-industrial funded activities (71).

The decrease represents a reduction in the Productivity Investment Program initiatives. The funding represents 6 projects considered by the Navy as high priority efforts based on a combination of factors including internal rate of return and return on investment.

B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993

 SAFETY AND SECURITY LOGISTICS - There is a decrease impacting the Sensitive Ordnance Security program inventory and In-Service Engineering efforts.

-3,645) -86

-4,699

-1,054) -406

00.552

| | | -263 | 6- | 69 - | - 14 | -293 | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|---|--|
| Activity Group: Logistic Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command II. Financial Summary (continued) | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | 2) ACQUISITION AND LOGISTIC SUPPORT - The NAVSEA Material Support program decrease reflects reduced material upkeep support in storage protection and | packing preservation. 3) SURFACE SHIP LOGISTICS - The decrease reflects less In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) support of | Phm-unique equipment. 4) DIVING AND SALVAGE LOGISTICS - The decrease will result in reducing the Navy Experimental Diving Unit (NEDU) Supervisor of Diving (SUPDIVE) taskings and fewer minor diving platforms certified (-23). These reduced requirement will result in I less workyear and | associated endstrength at the NEDU (-4b). 5) INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES - The decrease reflects reduced support of Type 3 Range systems associated with | magnetic silencing. 6) DATA SUPPORT - As a result of other program decreases the Naval Sea Systems Command's Automated Data Systems Activity will eliminate 7 workyears and associated end strength. | 11. FY 1993 President's Budget Request |

264,004

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant:

Performance Criteria.

. SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS LOGISTICS

instructions, availability of spares, data management and equipment installation support for TERRIER, TARIAR and Standard Surface Missile Systems; and publication of the Surface Warfare Journal. Additionally, the include: quantitative tests and evaluation appraisals of safety, readiness and effectiveness of all nuclear and conventional weapons as well as Ship Readiness Assessments and technical support; assurance of quality program provides for engineering, technical support, installation and centralized management of the intrusion detection systems (IDS) at Naval activities to allow security forces early electronic warning of This program provides various logistics support efforts for Surface Warfare Systems. Specific efforts

| | FY 1990 | 066 | FY 1991 | 166 | FY 1992 | 392 | FY 1993 | 993 | |
|---|---------|-------------------------------------|---------|--------|---------|--------------|--------------------------------------|--------|--|
| | \$ Uni | Units | \$ Uni | Units | iun \$. | Units | \$ Uni | Units | |
| Total Funding | 890'9 | | 8,051 | | 10,801 | | 11,856 | | |
| Weapons Evaluations (Units Evaluated)** MRDB*** Integrated Logistics | | 11,013 11,160 10,824 10,828 90 - | | 11,160 | | 10,824 90 | # # # # # # # # | 10,828 | |
| for Surface Missile Systems (WY's) | | 23 | | 53 | | 30 | | 30 | |
| ouriace mariare mayazine (no. of Issues) | | 9 | | m | | S. | | 9 | |

*** Material Readiness Data Base (MRDB) effort begins in FY 1992 (Units represent types of combat weapon ** The performance criteria units are redefined as workunits evaluated and tasks. systems). Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Performance Criteria (continued).

B. STANDARD EMBEDDED COMPUTER SUPPORT

language software support. Funding provides logistic support, acquisition management, configuration control of tactical embedded computer systems, peripherals and displays. The AN/UYK-43 (V) and 44(V) standard embedded computers are currently being introduced into the fleet. Costs are driven by the number of users, computers are used in Mission Critical Computer Systems to improve operational readiness and reduce cost This program provides project managers with standard computers, displays and peripherals and high order This program supports the Navy's standard tactical computers, including the AN/UYK-7 and 20 computers, various peripherals and displays, and the new AN/UYK 43 and 44 computers. Standard embedded tactical applications, work hours, combat systems and manual updates performed.

| | FY 1990 | 066 | FY 1991 | 166 | FY 1992 | 266 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|---|----------|---------------------------|----------|-------|---------|----------------------------------|---------|-------|
| | . | Units | <u>~</u> | Units | | Units | \$ Uni | Units |
| Total Funding | 4,594 | . 4,594 5,314 4,869 5,531 | 5,314 | 神神神神 | 4,869 | 99 14 19 19 11 11 | 5,531 | |
| FLEET POPULATION | | 101 | | 983 | | 000 | | 1 107 |
| AN/UYK-44 Computers AN/UYK-44 Computers | | 3,214 | | 3,632 | | 4,170 | | 4,619 |
| AN/UYK-20 and AN/UYK-7s computers | | 6,578 | | 6,578 | | 6,578 | | 6,578 |
| uispiays Peripherals | | 5,850 | | 6,350 | | 6,850 | | 7,350 |

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| LOGISTICS SUPPORT (WYs) AN/UYK-43 Computers (WYs) AN/UYK-44 Computers (WYs) AN/UYK-44 Computers (WYs) AN/UYK-44 Computers (WYs) AN/UYK-20 & AN/UYK/7 AN/UXK-20 & AN/UYK/7 AN/UXK-20 & AN/UYK/7 AN/UXK-20 & AN/UXK/7 AN/UXK-20 & AN/UXK/7 AN/UXK-20 & AN/UXK/7 AN/UXK-20 & AN/UXK/Y AN/UXK-20 & AN/UXK/Y AN/UXK-20 & AN/UXK/Y AN/UXK-20 & AN/UXK/Y | | FY 1990 | 066 | FY 1991 | 161 | FY 1992 | 365 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|---|------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|----------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------|
| 2,622 2,504 33.4 2,338 2,622 958 20.1 1,443 19.2 1,306 17.0 1,491 509 6.5 509 6.8 · 439 5.7 508 236 3.1 273 3.6 219 2.8 253 269 3.6 320 4.3 292 3.8 376 NSTR) 0 0.0 265 3.5 275 3.6 281 | | • | Units | | Units | - | Units | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | Units |
| 2,622 2,504 33.4 2,338 30.0 2,622 958 20.1 1,443 19.2 1,306 17.0 1,491 77 509 6.5 509 6.8 · 439 5.7 508 7 236 3.1 273 3.6 219 2.8 253 269 3.6 3.6 4.3 292 3.8 376 P (NSTR) 0 0.0 265 3.5 275 3.6 281 | LOGISTICS SUPPORT (WYS) | | | | | | | | |
| 77 509 6.5 509 6.8 · 439 5.7 508 236 3.6 3.0 3.6 3.5 3.5 3.6 3.6 3.8 3.6 3.6 3.8 3.8 3.6 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 | AN/UYK-43 Computers (WYs) | 2,622 | 27.1 | 2,504 | 33.4 | 2,338 | 30.0 | 2,622 | 32.8 |
| 7 509 6.5 509 6.8 · 439 5.7 236 3.1 273 3.6 219 2.8 269 3.6 320 4.3 292 3.8 (NSTR) 0 0.0 265 3.5 275 3.6 | AN/UYK-44 Computers (WYs) | 958 | 20.1 | 1,443 | 19.2 | 1,306 | 17.0 | 1,491 | 18.6 |
| 236 3.1 273 3.6 219 2.8 269 3.6 320 4.3 292 3.8 (NSTR) 0 0.0 265 3.5 275 3.6 | AN/UYK-20 & AN/UYK/7 | 209 | 6.5 | 509 | 6.8 | . 439 | 5.7 | 208 | 6.4 |
| (NSTR) 0 0.0 265 3.5 275 3.6 | Displays (WYs) | 236 | 3.1 | 273 | 3.6 | 219 | 2.8 | 253 | 3.2 |
| (NSTR) 0 0.0 265 3.5 275 3.6 | Peripherals (WYS) | 569 | 3.6 | 320 | ₩. | 262 | 3.8 | 376 | 4.7 |
| | | 0 | 0.0 | 265 | 3.5 | 275 | 3.6 | 281 | 3.5 |

C. AMMUNITION SYSTEM LOGISTICS

Provides for the movement, handling, storage and disposal of munitions as required by Fleet operations and for inventory management. The major effort funded is the Receipt, Segregation, Storage and Issue (RSS&I) of ammunition which funds personnel and material associated with the onloading and offloading of ammunition worldwide disposable munitions inventory and to accomplish required reuse, declassification and demilitarization in the most effective and economical manner consistent with all safety, security and from Fleet ships. Additional funding supports personnel, material and facilities to manage the Navy

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant:

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

environmental regulations and constraints. Unit cost varies from year to year due to the type and mix of munitions, their condition and required disposal process. This program also provides support for intra-DOD warehousing agreements for use of Navy-owned facilities.

| | FY 1990 | 060 | FY 1991 | 166 | FY 1992 | 266 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|---|----------------------|-------|----------|---|----------|------------|----------|-----------------------|
| | • | Units | ~ | Units | - | Units | • | Units |
| Total Funding | 77,505 87,357 74,923 | | 87,357 | M 11 12 12 14 14 18 18 | 74,923 | | 80,977 | # % % # # |
| Receipt, Segregation, Storage and Issue of | | | | | | | | |
| Ammunition No of Ship | 68, 788 | | 70,045 | | 69,596 | | 75, 331 | |
| Visits Required | , | 898 | | 950 | | 896 | | 606 |
| Workyears | | 813 | | 820 | | 969 | | 157 |
| Property Disposal of Ordnance | 3,370 | | 4,152 | | 5,327 | | 5,646 | |
| (No. of line items in OOO's) (WYs) | | 46.0 | | 46.1 59 | | 51.4 76 | | 54.6 81 |

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | 066 | FY 1991 | 166 | FY 1992 | 2(| FY | FY 1993 | |
|---|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|-------|----|----------|--|
| | | Units | \$ Uni | Units | \$ Uni | Units | | \$ Units | |
| Ammunition Inventory (WYs) | 4,284 | 42 | 5,692 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | . 0 | |
| Intra-DOD warehousing (WYs) | 155 | 9 | 217 | m | 0 | 0 | | 0 | |
| Non-Nuclear Accuracy Inventory Assessment (WYs) | 806 | 4 | 651 | 4 | • | 0 | 0 | | |

D. SAFETY AND SECURITY LOGISTICS

Program provides for the security and safety of nuclear and non-nuclear ordnance at Naval Weapons Stations and other activities and for ammunition inventory. Specific efforts include: guard and inventory security of Arms, Ammunition and Explosives (AA&E) at Naval Weapons Stations; maintenance of nuclear weapons security systems, sensors and security upgrades at nuclear weapons-capable Navy Activities; life cycle program management and support for small arms nuclear weapons studies and analyses to implement the Department of the guidance and procedures regarding detection, and evaluation and control of work place hazards and automation Security (SNWS) provides acquisition, logistics and In-Service Engineering Support for the Protected Voice Portable Communications System MK4 (PVPCS), Magazine Security System (MSS) and the Shipboard Submarine efforts for the Occupational Safety and Health Record Keeping System (OSHRKS). Shipboard Nuclear Weapons Navy Nuclear Weapons Safety Program; explosive weapon systems analyses and tests; technical support for Security System.

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant:

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | 066 | FY 1991 | 161 | FY 1992 | 95 | FY 1993 | 93 |
|--|-------------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| Total Funding | \$ 22,975 | Units | \$ Units 26,838 | Units | 11 | Units | \$ Units \$ Units 24,547 | Units |
| SECURITY | 14,019 | | 17,188 | | 14,068 | | 18,494 | |
| Ordnance Guards Ordnance Inventory (WYs) | | 125 48 | | 150 | | 82 50 | | 150 |
| Nuclear Security Installations (WYs) | | 250 9.6 | | 250 12.0 | | 250 15.0 | | 250 15.0 |
| Small Arms Management (WYs) | | 16.9 | | 13.5 | | 15.8 | | 17.9 |
| Shipboard Nuclear Mpns Spt MK4 PVPCS MK1 MSS | 1,776 (901 (875 | ~~ | 532 (532 (0 | | 1,516 (1,086) (430) | | 1,419 | |
| SAFETY | 7,180 | | 9,118 | | 8,963 | | 9,619 | |
| Nuclear Safety Analysis (WYs) | | 28.0 | | 32.9 | | 42.3 | | 44.7 |
| Explosives Safety Program (WYs) Safety Investigations | | 89 6 | | 0 | | 66 | | 72 3 |

000228

•

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

SHIP SYSTEMS LOGISTICS

service support for the in-house facility, 2) supplies and equipment maintenance for the in-house facility, and 3) remote facility computing time. Related to this effort is computer aided engineering, which develops federal/military specifications and standards needed for ship equipment acquisition, maintenance, repair and driving force behind the marine gas turbines is the number of engines supported by this program and the cost avoidance that occurs when a marine gas turbine is repaired on board rather than at a depot maintenance calculations essential to ship design, construction, and maintenance. Computer requirements include 1) engineering and technical efforts to manage and support all logistics elements of marine gas turbines. overhaul; develops and updates Navy unique ship design criteria and practices; and provides life cycle activity. In addition, this program provides computer support to design engineers for automated This program provides support for technical documentation required for preparing and updating and updates computer programs used in ship design.

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | 91 | FY 1992 | ~ | FY 1993 | 993 |
|---|-----------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|---------|--------------------------|
| | \$ Units | \$ Uni | Units | \$ Uni | Units | • | Units |
| Total Funding | 14,006 12,228 15,254 16,526 | 12,228 | | 15,254 | | 16,526 | \$ p b - p 4 |
| Technical Documentation No. of practices updated/ backlog | 2/140 | | 2/138 | | 2/138 | | 2/138 |
| Spec/Stds/Dwgs/GenSpec updates | 62 | | 62 | | 150 | | 167 |

C32280

033561

•

| Logistics Support Activities (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command |
|---|
| Activity Group: Claimant: |

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY | FY 1990 | FY | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | F | FY 1993 | |
|--|----|---------|--------|---------|----|---------|---|---------|--|
| | • | Units | - - | Units | | Units | | Units | |
| 5-yr Mandatory Reviews | | 687 | | 160 | | 160 | | 160 | |
| Marine Gas Turbines No. of Engines supported | | 853 | | 925 | | 928 | | 1,006 | |
| from on board repairs (\$M) | | 38 | | 38 | | 27 | | 32 | |
| Automated Engineering Support Number of users | | 546 | | 586 | • | 152 | | 203 | |
| Computer Aided Engineering Programs Updated Programs Developed | , | 9 2 | | જ ૧૪ | | N 4 | | 5 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

F. ACQUISITION AND LOGISTICS SUPPORT

establishment and maintenance of data bases for ship acquisition and operating and support cost data. Additional tasks consist of removing and preserving stored equipment, material inspections of ships, and the configuration documentation, spare parts requirements management, Full Screen Breakout Reviews, procurement of technical data packages, development and execution of integrated logistics support procedures, and development and updating of material maintenance procedures and data bases. Below are more specific acquisition improvement efforts such as specialized development and costs control programs and the The Acquisition and Logistics Support program consists of a large variety of tasks which includes

data base; studies and reports related to ship acquisition planning; the continued study of ways to improve specifications and planning in major systems acquisition and ship construction projects; the Commanders Acquisition Planning provides for the following: the establishment and maintenance of a ship acquisition Development Program (CDP) and NAVSEA Institute.

of spares and spare parts that a specific ship needs. Outfitting management reports determine how a ship is fitted out to support its assigned mission. New construction readiness updates assess the effectiveness of Support program (PAFOS) determines ship requirements for spares and spare parts necessary for maintenance throughout their life cycle. Allowance Parts Lists (APLs), Outfitting Management Reports, and New Construction Readiness updates are the principal products of the program. Allowance parts lists are lists Logistics Support Program consists of three major efforts. The Provisioning, Allowance and Fitting Out the provisioning allowance and outfitting efforts.

activity, and identify the logistics support documentation and materials required to be loaded aboard ships Overhaul (110) rrograms, collect, process, and distribute the configuration status data for each ship and The Ship Configuration and Logistics Support Information System (SCLSIS) and the Integrated Logistic after each overhaul, availability, or conversion.

OCCEBS

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

NAVSEA Material Support ensures that government furnished material which is in storage or on-board inactive preserving stored equipment from deterioration, removing material from inactivated ships, and data support To accomplish this objective, efforts are concentrated on ships is delivered on-time to meet contractual shipbuilding schedules to avoid costly delays and/or to Data systems support procures data processing for monitoring NAVSEA cognizant equipment. establish accelerated ship overhaul schedules.

Inspection and Survey (INSURV) Material Inspections consists of the Material Inspections (MI) of ships in the active fleet conducted by the INSURV board to give the Chief of Naval Operations an impartial factual report of the material condition of each ship on a triennial basis.

Fleet improvements and also provides computer requirements for SNAP computer software development to upgrade maintenance management in the Fleet; and (3) Navy Oil Analysis Program provides visual and spectrographic Maintenance System (PMS) provides development/revision of maintenance procedures for each ship, updates each performing maintenance; (2) Maintenance Data System (MDS) provides for collection of maintenance needs and analyses of ship machinery lube oil and provides a data base used to make machinery repair decisions. ship's set of procedures twice a year and responds to Fleet requests (feedback reports) for help in Maintenance and Material Management (3M) is comprised of three Fleet support efforts: (1) Planned

Visibility and Management of Operations and Support Costs (VAMOSC-Ships) is a management information system that provides historical operating and support (0&S) cost data on active fleet ships. VAMOSC-SHIPS produces two standard and numerous special reports annually. The standard reports address 0&S data on individual active fleet ships and maintenance on shipboard equipment items. Special reports are produced per customer requests. The data are used for weapon system acquisition deliberations, value per logistics dollars spent analyses, deployed systems' sustainability, life-cycle estimating and other types of analyses. Activity Group: <u>Logistics Support Activities (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | 066 | FY 1991 | 161 | FY 1992 | 365 | F | FY 1993 |
|---|---------|--|---------|-----------------------|----------|--|-------------------|-------------|
| | • | Units | - | Units | ~ | Units | • | Units |
| Total Funding | 38,004 | 14 14 14 17 18 18 18 | 38,263 | 2 2 4 3 7 | 30,257 | 10 11 10 14 14 15 15 | 30,616 | |
| Acquisition Planning Developmental Prgms | 1,229 | | 1,123 | _ | 506 | ~ | 574 (451 | <u>.</u> |
| Planning Procedures & Data Base Regmts | (489 | · | 414 | _ | 96 | _ | (123 | ~ |
| Logistics Support Program PAFOS | 20,508 | <u> </u> | 21,392 | _ | 13,224 | _ | 15,301 (2,360 | _ |
| Outfitting Mgment MYs ADP Hours | | 17 6,316 | | 6,447 | | 15 5,569 | | 17 6,021 |
| Provisionaing Planning | | S | | 1 | | 7 | | o |
| Initial Supply/Spt Plans MYs | | 2 | | 7 | | ~ | | |
| BOSS efforts Breakout Reviews Technical Screening | | 58 | | 53 | | 00 | | 00 |

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | 066 | fy 1991 | 161 | FY 1992 | 95 | FY 1993 | 93 |
|--|----------|--------|------------|----------|------------|--------|---------|--------|
| | | Units | • | Units | • | Units | • | Units |
| 2012/110 | (16,751 | _ | (17,498) | | (11,205) | _ | 12,941 | |
| SCLSIS Support CDM Operations # transactions (MIL) | | 3.6 | | 4.4 | | 2.7 | | 3.1 |
| Validations/Audits #Validations/Audits | | 20.0 | | 20.0 | | 20.0 | | 20.0 |
| SCLSIS SYS MGMT | | 15.9 | | 13.9 | | 13.9 | | 13.9 |
| 110 Support | | 13.6 | | 12.1 | | 8.0 | 703 | 8.4 |
| NAVSEA Material Spt | 1,262 | 53 | 574 | 0 | 202 | 991 | /00 | 86 |
| Equipment Preserved | 2,858 | | 2,441 | <u>0</u> | 2,056 | > | 2,294 | Þ |
| INSURV assistance for Material Inspections | | 154 | | 132 | | 111 | | 123 |
| Maintenance and Material | 11,459 | | 12,132 | | 9,583 | | 11,152 | |
| Routine Feedback | | 15,500 | | 15,500 | | 15,500 | | 15,500 |
| Complex Feedback | | 1,500 | | 1,500 | | 1,500 | | 1,500 |
| MDS Data Base Manyears | | 40.3 | | 31.8 | | 24.6 | | 25.1 |

CCCCSS

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| FY 1993 | 7.5 608 8.7 |
|------------------|--|
| FY 1992 Units | 7.5 |
| FY 1992 | 522 |
| Y 1991 Units | 7.2 |
| FY 1991 | 601 |
| y 1990 Units | 7.4 9.3 |
| FY 1990 | 688 |
| | Navy Oil Analysis Prog/MYs VAMOSC/WYs PRODUCTIVITY INVESTMENT PROGRAMS # of projects |

. OTHER LOGISTICS

The Standardization program provides for the development of general approaches and detailed procedures for achieving conservation of resources. The purpose of the Standard Hardware Acquisition and Reliability Program (SHARP) standardization effort is to make available and implement common modules, power supplies and hardware in the design and production of military electronic systems.

| | FY 1990 | 066 | FY 1991 | 166 | FY 1992 | 266 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|---|---------|--------------------------|---------|--|---------|----------------------------------|---------|---------------------------|
| | | Units | | Units | - | Units | · • | Units |
| Total Funding | 1,282 | 1,282 1,512 1,474 1,627 | 1,512 | 51 1)- 10- 10- 11- 11- 11- 11- 11- 11- 11- | 1,474 | 11 11 14 14 18 19 | 1,627 | # # # # # |
| SHARP Standardization 1. SHARP Systems 2. STD Elect MOD 3. STD Enclosures 4. STD Power Supplies | | 3.2 6.8 1.9 3.0 | | 3.00 - 4.00 - 4.00 - 4.00 - 6. | | 3.3 4.2 4.2 | | 3.7 10.9 2.0 4.7 |

OCCESS

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

H. SURFACE SHIP LOGISTICS SUPPORT

This program provides PHM Class life cycle support through contractor logistic support. The materials management effort provides for the repair and inventory management of unique and necessary parts for the PHM ships. The engineering and technical support effort is the equivalent of Navy in-service engineering for PHM unique equipment.

| | 1990 FY | 066 | FY 1991 | 161 | FY 1992 | 266 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|--|-------------------------|-------|---------|----------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|
| | \$ Unit | Units | \$ Uni | Units | \$ Uni | Units | • | Units |
| Total Funding | 2,525 3,312 1,173 1,301 | 1 | 3,312 | 10 11 11 11 11 | 1,173 | | 1,301 | |
| PHM Logistics | , | | | | | | | |
| l. Materials Management⁴ Workyears | 1,605 | 23.0 | 1,905 | 26.4 | 873 | 12.1 | 1,001 | 13.9 |
| 2. Eng and Tech Support Workyears | 920 | 9.3 | 1,025 | 9.9 | 300 | 2.9 | 300 | 2.9 |
| 3. Material | 0 | | 382 | | 0 | | 0 | |

BA 7 pays for management of materials originally procured with SCN as well as Fleet and NAVSEA O&M,N.

というこう

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Mayal Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

1. DIVING AND SALVAGE LOGISTICS

The Diving portion of this program provides funding to operate and maintain the Navy Experimental Diving Unit (NEDU); perform the Navy System Safety Certification of all Fleet diving systems and equipment; provide In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) and technical Direct Fleet Support (DFS) to all diving commands; test all equipment which malfunctions; perform air sampling analyses for all Fleet diving systems; and to provide system certification management for all Fleet diving systems, and publish and maintain technical documentation for fleet diving operations and equipment.

salvage and stranding requirements for Navy ships, submarines, cargoes, and high interest items. Funding pays for ships, equipment, personnel and other material required for emergent salvage operations. The Navy Salvage Operations portion of this program provides the capability to respond to operational

| | FY 1990 | 066 | FY 1991 | 161 | FY 1992 | 95 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------|-------|-------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| | | Units | \$ Uni | Units | | Units | • | Units |
| Total Funding | 4,047 | 4,047 4,339 4,681 4,807 | 4,339 | | 4,681 | 1 1 1 1 | 4,807 | # 0 2 1 1 1 |
| Diving: Workyears (NEDU) | 3,539 | 27 | 3,672 | 23 | 4,063 | 52 | 4,127 | . 24 |
| NEDU, support costs | (2,623) | | 1 (2,693) | | 1 (2,689) | _ | 1 (2,709) | 1 (|
| Configuration Management Units = Diver Life Spt Systs | (65) |) 2 (| 130 | 3 (| 226) | , ro | 251) | |

895....

いいこう

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | | 7 | FY 1990 | 0 | | FY 1991 | 16 | Ε¥ | FY 1992 | • | FY 1993 | 93 |
|--|---|----------|------------|-------|-----|------------------|-------|----------|----------|-------|---------|-------|
| | | . | | Units | • • | ; ; ; ; | Units | · • | <u> </u> | Units | • | Units |
| Certification, #efforts | _ | 448 | 8 | | J | 525) | | (563 | 3) | _ | 572) | _ |
| Diver Worn Equip | | ഹ | ن | S | | 65 | _ | ∞ | æ | 6 | 102 | 2 |
| Major Div Platform Systems | | 20 | 4 | 22 | | 236 | 22 | 24 | 8 | 22 | 560 | 22 |
| Minor Div Platform Systems | | 8 | مَ | 68 | | 224 | 75 | 22 | 7 | 7.1 | 210 | 9 |
| <pre>Fleet Support Units = # of Diving Systems</pre> | _ | 37 | 376) | 200 | _ | 324) | 200 | (585 | | 200 | 295 | 200 |
| Navy Salvage Operations: | | 508 | 6 0 | | | 299 | | . 618 | 80 | | 089 | |
| Number of salvage operations: | | | | • | | | • | | | • | | , |
| > 250K < 250K | | | | 7 - | | | 1 | | | 7 - | | 1 |

J. INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES SUPPORT

Industrial Facilities Support Program ensures readiness of facilities capable of maintaining, repairing, overhauling and delivering ships to the fleet on schedule and in the most efficient and cost effective manner possible. The program consists of many disparate sub-programs such as Drydock Certification; Maintenance of Inactive Nuclear Hulls; Magnetic Silencing; Asbestos Litigation; and Industrial Improvements.

000270

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| \$ Units \$ Units \$ Units \$ Units Projects 81,000 | | FY 1990 | 066 | FY 1991 | 166 | FΥ | 266 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|---|--|----------------------------------|------------|---------------|--|----------|---|---------|-------|
| 90,722 4,549 1 1,087 87 1,200 106 2 1,164 35 1,287 0 ed 244 351 448 5,617 0 94 0 122 0 | | _ | Units | • | Units | - | Units | • | Units |
| 1 1,087 1,200 106 2 1,164 35 1,204 35 3 946 1,287 0 ed 244 351 4 4 448 507 5,617 0 94 0 Projects 81,000 | | 90,722 | | 4,549 | 14 13 14 16 16 18 18 18 | 4,237 | # # # # # # # # # | 4,720 | . II |
| 1 1,087 1,200 923 998 7 2 1,164 35 1,204 36 1,466 41 1,709 3 946 1,287 0 1,110 0 4 244 351 320 44 400 5,617 0 240 3 288 Projects 81,000 | | M M 4. M M M M | | | | | | | |
| 2 1,164 8/ 1,204 1,466 35 1,709 5 3 946 35 1,287 0 1,110 0 4 244 351 400 4 448 507 0 0 240 200 5,617 0 0 240 3 288 Projects 81,000 | | 1,087 | į | 1,200 | 901 | 923 | 640 | 866 | 79 |
| 3 946 35 1,110 44 1,125 3 d 1,125 0 1,110 0 1,125 0 ed 244 351 400 400 4 448 507 178 200 0 5,617 0 240 3 288 0 122 0 0 0 0 0 | fications Maint | 1.164 | 8 | 1,204 | 100 | 1,466 | 5 | 1,709 | 2 |
| 3 946 1,129 1,110 0 1,129 d 244 351 400 4 448 507 178 200 5,617 0 0 240 3 288 Projects 81,000 | | - | 32 | | 32 | | 7 | 301 | 20 |
| ed 244 351 4 400 4 448 507 178 200 5,617 0 0 0 0 122 0 0 0 | Magnetic Silencing /3 | 946 | - | 1,287 | 0 | . 1,110 | 0 | 1,163 | 0 |
| 7 244 351 320 400 448 507 178 200 5,617 0 0 0 0 94 0 240 3 288 Projects 81,000 | # of systems asstalled | | . m | | • | , | ~ | | ₹ |
| 448 50/ 1/8 200 5,617 0 0 0 0 288 94 0 240 3 288 122 0 0 0 0 | Aspestos Litigation /4 | 244 | | 351 | | 320 | | 000 | |
| 94 0 240 3 288 122 0 0 0 0 | Computer Support | 448 | |) 0. 0. | | 0 | | 90 | |
| y 94 0 240 3 288 122 0 0 0 0 0 ation Projects 81,000 | Advanced Industrial | 10,0 | | • | | • | | | |
| 122 0 0 0 0 o ation Projects 81,000 | Indust Improv Prog · · | 94 | | 0 | | 240 | ,,, | 288 | LC. |
| ation Projects | # of projects Mat. Handlng/Trng | 122 | | 0 | | 0 | • | 0 | |
| | # of studies Shinvard Modernization Projects | 81,000 | | | | | | | |

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | 060 | FY 1991 | 166 | FY 1992 | 2(| FY 1993 | 33 |
|---|----------|-------|------------|----------|------------|-------|----------|-------|
| | ~ | Units | ~ | Units | ~ | Units | ~ | Units |
| Additional Data: /l Drydock Certification (| 1,087 | _ | 1,200 | <u> </u> | 923) | _ | 698 | |
| (units represent # of actions) Audit Nuclear Floating | 463 | 18 | 483 | 18 | 463 | 18 | 483 | 18 |
| Mgt Spt-Non-Nuclear Land Based Drydock | 265 | 36 | 313 | 49 | 203 | 27 | 227 | 34 |
| Mgmt Spt Non-Nuclear Fitg Drydock | 361 | 32 | 404 | 39 | . 257 | 24 | 288 | 13 |
| /2 Maint. of Inactive Hulls (1 | 1,164 | _ | 1,204 |) | 1,466) | _ | 1,709) | |
| Maint/Preservation | 200 | | 247 | | 300 | | 390 | |
| Radiation Control Surveys | 119 | | 124 | | 220 | | 259 | |
| Repairs Decordessing/Supervision | 191 | | 200 700 | | 130 221 | | 237 | |
| Fnaineering Services | 83 | | 16 | | 137 | | 147 | |
| Security Barrier | 20 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| Pierlighting (Norfolk) | 116 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | _ | FY 1990 | 06 | FΥ | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | 266 | Ε¥ | FY 1993 |
|---|---|-------------|----------|-------|----------|---|----------|-----------|----------|---------|
| | - | 1 1 1 | Units | - | Units | | <u>~</u> | Units | . | Units |
| /3 Magnetic Silencing (| | (940 | _ | 1,287 | _ | _ | 1,110 | • | 1,125 | _ |
| Training/Travel | | 54 | | 505 | • | | 410 | • | 450 | • |
| Software Development | | 0 | | 300 | | | 350 | | 300 | |
| Procurement Support | | 64 | | 66 | | | 90 | | 66 | |
| Fleet Spt/Test Equip | | 0 | | 383 | | | 250 | | 207 | |
| Tech Procedures Prep | | 0 | | 9 | | | 0 | | 66 | |
| Equip. Installation | | | | | | | | | | |
| Range System (1) | | 721 | | 0 | | | 0 | | 0 | |
| Platform Dolphin System (1) | | 0 | | 0 | | | 0 | | 0 | |
| /4 Asbestos Litig. (Man Hours) (| | 44) | 7,935 (| 351 | 111,071 | _ | 320 |)10,240 (| 400 |)12,800 |
| Data Org., Coding, & Entry | | 19 | 61 1,986 | 88 | 88 2,768 | | 8 | 80 2,560 | 100 | 3,200 |
| Compilation & Annotation | | 37 | 1,159 | 53 | 1,661 | | 48 | 1,536 | 09 | 1,920 |
| Screening and Analysis | | 73 | 2,362 | 105 | | | 96 | 3,072 | 120 | |
| Data Transfer and Exchange | | 49 | 1,603 | 20 | 2,214 | | 64 | 2,048 | 80 | 2,560 |
| ueneral Data Spt, Update and Maintenance | | 24 | 825 | 35 | 1,107 | | 32 | 1,024 | 9 | 1,280 |

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

C. DATA SUPPORT

The program supports information and data systems designed to improve the in-house capability for life cycle management of ships and weapon systems. This support is accomplished primarily through such activities as the NAVSEA Automated Data Systems Activity (SEAADSA) and the Navy Regional Data Automation Center (NARDAC). SEAADSA is the central design agent for automation technology and ADP systems. SEAADSA also performs management reviews of proposed ADP systems, equipment services, applications of ADP software and ADP installation at NAVSEA facilities. NARDAC provides in-house support for comptroller, contract, and other

| management requirements. | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--------------------------|---|----------|----------|----------|
| | \$ Units | \$ Units | \$ Units | \$ Units |
| Total Funding | 8,280 | 6,427 | 4,345 79 | 4,422 |
| Workyears | 化化物物 医多角性 医多角性 医多种性 医多种性 医多种性 医多种性 医多种性 医多种性 医多种性 医多种 | | | |
| SEADSA (Manbower) | 3,854 | 3,483 | 3,169 | 3,021 |
| NARDAC | 2,105 | 800 | 0 176 | 1 401 |
| Other ADP Support | 2,321 | 2,144 | 1,1/0 | 13461 |

L. UNDERUTILIZED PLANT CAPACITY

year. Funding this program in an amount other than that required results in a gain or loss in the Accumulated capacity, which could be used in the event of war. The subsidy for a facility is the amount of funds needed to maintain 85 percent of maximum capacity minus the amount of Navy Industrial Funds (NIF) budgeted for that This program provides a subsidy to Naval Weapon Stations and Shipyards, allowing them to maintain plant

Logistics Support Activities (continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

Operating Results (AOR) of the ordnance activity fund. Since funding is budgeted into overhead rates at each activity, it is not possible to equate specific efforts to funding provided. However, maintenance projects funded include such items as repair of pier decks, railroad repair, fire protection, pier and trestle repairs, and water distribution system upgrades. Following is the total budgeted for each activity.

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | \$ Units | \$ Units | \$ Units | \$ Units |
| Total Funding | 75,121 67,727 60,075 72,089 | 67,727 | 60,075 | 72,089 |
| MPNSTA | 14,661 | 15,817 | 16,991 | 20,825 |
| Concord | 12,741 | 14,901 | 17,782 | 21,840 |
| Earle Physik | 1,387 | 1,434 | 1,470 | 1,834 |
| Charleston NAVWPNSUPPCEN | 2,460 | 2,732 | 0 | |
| Crane | 11,586 | 7,548 | 0 | 0 |
| Indian Head NAVORDSTA | 11,327 | 1,666 | 0 | 0 |
| Louisville | | | | |

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | 990 | FY 1991 | 166 | FY 1992 | 266 | FY 1993 | 993 |
|----------------------------------|---------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|---------|-------|
| | | Units | <u>~</u> | Units | <u>~</u> | Units | | Units |
| WPNSTA | 4,941 | | 7,772 | | 8,209 | | 9,704 | |
| Seal Beach WPNSIA Yorktown | 10,613 | | 11,561 | | 10,454 | | 12,352 | |
| TOTAL WPN STA FUNDING | 69,716 | | 63,431 | | 54,906 | | 66,555 | |
| | FY 1990 | 066 | FY 1991 | 166 | FY 1992 | 266 | FY 1993 | 993 |
| | • | Units | | Units | - | Units | • | Units |
| NCV Portsmouth | 26 | | 21 | | 19 | | 20 | |
| NSY Philadelphia | 1,369 | | 1,307 | | 1,557 | | 1,642 | |
| NSV Norfolk | 851 | | 438 | | 730 | | 756 | |
| NSY Charleston | 1,300 | | 534 | | 1,201 | | 1,332 | |
| NSY Long Beach | 875 | | 865 | | 111 | | 834 | |
| NSY Mare Island | 190 | | 366 | | 202 | | 222 | |
| NSY Puget Sound | 624 | | 593 | | 535 | | 554 | |
| NSY Pearl Yarbor | 170 | | 172 | | 145 | | 174 | |
| Total Shipyard Funding | 5,405 | | 4,296 | | 5,169 | | 5,534 | |
| | - | 4 - 4 | | | | | | |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

222278

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

IV. Personnel Summary

| FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | | 74 74 | 12 62 | 503 493 | 503 493 |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|---------|
| FY 1991 | | 74 | | 112 | |
| FY 1990 | | 74 | 12 62 | 121 | 121 |
| | End Strength (E/S) | A. Military | Officer Enlisted | B. Civilian | HUSII |

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Indust
Budget Activity: 7 - Ce

Claimant:

Industrial Preparedness
7 - Central Supply and Maintenance
Naval Sea Systems Command

. Description of Operations Financed.

Government Owned, Contractor-Operated Facilities (GOCO) provides for lease administration and inspection of GOCO facilities and drydocks as well as for maintenance, protection, inventory and storage of government-owned special tooling/test equipment (ST/STE) at the Naval Weapons Support Center (NSWC) Crane. Industrial Readiness provides for development of formal plans with industry for emergency production of weapon systems mandated program and operating support program, pursuant to a SECNAV Initiative to remove non-shipwork related This activity group provides resources for certain efforts conducted at contractor operated facilities and for readiness related plans and has provided for shipyard subsidized base operating and mandated program support. specific level of production sufficient to meet surge and mobilization requirements. The industrial facility and industrial base data collection. It involves planning with individual producers of critical items for a costs from the naval shipyard stabilized manday rates, provides direct funding to the industrial facilities. The program supports unique requirements resulting from higher authority/regulatory direction, which are not incurred by private activities performing similar work.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | FY 1990 Actual | | Sudget Appro- Current Request priation Estimate | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 Request | |
|--|-------------------|---------|--|---------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| NDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS | \$1,221 | | \$1,269 | \$1,269 \$1,260 \$1,171 \$1,515 | \$1,171 | \$1,515 | |
| Total, INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS \$1,221 | \$1,221 | \$1,497 | \$1,497 \$1,269 \$1,260 \$1,171 \$1,515 | \$1,260 | \$1,171 | \$11,515 | |

| | 000\$ | 1,260 | 80 | 271 | 0 4 40 |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | | 67) 67 13) | 271) 271 | -440) -440 |
| | | | ~ ~ | | • |
| II. Financial Summary (continued) | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) B. Other Pricing Adjustments | 3. Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 A. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 I) INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS - The increase reflects additional Government-Owned Contractor-Operated (GOCO) lease administration efforts by Supervisor of Shipbuilding Offices (SUPSHIP) Jacksonville for Ship Refit Facility, Puerto Rico (11). There is also an increase for systems development of the preparedness database in order to remain on schedule to achieve full operational capability (260). | 4. Program Decreases in FY 1992 A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 I) INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS - The decrease reflects reduced funding for the Industrial Readiness program. This program provides for compliance with outstanding Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) notices of violation action items at Government-Owned Contractor-Operated (GOCO) plants (-66). There is a reduction of 3.5 manyears required for evaluating |

Industrial Preparedness (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command

Activity Group: Claimant:

1,515

| | | | | 6) | 314) | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | ~ | | |
| Activity Group: Industrial Preparedness (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued) | industrial capability to support Navy requirements for surge and mobilization of selected ships and weapons systems (-374). | 5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | 6. Pricing Adjustments A. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) B. Other Pricing Adjustments | 7. Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS - The increase to Industrial Readiness will result in greater support for the elimination of PCB transformers located at Government-Owned Contractor-Operated facilities (59). The increase in surge planning will provide for a mobilization analysis of the shipbuilding/repair industry (251). The increase also reflects increased Government-Owned Contractor-Operated (GOCO) lease administration efforts administered by SUPSHIP Jacksonville for Ship Refit Facility, Puerto Rico (4). | 8. FY 1993 President's Budget Request |

1,171

\$000

30

Activity Group: Industrial Preparedness (continued)

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY | FY 1990 | FΥ | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | Ŧ | FY 1993 |
|--|------------|-------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| | | UNITS \$ | - | \$ UNITS | | UNITS \$ UNITS \$ | ~ | \$ UNITS |
| Total Funding | 1,221 | 1,221 1,260 1,171 1,515 | 1,260 | 11 13 14 14 14 14 | 1,171 | 11 11 11 11 11 | 1,515 | 14 14 14 19 11 |
| Facility/Drydock Lease Admin. | 0 | | 9 | | 17 | | 22 | |
| Shore Capacity Rev. # of Activities | 263 | 10 | 317 | 10 | 275 | 10 | 338 | 10 |
| Surge Planning/Studies Systems Development Vendor Analysis | 332 626 | | 184 753 | | 451 428 | | 500 655 | |

ADDENDUM - Surge Planning Growth

associated with the development of industrial Preparedness Planning and Data Gathering Planning techniques for warfighting community what they can expect in terms of end items and spare parts production" under surge and mobilization conditions. This is a significant aspect of the Navy's maritime strategy. The second, Vendor Analysis, has as its purpose to provide for OSD directed studies of critical systems required for industrial surge and mobilization. Surge analysis is predicted on detailed industrial Shipbuilding PBA's which require separate surge planning contracts with individual producers or funding for contract modifications to provide Funding for Surge Planning has a two-fold purpose. The first, System Analysis, is to provide for costs the automated Navy Production Base Analysis (PBA). The purpose of the PBA is to be able to "tell the surge data on existing hardware contracts.

Activity Group: Industrial Preparedness (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Claimant:

Performance Criteria (continued).

SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT

Planning provides for a portion of the Concept Development phase of this overall effort. During this phase The long range functional requirement of funding represented within Systems Development is to develop data bases which support the Navy Logistics Planning and Execution System. Currently the funding in Surge Navy integrated automated data retrieval and processing system capable of accessing various Navy logistics the functional Jescriptions and top level systems definitions will be developed to provide Navy planners with the ADP resources/capabilities to perform the following and other functions:

- Storage and retrieval of information on the capabilities of the industrial base to produce items critical to the support of U.S. Wartime operations.
- refinement of logistic support plans with computer-aided feasibility analysis of resulting support Provide on-line access to Navy Industrial Preparedness Planning data for the development and
- Provide for the development of Industrial Mobilization Plans to support warfighting requirements and for development of graduated mobilization options for use by the National Command Authority. Evaluate the status of wartime issues relating to the Industrial Base.
 - Provide on-line access to Naval Industrial Preparedness Planning data for the refinement of
 - Echelon II Logistics Support and Mobilization Plans.

Mobilization Preparedness Planning process. Development of the overall system will require substantially higher funding levels over several years to realize a fully integrated/operational system. The following information depicts the quantities of manpower to be used in this conceptual design phase. Funding provided in the FY 1990 and FY 1991 Surge Planning Systems Development budget will support initial systems definition and a portion of the Conceptual Design effort of the Naval Industrial

Activity Group: <u>Industrial Preparedness (continued)</u>
Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| labor (atenory (manyears) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|---|---------|
| abor category (manyears) | | • | | |
| | α ς | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| ystems tingined |) - | 0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| ellor Systems Analyst | | 0 | 0.1 | 1.0 |
| Decomposition Date Decomposition | | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| rogrammer/Anarysc | # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # | | 10 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 | 神机器被贷款处 |
| Systems Development | \$332 | \$184 | \$451 | \$500 |
| Estimated Costs (\$000) | | | | |

VENDOR ANALYSIS

requirements for a protracted conventional global conflict. This evaluation is updated on an annual basis, is very labor intensive, and is largely performed in-house through the Naval Shipbuilding Scheduling Office. Vendor Analysis includes monitoring and evaluating the surge and mobilization capabilities of several hundred private shipyards and several thousand prime/sub-tier manufacturers of over 10,000 shipboard components, equipments and systems. Present efforts include monitoring and evaluating the domestic industrial base's mobilization capabilities to sustain the current fleet and satisfy fleet expansion

000003

Activity Group: Industrial Preparedness (continued) Claimant:

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| Operations | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|
| Total Manyears # of Vendor Analysis # of Travel Trips Vendor Analysis Estimated Costs (\$000) | 8.3 399 60 60 \$626 | 9.3 445 62 *********************************** | 5.8 274 20 ======== | 8.6 412 30 *********************************** |
| SURGE PLANNING TOTAL: | \$958 | \$937 | \$879 | \$1,155 |
| | 40 TT | 0.00000 | | |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

IV. Personnel Summary. N/A

OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Budget Activity: Activity Group:

Claimant:

7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Engineering Support Services Naval Sea Systems Command

Description of Operations Financed.

This activity group provides the technical and engineering efforts to maintain and improve the operational readiness of ship and combat systems in the Fleet. Engineering efforts include:

development of improvements to decrease safety and fire risks for ships and ship systems; testing and analysis of the integration of diverse shipboard systems;

field engineering to respond to the Fleet's emergency problems; analysis of performance data to improve systems availabilities;

operational testing of combat systems to assure reliability and to transfer technical knowledge to the

providing support to Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA), Fleet Maintenance Activity (FMA), Inservice Engineering Agent (ISEA) activities and for configuration management to ensure real time

technical evaluation/review of boards, reports, and other support of Electromagnetic Environment Effects electronic warfare capability;

technical evaluation of impact of special World Administrative Radio Conference (WARC) and development of

technical alternatives for Navy requirements;

performance and analysis of tests; such as shock tests, inclining experiments, and submarine acoustic trials, leading to improved ship survivability, stability, and lower noise levels; and

engineering and technical services supporting maintenance and repair of all operating naval ships. testing, training, and certification to assure product quality;

improving overhaul procedures for a major combat system, and providing technical manual updates and reprints for all of the NAVSEA equipments. For each system managed by NAVSEA, such as the MK 75/76MM gun systems, The Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA) is responsible for the maintenance of ships, systems and related equipment, and weapons and ordnance systems. NAVSEA is also responsible for a variety of engineering tasks which range from planning for the extension of the useful life of a tactical data system to 10 years, to the LM250c gas turbine engines, and the nuclear propulsion systems, technical engineering expertise and support is required to improve the reliability, sustainability, safetk, and maintainability of the Navy's ship systems.

,000E85

Engineering Support Services (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

11. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

| 4 | |
|---------|--|
| preakou | |
| | |
| I O I | |
| Ž | |
| 7 | |
| A-di | |
| N | |

FY 1991

| | FY 1990 | Budget | Appro- | Current | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|-----------------|-----------|---|---------------|--|
| | Actual | Request | priation | Estimate | Request | Request | |
| | 1 1 1 1 | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| CHOPACT DADEADE CVC ENC | £25 £01 | \$18.527 | \$17.380 | \$13.460 | \$20,935 | \$24,285 | |
| SULTACE MARKED OIG ENG | 201,024 | 24 493 | 23 149 | 21,468 | 18,545 | 22,819 | |
| UNDERSEA WAKFAKE STS ENG | 20,02 | 36, 607 | 24 504 | 32,405 | 20,912 | 28,112 | |
| SURFACE SPI SYS ENG | 707,07 | 13,007 | 11 505 | 10,662 | 11,193 | 14,526 | |
| AIRCRAFT CARRIER SPI | 7,000 | 13,073 | 200, 1 | 3000 | 5,541 | 5,623 | |
| ELECTRONIC SYS ENG | 4,400 | 3,000 | 0,50 0,00 | 2,266 | 2,612 | 4.569 | |
| ELECTRONIC WARFARE | 6,704 | 0,410 25,265 | 23,715 | 18,124 | 13,699 | 17,014 | |
| TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS | 21,12 | 22,303 | 22,12 | 19,592 | 14.508 | 15,834 | |
| COMBAT SYSTEMS SUPPORT | 61,409 | 1,130 | 7.324 | 924 | 559 | 741 | |
| RELIABILITY & MAIEKIAL HANDLING | 140,107 | 151,758 | 151,758 | 151.636 | 157,787 | 163,278 | |
| NUCLEAR PROPULSION IECH LUG | 4,155 | 0 | 0 | 4,613 | 4,414 | 3,490 | |
| SUB SURVEILLANCE EQUIP SET | ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; | 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| Total, ENGINEERING SPT SERVICES | \$281,751 | \$308,434 | \$299,118 | \$279,148 | \$270,70\$ | \$300,291 | |

Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

11. Financial Summary (continued)

| creases. |
|-----------|
| De |
| and |
| Increases |
| 9 |
| iliation |
| Recon |
| 8 |

| -i | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | | | 279,148 |
|----|--|---|-------------|---------|
| • | | | | 15,242 |
| 7 | Pricing Adjustments A Ammislization of FV 1991 Direct Pay Raises | J | 280) | |
| | 1) Classified | | 258 | |
| | 2) Wage Board | , | 22 | |
| | B. FÝ 199Ž Direct Pay Raises | _ | (90 / | |
| | 1) Classified | | 093 - 13 | |
| | 2) Wage Board | • | 13 | |
| | C. Défense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) | _ | 0,/11) | |
| | 1) Non-Fuel (Supplies, Materials and Equipment) | | 705 | |
| | 2) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) | • | 0,/04 | |
| | D. Other Pricing Adjustments | _ | 7,545) | |
| ~ | 2 Eurctions Dropram Transfers | | | -134 |

| Functional Program Transfers A. Transfers-Out Intra-Appropriation Intra-Appropriation Standard Level User Charge (SLUC) - Funds to rent commercially leased space transferred to Budget Activity 9, Base Operations Support, for direct payment to General Service Administration (GSA) Federal Building Fund. | _ | -134 | -134 |
|--|---|------|--------|
| 4 Program Increases | • | Š | 15,074 |

| Program Increases | One Time FY 1992 Costs | One additional workday of civilian employment in FY | 1992 at various field activities reflecting the DOD |
|-------------------|------------------------|---|---|
| Program In | A. One Til | 1) One | 1992 a |
| _: | | | |

Engineering Support Services (continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

personnel policy which eliminates reimbursable funding at non-industrial activities.

Activity (SSA) for the High Order Language (HÖL) used in the PHALANX Block I system. The SSA is responsible Close-In Weapon System (CIWS) the increase will allow for development of follow-on computer programs which for start-up costs to establish a Software Support Other Program Growth in FY 1992 1) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING - For the 8

engineering and logistics efforts (1,424). The CG 26 class ships will receive an upgrade to the MK 68 Mod 19 For the Gun Weapons System Fleet Support protection efforts including the updates of technical (650). Additional support is provided to the MK 160 Gun Computer Systems installed on the DDG 51 class ships (222). For the Gun Weapons System Fleet Suppor fire control system to improve the firing accuracy program the increase will allow additional safety The increase provides required will evaluate the impact of hardware changes on software (3,591). The increase provides requiver support to the MK 86 Gun Fire Control Systems'

provides a additional Life Cycle Engineering support to 2) UNDÉRSEA WARFARE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING - The increase orpedo Management Information System (TMIS) program 96), and increased field service support in

all Gun Weapon Systems in combatant and auxiliary ships

documentation and improved maintenance procedures for

0.000

Engineering Support Services (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

n the Deep Submergence Systems Program the increase is material for attack class SSNs (247). The increase in previously funded in the Maintenance Support and Depot Maintenance Activity Groups. The increase in Vertical program for Fiber Optics reflects efforts to introduce support for its fire control upgrade efforts (1,591). Jehicles (ASRs)(300). The increases are designed for or additional Hull, Mechanical and Electrical (HM&E) reflects the Vertical Launch System effort which was additional in service engineering support related to rescue and to ensure accomplishment of certification and safety related efforts. The increase in the HM&E Program to perform its primary mission of submarine ongoing overhauls for the Submarine Rescue Support efforts to enable the Deep Sea Submergence Systems maintenance and update of training certifications and Electronic Planning Yard Support for the Deep Submergence Rescue Vehicles (DSRV's) (209) and -aunch Systems provides additional weapon safety the Submarine Technical and Maintenance Program submarine Fiber Optics systems and component specifications (166).

3) SURFACE SUPPORT SYSTEMS ENGINEERING - For Underway Replenishment, the increase allows for development of instructions/procedures for all elevator operating stations in accordance with Type Commander (TYCOM) standardized weapon/cargo elevator operating

Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Mechanical and Electrical (HM&E) Auxiliary systems, the he increased funding will ensure the safe operation of designs to eliminate operation, maintenance and supply lechnical Documentation efforts increase. An increase availabilities requiring Life Cycle Management support increase reflects technical review of mechanical seal standardized elevator system operability tests (SOT's) 8 safety modification kits scheduled to be installed and provide engineering development support for the Weapons Elevator Senior Navy Steering Board directed Hull, Mechanical and Flectrical (HM&E) systems Fleet additional efforts for the shipboard water treatment prioritized listings. This will result in selective safety features and related ship alterations (428). in FY 1992 and will minimize costly maintenance for support documentation and training (212). For the indicates that there will be 5 additional on-going turbines (121). For HM&E Electrical systems, the in the Surface Combatant Technical Support effort Vertical Package Conveyors by providing accurate support problems associated with auxiliary steam critical technical documentation, development of Mater Chemistry prog.um the increase represents deficiency upgrades of TYCOM identified mission 4) AIRCRAFT CARRIER SUPPORT SYSTEMS - FOr Hull, program, to ensure shipboard readiness (300). 28) and 4 more manuals will be updated (19)

,176

Colored 3

Engineering Support Services (continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued Ξ.

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

increase allows for the investigation and

provide additional support for the start up of the Data Improvement Program and HM&E Fiber Optics program (31). configuration management and trouble report correction, compatability/interface problems within electric loads, Engineering Agent (ISEA) support for the Navy Tactical Data System program (653). This support will provide Weapons Control Switchboard program, the increase will review/coordinate the electric plant protective system increased engineering efforts for HM&E Boiler Operator and resolve configuration management problems (1,065). separating vital/non-vital loads within the fleet, and LHD-1/DDG-51 failures, correct software deficiencies, Multiplex System (DMS). The DMS is a modular general This support (53), and increase support for logistics studies and provide additional technical and engineering support or the Electrical Power Interface Capability (EPIC) orogram (942). Also, the increase will provide for the Aircraft Carrier Technical Support program will reflects an addition of 7 workyears of In-Service 5) ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS ENGINEERING - The increase purpose ships information transfer system which decreasing the downtime of systems. For the will provide for corrective maintenance for implementation of recommended solutions for replaces older communications systems. problem resolution (29).

329

Activity Group: <u>Engineering Support Services (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

11. Financial Summary (continued)

. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

technical support of the carrier-based AN/SLQ-17 system (14) and increased management and technical support for Bandit effort is increased (125). 7) COMBAT SYSTEMS SUPPORT - The increase to the Quality efforts for the Navy Contractor Evaluation System (47). In the In-Service Explosives program, the increase will nsensitive Munitions (IM)/Explosives Data Bases (243). The increase in the Electronic Test and Repair program support test and engineering procedures for explosives and Reliability Assurance program reflects additional electronic warfare suite. This increase will enhance will complete the test and repair of Fleet deployed technical support is provided for for the AN/SLQ-32 PP-8087 power units and piece part kits (15). 6) ELECTRONIC WARFARE - An increase in engineering the level of logistics for an increasing number of system improvements (107). Additional increases in Anti-Ship Missile Decoys (ASMD) is provided due to increasing inventories (83). The classified Outlaw and will provide support and maintenance for

5. Program Decreases

A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992

1) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING - The decrease reduces the follow-on technical assistance support for the growing number of HARPOON equipped ships (-520). The Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) program will

38,625)

-38,625

Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

11. Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

he decrease in the Submarine and Technical Maintenance Neutralization Charge MK 98 and Underwater Remotely Operated Vehicle. The decrease reflects no in-service support and Technical Design Agent (TDA) support for 5 caretaker status at the Fleet Combat Direction Systems technical documentation for navigation systems (-328). Ship Systems Hull Mechanical and Electrical Support at the elimination of In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) engineering support for these new systems (-535). The Systems and Electrical support (-10). The decrease in decrease for the Sonar Systems Support program causes configuration management and software updates for all Submarine Safety Audits being performed (-410), less introduce six new systems into the Fleet. These are advanced gyrocompasses, and decreased maintenance of Support Activity (FCDSSA) and causes a reduction in Program reflects less logistic support (-15), fewer the Planning Yard (-1,413), and less Combat Weapons reflects fewer ships receiving shipboard navigation systems certifications, less technical support for LAMPS MK III systems (-279). 2) UNDERSEA WARFARE SYS ENG - The decrease in the LAMPS MK III simulators which will be reduced to Navigation System and Technical Support Program Remote Control Transporter MK 2, Diver Acoustic Navigation System, All Metals Locator, EX 4,

-6,57

20000

the Submarine Noise Reduction program is due to this

4,192

Activity Group: <u>Engineering Support Services (continued)</u>
Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

į

II. Financial Summary (continued)

. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

program effort being funded in Budget Activity 2 starting in FY 1992 (-1,624). The Deep Sea Submergence program eliminates efforts focused on the unmanned vehicles to 5 thousand feet (-341), mission support for reduced efforts in the development of pass/fail packages for shipboard cranes. These packages are used vehicle oceanographic surveys which eliminates efforts tethered cable controlled vehicle (UMV) which will not Deep Sea location and recovery assets resulting in no to identify problem areas for safety prevention. For HM&E Propulsion systems, there is a planned reduction in the Submarine Diesel Engine reliability efforts; standby resources to initiate recovery of priority assets (-700), and specialized support for dedicated to prepare precision maps for safe SSBN exit and maneuvering areas (-500). For Hull, Mechanical and Electrical (HM&E) Hull systems, the decrease causes program nears completion. This decrease represents resolution of most of the system's technical and/or Detection Action Response Technique (DART) the O2N2 In the Ship allow emergency assistance and recovery of manned however, all efforts in the Submarine Shaft Seal 3) SURFACE SUPPORT SYSTEMS ENGINEERING - Testing program will cease. For HM&E Auxiliary systems, Analysis and Review efforts decrease. documentation issues (-1,230)

(1) S9

Survivability/Damage Control program, the reduction

Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

awareness training for ship force personnel and will eliminate single shot shock testing. The decrease will also eliminate engineering efforts for Habitability Hardening, the decrease in funding will eliminate shock reflects 4,824 fewer fleet generated Technical Feedback Reports answered, 92 fewer EOSS packages updated, 46 This reduction will delay damage control improvements for personnel protection and also for equipment. For ships not receiving semi-annual updates, and the printing, assembling and distribution of 211,000 EOSS decrease in the Underway Replenishment program causes ship designers to systematically integrate metallic lightweight structures into the Fleet. In addition, there will be less engineering efforts support for Flight Deck Scrubbers (-2,583). In the Engineering Operational Support System (EOSS), the decrease developing design, inspection and repair manuals for (-665). In the Hull Mechanical and Electrical (HM&E) represents 5 fewer ship validation tests. For Ship fewer Standard Operability Tests (SOT's) to be developed and for Standard Replenishment Alongside Method (STREAM), the decrease in funding will not permit incorporation of required equipment replacement of asbestos lining in hauling winches CV Stability, it will also impede progress in documents will not be accomplished (-3,002). modifications and will be unable to complete

000.591

Activity Group: <u>Engineering Support Services (continued)</u>
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

11. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

(-1,037). For HM&E Hull Systems, the decrease reflects handling, davits, conveyers and steering/hydraulic systems (-449). For HM&E Auxiliary Systems, efforts to the areas of specifications and standards and component testing of materials and equipment and will effect the the Sea Water Deterioration System (-687). For HM&E Propulsion Systems, a reduction in the Boiler Overhaul control drawings and specifications for HM&E equipment support of the present thirty year old systems, which must continue until the MCM/MHC Class is in service increase to surface ship boiler maintenance without a concurrent increase in mission readiness (-790). For conditioning will be reduced, as will efforts in High accomplishing sea water duplex strainer quick closing safety improvements for Anti-Submarine Rocket (ASROC) impact the evaluation of Fiber Optic requirements in Pressure air compressors, fire fighting analysis and total reduction of efforts in shipboard hull systems -2,201). For HM&E Fiber Optics, the decrease will significantly reduce the number of inspections and testing (-485). The decrease in funding will also mprovement Program (BOIP) will result in a major evaluate substitute refrigerants in existing air completely eliminate the correction of corrosion Material Engineering program, the reduction will result in the HM&E Standardization effort not HM&E Electrical Systems, the reduction will

2 : 292

\$000

Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

I. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

craft testing in the crait improceduled (-117) and and 50 fewer ship availabilities supported (-117) and included (-354). In the Support program there will be 51 fewer technical issues evaluations and problem resolutions although the number decrease reduces in-service engineering efforts for Inertial Navigation systems, AN/WSN-2/5 gyrocompass and of technical issues studied increases (-64), less technical support for emergent boiler problems (-172), Close-In Weapon System (CIWS) ammunition hoist (-195), Logistics Data System (-113), fewer Life Cycle Maintenance tasks will be performed (-57), and less logistic support (-36). In the CSS/ASC Boat Technical Conventional Navigation systems (-232). 4) AIRCRAFT CARRIER SUPPORT SYSTEMS - The decrease to ant there will be less support in the Naval Oil Analysis Program (NOAP) (-2). A decrease in the Surface Combatant Technical Support effort indicates pollution abatement tests, no torsional analyses, no valve development, or verification of drawings for evaluated and resolved (-456), less boat technical support (-58), no noise attenuation reviews, no eliminates configuration management for installed ess support will be provided to the FFG 7 Class Navigation System Technical Support program, the the Combat Systems Engineering Support program that there will be less support for technical

-1,303

Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Mechanical and Electrical (HM&E) Auxiliary systems, the testing (-242). In the Underway Replenishment program procedures (-9). In the Navigation Systems Technical Support program, the decrease reflects reduced support installation checkout/training for safety upgrades. It decrease impacts efforts in Detection Action Response Technique (DART) items and High Pressure Air support in the areas of operability and qualification Purpose Electronic Test Equipment (GPETE) (-155), and the decrease will impact engineering support of Ship Elevators technical documentation (-889). For Hull, reduced support for modernization analysis plans and will also postpone completion of overall review and update of CV conveyor supply support and decrease Compressors where initiatives are already in place. reduction reflects fewer efforts in support of the In addition during the post-industrial Aircraft Technical Support program, there will be Conventional Navigation Systems (CVN's) (-17). 5) ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS ENGINEERING - The decrease period, the decrease reduces fleet combat system reflects reduced engineering support for General Cracked Metal Component Program (-146). In the selective deficiency upgrades to Cargo/Weapons Additionally, for HM&E Propulsion Systems, the for the Land Based Test Facility in support of Installation Design package reviews and post equipment.

-515

0003

Engineering Support Services (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

reduced support for Test and Monitoring Systems

program, the decrease reflects reduced Lithium Battery surveillance on expendable air and surface deployable decoy buoys (-59). Support for the AN/SAR-8 Infrared Search and Target Designation (IRSTD) system is (TAMS) (-360). 6) ELECTRONIC WARFARE - For the Cover and Deception eliminated (-31).

7) TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS - The decrease reflects 3,216 Fleet generated Technical Manual Deficiency Evaluation Reports (TMDERS) going unanswered resulting in an causes a major reduction in vendor assistance visits to review product contracts. These visits advise vendors of Best Manufacturing Practices (BMP) so as to avoid past mistakes (-225). In addition, the Readiness Based Sparing program will be reduced from the total ship inability to update manuals and the necessity to call approach to reviewing only sponsor directed programs (-366). In the Q&RA Ship Activities portion, the Reliability Assurance (Q&RA) program, the decrease in specialists, military, civilian or contractor. 8) COMBAT SYSTEMS SUPPORT - For the quality and

-6,613

-6,212

Non-Destructive Testing at SUPSHIP Portsmouth and Mare Island Naval Shipyard (-33). In the Sovernment/Industry Data Exchange Program (GIDEP), the

decrease reflects fewer personnel being certified in

decrease reflects the completion of the modernization

Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

effort began in FY 1991 (-200) as well as a decrease in funds available to process technical reports (-197). The decrease to the lotal Ship Test Program (TSTP) will cause the termination of all Combat System Ship Qualification Trials Support (CSSQT) efforts. In addition, the decrease will eliminate future Combat System Operational Sequencing System (CSOSS) installations whose purpose is to ensure operational readiness (-3,075). The decrease to the Combat Systems Engineering Support program impacts the Master Ordnance Repair (MOR) program and the Class Combat System Engineering and Surface Warfare Plans (-213). In the Shipboard Electromagnetic Compatibility Improvement Program (SEMCIP), the decrease will impact shipboard testing of Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) and Compatibility control measures aboard various ship classes (-2,304).

9) RELIABILITY AND MATERIAL HANDLING-The decrease reflect the elimination of the Landing Force Operational Material (LFORM) Repalletization program and a reduction of 4 workyears for Handling, Storage, and Shipping Support. LFORM repalletization increases ship survivability by reducing the flammability of ammunition packaging on-board amphibious ships through the use of metal pallets.

10) NUCLEAR PROPULSION TECHNICAL LOGISTICS - The decrease reflects a small reduction in steam generator

695

ののいここと

-3,000

-3,000)

-3,000

Functional Program Transfers
A. Transfers-Out
1) Inter-Appropriation
a) Transfer reflects funding for Major Repair Projects and Minor Construction transferred to

ω ω

| Activity Group: <u>Engineering Support Services (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u> | Financial Summary (continued) | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | inspection work. 11) OPERATING REACTOR PLANT TECHNOLOGY - The decrease reflects deferral of thermal/hydraulic analysis work. 12) SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT - There is a reduction in the loading and maintenance of pooled equipment, which is necessary to support the extended deployment of special missions for the SSN. | 6. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | 7. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Wage Board | B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises1) Classified2) Wage Board | C. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) 1) Non-Fuel (Supplies, Materials and Equipment) 2) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) | D. Other Pricing Adjustments |
|---|-------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|---|--|--|------------------------------|
| dno. | ial | COU | | | | a. | _ | _ |
| ر د | anc | S | | ġ | 7. | | | |
| vity mant | | 8. | | | | | | |
| Activity Claimant: | Ι. | | | | | | | |

270,705

7,981

301)
275
26
836)
819
17
17
943)
11
5,901

\$000

-247

-398

Engineering Support Services (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Financial Summary (continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). ж .

\$000

29,031

29,031 3,000

3,443

MILCON.

6

Fire Control Systems Fleet Support program the increase provides required support to the MK 86 Gun Fire Control Repair Projects and Minor Construction. These funds Sonar Systems Support program will decrease the backlog maintain specified performance levels for an increasing Systems' engineering and logistics support efforts and the CG 26's upgrade to the digital MK 69 Mod 19 gun fire control systems to improve firing accuracy (587). a) The increase is to accommodate funding for Major have been transferred to the MILCON Appropriation. 2) SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING - For the Gun increase provides In-service engineering support for new systems; Remote Control Transporter MK 2, Diver Acoustic Navigation System, All Metals Locator, Operated Vehicle, that have been introduced into the fleet (864). The increase will provide additional engineering support of all Gun Weapon Systems, including the MK 86 Gun's Target Detection System to mprove firing accuracy (933). The increase in the Neutralization Charge MK 98 and Underwater Remotely Improvements are required to for the Explosive Ordnance Disposal program, the 1) INCREASE FOR MILCON TRANSFER -Other Program Growth in FY 1993 of software updates. Program Increases

2000

Activity Group: <u>Engineering Support Services (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

support, and logistics support (336). The increase provides additional support in the areas of maintenance Systems support, Combat Weapons Systems and Electronics Submarine Rescue Support Vehicles (ASRs) for in service engineering support (49), also required is increased planning yard support for the Deep Sea Rescue Vehicles (DSRV's) (202) and the NR-1 the only nuclear powered deep-diving research and oceanographic submarine (391). provides additional support for Electrically Suspended and update of training certifications material for SSN Mission Support for location and recovery assets (490) all simulators and configuration management plans will be updated to reflect Block I upgrade changes (1,059). 3) UNDERSEA WARFARE SYS ENG - The increase in the Gyro Navigation (ESGN) systems (74). The increase in reflects additional Ship Systems Hull, Mechanical and he increase also reflects additional Vertical Launch manned vehicles to 5 thousand feet (250) and Deep Sea Submergence Systems Technical Support Program are for Electrical (HM&E) support at .ne Planning Yard (760). number of LAMPS MK III weapon systems. Additionally, Encapsulated Harpoon Weapon Systems (EHWS) and SSN proficiency program (106). The increase in the Deep Navigational System and Technical Support program emergency assistance capabilities for recovery of The increases for Unmanned Vehicles and provide the Submarine and Technical Maintenance Program

4,45

II. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

systems, the increase reflects continued efforts in the for electrical chlorine generators, provide support for Gas Management System program will increase the number Increase in Detection Action Response Technique (DAKI) electrostatic generator and highlight test results for the atmosphere control manual (719). Additionally, for efforts will result in an increased installations from development of an improved propulsion shaft grounding device and the completion of the design/testing of an in-nlare chaft cloove cutting machine. The improved vibration reducer system for the SSN 637/688 oversee test production units. There will also be an I unit in FY 1992 to 4 units in FY 1993. Close technical support is vital to the proper introduction of this system. This effort will also provide for HM&E Electrical systems, it will aid in accommodating leakage into ship generators (60). 4) SURFACE SUPPORT SYSTEMS ENGINEERING - For the Ship For Hull, Mechanical and Electrical (HM&E) Propulsion class ship (1,020). For HM&E auxiliary systems, the requiring increased technical support to monitor and Fleet introduction of a biofueling soft water system The increase in Oxygen Generatin⊄ Plant modifications required to eliminate lubricating oil increase will also aid in the development of an of systems being installed on-board submarires, newly installed equipment for the smoke removal in-place shaft sleeve groove cutting machine. support.

6,8

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

efforts for Habitability, correction of existing damage control deficiencies and support of the completion of prioritized listings. This will allow for a selective deficiency upgrade of TYCOM identified mission critical increase will also provide for Combat Systems Hardening technical documentation, develop standardized elevator system operability tests (SOT's) and provide (EOSS) program the increase reflects 805 Fleet generated Technical Feedback Reports (TFBRs) answered, instructions and procedures for all elevator operating help support the inclining of 4 additional ships. The (1,570). For the Engineering Operational Steam System Replemishment, the increase will result in development 8 additional semi-annual updates, and the printing, assembling and distribution of 38,000 additional EOSS Survivability/Damage Control program the increase will priority 1 static and fatigue tests, for CV stability The increase (HM&E) hardening to support shock support efforts on support and provide Hull, Mechanical and Engineering Elevator Senior Navy Steering Board directed safety stations, in accordance with Type Commander (TYCOM) also provides for in-service engineering support documents being completed and 16 additional EOSS of standardized weapon/cargo elevator operating engineering development support for the Weapons packages being provided (493). For Underway the Electrical Power Management System.

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued)

Electrical (HM&E) Hull systems, the increase represents additional engineering efforts for Boat Davits. The efforts will include evaluating commonality among davit conducted for Fleet identified problems associated with Surface Combatant Technical Support effort results in 3 increase will also result in more support for equipment updates and there will be added levels of safety Fiber Optic efforts and specifications will be provided Package Conveyor (VPC) efforts will increase resulting The increase will also provide for additional Navy Oil Analysis (NOAP) efforts (1,801). The increase in the to correct corrosion control problems among corrosion prone equipment and systems for Materials Engineering features and related ship alterations. Also Vertical circuit breakers. There will also be an increase in additional installations of the safety modification documentation for Standard Replenishment Alongside Method (STREAM) (1,645). For Hull, Mechanical and investigation of system reliability, fire fighting addition of funds will for data collection and/or systems, and the sea water system deterioration. critical training to ensure safe operation. The kits scheduled for FY 1993. It will also permit configuration. In the HM&E Auxiliary program the designs and verifying/recording shipboard davit HM&E Electrical systems investigations will be additional emergent technical problems will be

C00000

3,928

Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (continued)

. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

problems from developing, ensure that class maintenance support for emergent boiler problems (54), more support will be provided to the FFG 7 Class Logistics Data will be supported through Life Cycle Maintenance (541). In the Navigation System Technical improve the overall performance of the ships and boats System (1:8), and there will be additional support for Life Cycle Management availabilities (2), more support will be provided for manual updates (12). In addition Maintenance tasks (28), and there will be more general logistic support (34). In the CSS/ASC Boat Technical plans (CMP) are updated, thus helping to lower repair sechnical problems evaluated and resolved (263), more the fleet (74), there will be 35 additional ship availabilities supported (73) and 12 additional craft Support program, the increase will provide additional times and increasing operational readiness, and help additional landing craft air cushions (LCAC) joining 5) AIRCRAFT CARRIER SUPPORT SYSTEMS - For Underway Support program an increase reflects 35 additional these program increases will: help prevent safety evaluated and resolved (68), additional technical there will be additional support for Life Cycle boat technical support will be provided to the support for the AN/WSN-2/5 gyrocompasses and Conventional Navigation Systems (50). that are supported.

600000

Replenishment, the increase will provide for the

Financial Summary (continued)

1. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

conveyor improvements (1030). For Hull, Mechanical and Commander (TYCOM) prioritized listings and continue to flushing systems and surface ship survivability (396). For HM&E Electrical systems, funding will accommodate refrigeration and air conditioning plants. This also allows for technical support for emergent and existing loads within the fleet and the review and coordination elevator operating instructions and procedures for all of the electric plant protective system for Electrical solutions to the compatibility and interface problems elevator operating stations, in accordance with Type The increase also allows for additional standardized The increase within electric loads, separation of vital/non-vital identified mission critical technical documentation. Elevator Senior Navy Steering Board directed safety features and related ship alterations. The increas modification projects, including development of new the investigation and implementation of recommended continued development of standardized weapon/cargo also advances development/evaluation of additional problems on auxiliary systems feed pumps, fire and Electrical (HM&E) Auxilary systems, the increase reflects continued FY 1992 efforts and hardware engineering development support for the Weapons compressors, new gear sets, and motors for all perform selective deficiency upgrade of TYCOM elevator system operability tests (SOT's) and

L09000

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

salinity sensors and monitoring equipment. Governors on In the Navy Tactical Data System program, the increase repair of Fleet deployed PP-8087 power units and piece the development of in-place repair procedures (2,348). Electronic Test Equipment (GPETE) (84), and additional Power Interface Capability (EPIC). Funding will also supported in providing assistance to the fleet and in support for Test and Monitoring Systems (TAMS) (115). (ISEA) support (173). The increase in the Electronic instrumentation such as level temperature, pressure, support for logistics studies and problem resolution will provide additional In-Service Engineering Agent program the increase will provide additional support accommodate initiation of preventive and corrective The Aircraft Carrier Technical Support program will est and Repair program will complete the test and (35). In the Navigation Systems Technical Support procedures (64), provide additional technical and reflects engineering support for General Purpose Conventional Navigation Systems (2). 6) ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS ENGINEERING - The increase engineering support (53), and provide additional Ship Service Turbine Generators will be further for the Land Based Test Facility in support of conduct more modernization analysis plans and measures before severe, extensive damage, or catastrophic failures occur in machinery

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

electronic warfare system. This will enable an enhanced will result in decreased equipment operational downtime Battle Group Optimization program. Additional funding for the Command Reliability effort will provide the program is also increased. 8) TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS - The increased funding will provide answers to an additional 1,828 Fleet generated increase for the AN/SLQ-17 system engineering support. echnical and management support is increased for the represents vendor assistance visits to review product evel of logistics for the purpose of increasing the Anti-Ship Missile Decoy (ASMD) effort in response to mistakes. The increase also reflects initiating the 7) ELECTRONIC WARFARE - For the Cover and Deception Reliability Assurance (Q&RA) program, the increase Manufacturing Practices (BMP) so as to avoid past for various shipboard equipment and systems that presently do not have current manuals.

9) COMBAT SYSTEMS SUPPORT - For the Quality and arger inventories. The classified Outlaw Bandit management support. An increase in engineering technical support is provided for the AN/SLQ-32 number of system improvements. There is also an contracts. These visits advise vendors of Best program, there is an increase in technical and Technical Manual Evaluation Reports (TMDERS).

3,014

II. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

178

222

254

\$000

Engineering Support Services (continued)

Activity Group:

Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

-4,426

-103)

One-Time FY 1993 Costs

10. Program Decreases

analysis work.

-4,323

-317

2000

-1,010

Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

II. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

engineering support(-12). For Standard Replenishment Alongside Method (STREAM) the decrease reduces technical support during Sliding Padeye installation at reduced efforts in Detection Action Response Technique For HM&E Fiber Optics, the decrease reduces efforts in engineering efforts in the Boiler Overhaul Improvement 4) AIRCRAFT CARRIER SUPPORT SYSTEMS - The decrease to Elevator support (-59). The Hull, Mechanical and Electrical (HM&E) Auxiliary systems decrease reflects (DART) O2N2 and High Pressure Air Compressors. Also, support will be reduced as a result of implementation Control Switchboard program, the decrease will reduce Program (BOIP) and CV/CVN Diesel Improvement (-163). Propulsion Systems, the reduction reflects decreased of document changes caused by machinery and ship alterations for O2N2 fleet support (-750). For HM&E Systems, there is a reduction in the Boiler Overhaul represents a continued decrease in pre-installation the DART program corrective actions and maintenance receiving stations on Carriers and reduces Aircraft shipboard Fiber Optics and component specifications 5) ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS ENGINEERING - In the Weapons Improvement Program (BOIP) and Electrical Systems the Combat System Engineering Support program programs (-314).

-618

computer support for the Data Multiplex System (DMS).

300,5

| (continued) |
|-------------|
| Summary |
| Financial |
| 11. |

Engineering Support Services (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command

Activity Group:

Claimant:

3. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

6) COMBAT SYSTEMS SUPPORT - In the quality and Reliability Assurance program, the decrease reflect. less support for the Navy Contractor Evaluation System(-70). The decrease to the Government Industry Data Exchange Program (GIDEP) will reduce the number of technical reports and microfilm reels which can be processed (-43). For the Combat Systems Engineering Support program, the Master Ordnance Repair (MOR) effort will be reduced (-85).
7) SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT - There are decreased In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) efforts resulting in the delay of the installation of the Configuration control model. The SSN-21.

11. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

III. Performance Criteria.

. SURFACE WARFARE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING

supported are: HARPOON, Close-In Weapon Systems (CIWS), major gun weapons and gun fire control systems, and sonars and data processors on the LAMPS MK III system. This program also supports engineering and technical documentation for explosive ordnance disposal, and for mine combat systems. The number of ships or systems supported is provided as an indicator of the size of the population supported by this funding. However, funding requirements for engineering efforts are not only related to the size of the population, but will management, technical documentation, reliability and maintainability analyses, and safety improvements which vary depending on such factors as the number of variants in a particular system, the age of the system and will improve fleet performance and maintenance of the Navy's surface weapons systems. Specific systems This program provides for engineering efforts which include logistics, technical support, configuration the system's performance.

| FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | \$ UNITS \$ UNITS | 13,460 20,935 24,285 |
|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| FY 1990 | \$ UNITS | 25,401 |
| | | Total Funding |

SUPPORT FOR MAJOR SYSTEMS: Number of systems In-service

| 235 579 639 420/130 | 96 |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| 230 564 639 420/130 | 87 |
| 222 530 719 442/146 | 80 |
| 217 494 734 442/146 | 70 |
| HARPOON/# of Ships CIWS Gun Weapons Systems Major Gun/Fire Control | Control Systems LAMPS MK III * |

^{*} The performance criteria has been changed to reflect the number of systems supported.

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | F | FY 1990 | FΥ | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | 1992 | Ε¥ | FY 1993 |
|---|----------------|----------|----------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | ~ | \$ UNITS | ~ | \$ UNITS | | \$ UNITS | ~ | \$ UNITS |
| EFFORTS PERFORMED /WYS | | | | | | | | |
| ENGINEERING & RELATED EFFORTS | 20,910 | 321 | 9,024 | | 132 16,845 | 225 | 225 19,207 | 253 |
| OTHER ENGINEERING SUPPORT: | | | | | | | | |
| Explosive Ordnance Disposal (WYs) | 3,924 | 42 | 4,436 | 46 | 4,090 | 41 | 5,078 | 49 |
| Mine Systems Mine Engineering (Units are no. of technical projects) | 567 project | 10 s) | * | | * | | * | |

* Mine Engineering has been transferred to Mine Maintenance Support to consolidate Mine Warfare support functions beginning in FY 1991.

3. UNDERSEA WARFARE SYSTEMS

This program provides for engineering efforts such as logistics, technical support and documentation, life-Certification, and advanced navigation systems. Specific systems supported are: the HARPOON, sonars including AN/BQR-15 and 19, and submarine propulsion systems. This program also supports state-of-the-art engineering investigations, the Acoustic Measurement Facility Improvement Program (AMFIP), and damage cycle maintenance planning, tests and trials, technical documentation, reliability and maintainability analyses, and safety improvements which will improve fleet performance and maintenance of the Navy's undersea warfare systems and submersibles, efforts for corrosion control, MK 48 Torpedo Target

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

in-service engineering agent functions related to inertial navigation systems and advanced gyrocompasses. Funding will vary depending on such factors as the number of variants in a particular system, the age of the Navigational System Technical Support Program determines the operational reliability/performance as well as Support for submarines and submersibles addresses Deep Sea Submergence Rescue Vehicles (DSRVs), ASR-21 submarine rescue support ships, Deep Sea Vehicles, NR-1 and other vehicles. Submarine Technical Support addresses safety audits, atmosphere control and battery maintenance. Finally, the system and the system's performance. control avoidance.

| | | Ł | _ | FY 1990 | | ΕY | 1991 | | 7 | 199 | ~ | - | FY 1993 | m ' | |
|--|----------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------------------|----|--|----------|------------------------|--|---|---------------------|--|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| | | ~ | - | UNITS | • | - | \$ UNITS | : 5 | ~ | \$ UNITS | 15 | . | UNITS | | |
| Total Funding | u | 20,526 | 9 | 20,526 21,468 | 2] | 21,468 | | 91 14 18 18 | 18,545 | 10 H | # # # # | 22,819 | 6 | M W M U | |
| HARPOON/# ships supported | _ | 330 | 0 |) 68 | J | 239 | _ |) 96 | 596 | <u> </u> | 101 | 701 | <u> </u> | 108 | |
| DSSP DSRV s (Manyear, MY) ASR-21 Class (MY) DSV's (MY) NR-1 (MY) | - | 6,402 2,932 1,590 536 196 | 222999 | 20 17 6 3 | | 6,223 2,923 470 498 568 1,764 | | 28 8 5 8 8 | 5,482 3,170 870 628 814 0 | ~ | 31 15 7 10 | 7,026 3,217 1,036 1,205 1,205 738 | 0 7 6 6 6 8 5 5 8 | 30 14 8 | |
| | | • |) | • | | | | | | | | | | | |

Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | | Ε | FY 1990 | | Ξ | FY 1991 | | Ε | FY 1992 | | FY 1993 | 93 |
|---|---|----------|---------|-----|-------------|---------|----|-------------|---------|----|---------------|-------|
| | | ~ | UNITS | | ~ | UNITS | | · | UNITS | | 5 | UNITS |
| Nav. Sys. Tech. Spt. | _ | 994 | _ | _ | 709 | _ | _ | 396 | _ | _ | 493) | |
| Hull Mech. & Elec. Eng. Hull Systems | - | 1,967 | _ | _ | 2,385 | _ | _ | 2,514 | _ | _ | 1,925) | |
| Propulsion Systems | _ | 2,002 | _ | _ | 1,478 | _ | _ | 627 | _ | _ | 1,650) | |
| Auxiliary Systems | _ | 924 | ~ | _ | 1,123 | _ | _ | 985 | _ | _ | 1,728) | |
| Electrical Systems | ~ | 240 | ~ | ~ | 396 | _ | _ | 328 | _ | ~ | 396 | |
| Fiber Optics | _ | 0 | _ | _ | 0 | _ | _ | 166 | _ | _ | 166 | |
| | _ | 6,358 | _ | _ | 7,382 2,463 | _ | _ | 7,451 2,019 | _ | ~ | 8,734) 2,426 | |
| Pre Trial Cert. Audits and Functional Audits Ship Systems Hull, | | 3,569 | 16 | ις. | 4,422 | - | 16 | 3,446 | | 16 | 4,212 | 16 |
| Mech. and Electric. # SSNs Supported | | | 95 | 2 | | w | 87 | | | + | | + |

Engineering Support Services (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | · | FY 1990 | ! | E E | FY 1991 | | Ψ . | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | 1993 UNITS |
|--|------|-----------|------|--------------|---------|-----|-------|---------|---------|---------------|
| Vertical Launch Systems *** # of missile tubes | • | | | • | | | 1,591 | 240 | 1,620 | 276 |
| Electronic & Navigational | - | 162 | | 212 | | | 172 | | 207 | |
| <pre>tngineering Temporary Alt. Guidance Manual Maintained Logistics Support/Manyears</pre> | 2 | 233 | 7 | 285 | | 7 7 | 223 | 1 2 | 569 | 7 7 |
| Submarine Noise Reduction ** Eng Inv and Study Tasks (| F, 3 | (1,309) | 13 (| 13 (1,533) | | 15 | 0 | _ | 0 | |

This program continues in the Naval Sea Systems Command Budget Activity 2 in FY 1992. This program was formerly executed in Surface Warfare Systems Maintenance Support and the Submarine Warfare System Rework program. Classified *** *

Engineering Support Services (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

SURFACE SUPPORT SYSTEMS ENGINEERING

reliability and maintainability of the cargo/weapon elevator, vertical package conveyor and Standard Replenishment Along Side Method (STREAM) operation. Hull, Mechanical & Electrical Engineering consists of the imits and thereby threaten survivability; provides management guidance and technical support to apply lessons learned from shock tests; prepares reports from investigations conducted by the survivability review group term survivability engineering improvements for active Navy ships; performs inclining experiments to determine resistance of ships to damage by enemy weapons; and provides for increased ship survivability by improvements (SRG) which identifies changes in ship design practices, specifications and standards which will enhance the this program funds seven main efforts. The first effort is Testing Analysis and Reviews which provides near displacement and center of gravity data necessary to ensure that ships do not exceed naval architectural Occumentation provides detailed ship specific procedures for operating propulsion plants under routine to shipboard damage control systems and equipments through equipment test and evaluations. Technical steaming and under specific casualty modes. Underway Replenishment provides resources to improve the following functional areas:

- Materials Engineering which reduces life cycle costs and improves material reliability;
- Hull support which provides life cycle engineering support to critical shipboard hull systems;
- Auxiliary funding provides for corrective actions to increase and maintain the effectiveness of auxiliary systems installed in the fleet;
- Propulsion support provides for engineering and technical support of propulsion systems, chiefly focusing on boiler overhauls;
- Electrical support funds corrective actions to increase and maintain the effectiveness of electrical Fiber Optics provides for the accelerated introduction of Fiber Optic Technology into Navy ships.
- HM&E Standards provides design and development effort to reduce the number of configurations of specific HM&E equipment

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

modernization program, logistics support for ship classes, and technical and engineering support that includes headquarters expertise applied to emergent problems. This program also supports the phased maintenance program for Coast Guard medium endurance cutters (WMEC), as well as the Fleet Rehabilitation and Modernization (FRAM) program for Coast Guard high endurance cutters (WHEC). management and technical expertise are provided to ensure that documentation, support, spare parts and personnel are available to support the fleet. Efforts can be grouped by support of alterations in the fleet technical oversight in the diagnosis, planning and execution of modernization and repair work. In addition, The Surface Combat Technical Support effort maintains the readiness of all surface ships by providing

craft, boats, landing craft, service craft, floating drydocks, and berthing barges as well as modernization, The CSS/ASC Boat Technical support effort consists of the Craft Improvement Program (CIP) for all combatant technical and engineering support.

The Navigational System Technical Support program maintains the material readiness of surface ship navigational systems. Specifically, the functions financed by this program are logistics management and determination of operational reliability/performance and in-service engineering agent functions related to inertial navigation systems and advanced gyrocompasses and conventional navigation systems.

| | FΥ | FY 1990 | Ξ. | FY 1991 | - | FY 1992 | | FT 1995 | |
|------------------------------------|-----------|--|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------|--|-----------------------------|----------|--|
| | - | \$ UNITS | ~ | \$ UNITS | • | \$ UNITS | • | \$ UNITS | |
| Total Funding | 28,207 | 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | 32,405 | 14 14 14 14 14 14 | 20,912 | 2 = * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * | 28,207 32,405 20,912 28,112 | | |
| TESTING ANALYSIS & REVIEWS | (5,778) | | (7,651) | _ | (5,444) | | (7,161) | • | |
| Ship Stability Validation Tests | | 14 | | 15 | | 10 | | 14 | |

0.....620

Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| FY 1992 FY 1993 | STINU \$ STINU \$ | (2,020) (2,594) | 2,020 2,594 | 3,176 3,981 65 81 29 37 | 156 0 | 0 |
|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--|--|---|--------------------------|
| FY 1991 | UNITS | 2) | 2 | 8,000 157 75 | 367 | 0 |
| FΥ | ~ | (4,782 | 4,782 | | _ | |
| FY 1990 | \$ UNITS | _ | | 7,500 125 190 | 300 | |
| FΥ | ~ | 4,230 | 3,731 | | | 61 |
| | | FECHNICAL DOCUMENTATION (| Steam Propulsion Program * 1) Engineering Operational | Steam System (EUSS) Technical Feedbacks Answered EOSS Packages Updated Semi-Annual Updates Completed | rint/Laminate/Distribute Documents (000s) Technical Sunbort | 3) Fleet Water Chemistry |

^{*} Steam Propulsion program performance criteria has been changed to more accurately display the associated efforts.

| | . 96 . 84 | 20 |
|------------------------|---|---|
| (6,835) | | |
| | .96 .84 | 21 |
| (4,990) | | |
| | .96 .84 | 13 |
| (4,647) | | |
| - | .83 | 13 |
| 4,075) | | |
| _ | | nt per year |
| UNDERWAY REPLENISHMENT | Cargo Weapons Elevator UNREP Ao (Goal=95) Combatants Auxiliary | Standard Replenishment Along Side Method CASREP reductions pe |

Activity Group: <u>Engineering Support Services (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | LL | FY 1991 | _ | FY 1992 | | FΥ | 1993 |
|---|--------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|---------|---|----------|-------|
| | \$ UNITS | ~ | UNITS | • | UNITS | | ~ | UNITS |
| HULL, MECH, & ELEC ENG | 8,077) | 6) | 9,564) | , 4 | 4,476) | _ | 6,095) | _ |
| Materials Corrosion Eng | 1,011 | 1,2 | 1,212 | | 252 | | 889 | |
| Hull Engineering Efforts | 089 | 7 | 496 | | 70 | | 359 | |
| Auxiliary Engineering Effort | 1,513 | 1,3 | 1,334 | | 503 | | 196 | |
| Propulsion Boiler Overhaul Improve Prog Engineering Efforts | 1,030 188 | 7. | 1,963 253 | 1, | 1,277 | | 1,267 | |
| Electrical Current Limiting Devices Elect Power Interface | 119 380 | , , | 0 713 | | 00 | | 132 | |
| Capability/Circuit Breakers Engineering Efforts | 1,101 | <u></u> | 1,915 | | 551 | | 320 | |
| Fiber Optics | 425 | | 200 | | 39 | | 332 | |
| HM&E Standards | 689 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |
| Productivity Investment Fund (PIF) | 941 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | |

Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 \$ UNITS | FY 1993 \$ UNITS |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Navy Oil Analysis Program * | ; | 790 | 825 | 859 |
| Fleet Water Chem Program ** | : | 388 | 902 | 717 |

^{*} Realigned from Maintenance and Material Management

^{**} Realigned from Steam Propulsion Plant Improvement

Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | | F | FY 1990 | FΥ | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | i. . | FY 1993 | 93 |
|------|---|----------|----------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|-------------|---------|----------|
| | | ~ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | • | 5 | UNITS |
| SURF | SURFACE COMBAT TECH SPT | (1,849) | | (1,604) | ~ | (1,307) | | (1,658) | 58) | |
| - | <pre>Tech. & Eng. Spt. Technical Eval/Prob Resol # of Tech Issues</pre> | | ⋖ | | 4 | | 7 | | | 10 |
| | Tech Boiler Spt/Other Manyrs of effort | | 6 | | 2 | | e. | | | 9 |
| | <pre>FFG 7 Class Log. Data Sys Manyrs of effort</pre> | ند | 10 | | 14 | | 12 | | | 15 |
| | Life Cycle Management Spt # of Ongoing Avail. # of Outyear Avail. | | 00 | | 10 28 | | 15 | | | 15 18 |
| 5. | Modernization Manual Updates # of Manuals Life Cycle Maint/FMP | | m | | m | | , | _ | | ~ |
| | Impact # of LCM tasks | | ĸ | | 2 | | ~ | • | | 2 |
| ب | Logistics Manyears of effort | | 2 | | | | _ | | | - |

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | | FY 1990 | 060 | FΥ | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | FΥ | FY 1993 |
|--|----------|----------|-------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|---------|
| | ~ | \$ UNITS | UNITS | ~ | \$ UNITS | . | \$ UNITS | ~ | UNITS |
| CSS/ASC/BOAT TECH SPT | (3,7 | 3,721) | | (3,785) | | (2,521) | | (3,566) | (9 |
| Tech. and Eng. Spt: Tech Evals & Prob Resol A of Tech Issues | | | 102.0 | | 106.0 | | 55.0 | | 90.0 |
| 2. Tech. and Eng. Spt: Roat Tach Spt | | | 1.2 | | 1.2 | | ₹. | | 1.5 |
| 3. Tech. and Eng. Spt: Craft Improvmt Prog | | | | | • | | Ġ | | c |
| (CIP) Manyears 4. Modernization: | | | 7.2 | | 9.0 | | 0.0 | | o. o |
| Life Cycle Maint. # of Craft Spt | | | 25.0 | | 36.0 | | 50.0 | | 62.0 |
| 5. Modernization: Life Cycle Maint. # of Ship Avails Sptd | | | 101.0 | | 105.0 | | 55.0 | | 0.06 |
| NAVIGATIONAL SYS TECH SPT | ` _ | 477) | • | 372) | ~ | 154 | <u> </u> |) | 203) |

). AIRCRAFT CARRIEP SUPPORT SYSTEMS

carrier support systems. There are five main efforts to this program: 1) Combat System Engineering Support addresses pre-installation engineering and planning support for all elements of the CV/CVN class ships combat systems. This includes Warfighting Improvement Program Engineering (WIPE), Combat System In-Service Engineering Agent (CSISEA) support and total ship combat system engineering. 2) Underway Replenishment This program provides planning, system level design, and engineering support for all elements of aircraft standardization and development of simplification alterations, reprovisioning actions, and technical improves reliability and maintainability of aircraft elevators and cargo weapons elevators through

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

documentation revisions. 3) Hull, Mechanical & Electrical Engineering (HM&E) - engineering support for ship systems. This effort consists of 5 discrete functional areas: (a) Auxiliary funding provides for corrective actions to increase and maintain the effectiveness of Auxiliary systems installed in the fleet. active Navy ships against the threat of fire, chemical warfare, flooding, electromagnetic pulse, insensitive munitions, the hazards of toxic chemicals and unsafe equipment and procedures. This effort has been emergent problems. 5) The Navigational System Technical Support program maintains the material readiness of carrier navigational systems and the carrier navigational facility. Specifically, the functions financed by this program are logistics management and determination of operational reliability/performance and inmaintains the readiness of all aircraft carriers by providing technical oversight in the diagnosis, planning provided to ensure that documentation, support, spare parts and personnel are available to support the fleet. Efforts can be grouped by support of alterations in the fleet modernization program, logistics support for carriers, and technical and engineering support that includes headquarters expertise applied to modification kits and solutions to electrical CASREP reports. (e) The Fiber Optics effort provides for the accelerated introduction of fiber optics technology into the Navy's ships and to develop and validate Navy standards and specifications for fiber optics components. 4) The Carrier Technical Support program expensive, higher quality overhauls. (c) Damage Control provides near term survivability improvements for related systems. The main effort is the Boiler Overhaul Improvement Program (BOIP) in which planning and service engineering agent functions related to inertial navigation systems and advanced gyrocompasses and Funding supports work on only high visibility, critical systems that have a direct effect on the mission quality assurance are improved by better definition and execution of repairs resulting in shorter, less capability of our ships. (b) Propulsion provides for engineering and technical support of propulsion realigned to Surface H&ME beginning in FY 1989. (d) The Electrical line funds corrective actions to and execution of modernization and repair work. In addition, management and technical expertise are increase and maintain the effectiveness of electrical systems installed in the Fleet by providing conventional navigation systems.

Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | | F | FY 1990 | F | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | | FY 1993 | 1993 |
|---|---|--------|---------|------------|----------|-----------|------------|--------|-------------|-----------------------|
| | | \$ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | . | UNITS | | 1 1 3 | UNITS |
| Total Funding | | 9,865 | 9,865 | 10,662 | | Ħ | 11,193 | 14 | 14,526 | M M M M M |
| COMBAT SYSTEMS ENG No. of workyears | _ | 778 |) 10 (| (618 | 8 | (418 | _ | ي ر | 414 | 2 |
| UNDERWAY REPLENISHMENT | _ | 4,429) | _ | (5,151 | _ | (4,589 | 6) | 2 | 5,748) | |
| Cargo Weapons Elevators CV Ao (Goal= .90) * | | | 11. | | 03. | | . 8 | ₹ | | .87 |
| HULL, MECH & ELEC ENG | _ | 3,608) | ~ | (4,488) | <u> </u> | (5,711) | 1) | () | 7,720 | _ |
| Auxiliary DART O2N2 Systems Engineering effort | | 1,869 | | 1,550 | | 1,595 | សី ស | , mare | 904 | |
| Propulsion Engineering effort Boiler Overhaul Improv. Program (BOIP) | | 729 | | 585 596 | | 520 | 520 | | 440 | |

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | 066 | FΥ | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | 365 | FY | 1 393 | |
|--|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|-------|-------|--|
| | n | UNITS | . | UNITS | | n s | UNITS | • | Ś | |
| Electrical Engin Effort | 100 | | 225 | S. | | 631 | | 1,749 | σ. | |
| Electrical Power Improv. Capability (EPIC) | 0 | | | 0 | | 559 | | 1,835 | ıo | |
| Fiber Optics Engineering Des/Dev | 423 | | 537 | 1 | | 591 | | 587 | 4 | |
| CARRIER TECH SUPPORT # of Complex Overhauls and Selected Restricted Avail. | 867) | œ | 33 | 370) | 8 | 456) | ∞ | (622 | 2) 8 | |
| 1. Modernization | 295 | | 7 | 165 | | 161 | | 230 | 0 | |
| 2. Tech & Eng. Support | 451 | | = | 130 | | 189 | | 248 | ဆ | |
| 3. Logistics | 121 | | | 75 | | 106 | | 144 | 4 | |
| NAV. SYS. TECH. SPT. (| (183) | | | 35) | _ | 19) | | | 22) | |
| * The Operational Availability (Ao) has been recalculated to include safety features | (Ao) ha | s been r | ecalcu | lated to | o inclu | ide safi | ety feat | ures. | | |

CCC 628

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

. ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS ENGINEERING

This program provides maintenance engineering support services for Electronic Test and Repair Equipment, Navy Tactical Data Systems, Weapons Control Switchboards, Ship Interior Communications Equipment, Test and Monitoring Systems and General Purpose Electronic Test Equipment (GPETE). Efforts include in-service engineering (ISE) to develop, review and verify field changes, maintain equipment data, plan equipment modifications, manage equipment and ship systems configuration changes, develop and review technical manuals, documentation and courses, and distribute and verify computer programs.

| | FY 1990 | 0661 | FΥ | 1991 | Ε | FY 1992 | F | FY 1993 | |
|---|----------|----------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|------------|------------------------|-------|--|--|
| | S | UNITS | . | \$ UNITS | . ~ | UNITS | • | UNITS | |
| Total Funding | 4,485 | 10 10 17 14 14 14 | 3,998 | 3,998 5,541 | 5,541 | | 5,623 | ************************************** | |
| Electronic, Test & Repair Equip. (WYs) | | 15 | | 10 | | 11 | | 11 | |
| Navy Tactical Data Systems (WYs) Weapon Control Switchboards | * | 10 | | တ ဆ | | 13 8 | | 15 8 | |
| Shipboard Interior Communications Equipment | * | 9 | | 7 | | 1 | | 7 | |
| Test and Monitoring Systems (WYs) | | 10.9 | | 13.0 | | 8.9 | | 10.1 | |
| GPETE Tech Ops GPETE Requirements GPETE Depots GPETE Acqstn/Stndzn | | 2,604 1,752 382 98 | | 3,273 1,564 355 76 | | 857 432 95 22 | | 1,043 526 114 26 | |
| HI Jech Greve | | 1 | | | | | | | |

(Performance Criteria for GPETE has been changed to more accurately display the program.)

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

* The performance criteria has been changed to reflect the types of switchboards and communications equipment that receive engineering support.

F. ELECTRONIC WARFARE

Provides a wide spectrum of electronic warfare support to the fleet including: 1) Off-board Cover and Deception (OCD), which consists of specialized expendable air and/or surface deployable buoys for ocean surveillance and command, control and communications (C3); and 2) Electronic Warfare consisting of radar and antiship missile warning and defense systems. Units represent the number of equipments in the fleet.

| | FY 1990 | 90 | FY | FY 1991 | F | FY 1992 | FY | FY 1993 |
|---|---------|----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|
| | Nn s | STIND | ~ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS |
| Total Funding | 2,266 | 11 14 14 14 10 17 | 2,266 | | 2,612 | | 4,569 | : : : : : : : |
| Electronic Warfare (Fleet Units) | s) | | | | | | , | |
| Offboard Deception Devices (ODDs) | 180 | 20 | 153 | 80 | 107 | 130 | 133 | 130 |
| Radar and Anti-Ship Missile (ASM) Warning and Defense | 2,604 | | 2,113 | | 2,505 | | 4,436 | |
| Systems AN/SLQ-32 AN/SLQ-17 AN/WLR-1 Other EW Equipment Decoys | | 325 13 20 582 300 | | 328 10 22 28 582 310 | | 338 8 28 582 325 | | 349 8 28 582 330 |

0.00

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

G. TECHNICAL PUBLICATIONS

drawings and updates technical manuals. Tech Manuals are used by the Fleet and shore activities to operate, troubleshoot and repair shipboard equipment. This included the administration and control of over 250,000 technical manuals, reprinting/restocking of 5 to 6 thousand technical manuals each year; response to Fleet generated Technical Manual Deficiency Evaluation Reports (TMDERs); revision, printing, and distribution of deficient Detection, Action, Response Technique (DART) Technical Manuals. The Engineering Drawing program deficient betection, for numbering, stowage, and indexing of 50 million drawings at three drawing repositories. The Technical Publications program administers, produces and reproduces technical manuals and engineering

| | FY 1990 | 1990 | FY | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | 266 | Ε¥ | FY 1993 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------|----------|--|----------|-----------------------|----------|---|
| | \$ UNITS | UNITS | ~ | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | UNITS | ∽ | \$ UNITS |
| Total Funding | 21,723 18,124 13,699 | W H W H H H | 18,124 | 2. 24 24 34 44 44 14 | 13,699 | # # # # # | 17,014 | # 1 0 0 |
| Print/Reprint/Dist # Actions Completed | 8,720 | 6,976 | 8,200 | 6,560 | 8,446 | 6,560 | 8,699 | 6,560 |
| New Book Coordination # Books Coordinated | 1,025 | 1,000 | 1,050 | 1,000 | 1,081 | 1,000 | 1,113 | 1,000 |
| Major Updates (DART) # Completed/In Process | 1,595 | 11/111 | 0 | 0/111 | 0 | 0/111 | 0 | 0/111 |
| TMDERS # TMDERS answered | 7,533 | 5,753 | 6,499 | 4,333 | 1,726 | 1,117 | 4,683 | 2,945 |
| IM Reposit/Customer Service # M/Y Service Provided | 950 | 17 | 975 | 11 | 1,004 | 11 | 1,034 | 17 |

Engineering Support Services (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group:

Claimant:

Performance Criteria (continued). 111.

| FY 1993 | 1,485 6 |
|---------|--|
| FY 1992 | 1,442 6 |
| FY 1991 | 1,400 5 |
| FY 1990 | 1,900 7 |
| | Eng Draw Operations NEDALS # M/Y Provided # Repositories |

The performance criteria for Engineering Drawings is being changed to reflect a more accurate picture of how funds provided are being used. Support for the Naval Engineering Drawing Support Activity (NEDSA) repositories is still shown however at a much lower rate. Former items cited as Automated Ship Drawing Index and Automated Planning Yard Files are elements of the NAVSEA Engineering Drawing Asset Locator (NEDALs) support shown above. Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued)

Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

. COMBAT SYSTEMS SUPPORT

situations; management of the Program Planning Combat System Management Information System, which is used to combat systems prior to an overhaul; and the development and assessment of combat system and structural tests for ships undergoing a major industrial availability. This program also supports the Joint Logistics Command Government/Industry Data Exchange Program, which provides technical data banks on the Department of Defense's parts and components, and the National Authority for Explosives to the NATO Ammunition Groups as performance criteria and provides assistance in the quality assurance discipline to implement Defense, Navy This program also establishes policies and problems either during or after industrial availabilities or during deployment for operationally degrading Specific efforts funded include: the Shipboard Electromagnetic Compatibility Improvement Program (SEMCIP), which corrects electromagnetic interference track and coordinate information on all modernizations and conversions; engineering for integration of and NAVSEA guidance to assure product quality and reliability among ships and weapon systems during well as the development of engineering support for explosives. design, development, acquisition, operation and maintenance. Program provides engineering support for combat systems.

| FY 1992 FY 1993 \$ UNITS \$ UNITS 14,508 15,834 9,623 10,012 | FY 1990 FY 1991 | UNITS \$ UNITS | 12,567 11,344 |
|--|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| FY 1993 UNITS 834 | FY 1992 | UNITS \$ UNITS | 9,623 |
| | FY 1993 | UNITS | 0,012 |

ᇳ

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|----------|------------|----------|----------|
| | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS |
| Shipboard Testing # of source problems tested | 332 | 304 | 221 | 211 |
| Industrial Electromagnetic Compatibility # of Events | 150 | 144 | 150 | 170 |
| Submarine Support # of Events | 23 | 59 | 32 | 47 |
| COMBAT SYSTEMS ENGINEERING FOR CONVERSIONS/MODERNI- | 953 | 699 | 206 | 425 |
| ZATIONS (WYs) | 13 | o n | 9 | ស |
| TOTAL SHIP TESTING | 3,642 | 4,071 | 1,355 | 1,941 |
| Ships Supported * SESEF Test Facilities (sites) Combat System Operational | 196 | 196 | 196 | 196 |
| Sequencing System Cumulative installations ** | 32 | 20 | 90 | 20 |

SESEF = Shipboard Electronic Systems Evaluation Facility

* Ships Supported in lieu of Industrial availabilities. ** Cumulative installations of Combat System Operational Sequencing System manuals in lieu of ship classes.

Activity Group: <u>Engineering Support Services (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS | \$ UNITS |
| STANDARDS AND TEST PROCEDURES FOR EXPLOSIVES & AMMUNITION (WYS) | 102 | 88 | 338 | 431 4.3 |
| JOINT LOGISTICS COMMAND GOVERNMENT/ INDUSTRY DATA EXCHANGE PRGRM | 1,304 | 1,101 | 813 | 777 |
| SHIP ACTIVITIES QUALITY IMPROVEMENT | 434 | 359 | 355 | 390 |
| READINESS IMPROVEMENT | 1,270 | 1,158 | 993 | 1,250 |
| COMMAND RELIABILITY/ MAINTAINABILITY/ QUALITY | 712 | 443 | 100 | 242 |
| CONTRACTOR EVAL SYSTEM | 425 | 359 | 425 | 366 |

Engineering Support Services (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group:

Claimant:

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

J. RELIABILITY AND MATERIAL HANDLING

Program provides engineering and technical support to ensure safe handling, shipping and storage of explosive ordnance (including LHA Pallet Transporters) and metal repalletization effort for Landing Force Operations Material (LFORM). The workyears displayed in the performance criteria do not reflect the total program resources.

| FY 1993 | \$ UNITS | 741 | O 10 |
|---------|----------|-------------------|--|
| FY 1992 | STIND \$ | 559 | O 4 |
| FY 1991 | \$ UNITS | 924 | . 8 |
| FY 1990 | \$ UNITS | 1,069 924 559 741 | 9 10 |
| | | Total Funding | MATERIAL HANDLING LFORM Repalletization Ships Supported Handling, Storage and Shipping Support (WYs) |

K. NUCLEAR PROPULSION TECHNICAL LOGISTICS

Nuclear Propulsion Technical Logistics provides for the continued safe and reliable operation of Naval nuclear propulsion plants by funding essential engineering support, inspection, and refurbishment of reactor plant components, as well as support of reactor refueling and reactor servicing equipment. Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued)
Claimant:

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

support directly related to the operation and maintenance of reactor plant components installed in nuclear powered ships. Support includes: (1) inspections, engineering analyses, and development of modifications to installed reactor plant components; (2) technical procedures and guidance to shipyards of reactor components; (4) maintenance of reactor component technical manuals; and (5) technical guidance Iwo reactor plant prime contractors (Westinghouse and General Electric) provide continuing engineering for Navy Ships Parts Control Center repair parts provisioning, procurement, and quality assurance. refueling and overhauling reactor plants or repairing stock components; (3) vendor refurbishment

(4) development and review of selected reactor servicing procedures and equipment design proposals in support high pressure and temperature reactor applications; (3) inspection, modification, refurbishment and control Six Naval shipyards (Norfolk, Puget Sound, Mare Island, Charleston, Portsmouth, and Pearl Harbor) provide the following support: (1) technical receipt inspection, refurbishment, and maintenance of stock spare reactor plant components: (2) receipt inspection and certification of nuclear parts and materials having of reactor plant refueling equipment and special reactor maintenance, inspection, and support equipment; of refueling and overhauling reactor plants; and (5) essential evaluations of installed reactor plant components and systems, and associated inspection and repair procedures, as directed by NAVSEA.

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Total Funding | 73,305 | 73,305 80,116 38,867 42,093 | 83,725 86,222 42,621 84,467 | 86,222 ********************************** | |
| Contractor Spt Shipyard Support | 34,438 | 38,023 | 41,104 | 41,755 | |

1.63.

Engineering Support Services (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

OPERATING REACTOR PLANT TECHNOLOGY

tests and evaluations to minimize nuclear plant material corrosion, radioactivity, and crud build-up to reduce life-cycle costs; 6) radiological and environmental monitoring and analyses; and 7) maintenance of reactor function of the number of ship classes, reactor plant configurations, and maintenance and overhaul sites. Specifically, the laboratories provide for operating nuclear powered ships: 1) technical support of, permanent staffs at, and operational liaison with shipyards for refuelings, overhauls, tests, and inactivations; 2) reactor systems performance analyses which establish the operating parameters for each nuclear plant configuration over its lifetime; 3) evaluations and tests of components and systems; 4) technical assistance for reactor operations, maintenance, and problem resolution; 5) reactor plant materials and water chemistry plant operating manuals and radiological control manuals. This mandatory work ensures the safe and efficient The Operating Reactor Plant Technology (ORPT) program funds Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program laboratory work performance of reactor refuelings/defuelings and other support efforts essential to the continued safe and supporting propulsion plants installed in commissioned nuclear powered ships. The work requirements are a reliable operation of Naval nuclear propulsion plants.

| FY 1993 | 71,520 74,062 77,056 |
|---------|----------------------|
| FY 1992 | 74,062 |
| FY 1991 | 71,520 |
| FY 1990 | 68,822 |

Total Funding

FY 1993

Activity Group: <u>Engineering Support Services (continued)</u>
Claimant:
Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

M. SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT

The Submarine Surveillance Support Program (SSSP) provides nuclear attack submarines with the capability to analyze activities of foreign and threat military systems. Support is provided for intermediate maintenance activity, fleet maintenance activity, configuration management, and engineering support.

| | FΥ | FY 1990 | F | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | FΥ | FY 1993 |
|--|----------|-------------------------|----------|--|----------|----------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|
| | ~ | \$ UNITS | ~ | \$ UNITS | . | \$ UNITS | · | \$ UNITS |
| Total Funding | 4,155 | 4,155 4,613 4,414 3,490 | 4,613 | 10 13 14 14 11 11 11 11 | 4,414 | 11 11 11 11 11 11 | 3,490 | # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # |
| Submarine Surveillance Equipment (SSE) ESM Systems Ancillary Equipment SSEP Pooled Equip | | 349 562 143 | | 349 562 143 | | 349 562 143 | | 349 562 143 |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

049000

Activity Group: Engineering Support Services (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

IV. Personnel Summary

| | FY 1990 | FY 1990 FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|----------------------------------|---------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|
| End Strength (E/S) | | | | |
| A. Military | 116 | 145 | 196 | 196 |
| O ^r ficer Enlisted | 14 | 14 131 | 15 181 | 15 181 |
| B. Civilian | 0 | 0 | 482 | 503 |
| HOSO | 0 | 0 | 482 | 503 |

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Budget Activity: Claimant:

ASW Systems Support
7 - Central Supply and Maintenance
Naval Sea Systems Command

Description of Operations Financed.

The purpose of the program is to provide life cycle technical support, periodic testing and correctional improvements to ASW sensors and weapon systems in order to maintain ASW Surface and Submarine forces at a high level of effectiveness and readiness.

11. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | | 1 | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|------------------------|--------------------|
| | FY 1990 Actual | Budget Request | | Current | FY 1992 F Request F | FY 1993 Request |
| SUBMARINE TECH SPT | \$12,441 | \$38,166 | \$38,166 | \$0 | \$0 | 0\$ |
| SURFACE SHIP TECH SPT | 11,843 | 22,917 | 22,917 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| ASW AVIONICS TECH SPT | 2,576 | 3,769 | 3,769 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| S CMBT WPN SYS TECH SPT | 18,203 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SURF SHIP ASW TECH SPT | 6,154 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL ACM CYCTEMS SUPPORT | \$51,217 | \$64,852 | \$64,852 \$64,852 | \$ | ∞ | \$0 |

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases.

2000

1. FY 1991 Current Estimate

2. FY 1992 President's Budget Request

FY 1993 President's Budget Request

II. Performance Criteria

ASW SUBMARINE TECHNICAL SUPPORT

on submarines. Principal types of effort included are: statistical analyses, investigations, testing, and engineering design of corrective fixes of items in the operational inventory for the purpose of extending This program provides the basic source of technical support for various complex sonar and ordnance systems the useful life within current performance levels; Installation and Checkout (1&C) support; Integrated Logistics Support (ILS); Configuration Management; Training Certification Program (TCP); Follow On Test procedures and performance of standard tests within the shipyard and at sea after major events such as and Evaluation (FOI&E) programs for the Torpedo MK-48; operation of test sites, development of test overhauls or major modifications prior to ship deployment.

during ADCAP Techeval. The FOT&E program also uses runs to help evaluate performance deficiencies identified during OPEVAL. Thirdly, FOT&E supports development of torpedo software. Selected Weapons Tests (SWT) test the MK 48/ADCAP by firing a live warhead at a MK 28 target. The purpose lest & Evaluation (FOT&E) program conducts runs which evaluate performance areas not fully tested A primary system included in ASW submarine technical support is the MK 48 torpedo. The Follow-on of this test is to make sure that the weapon performs properly and to give ASW units an opportunity to use live torpedoes

Engineering and technical support is provided to operational commands by in-house and contractor personnel. Support is provided for attack submarine technical and logistical problems as directed by Type Commanders and NAVSEA. The Navy began disposing of platforms and missile components in FY 1988 in preparation for the early retirement of this system. The performance criteria equate to SUBROC (Submarine Rocket) is an inertially guided, rocket-propelled ASW standoff weapon armed with a nuclear warhead and launched from standard torpedo tubes aboard attack submarines. the fleet population and sites.

The ASW Test Program consists of the following interrelated elements:

is in satisfactory material condition and capable of performing assigned mission tasks. Trial results Weapon System Accuracy Trials (WSAT) - Ensures that the ASW combat system of each ship and submarine are used to certify the operational status of ASW combat systems

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

cycles, so that the responsible contractor may correct deficiencies prior to the ship leaving the yard. Consolidated Operability Test (COI)- Ensures that submarines leave shipyards with fully operational ASW combat systems. COI testing is performed near the end of construction, conversions, or refit

Fleet Operational Readiness Accuracy Check (FORACS) - Provides data on combat system range and bearing accuracy. Ship ASW sensors are tested 18 months prior to deployment.

Surface Ship Consolidated Operability Test (SCOT) - Determines combat readiness of an ASW combat system. Results are used by command personnel as an indicator of additional work needed to perform prior to the end of a overhaul period.

Standardized Test Program (STP) - Provides standardized test documentation for all activities.

Consolidation ASW Readiness Test (CARI) - Verifies the readiness of ASW combat systems on operational submarines and provides training by having shipboard personnel perform the tests.

SUBMARINE COMBAT WEAPON SYSTEMS (SCWS)

The SCWS provides the basic source of technical support for various complex sonar and ordnance systems on submarines. Principal types of effort included are: statistical analyses, investigations, testing, and engineering design of corrective fixes of items in the operational inventory for the purpose of extending the useful life within current performance levels; Installation and Checkout (I&C) support; Integrated Logistics Support (ILS); Configuration Management; Training Certification Program (ICP); Follow On Test procedures and performance of standard tests within the shipyard and at sea after major events such as and Evaluation (FOIRE) programs for the Torpedo MK-48; operation of test sites, development of test overhauls or major modifications prior to ship deployment.

A primary system included in ASW submarine technical support is the MK 48 ADCAP (Advanced Capabilities) torpedo. Units reflect additional numbers of ADCAP's which have entered the fleet in each fiscal year since fully tested during ADCAP Techeval. The FOT&E program also uses runs to help evaluate performance deficiencies identified during OPEVAL. Thirdly, FOT&E supports development of torpedo software. Selected Weapons Tests (SWT) test the MK 48/ADCAP by firing a live warhead at a MK 28 target. The purpose of this 1987. The Follow-on Test & Evaluation (FOT&E) program conducts runs which evaluate performance areas not

Performance Criteria (continued).

test is to make sure that the weapon performs properly and to give ASW units an opportunity to use live torpedoes. ADCAP Hybrid Simulator runs support FOT&E and test and evaluation software block upgrades. These runs assess the ADCAP's performance in the environment against a changing threat. ADCAP Simulator Runs also resolve problems noted in TECH/OPEVAL to achieve optimum weapon performance.

and sonar performance. The tactical software programs include all of the signal processing and data processing required to provide for the functional capabilities of the subsystem. These functions include detection, classification, tracking, acoustic support, sounding and maneuvering, TMA (target motion analysis), combat system management, onboard training, weapons and countermeasures control, piloting and (RMA), and operational guidelines. The performance criteria has been updated to show the actual technical support efforts vice the previous break-out of hulls. The AN/BSY-1 is an advanced sonar/fire control system installed on FY 1983 and later (SSN-751 onward) SSN-688 class nuclear attack submarines. BSY-1 provides enhanced capabilities for vertical (per vertical launch Tomahawk cruise missiles) and horizontal (torpedo) weapons launch, under ice operations, employs a new display console for under ice sounding and maneuvering. Program funding provides for ISEA (In-Service Engineering), technical/adminstrative support, Reliability, Maintainability & Availability navigation. The hardware configuration requires less space than previous SSN-688 combat systems and

The AN/BQQ-5 sonar system is installed aboard SSN-594/637/688 class submarines. The program provides technical support during system installation, checkout and testing; as well as fleet support for IB-16 and IB-23 towed arrays, OK-276, OK-545, and OA-9070 towed array handling systems, BQQ-58/C/D sonar systems, and the Accelerated Stand-alone (IBX) array. In March of 1988, approval was given to install functionally equivalent BQQ-5 systems on SSN-688 class attack and SSN-726 class ballistic missile schedules. Installation Support equates to the number of installations which receive technical support during installation and check-out of upgraded equipment. Sonar Certification fixes malfunctions found during installation and certifies the sonar system after an overhaul. Units represent the number of submarines. The performance criteria has been expanded to more accurately reflect the program effort. The first two criteria, Installation Support and Sonar Certification, are driven by overhaul fixes made. Product Improvement units equate to the number of performance and reliability analysis actions taken. Tech Assists help with minor repairs.

The MK-117/CCS MK-1 Combat Control System is installed aboard SSN-594/637 (includes SSN-671) and all pre-BSY-1 SSN-688 class attack submarines. Principal efforts include installation

Activity Group: ASW Systems Support (continued)

Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

support, logistics products and management, product improvement, and in-service engineering. The performance criteria for Installation Support records the planned number of installations per fiscal year. Logistics products and management (technical manual maintenance, configuration management) is measured by the number of documents expected to be produced per fiscal year. Various product improvement actions refer to emergency ship visits, minor repairs, or ORDALT installations. The performance criteria has been expanded to more accurately reflect the efforts involved (See #1).

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FΥ | FY 1990 | Ε¥ | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | F | FY 1993 |
|---|---|--|----------------------|-----------------------|------------|---------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| | · • | UNITS | · • | UNITS | . ∽ | UNITS | • | UNITS |
| Total Funding | 12,441 | | * 1 | # 9 1 1 1 | # H | | # N H H H H | # !! !! !! !! |
| 1. SUB TECH SUPPORT MK-48 Torpedo i. FOT&E Runs ii. SWTS SUBROC Missile **Acoustic Countermeasures **Submarine Logistics **Fire Control System Rework | (3,261 2,069 2,069 639 639 412 115 |) 14 4 142 | | | | | | |
| 2. ASW Test Program COI (# of tests) WSAT (# of trials) CARI (# of tests) SCOI (# of tests) Combat Sys Verification FORACS (# of Tests) FORACS (# of Tests) Range Maintenance) | 1,582 |) 16 36 2 2 17 0 40 | | | | | | |
| COT - Consolidated Operability Test; WSAT - Weapon Systems Accuracy Trials; CART - Consolidated ASW Readiness Test; SCOT - Surface Ship Consolidated Operability Test; Combat Sys Verification - Combat Systems Verification FORACS - Fleet Operational Readiness Accuracy Check Si | Test; Trials; SS Test; d Operabit System; | erability Test; Accuracy Trials; SW Readiness Test; Dosolidated Operability Test; On - Combat Systems Verification ional Readiness Accuracy Check Site | ; tion ck Site | | | | | |

^{*} In FY 1991, Submarine ASW Technical Support programs are transferred to the Submarine ASW Maintenance Support program in order to consolidate depot maintenance support efforts.

^{**} Previously included in SUB TECH SUPPORT performance criteria.

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY | FY 1990 | ۲¥ | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | | FY 1993 | S : |
|---|---|---------------------------------------|-------|---------|----------|----------------------------|---------|-------|
| | • | UNITS | • | UNITS | \$ UNITS | S | • | UNITS |
| Total Funding | 18,203 | 나 10 10 10 17 16 18 | * # # | | | 10 10 10 10 10 | * 1 | * |
| ADCAP Torpedo * FOT&E Runs SWTS ADCAP Hybrid Simulator Runs | (4,030 | (4,030) +121 14 4 389 | | | | | | |
| 2. AN/BSY-1 Combat System ISEA i. # of Ship Casualty Assists Anticipated, phone/visits ii. Product Improvement: # Fleet Redesigns TECH/ADMIN SUPPORT Reliability, Maint, Avail. i. # est. Reject/Def Rpts OP GILDELINES | (2,252) 747 : : 1,045 ts 141 | 73 24 54 569 | | | | | | |
| Wide Aperture Arrays (WAA) | | | | | | | | |

^{*} Units represent additional ADCAP torpedoes added to the inventory.

^{**} Realignment of ASW System Support, to ASW Maintenance Support, to consolidate depot maintenance support efforts.

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

ASW SURFACE SHIP TECHNICAL SUPPORT

and update; Fleet introduction analysis and planning for CAPTOR; and various other maintenance engineering tasks for operational fleet systems. Units are expressed in terms of Fleet population supported except for correcting acoustic deficiencies, and Acoustic Trials which reflects the number of trials. The Surface ASM This program provides the basic source of technical support for various complex sonar and ordnance systems on surface ships. Principal types of effort included are: statistical analyses, investigations, testing, and engineering design of corrective fixes of items in the operational inventory for the purpose of extending their useful life within current performance levels; Installation and Checkout (I&C); Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) Management; Configuration Management (CM); Operation of House Models; Data review installed, Switches and Transducers which reflects the number of components supported, the Surface Ship Silencing program which reflects the number of ships which will receive assistance in defining and System Support performance criteria has been consolidated into functional categories which reflect the the Engineering Change Accomplishment Program (ECAP) which reflects the number of engineering changes principal types of efforts/programs supported.

SURFACE SHIP ASW SYSTEMS (SSAS)

The SSAS funds engineering and technical support efforts for the maintenance of the MK-50 torpedo and the AN/SQQ-89 ASN combat system.

analyses, reliability and maintainability, technical data reporting, and quality evaluation programs. Loyistics management efforts will support Integrated Logistics Support (ILS) requirements through of operational failures. In addition, technical engineering support is provided for the warhead. Follow on training and supply support is provided by the ISEA at the Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA) depots and the fleet platforms. Funding will also establish and maintain a courses. Performance criteria manpower increases represent are based on the buildup of inventory and IMA activation. MK-50 technical support efforts maintain logistics management, performance Center (SSC) Orlando will be provided with technical assistance in establishing MK-50 training technical support team tasked with conducting IMA and platform certifications. Supply Support counter an evolving threat. Maintenance support efforts for MK-50 In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) responsibilities include safety, equipment installation, testing and resolution the MK-50 is a lightweight torpedo compatible with MK-46 launch platforms and intended to

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

Logistics Support Analysis (LSA) and Integrated Logistics Support Management Team (ILSMT) program reviews. This effort will provide annual updates to the Support and Test Equipment (SATE) management plan and review Engineering Change Proposals (ECPs) and ordnance alterations (ORDALTS) for ILS impact. Performance Analyses will utilize established operating maintenance data reporting systems, where feasible, to analyze the operational performance of MK-50 torpedo maintenance equipment and logistics support items. Reliability and Maintainability efforts will provide for the review and update of maintenance documentation, provide consultation per equipment maintenance, and implement procedures to ensure the operability of equipment delivered to installing activities. the technical data system will provide automated reporting of MK-50 logistical and managerial information. The quality evaluation program is intended to extend maintenance intervals after atisfactory performance evaluations.

approximately 50 to 100 electronics cabinets including various computers, signal processors and displays. Other major components include hull mounted and towed sonar arrays with associated handling gear. The system employs approximately 3,600k lines of software code. A total of 141 AN/SQQ-89(V) systems are currently planned Integrated Test Site (LBITS), product improvements to maintain specified performance levels, installation and and logistical problems, evaluation and implementation of engineering changes, review and update of technical publications, integrated logistics support, configuration management support, operation of the Land Based CG-47, DU-963, DDG-993, and FFG-7 class ships. The AN/SQQ-89(V) integrates sensor, fire control, performance prediction, and training functions. Depending on ship class (and system variant) the AN/SQQ-89(V) consists of for shipboard installation. Operations financed by the SSAS PEO provide for the resolution of fleet technical construction cruisers and frigates beginning with the CG-54 and FFG-59. The system will be backfitted aboard check-out support, and program support. Units represent the number which can be supported with available The AN/SQQ-89(V) is the ASW combat system will be fitted aboard the new DDG-51 class destroyers and new

Activity Group: ASM Systems Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | | FY 1990 | 8 | FY] | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | FY | FY 1993 |
|--|----------|-----------|------------------|-------|----------------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| | ~ | | UNITS | · • | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | ~ | UNITS |
| Total Funding | 11,843 | 43 | # H H H | # # H | # H H H H H | * 11 | 11,843 +++ +++ | * # # | H H H II II |
| 1. ASW Weapons * | (2,553) | 53) | | | | | | | |
| MK-46 Torpedo (# Units Serviced/000's) | 1,535 | 35 | 13.9 | | | | | | |
| CAPTOR mines (# Work Assignments) | 1,0 | 1,018 | 13.6 | | | | | | |
| 2. Surface Sensors * | (6,087 | 87) | | | | | | | |
| Surface Sonars | 5,399 | 66 | | | | | | | |
| Fire Control Systems | 2 | 569 | | | | | | | |
| LAMPS Signal Processor (SQR-17) | 4 | 419 | | | | | | | |
| 3. OTHER SURFACE SPT * | (3,203) | 03) | | | | | | | |
| Surface Ship Silencing (# of Ships Assisted) | 9 | 610 | 59 | | | | | | |
| Acoustic Trials (# Pre-deployment Trials) | 1,2 | 1,252 | 40 | | | | | | |

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FΥ | FY 1990 | FY | FY 1991 | FΥ | FY 1992 | FY | FY 1993 |
|---|-----|---------|----------|---------|-----|---------|----------|---------|
| | · • | UNITS | . | UNITS | · • | UNITS | ~ | UNITS |
| Switches & Transducers (# Units in 000's) | 732 | 21.8 | | | | | | |
| Targets (M/Ys) | 94 | 1.9 | | | | | | |
| NIXIE Decoy | 107 | n/a | | | | | | |
| Operating Guidelines | 356 | n/a | | | | | | |
| Range Tracking Instruments (SATS** AN/WQM-6) | 52 | n/a | | | | | | |

The performance criteria has been consolidated into functional categories which reflect the principal types of efforts/programs supported.

** SATS = Sonar Acoustic Tracking Source.

*** Realignment of Surface Ship ASW Technical Support to Surface Ship ASW Maintenance Support in order to consolidate maintenance support efforts.

000053

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY 1990 | 066 | Ε¥ | FY 1991 | Œ | FY 1992 | |
|---|--------------|-------|-----|---|--------------|---------|--|
| | No \$ | UNITS | · • | UNITS | ; • | UNITS | |
| Total Funding | 6,154 | | * # | 6,154 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + | # # # # # | | 11 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 1 |
| 1. MK-50 Torpedo (M/Ys) (| 1,707) 18.3 | 18.3 | | | | | |
| Logistics Management | | 5.7 | | | | | |
| Performance Analysis | | 3.9 | | | | | |
| Reliability/Maintainability | 191 | 1.7 | | | | | |
| Technical Data System | | 4.0 | | | | | |
| Quality Evaluation Program | | 3.0 | | | | | |
| Travel | ♥ | n/a | | | | | |
| 2. SQQ-89 Combat System (PEO) (4,447) (Fleet Units Funded) | 4,447) | 18 | | | | | |

* Realignment of ASW System Support to ASW Maintenance Support to consolidate maintenance support efforts.

Performance Criteria (continued).

ASW AVIONICS TECHNICAL SUPPORT

This program provides for reliability improvement of the CV-ASW Modules and life-cycle engineering and logistic support for the Integrated Carrier Acoustic Processor System (ICAPS). Principal types of effort installation planning, integration and testing; safety assessments; developing engineering change orders; and developing documentation. Units equal the fleet population of systems supported. included are: developing system configuration drawings; identifying training requirements; initiating

| | FY 1990 | 00 | F | FY 1991 | FY | FY 1992 | FΥ | FY 1993 | |
|---------------------------|---------|----------------------------|--|---|-----|---|-----------------|-----------------------|--|
| | No s | UNITS | ~ | UNITS | · • | UNITS | • | UNITS | |
| Total Funding | | # # # # # # | 16 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 | 10 10 10 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | * # | 11 時间 电影 化二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基 | # # H # # | R H H H H | |
| CV-ASW Module (Fleet Pop) | 2,400 | 18 | | | | | | | |
| ICAPS (Fleet Pop) | 176 | 40 | | | | | | | |

* Realignment of Aviation ASW Technical Support to Aviation ASW Maintenance Support in order to consolidate depot maintenance support efforts.

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

IV. Personnel Summary. N/A

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Budget Activity: Claimant:

Maintenance and Repair of Real Property 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Naval Sea Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed.

NAVSEA military personnel support facilities at NAVSEA field activities. Funding in this activity group reflects Navy efforts to reduce the backlog of maintenance and repair at Naval facilities in accordance with Congressional direction to contain the backlog of repair projects by the end of FY 1994. The subactivity groups included under Real Property Maintenance are: The Real Property Maintenance Activities Program supports repairs, maintenance and minor construction on

- A. Maintenance of Real Property finances routinely scheduled maintenance, routine repairs, and emergency repairs, up to \$200 thousand at Naval Shipyards, Ordnance Stations, Inactive Ship Maintenance Facilities, Supervisors of Shipbuilding, and other NAVSEA field activities. Major Repair funding finances more substantial maintenance projects over \$200 thousand but less than \$500 thousand which are required to bring existing facilities into adequate condition to permit field activities to fulfill their assigned mission.
 - B. Minor Construction finances projects under \$200 thousand for alterations to military personnel support facilities as required; additions to facilities, re-arrangement of existing spaces to accommodate mission changes; and installation of material and equipment related to the facilities. Minor construction projects over \$100 thousand require specific approval by NAVSEA headquarters. In FY 1993 this function is transferred to the Military Construction (MILCON) appropriation.
- upgrades which is that part of security concerned with physical measures designed to safeguard personnel; to prevent unauthorized access to equipment installation, material, and documents; and to safeguard them against espionage, sabotage, damage, and theft. In FY 1993 this function is transferred to the Military Maintenance of Real Property/Minor Construction/Physical Security supports physical security Construction (MILCON) appropriation.

Activity Group: <u>Maintenance and Repair of Real Property (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Nava! Sea Systems Command</u>

11. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

FY 1991

| | FY 1990 | Budget | Appro- | Current | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|----------|----------|-------------------|----------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Actual | Request | priation | Estimate | Request | Request |
| MAINT & REPR OF REAL PROP | \$73,928 | \$24,720 | \$22,323 | \$24,179 | \$18,891 | \$22,323 \$24,179 \$18,891 \$17,238 |
| MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 2,671 | 1,860 | 1,693 | 1,649 | 1,399 | 1,693 1,649 1,399 0 |
| PHYSICAL SECURITY MAINT | 1,492 | 1,289 | 1,174 | 1,174 | 856 | 1,174 1,174 856 0 |
| Total, MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR OF REAL PROPERTY | \$78,091 | \$27,869 | \$27,869 \$25,190 | \$27,002 | \$27,002 \$21,146 \$17,238 | \$17,238 |

| | \$000 | 27,002 | 2,113 | - 552 | | 3,799 |
|--|---|-----------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | | | 2,005) 2,005 108) | -552) | -551 | 3,799) 3,377 |
| | | | - - | _ | | _ |
| Activity Group: Maintenance and Repair of Real Property (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command | B. <u>Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases</u> | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) B. Other Pricing Adjustments | Functional Program Transfers A. Transfers-Out Intra-Appropriation a) This transfer reflects the costs associated with maintenance of real property for the Dental Clinic at Naval Weapons Station Earle which is transferred from Naval Sea Systems Command to Naval Medical | Command. 2) Inter-Appropriation a) In accordance with Defense Management Review Initiatives, funding for commissary operations is transferred to the Defense Commissary Agency from the shipyards (-239) and the ordnance/weapons stations (-312). | Program Increases Other Program Growth in FY 1992 DAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY - The increase reflects additional funding for non-recurring maintenance in support of utilities at the shipyards (713). At the ordnance/weapons stations, there is an increase in non-recurring maintenance for barracks at Earle and Yorktown (2,664). |

200028

803

280

-11,216

142

-11,216)

Program Decreases

5.

reduced recurring maintenance in support of waterfront, storage, administrative, other personnel facilities, and 1) MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY - The decrease reflects systems, swimming pools, gymnasium facilities, roofing, and lights fixtures. The decrease also reflects support of waterfront and shipyard maintenance at Mare Island (-472) and Norfolk (-368) Naval Shipyards and reduced utilities and real estate and ground structure support at all shipyards (-392). The ordnance/weapons support of personnel support and service, real estate station have a decrease in recurring maintenance in real estate and ground structures at Puget Sound (-1,032), Portsmouth (-1,154) and Mare Island (-654) Naval Shipyards. Specifically, this decrease Will defer repairs of air conditioning units and heating reduced funding for non-recurring maintenance in Other Program Decreases in FY 1992

| C | |
|----|----|
| L | 7 |
| (: | ٠ |
| - | ٠, |
| • | , |
| Ć |) |
| • | |

| 000\$ | | | 21,146 | 316 | -6,797 |
|--|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| | -639 | -527 | | 250) 250 66) | -6,797) |
| | | | | . . | _ |
| Activity Group: Maintenance and Repair of Real Property (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued) | and ground structures and housing and messing facilities (-4,565). In the area of non-recurring maintenance, the ordnance/weapons stations have a decrease in waterfront facilities maintenance (-1,313). 2) MINOR CONSTRUCTION - The decrease reflects fewer minor construction projects in support of mission, and capital and noncapital facilities construction at Mare Island and Puget Sound Naval Shipyards (-454). There is also a decrease in minor construct projects in support of unaccompanied personnel housing and noncapital facilities at the ordnance/weapons stations | 3) PHYSICAL SECURITY MAINTENANCE - The decrease reflects elimination of bullet resistant hardening projects (-21), reduced support for lighting projects (-11), and reduced support to hardening projects (-495) at the ordnance/weapons stations. | 6. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | 7. Pricing Adjustments A. Defense Business Operations Fund i) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) B. Other Pricing Adjustments | 8. Functional Program Transfers A. Transfers-Out I) Inter-Appropriation a) This transfer reflects funding for Major Repair Projects and Minor Construction transferred to |

| C | |
|----|--|
| S | |
| U. | |
| _ | |
| • | |
| | |
| • | |

| | 0003 | 11,515 | | -8,942 | |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|---|
| | | 11,515) | 4,718 | -8,942) -6633 | -1421 |
| | | _ | | _ | |
| نــ ح | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued) MILCON. | Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 INCREASE FOR MILLON TRANSFER - This increase is to accommodate funding for Major Repair Projects and Minor Construction. These funds have been transferred to the Millon Appropriation. | 2) MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY - The increase reflects an increase in recurring maintenance in support of waterfront and shipyard facilities and administrative facilities at the shipyards (2,241). At the ordnance/weapons stations, there is an increased support for recurring maintenance of barracks, personnel support and service facilities and real estate and ground structures (2,477). | 10. Program Decreases in fy 1993 A. Other Program Occreases in fy 1993 1) MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY - The decrease reflects reduced funding in recurring maintenance for real estate and ground structures support at the shipyards (-313). There is also a decrease in non-recurring shipyard maintenance, utilities and real estate and ground structures support at the shipyards (-3,193). At the ordnance/weapons stations, there is a decrease in support of non-recurring barracks maintenance | 2) MINOR CONSTRUCTION - The decrease reflects a |

Maintenance and Repair of Real Property (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued)

reduction of minor construction projects in support of safety facilities at the naval shipyards (-1,137). There is also a reduction of minor construction projects in support of unaccompanied personnel housing, mission, and noncapital facilities construction at the ordnance/weapons stations (-284).

3) PHYSICAL SECURITY MAINTENANCE - The decrease reflects a reduction of the hardening and fencing projects.

11. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

-888

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria

FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993

| | 25 21 | 38 30 | ,542 1,664 1,194 1,507 | 101 68 | 104 85 | 42 31 | 160 184 | 362 359 | 267 | 14 0 | 146 104 | 659 502 | 318 247 | 1,309 767 | 2,340 1,860 | 3,444 2,174 | 1,724 1,215 | 2,792 1,180 | 3,565 2,369 | | 4,016 | 22,696 30,071 30,393 30,725 | 34,087 34,490 |
|--|-------|-------|------------------------|--------|--------|-------|---------|---------|-------|------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY FACILITIES MAINTENANCE (M1) | | 10 02 | e | | | | | 10 08 | 60)1 | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL (MI) (\$000) | | | TOTAL BUILDINGS (KSF) 24, |

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued)

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| | 16,487 | 1,935 | 00 | 0 |
| | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 90 J.1 10 07 | 7,076 | 800 | 800 | 000 |
| | 786, 8 0 0 | 000 | 000 | 000 |
| | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| IC 13 | 000 | 000 | 000 | 000 |
| | 338 | 400 | 3,100 | 000 |
| | 5,868 | 1,298 | 2.100 | 000 |
| IC OTH | 62 030 | | 0 | 00 |
| MRP CIVILIAN LABOR | 18,826 44,596 | | | • |
| OTHER TOTAL MR | 10,506 73,928 | 3,296 | 2,604 18,891 | 2,355 |
| MRP MILITARY E/S PARP CIVILIAN E/S MRP 101AL E/S | 0 41 41 | 0 42 42 | 0 42 42 | 0 42 42 |
| | | | \$3655 | æ |

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued)

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------|--|
| PAVEMENTS (KSF) LAND (ACRES) RR/CRANE TRACKAGE (MILES) | 10,263 10,798 36 | 13,204 12,071 75 | 13,204 12,071 75 | 13,204 12,071 75 | |
| MINOR CONSTRUCTION (R1 + R2) UNACCOMPANIED PERS. HOUSING ENVIRONMENTAL ENERGY | 236 140 0 230 | 111 66 73 203 | 96 105 134 257 | 0000 | |
| WELFARE & RECREATION MISSION OTHER CAPITAL NONCAPITAL INGRANTS EQUIPMENT INSTALLATION | 175 369 1,017 433 0 | 156 303 303 300 300 0 | 265 272 272 175 95 0 0 | 000000 | |
| TOTAL (RI + RZ) (\$000) MINOR CON. CIVILIAN LABOR MINOR CON. CONTRACT MINOR CON. OTHER TOTAL MINOR CON. (RI + RZ) MILITARY LABOR | 2,071 341 2,096 234 2,671 | 247 1,229 173 1,649 | 221 1,029 149 1,399 | 0000 | |
| MINOR CON. MILITARY E/S MINOR CON. CIVILIAN E/S MINOR CON. TOTAL E/S BACKLOG, MAINI/REPAIR (\$000) | 0 7 7 81,838 | 0 10 10 84,185 | 0 10 10 30,097 | 0 0 33.725 | |

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (continued)
Claimant:
Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued)

FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993

MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY/MINOR CONSTRUCTION/PHYSICAL SECURITY SECURITY UPGRADES

856 1,174 1,492

0

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

N/A 1V. Personnel Summary.

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Budget Activity:

Base Operations
7 - Central Supply and Maintenance

Naval Sea Systems Command

. Description of Operations Financed.

The Other Base Operations program provides support services and material support to the Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEASYSCOM) field activities, enabling assigned forces and tenants to perform their mission. Funds are utilized for military and civilian support functions which are not directly related to the industrial effort. The subactivities included in Other Base Operations are:

- water, and any other fuels to support military personnel support facilities. Utilities are provided within an overall energy conservation program directed by OPNAV. A. Utility Operations - provides for purchased/generated electricity, gas, water, sewage, steam, hot
- Excludes industrial funded systems or those operational telecommunication activities directly supporting fleet B. Base Communications - provides for procurement and support of basic telephone equipment, installation, maintenance, removal and service charges at NAVSEA headquarters and field activities. Provides for the costs of administration communication systems, base telecommunication networks and industrial security networks. operating forces.
- C. Personnel Operations
- 1. Bachelor Housing provides support for the operation of barracks, personnel housing, BOQs, BEQs, as well as the purchase and maintenance of personnel support equipment related to the housing of
- dry cleaning, initial procurement, repair and replacement of furniture and furnishings, operation of chapels, and family service centers. Also provides funding for the Naval Regional Medical/Dental Clinics at Naval Weapons Support Center (NAVWPNSUPCEN), Crane, IN and Naval Ordnance Station (NOS), Louisville, KY, and 2. Other Personnel Support - provides for food service facilities, resale activities, laundry and

SSECTO

į

Activity Group: Base Operations (continued)

support for Navy Drug and Alcohol programs where personnel with alcohol or substance abuse problems are identified and counseled. Funding is also provided for educational services for abuse prevention and operation of drug and alcohol rehabilitation facilities.

- 3. Morale, Welfare and Recreation provides support for shore based recreational activities, special services, libraries, child care centers, clubs and messes, and military and civilian general recreation facilities.
- D. Base Operations Mission
- (ICPs) and transaction reports to ICPs. Funding is also provided to operate the Ordnance Alteration (ORDALT) repository (NAVWPNSUPPCEN Crane) and the NAVORDSTA Indian Head detachment at Army Ammunition Plant maintenance of stock records, processing various Naval and DOD requisitions from Inventory Control Points 1. Retail Supply Operations - provides support for service-wide supply involving the receipt, inspection and packing of inert Navy material, the provision of technical information services, the AAP) McAlester (Nuclear Publication and Parts).
- Planning System (SHOREROC/SHORESTAMPS), traumatic leave and commercial activities. This allows the shipyard to compete for work without being penalized by having to charge customers for efforts which bear no relation and associated vehicle operation and routine maintenance, disaster preparedness, port services, tool issues, related costs from the naval shipyard and ordnance/weapon station stabilized manday rates, which provide direct funding to the shipyards and ord/wpn stations. The mandated program supports unique requirements, resulting from higher authority/regulatory direction, which are not incurred by private industry performing similar work. Some examples include the civilian employee assistance program, administration of OPM/Navy personnel regulations, Shore Required Operational Capabilities/Shore Requirements Standards and Manpower Other Base Services - provides support for security and police protection, base transportation Operating Support program, pursuant to a SECNAV initiative to remove non-shipwork and other industrial and degaussing operations. This program also includes the Industrial Facility Mandated Program and to the work the shipyard will perform for the customer.

Activity Group: <u>Base Operations (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

E. Base Operations - Ownership

- support service) for the following functions: command and administration, civilian and military personnel services, bachelor quarters administration, legal assistance, accounting/auditing services, mail, travel 1. Administration - provides funding for off-station activities and on-base tenants (as common administration, and other related common administrative support services.
- program, a personnel program and a supply program in support of tenants at Naval Ordnance Station, Indian Head, MO. Automated Data Processing - provides services including operating and maintaining a payroll
- training, salaries, and rental of security vehicles. Also funds logistics support and in-service support of 3. Physical Security - provides support to upgrade physical security at various NAVSEA Field activities. This includes installation, operation and maintenance of physical security equipment, security nuclear weapons security systems.
- Engineering Support provides support for public works departments, firefighting services, refuse collection and disposal, custodial services, entomological services, and exterior clean-up and related work not otherwise identified as supported by other real property/public works functions. Als funds planning, design and engineering support for facility projects.

690000

Activity Group: Base Operations (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

FY 1991

| | FY 1990 Actual | Budget Request | Appro- priation | | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 Request |
|---|---|--|--|---|---|--|
| UTILITY OPERATIONS BASE COMMUNICATIONS PERSONNEL OPERATIONS BASE OPS-MISSION BASE OPS - OWNERSHIP | \$9,371 11,138 16,067 32,599 20,048 | \$13,145 10,451 15,832 29,589 17,426 | \$13,145 10,110 15,832 29,589 17,176 | \$11,470 9,833 22,623 38,030 23,124 | \$11,074 9,953 15,348 34,802 21,636 | \$11,825 10,112 16,915 38,691 22,772 |
| Total, BASE OPERATIONS | \$89,223 | \$86,443 | \$85,852 | \$105,080 | \$92,813 | \$92,813 \$100,315 |

| nued | • |
|-------|---|
| conti | |
| ons (| |
| rati | |

Base Operations (continued Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

11. Financial Summary (continued)

| Decreases. |
|---------------|
| and |
| Increases |
| 9 |
| econciliation |
| B. Re |

2000

105,080

7,857

| a |
|----------|
| Estimate |
| |
| Current |
| 1991 |
| Ŧ |
| _: |

| | (DBOF) | | |
|------------------------|--------|--|---------------------------|
| 2. Pricing Adjustments | | Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) | Other Pricing Adjustments |
| 7 | Ä. | | В. |
| 2 | | | |

| Transfers | • |
|------------------|------------|
| ctional Program | ers-Out |
| Functional | A. Transfe |
| e, | |

-7,944

-7,944)

-6,242

| Naval Weapons Station Earle which is transferred from Naval Sea Systems Command to Naval Medical | engineering support (-11) for the Dental Clinic at | the utilities (-8), communications (-2) and other | from the shipyards (-2,824) and from the | Budget Activity Eight, Other Personnel Activities | advocacy programs and family service centers into | funding for child development activities, family | a) This transfer reflects the realignment of | 1) Intra-Appropriation | a) This transfer reflects the realignment of funding for child development activities, family advocacy programs and family service centers into Budget Activity Eight, Other Personnel Activities from the shipyards (-2,824) and from the ordnance/weapons stations (-3,418). b) This transfer reflects the costs associated with the utilities (-8), communications (-2) and other engineering support (-11) for the Dental Clinic at Naval Weapons Station Earle which is transferred from Naval Sea Systems Command to Naval Medical | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|
| the utilities (-8), communications (-2) and other engineering support (-11) for the Dental Clinic at | the utilities (-8), communications (-2) and other | | ordnance/weapons stations (-3,418). | from the shipyards (-2,824) and from the ordnance/weapons stations (-3,418). | Budget Activity Eight, Other Personnel Activities from the shipyards (-2,824) and from the ordnance/weapons stations (-3,418). | advocacy programs and family service centers Into Budget Activity Eight, Other Personnel Activities from the shipyards (-2,824) and from the ordnance/weapons stations (-3,418). | funding for child development activities, family advocacy programs and family service centers into Budget Activity Eight, Other Personnel Activities from the shipyards (-2,824) and from the ordnance/weapons stations (-3,418). | a) This transfer reflects the realignment of funding for child development activities, family advocacy programs and family service centers into Budget Activity Eight, Other Personnel Activities from the shipyards (-2,824) and from the ordnance/weapons stations (-3,418). | b) This transfer reflects the costs associated Wil | |
| b) This transfer reflects the costs associated Will the utilities (-8), communications (-2) and other engineering support (-11) for the Dental Clinic at | b) This transfer reflects the costs associated Will the utilities (-8), communications (-2) and other | b) This transfer reflects the costs associated Wilf | | from the shipyards (-2,824) and from the | Budget Activity Eight, Other Personnel Activities from the shipyards (-2,824) and from the | advocacy programs and family service centers Into Budget Activity Eight, Other Personnel Activities from the shipyards (-2,824) and from the | funding for child development activities, family advocacy programs and family service centers into Budget Activity Eight, Other Personnel Activities from the shipyards (-2,824) and from the | a) This transfer reflects the realignment of funding for child development activities, family advocacy programs and family service centers into Budget Activity Eight, Other Personnel Activities from the shipyards (-2,824) and from the | ordnance/weapons stations (-3,418). | |

-21

Inter-Appropriation

a) In accordance with Defense Management Review
Initiatives, funding for commissary operations is
transferred to the Defense Commissary Agency from
the shipyards (-733) and the ordnance/weapons
stations (-948). 5

-1,681

000011

| Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | 0003 |
|--|----------------------------------|
| Program Increases Other Program Growth in FY 1992 BASE COMMUNICATIONS - The increase reflects additional support for the Defense Data Network. PERSONNEL OPERATIONS - The increase reflects additional purchases of supplies and consumables at Mare Island New | 1,287) 1,287) 1,287 21 21 |
| 3) BASE OPERATIONS MISSIONS - The increase reflects additional support for severance pay and relocation costs at Portsmouth Naval Shipyard. 4) BASE OPERATIONS-OWNERSHIP - The increase also reflects additional cost associated for 6 civilian technicians and materials in support of the electronic security systems at Weapons Stations Earle and Concord (624). The increase reflects upgrades to vehicle barrier protection system at Norfolk NSY (44), procurement of electronic security system upgrades at Norfolk NSY (147), and upgrades to firearms ordnance norfolk NSY (147). | 335 915 od |
| protection racifity at Portsmouth MST (100). 5. Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) UTILITY OPERATIONS - The decrease reflects imposition of additional conservation efforts and resulting in less procurement, production, and distribution of fuel, hot water and potable water, steam, sewage removal and electricity at the shipyards | -13,467 (-13,467) -1,242 ls |

Base Operations (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command

Activity Group: Claimant: Financial Summary (continued)

∞

Base Operations (continued)

Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group:

Claimant:

II. Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). ф Э

(-903) and at Concord, Earle, Indian Head, Seal Beach, and Yorktown Ordnance/Weapon Stations (-339). 2) BASE COMMUNICATIONS - The decrease will also result in the procurement and lease of fewer mainlines, and this decrease will reduce the amount of relocation and nstallation of existing lines at the naval shipyards maintenance, and purchase of communications equipment at the ordnance/weapon stations (-10). The decrease -105). This decrease will reduce the operation, reflects reduced telecommunication support for

been reduced. A reduction in the operation of bachelor housing at the ordnance/weapons stations (-23), primarily Indian Head and Yorktown as well as reduced Washington headquarters (-187). 3) PERSONNEL OPERATIONS - Due to a planned reduction in paper, light bulbs, and cleaning supplies at the naval shipyards (-71). The decrease also reflects reduced personnel services at Mare Island NSY (-232), Norfolk (-199), Portsmouth NSY (-151), and Puget Sound NSY (-154) Naval Shipyards and at the ordnance/weapons stations (-643). This decrease reflects reduced general mess services and fewer replacements of broken support for food services and laundry and dry cleaning the number of personnel supported, the following have at the ordnance/weapon stations (-244). The decrease reflects the reduction of purchases of supplies and consumables such as hand soap, paper towels, toilet

Activity Group: Base Operations (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

support allows reduced support for storage and issuance of ordnance material received from the Navy Spare Parts and police protection, tool issues, guard services, lab recreation equipment (-38) and community support activities (-719) at all the ordnance/weapons stations, primarily Earle, Charleston, and Concord. (-784) and port services (-136) at the ordnance/weapon The decrease reflects the reduction of fire Control Center (-329) and reduced support for security collection/disposal for shipyard tenants (-1,962) and also at the ordnance/weapons stations (-937). The efforts and laundry/dry cleaning. In addition, the decrease reflects a reduction in MWR support such as 5) BASE OPERATIONS-OWNERSHIP - The decrease reflects or worn galley equipment, as well as a reduction in chaplain services, alcohol and drug rehabilitation services, tug/pilot services, ID badges, planning department services, mobilization planning, shore libraries, gymnasium and recreation equipment at Portsmouth (-392) and Mare Island (-100) Naval Shipyards and a reduction of mission sustaining activities, such as libraries and gymnasium and patrol and ship's force work area at the naval réduction of pest control services (-286) and curtailment of custodial and garbage shipyards (-3,524). stations.

-4,773

-4,184

2000

Base Operations (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group:

Claimant:

II. Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

(IRO), Interservice Support Agreement (ISSA) administration, and civilian personnel management at Mare Island NSY (-148), Norfolk NSY (-120), and Puget Sound NSY (-92). At the ordnance/weapons stations, the At ordnance/weapon stations there is a decrease in the purchases of computer services (-5). The decrease also reflects a reduction of relocating physical security equipment to services specifically, Industrial Relations Operations command center upgrades at Norfolk and Mare Island NSY engineering support for public work projects (-32), garbage collection/disposal (-10), custodial services (-108), and off base moves (-30). At ordnance/weapo (-27), and communication upgrades at Portsmouth and Charleston NSY (-363). decrease reflects a reduction in administration and decrease reflects the reduction of administrative Mare Island NSY (-64) and a decrease in hardened

FY 1992 President's Budget Request ė.

Defense Business Operations Fund 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) Other Pricing Adjustments Pricing Adjustments <u>.</u>

Functional Program Transfers A. Transfers-Out ω .

-2,000 92,813 1,592 -2,000) 1,100 1,100 492

-2,000

Base Operations (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

II. Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). ж :

| | Repair | ţ0 | |
|------------------------|--|--|---------|
| 1) Inter-Appropriation | a) This transfer reflects funding for Major Repair | Projects and Minor Construction transferred to | MILCON. |
| $\widehat{}$ | • | | |

| MILCON. | MILCON. |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| Increases | 9. Program Increases |
| r Program Growth in FY 1993 | A Other Program Growth in FY 1993 |
| MILCON. | MILCON. |
| Increases | Program Increases |
| MILCON. Increases r Program Growth i | MILCON. Program Increases |
| MILCON. | MILCON. |
| Increases | Program Increases |
| | Program A Othe |

8,878

8,878)

563

| γ 1993 | 1) INCREASE FOR MILCON TRANSFER - This increase is to | accommodate funding for Major Repair Projects and Minor | Construction. These funds have been transferred to the | | 2) UTILITIES - The increase reflects procurement, | production, and distribution of additional fuel, hot | steam, sewage removal and | electricity at the naval shipyards due to increased | local utility rates above that provided by the | Industrial Fund rate structure specifically at SUPSHIP | hia NSY (395) and at | Charleston, Earle, Indian Head, and Seal Beach Naval | (166). | BASE COMMUNICATIONS - The increase reflects | increased procurement and lease of mainlines and the | relocation and installation of existing lines at the | naval shipyards (132) and the ordnance/weapon stations | • |
|------------------------------------|---|---|--|-----------------------|---|--|---------------------------|---|--|--|------------------------|--|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|-----|
| A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 | 1) INCREASE FOR MILCON | accommodate funding fo | Construction. These f | MILCON Appropriation. | 2) UTILITIES - The inc | production, and distri | water and potable wate | electricity at the nav | local utility rates ab | Industrial Fund rate s | Pascadoula and Philade | Charleston, Earle, Ind | Ordnance/Weapon Stations (166). | 3) BASE COMMUNICATIONS | increased procurement | relocation and install | naval shipyards (132) | (8) |

140

1,379

4) personner operations - The increase reflects additional support in the operation of bachelor housing

Base Operations (continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

additional support for storage and issuance of ordnance material received from the Navy Spare Parts Control Beach (306). The increase reflects chaplain and drug/alcohol rehabilitation services and other personnel services at Mare Island NSY (64), Norfolk NSY (55), and Puget Sound NSY (40). The increase also reflects additional support for the food services, and Sound NSY (37). The increase also reflects additional morale welfare and recreation community support such as ibraries, rec center services and shipboard activities for moral welfare and recreation at Mare Island NSY (82), Norfolk NSY (54), Portsmouth NSY (26), and Puget operation for the chapels at the ordnance/weapons stations (403). The increase reflects additional gym, Center (314). There is an increase for severance pay at Charleston, Norfolk, Portsmouth, and Puget Naval Shipyards (1,296). This increase also reflects mobilization planning, shore patrol, and ship's force work area, at the shipyards (901). The increase also 5) BASE OPERATIONS-MISSION - The increase represents bedding and lounge furniture at the ordnance/weapons stations, primarily Indian Head, Yorktown, and Seal and Personal Support Equipment requirements such as additional fire and police protection, tool issues, guard services, lab services, tug/pilot services, child and family home care at the ordnance/weapon stations (312)

1,443

Base Operations (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command Activity Group: Claimant:

II. Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

reflects additional security and guards (466), operation and maintenance of transportation (129), and naval shipyards (78). The increase also reflects additional administrative services at Mare Island NSY (205), Norfolk NSY (131), and Long Beach NSY (54), Naval Shipyards. This increase will provide for additional Industrial Relations Operations management, Harbor NSY (155), equipment upgrades to mobile command post at Puget NSY (185), upgrades to bulk fuel protection barrier at Puget Sound NSY (75), and additional support for administration and engineering collection/disposal, custodial services, and off base moves, at all ordnance and weapon stations and at the administration at all at the shippards. In addition, (136), and comptroller/audit service (105) at all the ordnance/weapon stations. The increase also reflects additional support for purchases of computer services 6) BASE OPERATIONS-OWNERSHIP - The increase reflects reflects additional upgrades to vehicle barriers at Charleston, Mare Island and Pearl Harbor NSY (165), at the ordnance/weapon stations (8). The increase disaster preparedness (247) at the ordnance/weapon the increase reflects the administrative services additional communication system upgrades at Pearl support for public work projects, garbage and shipyard BOS and Mobilization program stations.

11.000

2000

-968

-968) -332

9

Activity Group: Base Operations (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

11. Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

upgrades to firearms qualification training at Norfolk and Charleston NSYs (102). The increase also reflects additional support of the electronic security systems at the ordnance/weapon stations (44).

10. Program Decreases
10. A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993
11. BASE COMMUNICATIONS - The decrease reflects reduced
12. Lelecommunication support for Washington headquarters.
13. PERSONNEL OPERATIONS - The decrease reflects reduced purchases of supplies and consumables at Norfolk NSY,
13. Portsmouth NSY, and Puget Sound NSY.
14. Portsmouth NSY, and Puget Sound NSY.
15. BASE OPERATIONS-OWNERSHIP - The decrease reflects completion of hardened command center upgrades at Norfolk and Mare Island NSY (-450), a decrease in electronic security system upgrades at Mare Island NSY (-76), and completion of upgrades to firearms ordnance protection facility at Portsmouth NSY (-103). The decrease reflects reduced requirements for bulletproof vests at Pearl Harbor Naval Shipyard (-1).

-630

11. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

100.315

Activity Group: Base Operations Support (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria

| ÷ | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|------------------------------|------------------|-------------|---------|---------|
| OPERATION OF UTILITIES . | t • • • | ! ! ! |) | |
| STEAM/HOT WATER (TOTAL MBTU) | 283,083 | 331,707 | 321,492 | 335,657 |
| PURCHASED NIF MBTU | 178,407 | 208,704 | 202,376 | 211,135 |
| PURCHASED OTHER MBTU | 69,467 | 84,819 | 81,513 | 86,089 |
| IN-HOUSE MBTU | 35,209 | 38, 184 | 37,603 | 38,433 |
| ELECTRICITY (TOTAL MWH) | 49,592 | 58,540 | 56,657 | 59,264 |
| O NIF | 37,150 | 42,541 | 41,441 | 42,964 |
| ~ | 12,442 | 15,999 | 15,216 | 16,300 |
| | • | • | • | • |
| S/SYSTEMS (K | 280,470 | 337,034 | 324,970 | 341,667 |
| S | 735.866 | 717.814 | 713,735 | 719,381 |
| | 5,433 | 7.047 | 6,691 | 7,184 |
| OTHER UTILITY SYSTEMS XXX | • | • | | • |
| (MBT | 42,358 | 50,849 | 49,039 | 51,544 |
| (\$000) (\$000) | 2 427 | 000 | 100 | 2 275 |
| SICAT/ACT MAICH FUNCH: ALL | 7 | 3,000 | 0,100 | 207 |
| CHECKLOS WATER PORCH. DIMER | 1,091 | 1,41/ | 1,0/2 | 704.1 |
| SIEAM/HOI WAIER IN-HOUSE | \$00° | 115 | 212 | 270 |
| ELECTRICITY PURCH. NIF | 2,019 | 2,857 | 2,971 | 3,203 |
| ELECTRICITY PURCH, OTHER | 1,310 | 1,359 | 1,227 | 1,306 |
| | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | 360 | 450 | 406 | 429 |
| TOTAL ENERGY COSTS | 7,521 | 9,460 | 9,495 | 10,123 |
| WATER PLANTS/SYSTEMS | 970 | 1,035 | 880 | 954 |

Activity Group: Base Operations Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued)

| | u. 1 | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|----------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|
| PLANTS/SYSTEMS | | 468 | 546 | 481 | 4 99 |
| 40./REFRIG | | 526 | 213 | 123 | 140 |
| JTILLITY SYSTEMS | | 156 | 216 | 95 | 109 |
| JTAL NON-ENERGY COSTS | | 1,850 | 2,010 | 1,579 | 1,702 |
| TOTAL (NI) | | 9,371 | 11,470 | 11,074 | 11,825 |
| OPERATION OF UTILITIES | | 6 | ć | 3 | |
| ES CIVILIAN LABOR | • | 026 | 006 | 442 | 705 |
| ES CONTRACT | | 3,422 | 4,447 | 4,327 | 4,605 |
| ES OTHER | | 5,029 | 6,123 | 5,903 | 6,253 |
| | | | | • | |
| UTILITIES TOTAL NI (\$000) | | 9,371 | 11,470 | 11,074 | 11,825 |
| ES MILITARY E/S | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IES CIVILIAN E/S | | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| UTILITIES TOTAL E/S | | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |

* ONE PERCENT CONSERVATION GOAL IS FACTORED INTO THE BOS-UTILITIES SUBMISSION.

| | 9,833 9,953 10,112 8,542 8,535 8,728 1,291 1,418 1,384 1 1 |
|-----|---|
| * P | 11,138 9 6,972 8 4,166 1 |
| | BASE COMMUNICATIONS TOTAL (\$000) BASE COMMUNICATIONS DEFENSE DATA NETWORK COMM. MILITARY E/S |

Activity Group: Base Operations Support (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued)

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---------------------------------|---|---------|---------|--------------|
| COMM CIVILIAN E/S | , | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| COMM. TOTAL E/S | 80 | 8 | 8 | & |
| NUMBER OF INSTRUMENTS | 20,604 | 21,304 | 21,304 | 21,404 |
| NUMBER OF MAINLINES | 14,515 | 14,299 | 14,299 | 14,299 |
| DAILY AVG. MESSAGE TRAFFIC | 3,654 | 3,664 | 3,664 | 3,682 |
| PERSONNEL OPERATIONS | | | | |
| 1000\$7 Mail 27 ado 3Non 11 ya | 1001 | 3 974 | 3 996 | 4 347 |
| BHO ETI ITARY F/C | 69 | 104 | 104 | 104 |
| REO CIVILIAN F/S | 91 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| BHO TOTAL F/S | 85 | 121 | 121 | 121 |
| # OF DEFICE DUARTERS | 191 | 236 | 236 | 236 |
| # OF ENLISTED QUARTERS | 3,623 | 6,059 | 6,059 | 6,059 |
| | 7 219 | 10 414 | 7, 171 | 7.77 |
| MUD MII ITADV F/C | | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| MUD CIVILIAN F/C | 24 | 467 | 467 | 467 |
| MUD TOTAL F/S | 32 | 490 | 490 | 490 |
| MILITADY F/C CFOVED | 38.951 | 43,051 | 43,449 | 43,451 |
| CIVILIANC/DEDEND F/C SERVED | 150,873 | 213,173 | 213,173 | 213, 173 |
| MWR POPULATION SERVED TOTAL | 189,824 | 256,224 | 256,622 | 256,624 |
| OUTER DEBCONNET CHIRDON (\$000) | 5.847 | 8,235 | 4,181 | 4,797 |
| OPS MILITARY 1/5 | 11 | 170 | 170 | 170 |

ยากรุก

Activity Group: Base Operations Support (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued)

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---------------------------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|
| OPS CIVILIAN E/S | 41 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| OPS INTAL PERSONNEL E/S | 112 | 248 | 248 | 248 |
| MILITARY E/S SERVED | 21,326 | 22,362 | 22,760 | 22,760 |
| CIVILIAN E/S SENTED | 38.247 | 38,702 | 38,702 | 38,702 |
| OPS POPULATION SERVED TOTAL | 59,573 | 61,064 | 61,462 | 61,462 |
| | | | | |
| BASE OPERATIONS - MISSION | | | | |
| VOCOS/SMOLLAGIGO VIGGIGA LIANTA | 4 754 | 3.255 | 3.248 | 3,587 |
| KEIAIL SUFFLI UFERALIUMS (3000) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| MILLIAKT E/S | 46 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| CIVILIAN E/3 | 9 | 45 | 16 | 97 |
| IOIAL PERSONNEL E/S | 191 | 191 | 161 | 161 |
| CINE LIEMS CARRIED (UU) | 172 | 172 | 172 | 172 |
| RECEIPIS (000) | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 |
| Issues (non) | | | | |
| 10001 3331033 3344 33772 | 27.845 | 34.775 | 31,554 | 35,104 |
| CIMER BASE SERVICES (ACCO) | 27.499 | 29, 292 | 25,515 | 27,649 |
| BOS - UIMER BASE SENVICES | 346 | 5,483 | 6,039 | 7,455 |
| SEV PAY/KELUC CUSIS | | | 2 | co |
| OBS MILLIARY E/S | , a | 59 | 46 | 55 |
| 08S CIVILIAN E/S | 3 2 | 69 | 48 | 28 |
| 08S TOTAL E/S | 716 | 220 | 220 | 220 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES OWNED | * 17 | 172 | 172 | 172 |
| MOTOR VEHICLES LEASED | FO | 7 (7 | 200 | 302 |
| TOTAL # MOTOR VEHICLES | 368 | 385 | 766 | 725 |

Activity Group: <u>Base Operations Support (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

III. Performance Criteria (continued)

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| BASE OPERATIONS-OWNERSHIP | t 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 1 | 1 | 1 |
| ADMINISTRATION (\$000) ADMIN MILITARY E/S ADMIN CIVILIAN E/S ADMIN TOTAL E/S # BASES CON. U.S. # BASES FX U.S. # BASES TOTAL POPULATION SERVED MILITARY CIVILIAN ACTIONS/VOUCHERS PROC (000s) NUMB OF ADP CPUS | 5,371 32 137 169 17 18 26,126 16,258 9,868 1,625 | 7,223 43 175 218 218 18 19 27,377 16,389 10,988 1,625 | 7,251 43 204 247 18 18 19 28,850 16,787 12,063 1,625 | 7,984 43 204 247 18 18 19 28,850 16,787 12,063 1,625 |
| ADP (\$000) MILITARY E/S CIVILIAN E/S TOTAL PERSONNEL E/S | 73 0 1 1 | 76 0 1 | 79 0 | 88 |
| PHYSICAL SECURITY (\$000) PS MILITARY E/S PS CIVILIAN E/S PS TOTAL E/S | 1,658 0 10 10 | 872 0 0 0 | 1,393 0 6 6 | 1,509 0 6 |

60000

OTHER ENGINEERING SUPPORT (P1)

Activity Group: <u>Base Operations Support (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u>

| FY 1992 FY 1993 | 2,607 2,611 1,176 1,180 1,959 1,968 | 3,573 3,663 747 772 8,593 8,756 12,913 13,191 | 4,783 5,056 6,621 6,477 1,509 1,658 12,913 13,191 | 0 151 151 151 151 |
|-----------------|---|---|---|---|
| FY 1991 | 2,607 1,176 1,959 | 4,368 916 9,669 14,953 | 5,437 7,697 1,819 14,953 | 0 151 151 |
| FY 1990 | 4,205 6,151 3,780 | 3,954 600 8,392 12,946 | 4,430 7,492 1,024 12,946 | 0 141 141 |
| | CUSTODIAL SERVICES (KSF) ENTOMOLOGY SERVICES (KSF) REFUSE COLLECTION/DISP (KCY) | ADMIN/ENGINEERING RENTS/LEASES/EASEMENTS ALL OTHER SERVICES TOTAL (P1) | OES CIVILIAN LABOR OES CONTRACT OES OTHER TOTAL (P1) OES MILITARY LABOR | MIL PERSONNEL E/S CIV PERSONNEL E/S TOTAL PERSONNEL E/S |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

18,000

Activity Group: Base Operating Support (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

1V. Personnel Summary

| | FY 1990 | FY 1990 FY 1991 | FY 1992 FY 1993 | FY 1993 |
|--------------------|---------|-----------------|---|----------------------------|
| | | | # # H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H H | 11 11 13 14 14 |
| End Strength (E/S) | | | | |
| A. Military | 415 | 391 | 365 | 340 |
| Officer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enlisted | 415 | 391 | 365 | 340 |
| B. Civilian | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| посп | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY EXHIBIT OP-5

Activity Group: Budget Activity: Claimant:

Environmental Protection
7 - Central Supply and Maintenance
Naval Sea Systems Command

1. Description of Operations Financed.

This program provides resources associated with environmental protection efforts. Such efforts include environmental restoration; National Enviornmental Policy Act (NEPA) compliance; natural, cultural, historic, land, forest, and coastal zone management; hazardous waste handling and disposal; and air, water, and soil pollution abatement.

11. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

| eakont. |
|-------------|
| Group Br |
| ub-Activity |
| A. S |

FY 1991

| | | 1111111 | | , | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|---|-----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| | FY 1990 | | Appro- | Current | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
| | Actual | Request | priation | priation Estimate | Request | Request Request |
| STATE TANEDAMENTAL DOOTS | \$650 | 05 | \$250 | \$250 | 9 | 0\$ |
| MOKE ENVIRONMENTAL FROTESTION | 3,979 | 0 | 2.846 | 3,166 | 2,802 | 2,932 |
| SHIP ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION | 6,455 | 0 | 4,618 | 4,582 | 4,740 | 5,781 |
| | 1 1 1 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 1 1 t | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : | : ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; |
| Total, ENVIRONMENTAL | ¢11 084 | 05 | \$0 \$7.714 | \$7,998 | \$7,542 | \$8,713 |
| PROTECTION | | • | | • | | |

3850.55

technologies for conservation, recovery, substitution and conversion relative to CFC and Halon use within the Navy. The increase will also fund the development of

will include assessment of procedures and new

engineering criteria to measure applicability of a new firefighting agent for Navy ships, as a replacement for Halon 1211 or 1301, conducting cup-burner screening of

| II. Financial Summary (continued) | | | |
|---|---|----------------|-------|
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | | \$000 |
| 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | | | 7,998 |
| 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises | _ | 6 | 485 |
| 1) ClassifiedB. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | _ | 22) | |
| I) Classified C. Defense Business Operations Fund (OBOF) 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) | • | 263) 263) | |
| 0. Other Pricing Adjustments | ~ | 191 | |
| 3. Program Increases A. One Time FY 1992 Costs | • | 3) | 1,408 |
| 1) One additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 at various field activities reflecting the DOD personnel policy which eliminates reimbursable funding | | າ | |
| at non-industrial funded activities. B. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 1) SHIP ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION - | _ | 1,405) | |
| Chloroflorocarbon/Halon (CFC) use in the Fleet presents environmental concerns. The increase in the CFC effort | | | |

Environmental Protection (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command

Activity Group: Claimant:

C0.0083

reflects no environmental impact studies planned for FY ordnance/weapon stations (-302), primarily Indian Head, completion of the initial start-up of the program, the support for emergency response planning, site investigation, research study, treatment and disposal, Pholychorinated Biphenyl (PCB) Cleaning/Disposal, and testing candidate replacement agents in small, mid resulting in only one major training operation (-574). program scope has drastically changed to encompass all Dackaging/labeling and storage, and transportation at Puget Sound Naval Shipyard (-328) and at the represents a change in emphasis to Chloroflorocarbons (CFCs) vice other ship programs. As a result of this will close two overseas Emergency Ship Salvage (ESSM) candidate replacement agents for Halon 1211 and 1301 shift and because of reduced requirements, the Navy 2) HAZARDOUS WASTE - The decrease reflects reduced bases and will also curtail equipment maintenance Although the reduction in Plastic Waste reflects 1) SHORE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION - The decrease 3) SHIP ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION - The decrease Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). Environmental Protection (continued) and full scale fire test facilities. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 Naval Sea Systems Command II. Financial Summary (continued) and Charleston. **Program Decreases** Activity Group: Claimant: **в**

\$000

-2,349

-630

-1,459

\$000

7,542

193

| Activity Gr | roup: | Environmental Protection (continued) Naval Sea Systems Command |
|-------------|-------|--|
| | | |
| | | |

Financial Summary (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Navy vice the original scope for shipboards only. This reduction will therefore limit the scope of the new Navy-wide program (-655). The decrease in the oily waste program represents a reduction of management efforts in shipboard hazardous waste, shipboard medical waste and shipboard air pollution (-230).

5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request

| Pricing Adjustments | A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | 1) Classified | B. FÝ 1993 Direct Pay Raises | 1) Classified | C. Défense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) | Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) | D. Other Pricing Adjustments |
|---------------------|---|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|--|--|------------------------------|
| ø. | | | | | | | |

8 23 23 14 14 148

A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993

A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993

1) HAZARDOUS WASTE - The increase reflects additional emergency response planning, site investigation, research study, treatment and disposal, pholychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) Cleaning/Disposal, packaging/labeling and storage, and transportation at the Naval Shipyards.

2) SHIP ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION - The increase in Pollution Abatement for Hazardous Waste Management

681000

986

1,420

1,420)

003

Activity Group: Environmental Protection (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

Financial Summary (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

reflects additional funding for both Continental United the subsequent cost avoidance associated with continued maintenance procedures to minimize the use of hazardous substitution and elimination of hazardous materials and conservation, recovery, substitution and management of CFCs and Halons for the Navy (737). The increase also 1992. The increased funding will facilitate the expansion of the program to include the development of States (CONUS) bases and one overseas base which will operate at full level and a second overseas base will fleetwide installation of hydrogen sulfide detectors. materials, will identify those materials that can be alterations for oil/water separators on carriers and reflects continuation of the program initiated in FY hazardous waste disposal. The increase for Oil and Sewage Management will accelerate the completion of echnical documentation for the support of the ship Chloroflorocarbon/Halon (CFC). This work involves it will also provide for engineering efforts for nazardous nature and establish the protocol for used for the same purpose but are of a minimal operate at a curtailed level (249).

8. Program Decreases A. One-Time FY 1993 Costs

 One less workday of civilian employment in fY 1993 at various field activities reflecting the DOD

| ₩- |
|-----|
| 9 |
| 9 |
| ζ. |
| C |
| C > |
| |

8,713

| | | -439) | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Activity Group: <u>Environmental Protection (continued)</u> Claimant: <u>Naval Sea Systems Command</u> II. Financial Summary (continued) | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | personnel policy which eliminates reimbursable funding at non-industrial funded activities. 8. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) HAZARDOUS WASTE - The decrease reflects reduced support for emergency response planning, site investigation, research study, treatment and disposal, Polychlorinated Biphenyl (PCB) Cleaning/Disposal, packaging/labeling and storage, and transportation at the ordnance/weapon stations, primarily Keyport, Indian Head, and Concord. 2) SHIP ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION - In the Pollution Abatement program there are reduced efforts in the areas of plastics management, PCB testing and solid waste management. | 9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request |

Environmental Protection (continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria.

A. SHORE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

This program provides funding for environmental engineering management, permits, fees, fines, litigation, engineering studies (including NEPA documentation, and minor alterations to facilities and equipment not centrally funded. It does not include routine costs associated with utility operations and maintenance, such as sewage or water treatment plants.

| | ξX | | Ε¥ | FY 1991 | F | FY 1992 | FΥ | FY 1993 |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|---------|
| | • | Units | ~ | Units | - | Units | ~ | Units |
| Shipyards | | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Endstrength | | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Workyears | | | | | | | | |
| \$ Fines | | | | | | | | |
| (prior & current year only) | | | | | | | | |
| Ordnance/Weapon Stations * | 650 | | 250 | | | | | |
| Civilian Endstrength | | | | | | | | |
| Civilian Workyears | | | | | | | | |
| \$ Fines | | | | | | | | |
| (prior & current year only) | | | | | | | | |

^{*}This program realigns from Base Operations Support Activity Group.

HAZARDOUS WASTE

This program provides for hazardous waste disposal and other non-disposal hazardous operations. This includes hazardous waste, development of contingency plans and hazardous waste management plans, and the operation of transportation and disposal of hazardous waste. It also includes the training of personnel that handle determination of the chemical and physical nature of waste: receipt, testing and inspection, issue, facilities for storage, treatment, or disposal of hazardous waste.

60000

Activity Group: Environmental Protection (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

111. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FΥ | FY 1990 | FΥ | fY 1991 | Ε¥ | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | 1993 |
|--|----------|----------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|---------|----------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------|
| | ~ | \$ Units | - | \$ Units | \$ Unit | Units | ~ | Units |
| Total Funding * | 3,979 | H H H H H H | 3,166 | # 11 21 21 41 41 | 2,802 | 11 12 15 14 11 11 | 2,932 | 11 11 11 11 11 11 |
| Shipyards # tons of haz waste disposed | 2,670 | 13 | 1,917 | 10 | 1,740 | ω | 2,178 | 7 |
| civilian endstrengtn civilian workyears Ordnance/Weapon Stations # tons of haz waste disposed | 1,309 | | 1,249 | | 1,062 | | 754 | |
| civilian endstrength civilian workyears | | | | | | | | |

*This program realigns from the Claims and other Court-Directed Activities Activity Group.

C. SHIP ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

This program provides for the capability to protect and enhance the quality of the environment through control solid waste, hazardous waste, plastic waste, medical waste, and exhaust emissions/air pollution. Funding provides for certification, documentation, engineering support/services, in-service engineering, life cycle management, logistic support, maintenance support and guidance to the fleet don shipboard pollution control systems and equipment. It also provides for the overhaul, repair and maintenance of the Navy's inventory of open sea pollution abatement equipment (skimmers, pumps, booms, boasts, etc.) located in emergency ships and abatement of environmental pollution caused by surface ships such as oil waste, sewage and wastewater, salvage material (ESSM) bases. Activity Group: Environmental Protection (continued)
Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | FY | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | 1661 | FY 1992 | 366 | 7 | FY 1993 |
|--|-----------|----------------------------|--------------------|-------|--------------|-------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | | \$ Units | • | Units | ~ | Units | 1 1 1 1 4 | Units |
| Total Funding * | 6,455 | | 4,582 | 1 | 4,740 | | 5,781 | H H H H |
| ESSM Bases | (1,545) | 1 1 1 1 1 1 | (2,088) | | (1,595) | | (1,903) | |
| CONUS (Continental U.S.) fully operational | 800 | 2 | 800 | 2 | 830 | 7 | 860 | 2 |
| Overseas fully operational partially operational | 0 345 | 96 | 4 00 388 | -2 | 415 | -0 | 430 | |
| Fleet Training Major Exercises | 400 | , , | 200 | 00 | 350 | | 538 | 2 0 |
| ESSM Maint Supt | | 00 | 0 | 00 | | 00 | | 00 |
| <\$20K Engineering Support (WY) | (4,910) | _ | 51 (2,494) | | 27 (3,145) | | 32 (3,878) | |

*This program realigns from the Other Ship Systems Maintenance and Intermediate Maintenance Activity Groups.

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

<u> უ</u>€_____ე

Activity Group: Environmental Protection (continued) Claimant: Naval Sea Systems Command

IV. Personnel Summary

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------------------|---------|------------------|
| | H H H H | H H H | H 11 | H 27 H H H H H H |
| End Strength (E/S) | | | | |
| A. Civilian | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |
| NSDH | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 |

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-O5

Activity Group: Claims and Other Court Directed Activities
Budget Activity: 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Naval Facilities Engineering Command

Description of Operations Financed.

The following programs are included in this activity group:

<u>Hazardous Waste</u> – This program provides for hazardous waste disposal and other non-disposal hazardous operations. This includes determination of the chemical and physical nature of waste; receipt, testing and inspection, issue, transportation and disposal of hazardous waste. It also includes the training of personnel that handle hazardous waste, development of contingency plans and hazardous waste management plans, and the operation of facilities for storage, treatment, or disposal of hazardous waste. <u>Injury Compensation</u> - Reimburses the Department of Labor for compensation and medical benefits paid to civilian employees of the Department of the Navy who sustain job-related illness or injuries. Under Department of Labor billing procedures, the actual payment by Navy to Labor is made two years after the period in which the costs

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

FY 1991

| FY 1993 Request | 0 0 2,331 2,331 |
|---------------------|--|
| FY 1992 Request | 0 0 2,331 2,331 |
| Current Estimate | 0 0 2,940 2,940 |
| Appro- priation | 813 9,681 2,331 12,825 |
| Budget Request | 813 9,681 2,331 12,825 |
| FY 1990 Actual | 0000 |
| | Hazardous Waste Disposal Asbestos Abatement Injury Compensation Total |

*Beginning in FY 1990 Hazardous Waste Disposal has been realigned to Environmental Protection (Hazardous Waste) and Asbestos Abatement has been realigned to Environmental Protection (Hazard Abatement) to comply with Congressional direction to fully identify funding for environmental compliance.

C...C695

| æ | | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | 3 000 |
|---|----------|--|--------|--------------|
| | _: | FY 1991 Current Estimate | | \$2,940 |
| | 2 | Pricing Adjustments | | 115 |
| | | A. Other Pricing Adjustments | (115) | |
| | Э. | Program Decreases | | -724 |
| | | A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 | (-724) | |
| | | compensation claims. | -724 | |
| | 4. | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | \$ 2,331 |
| | 5. | Pricing Adjustments | | 86 |
| | | A. Other Pricing Adjustments | (98) | , |
| | . | Program Decreases | | -86 |
| | | A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 1) Reflects management actions to reduce/minimize injury compensation claims. | 98~) | |
| | 7. | FY 1993 President's Budget Request | | \$ 2,33! |

Activity Group: Claims and Other Court Directed Activities (continued)

Activity Group: Claims and Other Court Directed Activities (continued)

III. Performance Criteria.

Asbestos Management Program Ashore (\$000):

FY 1990 FY 1991

FY 1992

This program provides for Asbestos Inventories, Assessment, and Abatement projects for Naval shore facilities. Other Navy-wide asbestos issues and studies are also covered by this program (i.e., Navy-wide Asbestos Product Substitution Program, and development of Asbestos related Guide Specifications).

Asbestos Assessments (# of sites)

Asbestos Abatement (# of sites)

Beginning in FY 1990 the Asbestos Abatement program has been realigned to AGSAG E4FW (Hazard Abatement) to comply with Congressional direction to fully identify funding for environmental compliance.

Injury Compensation (FECA)

2,331 555 2,940 606 00 Injury Compensation (\$000) Claims filed

2,331

"NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME."

IV. Personnel Summary.

NO DIRECT FUNDED PERSONNEL ARE ASSOCIATED WITH THE FUNDING OF THIS PROGRAM.

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: Military Construction Support Budget Activity: 7- Central Supply and Maintenance Claimant: Naval Facilities Engineering Command

Description of Operations Financed.

military construction at naval shore activities. This program has been centrally budgeted by the Naval Facilities Engineering Command. However, effective FY 1991, budgeting and funding responsibility for collateral equipment has transferred from the Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) to all benefitting major claimants, leaving NAVFAC only a residual share for its own field activities. This program provides for the procurement of collateral equipment that is required to initially outfit new

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | FY 1990 Actual | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 Request | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| Collateral Equipment* | 0 | 3,335 | 3,289 | 826 | 520 | 540 | |

Collateral Equipment in Logistics Support prior to FY 1991. Decentralized to major claimants beginning in FY 1991.

Activity Group: Military Construction Support (continued)

| | | 000 |
|--|------------|----------|
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | |
| 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | | \$850 |
| 2. Pricing Adjustments | | 34 |
| A. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF)1) Non-Fuel (Supplies, Materials and Equipment) | (34) | |
| 3. Program Decreases | | -340 |
| A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Decrease in Collateral Equipment due to reduction in | (-340) | |
| number of new facilities scheduled for "beneiltla! Occupancy Dates" (80Ds) in FY 1992. | -340 | |
| 4. FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | \$520 |
| 5. Pricing Adjustments | ; | <u>5</u> |
| A. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) 1) Non-Fuel (Supplies, Materials and Equipment) | (61) 61 | |

000000

7. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$540

 $\widehat{\Xi}$

Other Program Growth in FY 1993
1) Additional funding for Collateral Equipment to meet initial outfitting requirements at newly constructed facilities.

Program Increases

è.

¥.

Activity Group: Military Construction Support (continued)

| FY 1920 FY 1991 FY 1992 0 826 520 |
|--|
| FY 1990 0 |
| _ |
| |
| III. <u>Performance Criteria</u> . Collateral Equipment funding provides for the "initial outfitting" of newly constructed MILCON Facilities at Naval Shore Activities. |

"NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME."

IV. Personnel Summary.

NO DIRECT FUNDED PERSONNEL ARE ASSOCIATED MITH THE FUNDING OF THIS PROGRAM.

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: Command and Administration Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply and Maintenance

Claimant: Naval Facilities Engineering Command

Description of Operations Financed.

Military Construction), whose mission includes facilities and base planning; administration of Navy real estate; This also includes a portion of the travel costs associated with the support of military personnel personnel in the Headquarters of the Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) (except for the execution of complexes executed by the Public Works Centers; and research and development related to all of the above. The personnel provide for the command and control of the field activities of the Command, as well as the The Navy acts as lead service with NAVFAC as support equipment; administration of the Navy Environmental Protection Program; support of ocean engineering; technical support of the Naval Construction Force and other fleet units; public works support for major naval These funds provide for salaries and related support cost of the engineers, technicians and administrative engineering and management support for acquisition of facilities, utilities systems, and civil engineering programming, budgeting and financial management support for those appropriations for which the Command is responsible. This also includes a portion of the travel costs associated with the support of military ber assigned as Military Staff to the Office of the Vice President. its execution agent.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | 1991 | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------|--------|----------|----------|---------|---------|--|
| | FY 1990 | Budget | Appro- | Current | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
| | Actual | | priation | Estimate | Request | Request | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Command and Administration | 17,180 | 18,089 | 15,107 | 16,821 | 16,562 | 16,522 | |

| (continued) |
|-----------------|
| Administration |
| : Command & |
| Activity Group: |

| and Decreases. |
|----------------|
| Increases |
| of |
| Reconciliation |
| æ |

| Current Estimate | Adjustments |
|------------------|-------------|
| FY 1991 | Pricing |
| - : | 2. |

| FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises | | ay Raises | 1) Classified | Operations Fund (DBOF) | 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) | ljustments |
|---------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Annualization of | 1) Classified | FY 1992 Direct I | 1) Classified | Defense Busines | 1) Other DBOF | D. Other Pricing Adjustments |
| ď. | | æ | | ن | | o. |

Program Decreases ن

4. FY 1992 President's Budget Request

| 23 |
|------------|
| Adjustment |
| 271 |
| ing |
| て |
| |
| Pr |
| ۵. |
| 5. |

| A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | 1) Classified | FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | 1) Classified | C. Defense Business Operations Fund (UBOF) |
|---|---------------|---------------------------|---------------|--|
| Ä. | | . | | ن |

| Other Pricing Adjustments |
|---|
| D. Other Pr |
| |

| 5000 | |
|------|--|
| | |

| _ | _ |
|---|---|
| 2 | ~ |
| œ | 9 |
| • | |
| 9 | |
| _ | |
| * | |

(129) 129 (388) 388 (36) 36 (68)

| 88 |
|----|
| 1 |
| |
| |
| |

| $\overline{}$ | |
|---------------|--|
| 2 | |
| ∞ | |
| ø | |
| Ц, | |
| _ | |
| | |

| $\widehat{}$ | |
|---------------|---|
| 00 | |
| 2 2 | , |
| $\overline{}$ | |

| (continued). |
|--------------|
| Decreases |
| reases and |
| ion of Inc |
| Reconciliat |
| |

6. Program Decreases

Other Program Decreases in FY 1993

1) Reduction in oversight of field execution in conjunction with acceptance of greater risk in corporate management process.

7. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

-832

-832

(-832)

8

\$16,522

Activity Group: Command & Administration (continued)

| of Field Activities ed management services 22 22 23,336 22,576 Civilians Supported Military Supported funds (from all sources) 6.0 5.6 | III. Performance Criteria. | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|--------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 23, 35 22, 52 23,336 22,576 21,483 1,195 1,251 1,231 6.0 5.6 5.7 | Number of Field Activities | Č | ć | c | c |
| 1,195 1,231 1,231 (ces) 6.0 5.6 5.7 | provided management services | 23.336 | 22.576 | 21.483 | 21.695 |
| urces) 6.0 5.6 5.7 | Total Military Supported | 1,195 | 1,251 | 1,231 | 1,209 |
| | Total funds (from all sources) | 6.0 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.5 |

"NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME."

Activity Group: Command & Administration (continued)

| FY 1993 | 33 | 231 231 |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| FY 1992 | 34 | 245 245 - |
| FY 1991 | 38 | 264 264 - |
| FY 1990 | 36 | 263 263 - |
| Personnel Summary. | A. Military Officer Enlisted | B. <u>Civilian</u> USDH FNDH FNIH |
| | | |

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Claimant: Naval Facilities Engineering Command Activity Group: Field Operations

Activity Group: Field Operations
Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply and Maintenance

Description of Operations Financed.

Restoration of Military Construction) the Naval Energy and Environmental Support Activity and the Environmental Restoration Programs. The Engineering Field Divisions are responsible for providing support to the operating forces of the Navy, the Marine Corps, and other naval commands in regard to shore facilities and related material and equipment, including the planning, design and construction of public works, public utilities, and special facilities for the Navy (e.g., communications facilities, runways, piers, hospitals, personnel support facilities); acquiring and disposing of Navy real estate; providing technical advice and assistance on the maintenance of facilities and operations of utilities; administering the assignment, replacement, maintenance and disposal of transportation equipment (passenger vehicles, trucks, trailers, construction, firefighting and weight handling equipment); assisting and advising activities in the application of the technical programs assigned to the Naval Facilities Engineering Command; and providing facilities engineering assistance to those naval commands Field Operations include the personnel and related support costs for the Engineering Field Divisions, (except for for which Engineering Field Divisions have been designated the principal staff advisor.

management; Energy training; and (3) technical assistance and engineering management of procurement, overhaul and utilization of Mobile Utility Support Equipment (MUSE). capability, specialized air emission test teams, wastewater and potable water experts, a hazardous material/waste management and investigation team; and ship sewage and oily waste disposal experts; (2) energy conservation The Naval Energy and Environmental Support Activity is responsible for providing environmental protection and energy conservation support to naval commands. Its mission is to support: (1) the Naval Environmental Protection Support Service (NEPSS), which provides: Navy-wide environmental data management with an ADP

The Environmental Restoration Program represents an environmental rehabilitation effort designed to enhance the priority status and visibility of the program.

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued)

I. Description of Operations Financed (continued)

appropriation during the execution year. The Navy's Environmental Restoration requirements are budgeted and requested in the ER,D appropriation with the rest of the Department's requirements. A detailed description of Beginning in FY 1986 this work was financed with transfers from Environmental Restoration, Defense, (ER,D) the FY 1990 program follows:

- Installation Restoration Program. This is a comprehensive, multi-phase program to identify, investigate, confirm, and clean up contamination from hazardous substances and wastes on active installations. Specific projects include Initial Assessment Studies (IAS), Confirmation Studies (CS), groundwater monitoring projects and remedial measures.
- Other Hazardous Maste Operations. These include studies and the purchase of hardware to reduce hazardous waste generation, as well as one-time waste permit costs required under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

| | | | FY 1991 | | | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--|
| A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout. | FY 1990 Actual | Budget Request | Budget Appro- Request priation | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 <u>Request</u> | |
| Operations Support - Field Engr Field Divisions | 1,974 54,407 | 1,963 50,395 | 1,900 | 1,963 50,335 | 2.062 46.315 | 2,153 46,879 | |
| Naval Energy & Environ. Support Activity (NEESA) | 3.881 | 4,114 | 4,057 | 4,157 | 4.016 | 4.029 | |
| Total Field Operations: | 60,262 | 56,472 | 54,734 | 56,455 | 52,393 | 53,061 | |

| - i |
|----------|
| - 1 |
| 1 |
| 덨 |
| 3 |
| 두 |
| 벋 |
| ᇯ |
| ಆ |
| ا ا |
| = |
| 9 |
| + |
| 2 |
| 엉 |
| a |
| |
| |
| - |
| <u> </u> |
| |
| • • |
| ဌ |
| ō |
| 5 |
| > |
| ئب |
| 5 |
| Ξ |
| τ |
| |

| Increases and Decreases (continued). |
|--------------------------------------|
| Incre |
| ciliation of |
| B. Recon |

| stments |
|----------------|
| \Rightarrow |
| 급 |
| 7 |
| < |
| ng |
| \overline{z} |
| \preceq |
| F |
| <u>ي</u> ن |

| S | | |
|--|---------------|---------|
| Raise | | |
| Pay | | |
| Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | | |
| 1992 | 1) Classified | Raises |
| F | | > |
| of | _ | 4 |
| tion | ifie | frec |
| 23 | 155 | ~ |
| lal | ວ | 9 |
| Ann | <u>_</u> | <u></u> |
| Ą. | | α |

Classified ن . 0

Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF)
1) Non-Fuel (Supplies, Materials and Equipment)
2) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund)

Other Pricing Adjustments 0

Program Decreases . و

Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 Š

Funding for general purpose computer services is transferred to central defense account,

Reflects continued reduction in Facilities Corporate Information Management. 5

Engineering products and services provided to customers based on major claimant determination of product/services priorities.

7. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

803

2,247

(989) 680

(1,653) 1,653 (-209) -217 (123) -1,579

(-1,579)

-1,516

-63

\$53,061

III. Performance Criteria.

Engineering Field Divisions (EFDs)

Field Divisions (EFDs), Navy Energy/Environmental Support Activity (NEESA) and the Environment Restoration Program (ER.D) (They are preceded by capital alphas). The budgeted resource dollars in the EFDs and NEESA categories represent inhouse effort and related costs in support of the major mission responsibilities identified below each of these responsibilities. (These are preceded by lower case alpha characters.) The units/actions themselves do situational circumstances do not allow for a simple "average cost" per unit pricing approach. An example of this workload, in order to provide a concept of workload quantification for the effort associated with the fulfillment the circumstances unique to that acquisition and another similar action, because of its individual circumstances, of these two categories. (The mission responsibilities are preceded by numerics.) The mission responsibilities would be under Real Estate transactions where effort associated with a single land acquisition is dependent upon The performance criteria provided for Field Operations is broken down into three major categories; Engineering not necessarily relate one to one with resources that support them. Individual complexity, timing and other are further broken down into units, such as products, actions and dollars associated with related programs/ may be more or less intensive.

| | | | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|---------------|--|----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| • | Enginee | Engineering Field Divisions (EFDS) (\$000): | \$54,407 | \$50,335 | \$46,315 | \$46,879 |
| | 1. Fac Rea | Facilities/Base Planning and Real Estate Admin. (\$000) | \$18,893 | \$17,673 | \$16,224 | \$16,422 |
| | | Facilities Requirements Plans (#): Project Documentation Reviews (#): | 105 | 55 988 | 52 858 | 50 |
| | | Maintenance of Navy Facilities Assets Data Base (Average Number of Transactions) (#): | 609 | 564 | 495 | 453 |
| | Ġ. | Master Plans & Other Base/Regional Planning | 305 | 286 | 256 | 250 |
| | | <pre>Documents (#) (This includes inhouse support and oversight associated with Overseas and</pre> | | | | |
| | | CONUS Civil Engineering Support plans, Encroach- | | 1 | | |
| | | plans, Special Planning studies, regional and | _ | GC0711 | | |
| | | systems studies, fleet readiness plans and continuity of operations plans.) | | | | |

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued)

| FY 1993 | 864 | 327 | S | \$19.384 | 390 | 258 | 217 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|----|----------|-------------------|-----|---|
| FY 1992 | 887 | 350 | иn | \$19,151 | 405 | 260 | 228 34 |
| FY 1991 | 1,007 | 372 | ß | \$20.859 | 442 | 296 | 250 |
| FY 1990 | 1,055 | 421 | 7 | \$22,983 | 464 | 325 | 257 |
| . Performance Criteria (continued). | o Roal Estate Transactions (#): | and Out-Grants.) f. Natural Resources Documents (#): | | . ב | torage and torage | | Uncomments (**): (This includes inhouse support and oversight associated with initial and detailed Seismic Studies, Airfield Pavement Surveys, Fire Protection Surveys, Operation and Maintenance Manuals, Standard Performance Work Statements, Baseline Productivity Studies and Major and Minor CESE Management Improvement Studies.) C. Activity Assistance visits, Audits and Validations (#): |
| 111. | | | | | | | |

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued)

| FY 1990 FY 1 | of the Navy Environmental \$2,240 \$2. | ti-media environmental at Navy and Marine Corps (#) Asbestos Abatement Program by ctivities with asbestos | king \$ 24,357 | ting serious health and safety order to comply with OSHA Program Value (\$000) | Utilities; Engineering and Management Support to major claimants with regard to all Naval Shore Facilities (\$000): | Utility Plant/Systems Assessment (#): | 41 | 583 (#): 44 | and Management of Commercial |
|--------------|--|---|-----------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|----|----------------|------------------------------|
| | | | | • | | | | .: | • |
| ry 1990 | \$2,240 | 63 | 124,357 | 113,000 | \$8,367 | 41 | 41 | 583 44 | 125 500 |
| FY 1991 | \$2,315 | 69 | \$9,757 | \$14,978 | \$7,626 | 36 | 36 | 570 32 | 000000000 |
| FY 1992 | \$2,228 | 07 | \$8,569 | \$11,610 | \$7,003 | 32 | 32 | 514 28 | #03 F F O |
| FY 1993 | \$2,255 | | \$8,633 | \$11,580 | \$7,088 | 31 | 31 | 495 27 | €800 580 |

| FY 1993 | \$1,730 | 05 9 4 | 29 | 9 EI | \$4,029 | \$1,165 | 4 | - | 0 | S | 6 | 5 | 23 |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|-----------------------|--|---|----|---------------|---|--------------------------|--|
| FY 1992 | \$1.709 | 52 9 | 11 11 14 | 00 13 | \$4.016 | \$1,160 | 4 | - | 0 | 9 | 10 | 91 | ት |
| FY 1991 | \$1,862 | 57 10 6 | 34 15 15 | 00.51 | 24.157 | \$1.202 | • | • | 0 | ĸ | 10 | 20 | 25 |
| FY 1990 | \$1,924 | 57 10 6 | 38 13 | 14200 | \$3.881 | \$1,123 | 4 | - | 4 | 9 | 6 | 21 0000118 | 25. 25 |
| III. Performance Criteria (continued). | Energy Engineering In Support of the Shore Establishment (\$000): | a. Steam Trap Maintenance Programs (#): b. Single Building Controller Projects (#): c. Boiler/Chiller Plant Monitoring Systems (#): | Energy Mana Visits/Comp Shared Ener Shared Ener | g. Inird Party Kenewable Energy Contracts (#/): h. Third Party Cogeneration Energy Contracts (#/): i. Third Party Energy Contract Development (#/): j. Third Party Energy Contract Administration (#/): | B. Navy Energy/Environmental Support Activity (NEESA) (\$000): | 1. Utilities (\$000): | a. Develop inspection and maintenance criteria and technology and evaluate against system performance (components) (#) | sion of Design manuals and manuals (documents) (#): | == | > 0 | energy and utilities managen at Navy activities (#): | Manage data EAR, DEIS | g. Cost management modernization prices h. Manage thermal plant technical assistance (plants)(#) |

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued)

| III. | Performance | Performance Criteria (continued). | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|------|----------------|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | B. Navy Ene | Navy Energy/Environmental Support Activity. (continued) | | | | |
| | ÷ | Install computer managed maintenance modernization systems (systems) (#) | - | _ | 2 | 2 |
| | 4 | Third Party Contract & Technical Support | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | <u>ن</u> د | | 10 | 10 | 6 | 6 |
| | - | Direct digital controls EFD training and | m | 3 | 3 | m |
| | Ē | Direct digtal controls installation and troubleshooting | 9 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| | 2. Envi | Environmental Program & Pollution Abatement (\$000): | \$2,303 | \$2,467 | \$2,384 | \$2,391 |
| | ત્વં | Assist activities in air emission compliance | | | | |
| | | cations, regulatory negotiation, project | 10 | 10 | 11 | 13 |
| | ف | Implement hazardous waste minimization technology (activities) (#): | 65 8 | 88 8 | 57 8 | 55 8 |
| | . . | Refurbish oil skimmers (#/ Prepare environmental guides/reports / | 15 | 17 | 19 | 18 |
| | نه | provide environmental and safety & health | 36 | 37 | 40 | 38 |
| | ÷ | Develop and provide information bulletins on laws and regulations (#) | - | σ. | 10 | 6 |

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued)

| FY 1993 | 4 | 23 | S 80 | ∢ | \$473 | -0 | = | 37 |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|--|---|--|---|---|
| FY 1992 | 4 | 24 6 | 6 5 | ю | \$472 | 12 | Ξ | 4 |
| FY 1991 | 4 | 20 6 | 24 | 8 | \$488 | . 61 | 10 | 47 |
| FY 1990 | က | 15 | 23 | 2 | \$455 | 2 16 | Ξ | 41 |
| 111 Dorformance Criteria (continued). | Oevelon oil and hazardous substance plans (#): | h. Provide/manage environmental data bases and prepare reports (PCR, PCB, HW, IR, Solid Waste) (#) | Provide Solid Maste activity assistance (1,e., j. Provide Solid Maste activity assistance (1,e., recycling, material reutilization store) Massis requiation review and intervention (#): | 1. Manage air toxic hot spot inventories, plans, | 3. Mobile Utility Support Equipment (MUSE) (\$000): | <pre>a. Develop specifications for equipment procure- ment and overhaul (#):</pre> | c. Provide engineering assistance to activities | <pre>d. Inspect contractor progress on procurement/</pre> |

| 111. | III. Performance Criteria (continued). | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|------|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | C. Operations Support - Field (OSF) (\$000) | \$1,974 | \$1,963 | \$2,062 | \$2,153 |
| | Morkyears | 33 | 37 | 37 | 37 |
| | Major Functional Categories: | | | | |

Legal

Provide legal advice and services in the area of business and commercial law, for real estate, construction, public utilities and public works including the legal aspects of:
- acquisition, custody, and disposal of real and personal property;
- procurement matters;

industrial security; and opinions and approvals as to the legality of contracts.

Performance Criteria (continued) III.

Operations Support - Field (OSF) (continued)

Prepare independent scientific and technical analysis to identify and evaluate alternative courses of action which impact on Navy activities fleet support, fleet operating capabilities and force readiness. Conducts studies to determine means of achieving optimum allocation of resources in Operational Research and Economic Analysis Field Operations.

Establishes engineering standards, criteria, manuals and directives on design and construction of structures and facilities, such as: Engineering Technical Services

- reviews problems in planning & design
- makes technical review of drawings and specifications
 - determines applications
- initiates research projects new methods of design, analysis and construction
 - creates schematics
- performs studies of operational requirements
- recommends adoption of new material and methods of construction provides testimony and technical advice
 - - certifies engineering systems

"NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME."

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued)

| ≥. | Personnel Summary. | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|----|------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | End Strength | | | | | |
| | A. Military Officer Enlisted | 117 76 41 | 129 87 42 | 129 87 42 | 1 <u>23</u> 85 38 | |
| | B. <u>Civilian</u> USDH FNDH | 1,131 | 875 875 - | <u>842</u> 842 - | <u>861</u> 861 | |
| | FILE | ı | 1 | • | ı | |

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: <u>Logistics Support Services</u>
Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Naval Facilities Engineering Command

Description of Operations Financed.

support to the fleet through structural inspection of radio towers; (d) Chemical, Biological, and Radiological (CBR) Warfare Protection Program which provides protective masks, suits, and meters to counter the effects of CBR warfare; (e) Planning Studies Program provides architectural and engineering services and studies, computer Funding supports shore facilities and fleet support programs which are the responsibility of the Naval Facilities Engineering Command and include: (a) Collateral Equipment Program which provides centralized funding for collateral equipment required to initially outfit new military construction at naval activities throughout the shore establishment; (b) Engineering Investigations Program which provides engineering investigations, feasibility studies and surveys for all naval activities; (c) Inspection of Radio Towers Program provides direct support, mapping support and specialized industrial support studies; (f) Poilution Abatement Program identifies pollution abatement deficiencies, develops technical solutions and provides technical assistance to all Navy field activities to comply with various public laws; (g) Federal Military Standards and Specifications Program specifications; (h) Fleet Moorings Program provides for the installation, relocation, inspection, maintenance and repair of moorings; (i) the Ocean Facilities Program provides for the maintenance, repair and overhaul of specialized ocean construction equipment; and (j) Materials Technology, which consists of (l) Public Works Support; (2) non-2C cognizance equipment used by the Naval Construction Force; (3) Energy Engineering; and (4) provides for development, review, conversion, consultation and publications of federal and military Public Private Venture (P/PV) Development.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | FY 1990 Actual | Budget Request | Appro- C priation E | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Request | FY 1993 Request | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--|
| Collateral Equipment | 38,165 | 382 | 0 382 | 332 | 335 | 350 | |
| Inspection of Radio Towers Engineering Investigations | 2,276 | 2,356 | 2,356 | 2,306 | 1,939 | 1,984 | |
| Planning Studies Chemical Riol Radiol | 1,570 | 2,980 | 2,500 | 1,500 | 3,199 | 2,966 | |
| Fleet Moorings | 2,476 | 3,092 | 3,092 | 1,876 | 917 | 946 | |
| Ocean Facilities Federal Military Stds. | . 800 | 1,924 | 1,924 | 1,860 | 1,743 | 1,885 0 | |
| Pollution Abatement Materials Technology | 3.974 | 4.473 | 4,473 | 2,539 | 2,436 | 2,636 | |
| Total Log. Spt Svcs: | 56,441 | 51,068 | 51,066 | 14,680 | 15,010 | 15,282 | |

4

Collateral Equipment was decentralized to the major claimants beginning in FY 1991 and realigned to sub-activity
group ZURM (Collateral Equipment).
 Seginning in FY 1950 the Pollution Abatement program has been realigned to sub-activity group E4RX (Environmental
protection Projects) to comply with Congressional direction to fully identify funding for environmental compliance.

| œ | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | \$000 | ଥ |
|---|---|----------|--------|
| i | Control Cottonsto | \$14,680 | 680 |
| | i. It issuinate | • | 715 |
| | 2. Pricing Adjustments | - | 2 |
| | | (18) | |
| | A. Annualization of PY 1991 Direct Pay naises | 18 | |
| | 1) Classified | (53) | |
| | B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | 53 | |
| | | (140) | |
| | C. Defense Business Operations rund (upor) | 140 | |
| | 1) Other DBOF (Industrial rund) | (504) | |
| | U. Uther Pricing Aujustiments | - | 077 |
| | 3. Program Increases | <u>-</u> | 900 |
| | | (1 668) | |
| | | | |
| | 1) Increase in Non 2-C Cognizance Equipment Procedument | 56 | |
| | | | |
| | 2) Increased elloit in the Con pros.cm (TPE) | | |
| | initial outfitting for overseas bases. | 1,042 | |
| | | -2, | -2,053 |
| | 4. Program Decreases | | |
| | | (-2,053) | |
| | A. Other Program Decreases in 1.3.2. | | |
| | state-of-the-art criteria supporting the | | |
| | design and construction of Naval Facilities | -473 | |
| | including the selamic program: | | |

000722

:

| <u>000</u> \$ | -252 | -103 -43 -212 | -327 | \$15,010 | (27) 27 27 (78) 78 (20) (20) (20) (430) |
|--|--|---|---|---|---|
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).4. Program Decreases (continued) | 2) Decrease in Federal/Military Standards and Specifications Design Criteria Program, resulting in a lengthened "update" cycle. 3) The decrease will extend the cycle for Fleet Mooring inspections | A) Reduction in the number of planned Operation and Maintenance Energy Services (OMES) contracts from two to one. 5) Fewer P/PV project feasibility studies will be performed. | 6) Reflects the reductions of required maintenance support for the Ocean Construction Platform SEACON and the completion in FY 1991 of support efforts for the acquisition of the Artic Table of Allowance Augment for the fleet. 7) Reduced planning effort associated with the Air Installation Compatible Use Program (AICUZ) and | the Land Ose Companions (LOC) program. 5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request 6. Pricing Adjustments | |

| (continued). |
|--------------|
| Decreases |
| s and |
| Increase |
| þ |
| ation |
| Reconcili |
| . |

| • |
|---|
| e |
| S |
| ø |
| a |
| _ |
| Ü |
| Ě |
| = |
| |
| E |
| 7 |
| Ξ |
| Ď |
| ŏ |
| 7 |
| 5 |
| _ |
| |
| |
| |

8. Program Decreases

| | protective | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 | 1) Reduce efforts for outfitting CBR protective | equipment for overseas bases. |

9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$15,282

-339

(-338)

-339

26

(26)

56

2000

Performance Criteria III.

Collateral Equipment

The FY 1990 budget includes resources for initial outfitting of Congressionally authorized Military Construction, Navy (MCON) projects and the Government of Japan (GOJ) Relocation and Facilities Improvement Programs.

FY1992 FY 1991 FY 1990

0

38,165

Initial Outfitting-MCON (\$000)

Collateral Equipment is decentralized to the major claimants beginning in FY 1991, and realigned to AG/SAG ZURM.

Inspection of Radio Towers œ.

of potential problem areas, prevent possible structural tower failure, and identify maintenance deficiencies so that they may save extensive rehabilitation costs. The inspections are in direct support of Naval Telecommunications Command, Naval Security Group Command, Commander in Chief U.S. Atlantic Fleet, Commander in Chief U.S. Pacific Fleet, Chief of Naval Operations, Naval Air Systems Command, Chief, Bureau of Medicine and Surgery and the Marine Corps. Radio tower inspections are performed by professional contractual personnel who provide early detection

The present scope includes examination of individual elements, rate of deterioration, effect of damage. necessity for repair, tower verticality, and rod alignment. Additionally, the following requirements are included in all contracts:

- Inspect all counterweight subsystems
 - Inspect all top hat subsystems
- inspect all feed line subsystems
- Inspect all cables in running rigging subsystems Inspect a random sampling of bolts for corrosion
- Inspect structure for compliance to safety regulations

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

3. Inspection of Radio Towers (continued).

| FY 1993 350 | ננ |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| FY 1922 335 | 184 |
| FY 1991 332 | 70 |
| FY 1990 353 | 153 |
| | |
| TOTAL DOLLARS (\$000) | Towers Inspected |

Certain activities inspect their towers on a two year frequency and others on a four year frequency. In FY 1991 and FY 1993 there is a preponderance of 1230-1500 foot towers which are fewer in number but more costly per unit while in FY 1990 and FY 1992 a large number of 100-300 towers, spread throughout the Pacific, are The frequency of radio tower inspections vary each fiscal year for several reasons. inspected.

C. Engineering Investigations

laboratories via contract and is a key element in the Naval Facilities Engineering Command's ability to mobilize quickly the skills, talents, and knowledge required to resolve facilities' problems in four important areas: (1) Criteria, (2) Multi Service/Agency Support Programs, (3) Seismic Engineering, and The Engineering Investigations (E.I.) Program provides immediate access to the private sector and (4) Unpredictable project requirements for all Naval activities.

| TOTAL DOLLARS (\$000) | FY 1990 2,276 | 2,306 | FY 1992 1,939 | 1,984 |
|--------------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------|
| Number of Investigations | 56 | 22 | 11 | 11 |

D. Planning Studies

accomplished using in-house capability at NAVFAC Engineering field Divisions and Public Works Centers. This program provides planning studies, including Complex and Activity Master Plans, for Navy shore activities using Architectural and Engineering (A&E) contracts. Contracted studies supplement those This program also funds computerized planning systems which support in-house planning capability.

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

Funds are used to provide intermediate products as well as final products. For instance, A&E Planning Studies buy noise studies which are used in writing Air Installation Compatible Use Zone Chapters (AICUZ) for master plans as well as activity and complex master plans. Studies vary significantly in scope and the length of time required for accomplishment.

E. Chemical, Biological, Radiological

e.g. Includes Masks, Protective Suits, Boots, Gloves, Medications, Individual Decontamination Materials, and other materials required by individuals to survive in a chemically contaminated environment. Chemical, Biological, Radiological (CBR) warfare program is part of the initiative by the Navy to equip Naval Construction Force (NCF) and overseas base personnel with Individual Protective Equipment (IPE)

| Individual Protective Equipment | 1,570 | 1,500 | FY 1992 3, 199 | 2,966 |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| TOTAL DOLLARS (\$000) | 1,570 | 1,500 | 3,199 | 2,966 |

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

F. Fleet Moorings

NAVFAC budgets for procurement, installation, maintenance, and repair of Fleet Moorings.

| | FY 1997 | _ | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | ~ | FY 1993 | |
|--|---------|----------|---------|-------|---------|----------|---------|----------|
| | No. | , | Š | 4 | No. | <u>-</u> | | ~ |
| Overhauls/Repairs | 54 | 199 | 7 | 1,584 | 9 | 1,608 | 9 | 1,621 |
| Upgrades-New chain/ cathodíc protect. | 9 | 119 | 2 | 200 | က | 213 | 4 | 21: |
| Installation of Moorings | 12 | 1,227 | ı | ı | i | t | ı | ı |
| Cyclical Inspection | 20 | 78 | 35 | 92 | 9 | | 38 | 108 |
| TOTAL DOLLARS (\$000) | | 2,476 | | 1,876 | | 1,894 | | 1,946 |

Performance Criteria (continued).

G. Ocean Facilities

equipment in the Ocean Construction Equipment Inventory (OCEI). This equipment provides the Underwater Construction Teams of the Naval Construction Force (NCF/UCI) with the capability to respond to and fulfill both exigent and planned Fleet needs for construction, inspection, maintenance, and repair of high value ocean and underwater facilities. This line also supports the acquisition of the Initial Issue of Arctic and the other TOA items which are transitioning out of R&D, and the development of the required manuals. The Ocean Facilities Program provides for the overhaul, maintenance, and repair of ocean construction The acquisitions are needed in order to provide required new capabilities in the NCF/UCT's.

average, these requirements have not been met for the last several years due to fiscal constraints. The current value of the OCEI inventory is \$26M. Current reimbursably-funded construction workload is \$47M. Specifically, 90% of all regular OCEI items must be RFI on 48-hours notice, to match NCF/UCT mission requirements. Similarly, 80% of the heavy lift equipment in the OCEI must be RFI on 14-days notice. Actual workload directly supported by the OCEI is much higher. The general allocation of funds is: The equipment in the OCEI must be maintained in Ready for Issue (RFI) condition for the Fleet.

| | FY 1990 | PY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|-----------|---------|---------|---------|
| Maintenance and overhaul of the Ocean Construction Equip. Inventory | 1,165 | 844 | 602 | 643 |
| Replacement and Spare Parts | 100 | 105 | 110 | 115 |
| Facilities Support and Maintenance | 80 | 85 | 06 | 06 |
| New Equipment (under OPN threshhold) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Acquisition of Initial Issue Arctic TOA for NCF/UCT | 20 | 50 | 10 | 10 |
| Procurement Support | CC 233 42 | 09 | 99 | 70 |
| Manual Development TOTAL DOLLARS (\$000) | 50 1,637 | 1,194 | 917 | 978 |

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

H. Federal Military Standards

This workload, NAVFAC's assigned portion of the DOD Standardization and Specification Program, assures the initial development, updating, and maintenance of reference standards needed in procuring Navy facilities and Seabee equipment. The work also maintains systems used to manage NAVFAC's technical criteria.

| - | DOD Specification and Standard Program (DSSP) | | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--------------|---|--------|------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | a. Prepare and update DSSP document. b. Provide input, adopt/readopt non- government standards (NGS). | | 112 240 | 112 242 | 118 | 133 |
| | c. Provide NAVFAC requirements for DSSP documents prepared by others. | | 480 | 464 | 419 | 431 |
| | d. Provide NAVFAC input to NGS being prepared by others. | | 350 | 338 | 306 | 314 |
| | e. Manage Federal Supply Classes (FSC) and Areas. | | 009 | 280 | 524 | 539 |
| | f. Provide reports to DOD, e.g. Defense Management Review, Case Studies, etc. (No. of actions) | | 20 | 48 | 44 | 45 |
| | NAVFAC Criteria Support a. Prepare and publish Military Rullatin 34 (No. of issues) | | 4 | 4 | က | 4 |
| | .≘≎ | • | 460 | 387 | 384 | 431 |
| | TOTAL DOLLARS (\$000) | 000130 | 1,800 | 1,860 | 1,743 | 1,885 |

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

1. MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY

1. Public Morks Support

which provides for software maintenance, installation, and training of public works personnel; Base Support functions: Engineering Performance Standards (EPS) program which provides funds for Army. Air Force, and Marine Corps EPS Utilization studies; and Specialized Inspections whose funds are used to conduct roof moisture surveys and underwater waterfront inspections for shore activities. This line item includes four programs - Public Works Management Automation (PMMA), formerly BEST, Operating Support (BOS) which provides resources for the Navy's management of Base Operating

| FY 1993 | 1,506 |
|---------|-----------------------|
| 7661 14 | 1,397 |
| FY 1991 | 1,342 |
| FY 1990 | 1,773 |
| | TOTAL DOLLARS (\$000) |
| | יר ססרדא |
| | T01A |

2. Public/Private Venture (P/PV) Development

(Third Party Financing)

as Third Party Financing, for a number of functions, facilities, or services. Funds are provided to evaluate programs in which P/PV might be viable, to develop guidance for implementing such projects. Congress has encouraged or directed the services to consider use of this alternative, formerly known and to initiate execution of prototypical P/PV effort. Specific areas to be studied include: Family and Bachelor Housing; Administrative and Logistic Support; Hospital & Medical Facilities. Utilities; and Morale, Welfare and Recreation, including Child Care Centers.

| | FY 1990 | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|-----------------------|---------|-----|---------|---------|
| TOTAL DOLLARS (\$000) | 702 | 689 | 503 | 570 |
| | | 34 | | |

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

3. Energy Engineering (EEP/EIAP)

Energy Engineering, EEP/ETAP, in support of the shore establishment includes steam trap maintenance, energy contracts, third party renewable energy contracts, third party energy cogeneration energy contracts, third party energy contract administration. assessment and assistance visits/compliance assistance, shared energy site investigations, shared single building controller projects, boiler/chiller plant monitoring systems, energy management

| FY 1993 | 0 |
|---------|-----------------------|
| FY 1992 | 0 |
| FY 1991 | 17 |
| FY 1990 | 8963 |
| | TOTAL DOLLARS (\$000) |

4. 000 COG

Non - 2C Cognizance Equipment replaces wornout pieces and accommodates changes to the Table of Allowances for the Naval Construction Force (NCF).

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| TOTAL DOLLARS (\$000) | 536 | 491 | 536 | 260 |
| MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY TOTAL DOLLARS (\$000) | 3,974 | 2,539 | 2,436 | 2,636 |

"NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME."

Activity Group: Logistics Support Services (continued)

| FY 1993 | | 1 | <u> </u> |
|--------------------------------|--------------|---|--|
| FY 1992 | | 111 | 4 4 4 · · · |
| FY 1991 | | 1 | 1 1 1 1 |
| FY 1990 | | 1 1 1 | 1 1 1 |
| IV. <u>Personnel Summary</u> : | End Strength | A. <u>Military</u> Officer Enlisted | B. <u>Civilian</u> USDH FNDH FNIH |

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property
Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Naval Facilities Engineering Command

Description of Operations Financed.

Maintenance of Real Property supports repair of and minor construction additions to naval facilities which are critical to preservation of fleet support activities. The sub-activities included under the Real Property Maintenance group are described below:

A. Maintenance/Repair

Facilities Maintenance - finances routinely scheduled maintenance and emergency repairs for NAVFAC field activities.

to bring existing facilities into adequate condition to permit activities to fulfill their assigned Major Repair - finances more substantial maintenance projects over \$75 thousand which are required mission. Also included is the cost of the administration and contract execution of the entire Navy/Marine Corps Operations and Maintenance Repair Projects program by the Engineering Field Divisions; and the cost of projects specifically designed to correct facility deficiencies relating to the Navy's Occupational Safety and Health Program.

Minor Construction – finances projects under \$200 thousand for alterations to facilities, extensions of utility systems, additions to existing facilities, replacement of damaged or deteriorated facilities. In addition, the installation of equipment which is made part of a facility to permit activities to accomplish their assigned mission is also financed in this sub-activity group. In FY 1990, it also funds minor construction relating to the Navy's Occupational Safety & Health Program. ₩.

II Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

| _· | | | . | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|---|---|--|
| 22 | Budget Reguest | 51,052 | 0 | 73,042 51,052 |
| 777 | Reddet | 72,101 51,052 | 0 | 73,042 |
| | Estimate | 78,593 | (-3) | 80,061 |
| 99 | 10 | 9 89,694 84,579 78,593 1 14,888 14,888 1,471 | 0 | 99,467 |
| | Request priat | 89,694 14,888 | 0 | 95,020 104,582 99,467 |
| | FY 1990 Actual | 85,659 9,361 | 0 | 95,020 |
| A Cub Activity Groun Breakout | - | Maintenance and Repair 1/ Minor Construction | Offsetting Fuel Reduction for Supplemental Appropriation | Total Maintenance of Real Property (BA-7) |

1/ Includes \$3 thousand unfunded fuel requirements in FY 1991 necessary to execute programs.

のたいいと

| | (3) (457) 422 35 35 (1,371) 1,265 106 (917) -4 29 892 (102) | (-5,698) -128 -128 -5,570 -221 -5,349 |
|--|---|--|
| Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (continued) B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate 2. Pricing Addustments | | Functional Program Transfers Transfers Out Intra-Appropriation Intra-Appropriation Intra-Appropriation Inter-Appropriation Inter-Appropriation Inter-Appropriation In accordance with Defense Management In accordance with Defense Commissary Operations is transferred to the Defense Commissary Agency. Conversion of PWC Yokosuka, Japan from O&M.N to Navy Industrial Fund (NIF) |

-5,698

3,620

\$80,061

| æ. | Rec | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | | \$000 |
|----|-----|--|--------------------------------|----------|
| | 4 | Program Decreases | | -4,941 |
| | : | A. Other Program Decrea 1) Decreased effort and repair. 2) Based upon an ag Government of Ja Support" of U.S. of Japan will as our Japanese wor Forces Japan uti electricity, and | (-4,941) | |
| | | 3) Funding for general purpose computer services is transferred to central defense account, Corporate Information Management. | -129 | |
| | 5. | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | \$73,042 |
| | 9 | Pricing Adjustments | | 3,058 |
| | | A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | (682) 624 58 58 | |
| | | B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | (2,046) 1,873 173 | |
| | | | (-194) 184 -378 (524) | |
| | | U. Other Pricing Adjustments | | |

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (continued)

\$51,052

-3,312

-51

| (continued). |
|--------------|
| Decreases |
| es and |
| ncreases |
| lof |
| iatio |
| Reconcil |
| . |

0003

-18,378

(-18,378) -18,378

-18,378

| 'n |
|---------|
| ransfer |
| ogram T |
| nal Pro |
| unctio |
| ٦. |

| s Out | 1) Inter-Appropriation | Funding for Major Repair Projects and | Minor Construction transferred to MILCON. |
|---------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| Fransfers Out | ter-Appro | Funding | Minor C |
| Transf | | | |
| ٠ | | | |

Program Decreases **ж**

-6,670

(-6,670)

-3,307

9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (continued)

III. Performance Criteria.

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

AUDIT # IYE

IYPE IIILE

1989. Monetary Savings: Implied No Savings Found

FY 1994

FY 1993

FY 1992

89-080 (8SA-0042) DODIG Real

3 Real Property Leased By DOD, 23 Jun 1989. Monetary

space. The audit was also made to determine whether monetary thresholds are reasonable and whether internal controls are effective. DODIG recommended that: 1) the DON comply with policy in DOD Directive 4156.6 that requires components to review their real property holdings by performing utilization surveys and completing reports of the survey results, and 2) installations be required to prepare requests for funds when it is more cost effective to renovate or construct general purpose facilities than to lease. constructing new buildings when cost effective, and moving activities from leased space into DOD-owned The audit objective was to determine whether DOD is renovating unused or under-used facilities, or the DON concurred.

"NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME."

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (continued)

| IV. <u>Personnel Summary</u> : | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|---------|---------------------|
| End Strength | | | | |
| A. <u>Military</u> Officer Enlisted | 55 10 45 | 57 10 47 | 4 4 4 | 4 4 4 4 0 |
| B. <u>Civilian</u> USDH FNDH FNIH | 1,243 1,131 - | 1,298 1,161 - 137 | 1,091 | 1,042 1,042 - |

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: Other Base Operations
Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Naval Facilities Engineering Command

Description of Operations Financed.

The Other Base Operations Program involves support of twelve functions (sub-activities) related to operation of various field activities which are under Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC) direction. Also included is a number of centrally managed Navy world-wide programs. The sub-activities included under the Other Base Operations program are described below:

- Utility Operations. Included are costs of purchased utilities and also utility system generation/distribution costs where applicable at all field activities under NAVFAC direction. The Mobile Utility Support Equipment (MUSE) Overhaul Program finances the repair of portable steam plants, electric substation, and power generators. The Coal and Mater Analysis Program supports quality testing of coal burned at naval facilities and water treatment testing for boilers. ₹
- Bachelor Housing. Provides support for the operation of barracks, personnel housing, BOQs, BEQs and the purchase and maintenance of personnel support equipment related to the housing of personnel Personnel Operations. **ж**
- activities, laundry and dry cleaning facilities and initial procurement, repair, and replacement of Other Personnel Support. Provides for food service facilities (mess halls, galleys), sales furniture and furnishings.
- 3. Morale, Welfare and Recreation. Provides appropriated fund support for shore based recreation activities, special services, personnel support equipment, libraries, clubs and military and civilian dependents general recreation as authorized.

いるとうこと

Description of Operations Financed (continued).

Other Base Operations (continued)

Activity Group:

- prior to issuance worldwide, as well as procurement and other activities common to an organic supply Retail Supply Operations. This function involves storage of Seabee support material inventories Base Operations - Mission. ن
- 2. Maintenance of Installation Equipment. Included in this sub-activity group is maintenance of plant equipment at Construction Battalion Centers. Overhaul of NAVFAC-owned service craft such as working tugs employed at coastal facilities is also funded here.
- vehicle/craft operation and routine maintenance. Also included is the centrally managed program for Civil Engineering Equipment Overhaul which covers periodic rehabilitation of heavy engineering equipment used world-wide. Operation of Family Service Centers at major NAVFAC field activities is also covered Other Base Services. The costs budgeted here are for base transportation and associated
- 1. Engineering Support. This area includes public works administration, custodial services, garbage collection, facility inspection, and firefighting services performed at NAVFAC activities. Base Operations - Ownership. <u>ہ</u>
- 2. Administration. Funding covers costs of financial management operations, as well as personnel and training offices, at Construction Battalion Centers and the Naval Support Facility.
- 3. Automated Data Processing. This sub-activity group is composed of the management support costs of in-house computer programming, as well as equipment rental and other contractual ADP purchases.
 - Provides for major asbestos removal projects and hazardous waste disposal costs at NAVFAC activities. Hazardous Maste Operations.
 - 5. Physical Security. Provides for lock security specifications and physical security program management at the Engineering Field Divisions and other field activities.

Activity Group: Other Base Operations (continued)

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| 993 lest | 164 152 187 158 | 0 | 015 |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|-----------------------------|
| FY 1993 <u>Request</u> | 7,364 2,752 29,787 29,258 | 2,449 | 71,610 |
| FY 1992 Reguest | 7,119 2,603 29,432 30,258 | 2,349 | 71,761 |
| Appro- Current priation Estimate | 7,372 4,365 32,608 37,454 | -402 3,123 | 84,520 |
| FY 1991 Appro- priation | 7,832 3,841 35,936 36,173 | 3,077 | 86,859 |
| Budget Request | 7,872 3,945 36,855 37,615 | 3,377 | 89,664 |
| FY 1990 Actual | 7,266 5,950 28,796 32,481 | 3,797 | 78,290 |
| | Other Base Operations: Oper of Utilities Personnel Operations Mission Operations Ownership Operations | Offsetting Fuel Reduction for Supplemental Approriation Base Communications | Total Other Base Operations |

1/ Includes \$402 Thousand unfunded fuel requirements in FY 1991 necessary to execute programs.

\$000 \$84,520 3,358

| | (402) (337) 249 88 | (1,009) 747 262 (663) | 1,006 (123) (824) | (-8,070) | -1,503 -1,503 -6,567 | -673 |
|---|---|---|---|--|----------------------------|--|
| Activity Group: Other Base Operations (continued) B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate 2. Pricing Adjustments | A. FY 1991 Baseline Fuel Price Increase B. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | 2) Mage Board C. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified 2) Mage Board D. Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) | I) fue; Non-Fue; (Supplies, Materials and Equipment) 3) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) E. FN Indirect Hire F. Other Pricing Adjustments | 3. Functional Program Transfers A. Transfers Out | - | a. In accordance with Defense Management Review initiatives, funding for commissary operations is transferred to the Defense Commissary Agency. b. Conversion of PMC Yokosuka, Japan from O&M,N to Navy Industrial Fund (NIF). |

-8,070

Carlotte Co

Activity Group: Other Base Operations (continued)

| tinued). |
|-----------|
| Ccon |
| Decreases |
| and |
| Increase |
| ٩٥ |
| liation |
| Reconci |
| ∞ |

4. Program Decreases

| ₹ | oth : | A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 | (-8,047) |
|---|----------|--|----------|
| | <u>-</u> | I) In accordance with Defense Management Review initiatives, savings will result from | |
| | | implementation of Electronic Commerce/Electronic | |
| | | Data Interchange Program. | -107 |
| | 2 | 2) In accordance with Defense Management Review | |
| | | initiatives, savings will result from consolidation | |
| | | of Base Engineering Services. | -706 |
| | 3 | 3) Reduced effort in comptroller support, civilian | |
| | | personnel support, and reduced station equipment | |
| | | maintenance contracts at Construction Battalion Centers. | -1,354 |
| | 4 | | |
| | | Mobile Management System and Civil Engineering | |
| | | Support Management Information System. | -893 |

Reduction will result in deferral of overhauls for three crash fire rescue trucks, four crash/hi-lift Support Management Information System.
Reduction due to the overhaul of smaller capacity units 1500 KW units overhauled in FY 1991; 750 KM units overhauled in FY 1992. Per unit cost of smaller capacity units is lower. trucks and one 120 ton locomotive. 2 9

2

-1,765

-383

-1,425

Decrease associated with completion of Facility Support Plans and reduced effort in support of Public Works Engineering.

うちょくしじ

Activity Group: Other Base Operations (continued)

| • |
|------------|
| 즭 |
| 3 |
| H |
| 8 |
| 4 |
| S |
| as(|
| 2 |
| ä |
| 긔 |
| an s |
| S |
| 3 |
| 9 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 힉 |
| 섥 |
| 끏 |
| 7 |
| |
| 崩 |
| 띩 |
| æ |
| <u>.</u> . |
| ш |

4. Program Decreases (continued)

| & 6 | 8) Reduced effort associated with supply support in the area of stock and inventory control. 9) Funding transferred to the centralized DoD Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities account. Program justification is included in the DoD Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities back-up |
|----------------|--|
| | material. |

| Request |
|---------|
| it Re |
| Budget |
| dent's |
| Presi |
| 1992 |
| FY |
| 5. |

191,178

-63

-1,351

2,767

| 44. | 215 |
|-------|----------|
| 4 | E |
| 44 | |
| • | <u> </u> |
| 4 . 4 | |
| Č | _ |
| • | ć |
| | |

| ď | . Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | (722) |
|---|---|---------|
| | 1) Classified | 534 |
| | 2) Wage Board | 188 |
| 8 | . FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises | (1,532) |
| | 1) Classified | 1,134 |
| | 2) Wage Board | 398 |
| ن | . Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) | (-155) |
| • | 1) Fuel | 17 |
| | 2) Non-Fuel (Supplies, Materials and Equipment) | 235 |
| | 3) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) | -401 |
| O | D. Other Pricing Adjustments | (899) |

-2,918

(-2,918)

2003

| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued). 7. Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 Commerce/Electronic Data Interchange Program Commerce/Electronic Data Interchange Program in accordance with Defense Management Revientializatives savings will result from conscore Base Engineering Services. 3) Reduced effort in comptroller support, civilian personnel support, and reduced station equipment maintenance contracts at CBC's. 4) Further reduction in Crash Fire Rescue In Overhaul Program by one unit. 5) Decrease associated with completion of Face Plans and reduced effort in support of Pulpins and reduced effort of Pulpins and Pulpins |
|--|
| |

-409

-758

-274

-248

-1,165

Engineering.

9

material.

æ .

\$71,610 -64 Funding transferred to the centralized DoD Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities account. Program justification is included in the DoD Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities back-up FY 1993 President's Budget Request

SHELLE

Activity Group: Other Base Operations (continued)

III. Performance Criteria.

"NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME."

| FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | 923 909 899 480 466 460 443 443 439 | 1,498 1,172 1,106 1,337 1,172 1,106 |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| | | 4- |
| FY 1990 | <u>876</u> 448 428 | 1,413 1,258 - |
| IV. Personnel Summary: | End Strength. A. Military Officer Enlisted | B. <u>Civilian</u> USDH FNDH FNIH |

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-05

Activity Group: Environmental Protection
Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Naval Facilities Engineering Command

Description of Operations Financed.

operations. This includes determination of the chemical and physical nature of waste; receipt, testing and inspection, issue, transportation and disposal of hazardous waste. It also includes the training or personnel that handle hazardous waste, development of contingency plans and hazardous waste management plans, and the <u>Hazardous Maste</u> - This program provides for hazardous waste disposal and other non-disposal hazardous operation of facilities for storage, treatment, or disposal of hazardous waste.

established public laws. Assists activities in meeting regulatory compliance deadlines in order to avoid Notice of Violations which could impact facility operations. Includes identification of deficiencies, development of technical solutions, technical services to field activities and funding for compliance-oriented projects pursuant Environmental Protection Projects - Centrally managed NAVFAC program to correct environmental deficiences under

<u>Hazard Abatement</u> — Centrally managed NAVFAC programs to assist activities with asbestos inventories, assessments, and abatement; and safety and health projects in order to provide a safe working environment.

Environmental Restoration - The Environmental Restoration Program represents an environmental rehabilitation effort designed to enhance the priority status and visibility of the program.

Beginning in FY 1986 this work was financed with transfers from Environmental Restoration, Defense, (ER,D) appropriation during the execution year. The Navy's Environmental Restoration requirements are budgeted and requested in the ER,D appropriation with the rest of the Department's requirements. A detailed description of the FY 1990 - 1993 program follows:

- Installation Restoration Program. This is a comprehensive, multi-phase program to identify, investigate, Specific projects include Initial Assessment Studies (IAS), Confirmation Studies (CS), groundwater confirm, and clean up contamination from hazardous substances and wastes on active installations. monitoring projects and remedial measures.
- Other Hazardous Waste Operations. These include studies and the purchase of hardware to reduce hazardous waste generation, as well as one-time waste permit costs required under the Resource Conservation and 845000 Recovery Act.

Activity Group: Environmental Protection (continued)

| | FY 1992 Request | 757 79,667 19,383 | 99,807 |
|--|--|---|----------------------------|
| | Budget Appro- Current Request priation Estimate | 813 31,582 24,023 229,681 | 286,099 |
| FY 1991 | Appro- priation | 1 1 1 | ı |
| ı | Budget Request | 1 1 1 | t |
| 7838 | FY 1990 Actual | 769 54,101 14,731 154,258 | 223,859 |
| Activity Group: Environmental Flotstion Continues/ | A. <u>Sub-Activity Group Breakout</u> . | Hazardous Maste Environ Protection Projects Hazard Abatement Environmental Restoration | Total Environ. Protection: |

772 89,872 19,612 0 110,256

FY 1993 Request

| æ | Rec | 8. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | 2000 |
|---|-----|---|---------------------|-----------|
| | | l. FY 1991 Current Estimate | | \$286,099 |
| | 2. | 2. Pricing Adjustments | | 11,810 |
| | | f FY 1 | (65) 65 (195) | |
| | | B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified C 0.font Busines Operations Fund (DBOF) | 195 | |
| | | U. Derense Business Operations (2007) 1) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) D. Other Pricing Adjustments | 939 (10,611) | |
| | | Program Increases | | 46,448 |
| | | A. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 1) Increase will allow the Navy to make significant progress towards correcting existing environmental | (46,448) | |
| | | compliance deficienties in the areas of for FY 1992 waste and Mater. All projects scheduled for FY 1992 funding have a Federal/State environmental compliance deadline that has passed or will pass if not funded within FY 1992. | 46,448 | |
| | ٧ | Program Decreases | | -244,550 |

000120

Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Reflects deferral of two asbestos abatement projects. 2) The decrease will result in the reduction of approximately 27 NAVOSH deficiency abatement projects.

Program Decreases

₹.

¥.

-5,026

(-244,550)

Activity Group: Environmental Protection (continued)

| a |
|-----------|
| tinue |
| (con |
| ecreases |
| and f |
| Increases |
| 100 |
| ation |
| 113 |
| Recon |
| æ |

| $\overline{}$ |
|---------------|
| _ |
| J |
| eq |
| = |
| _ |
| = |
| = |
| |
| - |
| _ |
| 5 |
| 0 |
| - |
| <u>5</u> |
| \smile |
| |
| |
| Decreases |
| a |
| _ |
| S |
| - |
| |
| a, |
| _ |
| $\overline{}$ |
| · |
| æ |
| Ž. |
| _ |
| |
| • |
| = |
| P |
| Program |
| = |
| g |
| 0 |
| ~ |
| - |
| Δ |
| _ |
| |
| |
| _ |
| • |
| ♥ |
| • |
| |

| Request |
|------------|
| Budget |
| sident's |
| 1992 Prest |
| 5. FY 1 |

| ts |
|-------------|
| S |
| Ĕ |
| S |
| Adjustments |
| ¥ |
| Ā |
| Pricing |
| ÷ |
| 4 |
| |
| 6 |
| |

3,607

\$ 99,807

-238,639

| ن ه ن | . Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Kalses 1) Classified . FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified . Defense Business Operations Fund (DBOF) | (294) (294) 294 (49) |
|-------|--|-------------------------------|
| o. |)) Other DBOF (Industrial Fund) Other Pricing Adjustments | (3,157) |

7. Program Increases

6,842

(6,842)

6,842

\$110,256

| A. Other Program Growth in FT 1993 | 1) Increased funding will allow Navy to meet | requilatory requirements of Clean Air Act. | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | | |

^{8.} FY 1993 President's Budget Request

Activity Group: Environmental Protection (continued)

III. Performance Criteria.

Pollution Abatement program provides funding to correct environmental deficiencies under established public laws. Assists activities in meeting regulatory compliance deadlines in order to avoid Notice of Violations which could impact facility operations. Environmental Protection Projects Ä

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Program Value (\$000) | \$54,101 | \$31,582 | \$79,667 | \$89,872 |
| Correct Air Deficiencies (No. of Projects) | 34 | 13 | 56 | 165 |
| Correct Pesticides Deficiencies | 9 | 1 | ~ | i |
| Correct Solid Waste Deficiencies | 262 | 52 | 199 | 99 |
| (No. of Projects) Correct Water Deficiencies (No. of Projects) | 130 | 22 | 192 | 27 |

Activity Group: Environmental Protection (continued)

B. Hazard Abatement

studies are also covered by this program (i.e., Navy-wide Asbestos Product Substitution Program, and development of Asbestos related Guide Specifications). Program also corrects safety and health deficiencies in order to provide for This program provides for asbestos inventories, assessments, and abatement projects for Naval shore facilities. Other Navy-wide asbestos issues and a safe working environment.

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Program Value (\$000) | \$14,731 | \$24,023 | \$19,383 | \$19,612 |
| Asbestos Assessments (No. of Sites) | 15 | 21 | 18 | 20 |
| Asbestos Abatement (No. of Sites) | 20 | 27 | 23 | 25 |
| Correct Fire Safety Deficiencies (No. of Alarm, Smoke Detection, and Hazardous Material Storage Projects) | | 26 | 88 | 23 |
| Correct Occupational Health Deficiencies (No. of Industrial Ventilation and Noise Abatement Projects) | | 19 | 13 | 27 |
| Correct Industrial Safety Deficiencies (No. of Electrical, Walking Surfaces Emergency Egress, Machine Guarding, Eyewash and Safety Shower Projects) | Ç | CCC753 | 17 | 32 |

Activity Group: Environmental Protection (continued)

| | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | 21 | FY 1993 | 93 |
|---|-----------|-----------|---------|----|----------|----|
| Environmental Restoration Program (ER,D) (\$000): This program facilitates the centralized execution of Navy efforts in the area of investigation and cleanup from past hazardous waste disposal and hazardous substance spills into the environment. The products associated with this program are realized through contracts. | \$154,258 | \$229,681 | • | 0 | ~ | 0 |
| Hazardous Waste Operations* Installation Restoration* | 1,973 | 229,681 | | | | |
| Conduct Installation Restoration (IR) studies, investigations, and cleanup actions (#): | 231 | 344 | | | | |
| <pre>Provide management information for all IR sites (# of Sites):</pre> | 5 2,031 | 2,168 | | | | |

"NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME."

Funds transferred in annually from OSD appropriation. DOD has not determined exact Program values for Navy for FY 1992 thru FY 1993.

Activity Group: Environmental Protection (continued)

| ₹. | Personnel Summary: | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|----|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| | End Strength | | | | |
| | A. <u>Military</u> Officer Enlisted | | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 1 |
| | B. <u>Civilian</u> USDH FNDH FNIH | 4 | 1 1 1 1 | 173 | 173 |

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy

Activity Group: Other Aviation Systems Maintenance Budget Activity: Z - Central Supply and Maintenance Claimant: Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

meteorological equipment used in the Navy and Marine Corps. The support includes depot maintenance for satellite data. The data from this equipment greatly increases aircraft and ship safety and is a force multiplier for weapon systems. The Next Generation Radar (NEXRAD) Remote includes all the hardware and weather/storm data from National Weather Service, Federal Aviation Administration and Air Force weather meteorological information automatically at Navy and Marine Corps air stations and remote sites such as Observing System (ASOS) and the Tactical Environmental Support System (TESS). AN/SMQ-10 and MARK IVs are readout terminals capable of receiving and processing high quality satellite meteorological data from joint-service Defense Meteorological Satellite Program (DMSP) satellites for use in tactical air operations. The AN/SMQ-11 Satellite Receiver/Recorder receives both DOD and national high resolution radars. The Automated Surface Observing System senses, collects, displays and disseminates real time Navy Oceanography Data Distribution and Expansion System (NODDES) provides the two primary production existing ship meteorological and oceanographic satellite imagery, and data from shore stations. The rework of meteorological equipment and maintenance support for AN/SMQ-10, Marine MARK IV terminals, AN/SMQ-11 Satellite Receiver/Recorder, Next Generation Radar (NEXRAD) Remote, the Automated Surface weapon ranges and port facilities. The Tactical Environmental Support System (TESS) is a modular, meteorological/oceanographic master data base. Data sources will include local observations from software required for the request, display, local storage, local annotation and distribution of Meteorological Support - Provides funding for the maintenance, life cycle support of all centers and the five regional centers with connectivity to TESS.

Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1992 FY 1992 FY 1992 FY 1992 Budget Budget Budget Budget Budget Meteorological Support 5.012 5.664 5.562 4.599 2.778 3.699 Total 5.012 5.664 5.562 4,599 2,778 3,699 | 993 | set est | 599 | 669 |
|---|---------|--------------------|-------|-------|
| FY 1991 Budget Appro- Current FY 1990 Request priation Estimate 5,012 5,012 5,664 5,562 4,599 | FY 19 | Budg | 3,699 | 3,699 |
| FY 1991 Budget Appro- Current FY 1990 Request priation Estimate 5,012 5,012 5,664 5,562 4,599 | FY 1992 | Budget Request | 2,778 | 2,778 |
| Budget FY 1990 Request 5.012 5.664 5,012 5,664 | ı | | | |
| FX 1990 5.012 5,012 | FY 1991 | Appro- priation | ' | |
| FX 1990 5.012 5,012 | | Budget Request | 5,664 | 7,664 |
| | | FY 1990 | 5.012 | 5,012 |

000755

| | \$4,599 | 222 | | -2,043 | | |
|--|--------------------------|---------------------|---|-------------------|---|---|
| | | | (116) | | and FPS 106 he ll which components 3). | ith the D, ASOS, ed (-45). |
| Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | FY 1991 Current Estimate | Pricing Adjustments | A. Other DBOF B. Other Pricing Adjustments | Program Decreases | A. Other Decreases in FY 1992 System overhauls of SMQ-6, SMQ-10, and FPS 106 are eliminated in anticipation of the introduction of the NEXRAD and SMQ-11 which results in less overhauls for major components associated with these units (-1,513). | Site preparation costs associated with the introduction of new equipment NEXRAD, ASOS, SMQ-11, TESS, and NODDES is decreased (-45). |
| B. Rec | 1. | 2. | | 3. | | |

A decrease in hardware being introduced requires less ISEA/SSA support following installation to provide hardware and software fleet engineering

including configuration management, training, data management and supply support (-305).

support and integrated logistics support

Decrease in engineering and logistics support

FY 1992 President's Budget Request

. 7

(-180).

\$2,778

| \supset |
|-----------|
| 2 |
| 3 |
| d |
| 7 |
| 7 |
| a |
| Ū |
| 4 |
| - 1 |
| - |
| ä |
| d |
| Ø |
| - 5 |
| 7 |
| a |
| -লু |
| 9 |
| - |
| u |
| 8 |
| Q. |
| |
| - 51 |
| Ś |
| |
| -3 |
| |
| |
| ď |
| 71 |
| 2 |
| ~ |
| 14 |
| 9 |
| 9 |
| Othe |
| <u> </u> |
| |
| •• |
| 7 |
| \circ |
| ŭ |
| O |
| • |
| - 2 |
| |
| > |
| 4 |
| بد |
| |

| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | | |
| B. Reconciliation of | Increases and Decreases (continued). | |
| B. <u>Reconc</u> | iliation of | |
| | B. Reconc | |

| | (10) | (84) |
|---|---------------|------------------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| 89 | | B. Other Pricing Adjustments |
| Pricing Adjustments | DBOF | Pricing |
| cing Ad | A. Other DBOF | Other |
| Pri | A. | ъ |
| · | | |

6. Program Increases

901

(106)

46

| A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993 | Increase provides for site preparation costs | associated with the introduction of new equipment | NEXRAD, ASOS, SMQ-11, TESS/SMOOS, and NODDES | (274). |
|------------------------------------|--|---|--|--------|
| A. | | | | |

The introduction of TESS/SMOOS, NOODES, ASOS, NEXRAD, and SMQ-11 requires increased ISEA/SSA support following installation to provide hardware and software fleet engineering support and integrated logistics support including configuration management, training, data management and supply support (419).

Increase in Engineering/Logistics Support (208).

7. Program Decreases

A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993
Overhauls for major components associated with
SMQ-6 and SMQ-10 are reduced as systems are being
replaced by the SMQ-11 (-74).

8. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

-74

\$3,699

Activity Group: Other Aviation Systems Maintenance (continued)

III. Performance Criteria.

A. <u>Meteorological Support</u> - Provides funding for Depot Maintenance, Installation, and Engineering/Logistics support for all meteorological equipment used by the Navy and Marine Corps.

| 11: | | | | | |
|--|----------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
| Systems Overhauled Unita (AN/SMQ-10, MARK IV, etc.)Cost | Units)Cost | 6 1,238 | 7 805 | 2 127 | 2 137 |
| Subsystems Overhauled (Includes Labor) | Units | 40 151 | 43 1,078 | 19 334 | 14 265 |
| AN/SMQ-11 Major Overhaul | Units Cost | 380 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| AN/SMQ-11 Minor Overhaul | Units Cost | 20 77 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Other Installed Systems | Units Cost | 5 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| NEXRAD Installation | Units Cost | 12 893 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| ASOS Installation Planning | Units Cost | 6 6 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| ASOS Installation | Units Cost | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Site Preparation | Units Cost | 00 | 13 320 | 13 290 | 32 574 |

Activity Group: Other Aviation Systems Maintenance (continued)

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| | | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|------------------------|---------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| AN/SMQ-11 Installation | Units Cost | 2 150 | 00 | 00 | 0 0 |
| TESS Installation | Units Cost | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| ISEA/SSA | Cost | 0 | 1,688 | 1,464 | 1,933 |
| Eng/Logistics Support | Cost | 1,391 | 708 | 563 | 790 |
| Total | | 5,012 | 4,599 | 2,778 | 3,699 |
| | | | | | |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

NO FURTHER SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

IV. Personnel Summary.

| | | | | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|----------|--------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--|
| | | FY 1990 | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | Budget <u>Request</u> | Budget Request | |
| End S | End Strength (E/S) | | | | | | | |
| V | Civilian USDH | 00 | 00 | 00 | 0 | 0 | 5 5 | |
| Work | Work Years (W/Y) | | | | | | | |
| ж | Civilian USDH | 90 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 25 | |

092000

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy

Activity Group: Electronic Systems Rework and Maintenance Budget Activity: 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Claimant: Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

dismantled, rebuilt, bench-checked and operationally tested prior to reissue. Larger systems are overhauled consisting of SPAWAR field activities, shipyards, weapon stations, and contractor engineering and technical Naval ships and supporting shore stations. The program ensures availability of Navy owned equipment as an services. The mission of this program is to ensure maximum readiness of command and control equipment in in place by skilled field teams on a scheduled basis to preclude loss of extended operational capability. alternative to new procurements in support of requirements identified by fleet users and scheduled fleet 22 Cog Electronic Restoration Program - Supports the overhaul of shipboard systems through depots installations. System components and equipment are sent to a Designated Overhaul Point (DOP) and SPAWAR uses NAVSEA shipyards to augment a segment of the 22 Cog equipment restoration program

Navy and the Department of Transportation. The electronic material provided to the Coast Guard consists of maintenance of electronic equipment furnished by the Navy under an agreement between the Department of the <u> Coast Guard Support - This program provides reimbursement to the Coast Guard for the overhaul and </u> shipboard and shore electronic test equipment, components and subassemblies which ensure Coast Guard readiness for wartime service with the Navy.

process. Some of these equipments are an excess of twent; wears old and remain mission ready only by virtue Depot rework increases system availability and provides safety of flight margins identifies components of tactical units for induction into depot facilities for the restoration/overhaul Marine Air Traffic Control Squadron (MATCS) - The MATCS Depot Maintenance program provides for the Marine Corps aviation combat readiness. An intensive inspection and field maintenance reporting system complete restoration of system/sub-system end items according to a predetermined duty cycle supporting that greatly reduce risks of aircraft and pilot loss. of depot capabilities.

Activity Group: Electronic Systems Rework and Maintenance (continued)

I. Description of Operations Financed (continued).

Precise Time and Time Interval (PTII) Depot Support - This program provides depot level repair and maintenance of Verdin O-1695 Cesium Beam Frequency Standards (CBFS), which require an emergency replacement capability for inoperative units aboard nuclear submarines; the AN/URQ-23 Frequency Time Standard; and the SG-1157/V Digital Processing Clock.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | FY 1993 | Budget | Request | 2,138 | 2,736 | 266 | 174 | 9,045 |
|---------|---------|---------|----------|--------------------|---------------------|-------|------|--------|
| | FY 1992 | Budget | Request | 2,833 | 3,671 | 3,807 | 245 | 10,556 |
| | | Current | Estimate | 6,228 | 4,710 | 3,934 | 368 | 15,240 |
| FY 1991 | | Appro- | priation | 8,177 | 5,516 | 7,600 | 944 | 18,739 |
| | | Budget | Request | 8,385 | 5,616 | 4,683 | 454 | 19,138 |
| | | FY 1990 | Actual | 6,121 | 5,141 | 1,529 | 283 | 13,074 |
| | | | | 22 Cog Restoration | Coast Guard Support | MATCS | PTTI | Total |

:

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases.

| | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | \$15,240 |
|----|-----------------------------------|----------|
| 2. | 2. Pricing Adjustments | 582 |
| | A. DBOF | (18) |
| | 1) Supplies, materials, equipment | 18 |
| | B, Other DBOF | (62) |
| | C. Other Pricing Adjustments | (502) |

| Increases |
|-----------|
| Program |
| Э, |

Other Pricing Adjustments

ပ

315

(315)

| ŭ | |
|-------------------------|--|
| na Depo | |
| inten and | |
| 5); | |
| 10 B | |
| atio adio 6). | |
| 8 tor 7 R (8 | |
| r re 68); LR's | |
| | |
| ride Fove able | |
| prov ATC 1 pair | |
| BB - | |
| Incre (46); Level | |
| | |
| | Increase provides for restoration of Amtenna (46); 1 ATC Tower (168); 7 Radios (15); and Depot Level Repairables (DLR's) (86). |

| Decreases |
|-----------|
| |
| Program |
| 4. |

-5,581

(-5,581)

| Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 | 22 Cog Restoration Decrease reflects a reduction in the restoration of 75 General Communications Units (-1,108), 7 | less Satellite Communication Circle (1777), and 2 Outboards (-1,619). |
|------------------------------------|--|---|
| Ą. | | |

Decrease reflects a reduction in the number of overhaul maintenance actions by 674 and an increase of 1,766 backlogged actions (-1,223). Coast Guard

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

PITI

Decrease reflects a reduction in the number of calibrations and repairs of 116 Cesium Beam Standards (-93); 24 other clocks (-42) due to termination of procurement of material to support SSN 637 class overhauls and a reduction of 3 Time Frequency Equipments (-2).

5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request

6. Pricing Adjustments

| (2) | (7) | 7 | (28) | 58 |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|------|-----------------------------------|
| Annualization of FY 1992 Pay Raise | FY 1993 Pay Raise | 1) Classified | DBOF | 1) Supplies, materials, equipment |
| Α. | В. | | ပ | |

1) Supplies, materials, equipmentD. Other DBOFE. Other Pricing Adjustments

A. Other Program Growth in FY 1992

Program Increases

7.

189

(6) (341) (189)

22 Cog Restoration Increase provides for restoration of 3 additional Submarine Antennas (189).

\$10,556

414

192000

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

8. Program Decreases

-5,114

(-3)

(-5,111)

A. One-Time FY 1993 Cost One less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 (-3).

B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993

22 Cog Restoration
Decrease reflects restoration of 25 less
General Communication Units (-115); 2 less
Satellite Communication Units (-62) and 3 less
Outboards (-836).

Coast Guard Support

Decrease reflects a reduction of 753 maintenance actions and an increase of 777 backlogged actions (-1,071).

AATCS

Reflects reductions in fewer restorations of 1 Instrument Landing System (ILS) (-42); 1 Generator (-51); TACAN (-4); 1 PAR Radar (TPN-22) (-1,586); 1 C&CS (TSQ-131) (-735); 8 Radios (-23); 4 Multi-Mode Display Units (-323); 3 Mobilizers (-36); and Depot Level Repairables (DLR's) (-147).

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

PITI

Decrease reflects a reduction of 71 Cesium Beam Standards calibrations and repairs (-58); 12 less Other Clocks (-18) and 12 less Time Frequency Equipments (-4) due to termination of procurement of material to support SSN 637 class overhauls.

9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$6,045

35_00

Activity Group: Electronic Systems Rework and Maintenance (continued)

| 111. | Rerformance Criteria. | FY 1990 | FY 1991 (Units/\$000) | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|------|---|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| | 22 Cog Electronic Equipments Restored. | | | | |
| | General Communication Satellite Communication Submarine Antenna Outboard | 150/1,712 15/ 258 42/1,196 5/1,188 1/1,767 | 150/1,887 17/ 412 48/1,596 5/2,333 | 75/ 850 10/ 250 35/ 933 3/ 800 0/ 0 | 50/ 774 8/ 200 38/1,164 0/ 0 |
| | Total | 213/6,121 | 220/6,228 | 123/2,833 | 96/2,138 |
| | Coast Guard Support | | | | • |
| | Number of Vessels Supported Number Units Overhauled Number of Backlogged Units Total (\$000) | 200 2,957 0 5,141 | 200 2,719 523 4,710 | 2,045 2,289 3,671 | 200 1,487 2,870 2,736 |

These figures reflect an average cost per maintenance action due to the varying complexity of the equipments supported, and nature of the overhaul/repair on each individual equipment. The exact cost per specific maintenance action will vary.

Activity Group: Electronic Systems Rework and Maintenance (continued)

| FY 1993 | | 102 | 23/ 997 | | | | 1/ 47 | | | | | | | | | | j | 23/ 997 |
|--------------------------|-------|--|---|---|--------------------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|--------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| FY 1992 | | 86 | 42/3,807 | | 5/ 188 | 5/ 270 | 1/ 46 | 1/ 222 | 1/ 168 | 1/1,530 | 17/ 46 | 4/ 312 | 2/ 56 | 1/ 40 | 1/ 709 | 0 /0 | 220 | 42/3,807 |
| FX 1991 (Units/\$000) | | 80 | 45/3,934 | | 0/ 333 | 107 440 | 0 /0 | 1/ 218 | 0 /0 | 1/1,500 | 10/ 30 | 5/ 385 | 99 /9 | 2/ 78 | 1/ 695 | 09 | 129 | 45/3,934 |
| FX 1990 | | 88 | 41/1,529 | | | | 617 /6 | | | | | | | | | | 202 | 41/1,529 |
| Performance Criteria. | MATCS | Equipment/Systems Restorations Required | Equipment/Systems_ Restorations_Financed | Description of Equip- ment/System Financed | Instrument Landing | (TPN-30) Sys. (ILS) | Generators | Antennas (UE-250) | IACAN | AIC TOWER (INCLISO) | PAR NAUGE (IFM-22) | RATIOS (NO 3/) | (#C_O10) (#T) | Modifizers | Computer (UIN-20) | C & C3 (13Q-131) | Test & Support Equip. | DLK's Total |
| .111 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Activity Group: Electronic Systems Rework and Maintenance (continued)

| 111. | III. Rerformance Criteria Cont. | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|------|-------------------------------------|---------|---------------|---------|---------|
| | PITI | | (nurre/\$000) | | |
| | Cesium Beam Frequency Stds | 272/232 | 394/280 | 278/198 | 207/148 |
| | Other Clocks | 26/38 | 48 74 | 24/35 | 12/ 18 |
| | Time Frequency Equipment | 26/ 13 | 27/ 14 | 24/ 12 | 12/_8 |
| | Total, PTTI Units Calib/Repaired | 324/283 | 898/694 | 326/245 | 231/174 |

AUDIT SAVINGS INCORPORATED IN CURRENT BUDGET CONTROLS

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME

IV. Personnel Summary.

| | | | | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|-------|-------------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | | FY 1990 | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | Budget Request | Budget <u>Request</u> | |
| End S | End Strength (E/S) | | | | | | | |
| ÷. | Civilian USDH | 90 | 0 | 90 | 0 | ∞ ∞ | 80 80 | |
| Work | Work Years (W/Y) | | | | | | | |
| æ. | <u>Civilian</u> USDH | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ∞ ∞ | 80 8 | |

Operation & Maintenance, Navy Department of the Navy

> Maintenance Support Activity Group:

7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Budget Activity: Claimant:

Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

Description of Operations Financed.

and Shipboard Marine Area Approach and Landing System (SMRAALS) Operational support including In-Service (MATCS) Maintenance Support Program provides the external engineering support necessary to maintain the software support; training (formal and OJT); Marine Squadron Organizational level maintenance support, combat readiness posture of transportable tactical air traffic control and landing systems supporting program finances: installation; centralized standardization of systems, subsystems and equipments; the four Marine Aircraft Wings to launch and recover aircraft under all weather conditions during planned product improvements, tests, inspections, measurement and diagnostic support; centralized tactical operations and, when directed, assist geographical areas during catastrophic situations. Marine Air Traffic Control Squadron (MATCS) - The Marine Corps Air Traffic Control Squadron Engineering and Field Maintenance.

support and quality assurance for the Verdin Communication Timing Systems, used by all the attack (SSNs) quality assurance are provided for all frequency standards owned by the Department of the Navy, e.g., HP publishes a monthly report of this effort, and acts as inventory manager for the HP 5060s and HP 5061s. Also, NESEC Portsmouth records and performs analysis of failure data of frequency standards to prevent and ballistic missile class (SSBNs) submarines. Without this precise time, maintained by Cesium Beam Portsmouth, as In-Service Engineering Agency for the PTTI program, tracks the locations of all CBFs, satellite timing receivers, and time distribution systems. The PTTI program also provides for time Precise Time and Time Interval (PTTI) Maintenance Support - This program provides engineering (submarines), synchronized communications would not be possible. The same engineering support and Frequency Standard (CBFS) 0-1695A/U and 0-1824A/U at both the transmitters (shore) and receivers 5060s, HP 5061A, HP 5061B, AN/URQ-23's and other PTTI equipments including time transfer units, calibration via portable clock trips and operational and maintenance training for PTI users. systematic failure of these standards.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | FY 1990 Actual | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | Budget Request | Budget <u>Request</u> |
| MATCS PTTI Maintenance Supt | 5,939 | 7,197 | 6,956 | 5,028 | 3,161 | 4,131 |
| Total | 6,614 | 7,925 | 7,648 | 5,531 | 3,491 | 4,559 |

| ses. |
|-----------|
| 1 Decrea |
| ases and |
| f Incre |
| liation o |
| Reconci |
| m |

| Estimate |
|----------|
| Current |
| FY 1991 |
| |

| ments |
|---------|
| Adjust |
| Pricing |
| ~: |

| A. | DBOF |
|----|-----------------------------------|
| | 1) Supplies, materials, equipment |
| В. | Other Pricing Adjustments |

MATCS

Decrease in annual squadron inspections by NESEC Vallejo technicians for 4 Marine Air Traffic Control Squadrons (MATCS) with 3 detachments Decrease in Marine Air Traffic Control and Landing System (MATCALS) testing at NAS Patuxent River. (-192)

Decrease of 2.8 W/Y at Software Support Activity (SSA). (-175)

engineering support, on-the-job training, Preventive Maintenance System (PMS) and technical manual updates. (-286) Decrease of 6.3 W/Y in field maintenance

Decrease of 4.3 W/Y in engineering and technical support. (-300)

930772

\$5,531

141

(31) 31 (110)

-2,181

(-2,181)

Maintenance Support (Continued) Activity Group:

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

| Decrease of 1 W/Y for the MATCALS Maintenance Support | |
|---|---------|
| 7 | -20 |
| ο£ | ٺ |
| 98 | ty. |
| rea | Facilit |
| ခွ | ac |

Decrease in squadron operational maintenance support for field depot level repairables, consumables and general purpose test equipment. (-895)

Decrease of In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) for SMRAALS due to cancellation of procurement program. (-31)

PITI

Decrease in engineering support requirements. (-185)

| 400000 | Recurse |
|----------|---------|
| 40.60 | ממשבר |
| | |
| CCC - 50 | |
| - | |

| Keduesc | |
|-------------|--|
| pagger : | |
| Fresident's | |
| FI 1992 | |
| . 7 | |

5. Pricing Adjustments

| (3) | က | (7) | 7 | (116) | 116 | (49) |
|--|---------------|------------------------------|---------------|---------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raise | 1) Classified | B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises | 1) Classified | C, DBOF | 1) Supplies, materials, equipment | D. Other Pricing Adjustments |

Program Increases .

| FY 1993 | |
|---------------|--|
| in FY | |
| Growth | |
| Other Program | |
| Other 0 | |
| ¥. | |

MATCS

Increase in annual inspection and MATCALS testing. (25)

000773

\$3,491

190

1,538

(1,538)

Activity Group: Maintenance Support (Continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

Increase for squadron operational maintenance support for field depot level repairables, consumables, and general purpose test equipment. (477)

Increase required for government Software Support Activity to assume responsibilities transferred from the disestablished MATCALS maintenance support activity. (507)

Increase in field maintenance engineering support, on-the-job training, preventive maintenance system (PMS) and technical manual updates. (125)

Increase of 3.3 W/Y in engineering and technical support required to maintain timely and thorough acquisition quality assurance, engineering analysis, logistic support review and planning, testing and evaluation. (217)

Increase Marine Air Traffic Control Landing System (MATCALS) testing at NAS Patuxent River. (107)

PIII

Increase reflects increased engineering support requirements for DBOF supplies and materials. (80)

- B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).
- 7. Program Decreases

099-

(-1)

(-659)

A. One-Time FY 1993 Costs

Decrease of one work day of civilian employment (-1).

B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993

MATCS - Deletion of MATCALS Maintenance Support Activity due to transition of MATCALS software from the development contractor to Software Support Activity (SSA). (-659)

8. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$4,559

| FY 1993 | 0/ 0 4/ 111 1/ 337 4/1,950 | 10/ 863 0/ 0 6.4/ 405 6/ 465 0/ 0 | 4,131 | 0/ 0 441/ 428 0/ 0 |
|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| <u>FX 1992</u> (Units/\$000) | 0 0/ 0 5 4/ 82 0 1/ 218 4 4/1,397 (W/Y/\$000) | 4.1/ 338 6.3/ 626 4.4/ 265 2.7/ 235 0/ 0 | 3,161 | 0/ 0 340/ 330 0/ 0 |
| EX 1991 (U | 0 / 0 4 / 175 1 / 400 4 /2,234 (W, | 6.9/ 500 7 / 630 10.7/ 537 7 / 522 3 / 30 | 5,028 | 0 / 0 518 / 503 0 / 0 |
| FY 1990 | 75 / 851 4 / 132 1 / 345 4 /2,233 | 9 / 630 7 / 610 10.8/ 531 7 / 541 2 / 66 | 5,939 | 1.6/ 70 589 / 560 4 / 45 675 |
| III. <u>Performance Criteria</u> . MATCS Maintenance Support | Civilian Personnel Compensation Site Operations Inspections Tests MATCS Maintenance Support (Squadrons) | Software Support Activity MATCALS Support Facility Field Management Agent Engineering Support/On-The-Job Training/ Planned Maint. System/Tech Manual Update Engineering Technical Support SMRAALS Operational Support | TOTAL MATCS PTTI Maintenance Support | Technical Data Collection (WY/\$000) Engineering Support (Units/\$000) Portable Clock & Emergency Clock Visits (Units/\$000) TOTAL PTTI |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

NO FURTHER SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

Personnel Summary IV.

FY 1990

FY 1991

90

90

A. Civilian USDH

End Strength

FY 1993

FY 1992

 ∞

000777

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy

Activity Group: Procurement Operations
Budget Activity: Z - Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

procurement related activities. They provide systems integration to ensure fully coordinated and timely efforts Project Management Offices - This program provides administrative salaries, support costs, and travel for the administrative and technical staffs who support "cradle-to-grave" responsibility for acquisition programs. Warfare Support Systems Program, Anti-Submarine Warfare Systems Program, and the Program Execution Office for for the following: Space Technology Program, Warfare Systems Architecture and Engineering, Space and Sensor Systems Program, Information Transfer Systems Program, Information Management Systems Program, Weapons and Functions include centralized procurement, engineering and technical services, logistics support and other Sensor Control Systems (PEO-SCS).

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---------------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | FY 1990 | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current <u>Estimate</u> | Budget Request | Budget <u>Request</u> |
| Proj. Mgmt. Off. Total | 50,343 | 58,477 | 58,191 58,191 | 54,193 | 46,142 | 50°034 50°034 |

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases.

| FY 1991 Current Estimate | Pricing Adjustments |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| • | .; |

2,533

\$54,193

| FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | 1) Classified | 2) SES | DBOF | 1) Supplies, materials, equipment | Other DBOF | Other Pricing Adjustments |
|---------------------------|---------------|--------|------|-----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| В. | | | ပ | | Ö. | 면 |

(597) 597 (1,871) 1,506 365 (-1) -1 (7)

| of |
|--|
| workday |
| ram Increases One-Time FY 1992 Costs Increase provides for one additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 (56). |
| s one in FY |
| Cost s fox ent 1 |
| s 1992 vide |
| ry FY pro emp |
| Program Increases A. One-Time FY 1992 Costs Increase provides for civilian employment in |
| Pro A. |

99

(99)

| • |
|-----------|
| tinued) |
| nooy s |
| Decrease |
| band a |
| Increase |
| Jo u |
| iatio |
| Reconcili |
| В. |

| ram Decreases | Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 Decrease in 53 W/Y (-2,366). Decrease in Administrative support associated with fiscal |
|---------------|---|
| Program Decr | A. Other Pr Decrease Administ |
| . 4 | |

| Request |
|-------------|
| Budget |
| President's |
| 1992 |
| 5. FY |

\$46,142

-10,640

(-10,640)

2,186

| (103) | (224) | 47C | (1,/61) | 1,389 | 3/2 | (7) | 7 | (3) | (-104) | |
|-----------------------|--|---------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|---------|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| . Pricing Adjustments | A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raise | 1) Classified | B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raise | 1) Classified | 2) SES | C. DBOF | 1) Supplies, materials, equipment | D. Other DBOF | E. Other Pricing Adjustments | 7. Program Increases |
| 9 | | | | | | | | | | 1- |

| , | level of | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Other Program Increases in FY 1993 | Increase provides for an appropriate | Administrative support (5,859). |
| Α. | | |

5,859

(5,859)

Activity Group: Procurement Operations (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

(-4,153)

(-185)

8. Program Decreases

A. One-Time FY 1992 Costs Decrease reflects one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 (-185).

B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 Decrease in 64 W/Y (-3,968).

9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

(-3,968)

\$50,034

320781

Activity Group: Procurement Operations (continued)

SPACE TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM

of space systems and system segments which will satisfy approved operational requirements; maintains an overall programs and projects; and promotes and assists in the maintenance of major technology efforts, the content and Provides the operating forces of the U.S. Navy and other DOD services and Government agencies with full support services and agencies; identifies and recommends objectives and capabilities for future planning of related space technology program that is responsive to the policies, needs, and requirements of the Navy and other timing of which are consistent with future Navy and National space program objectives.

| FY 1993 | 3,082 |
|---------|---------------|
| FY 1992 | 2,838 |
| FY 1991 | 3,359 |
| FX 1990 | 3,180 |
| | IDING PROFILE |

WARFARE SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING

systems specifications, including definition and control of interface requirements documents (IRD) and interface design specifications (IDS) at theater, force and platform levels. Additional responsibilities include force Force level warfare system integration engineering to convert requirements and architecture into top-level level warfare system integration implementation in accordance with approved plans, architecture and specifications.

Activity Group: Procurement Operations (continued)

Performance Criteria (continued)

WARFARE SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE AND ENGINEERING (continued)

Allied and interservice warfare system integration

Responsibility for material support for space systems and force warfare systems beyond those uniquely dedicated to individual platform combat systems.

FY 1993

FY 1993 5,320 7,900 FY 1992 FY 1991 5,799 6,323 FY 1990 the theater, force and inter-platform level. FUNDING PROFILE

space telecommunications systems (including transmission, control, security, support, display and related data links) required for effective communications of force warfighting capabilities between naval and Exercises full responsibility for technical, management and financial control over ship, aircraft and non-naval forces at the theater, force and inter-platform level. INFORMATION TRANSFER SYSTEMS PROGRAM OFFICE

| 15,687 |
|-------------------|
| 14,447 |
| FY 1991 17,098 |
| FY 1920 16,178 |
| |
| FUNDING PROFILE |

management intelligence) required for force warfighting capabilities for effective command and control of Exercises full responsibility for technical, management and financial control over ship, aircraft and space electronic data collection, processing and display systems (including information fusion and naval and non-naval forces at the theater, force and inter-platform level. INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS PROGRAM OFFICE

| 7,933 |
|------------------|
| 7,306 |
| 8,646 |
| FY 1990 8,181 |
| FUNDING PROFILE |

Activity Group: Procurement Operations (continued)

Performance Criteria (continued) III.

WEAPONS AND WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEMS PROGRAM OFFICE

space electronic weapons and warfare systems (including undersea and ocean surveillance) required by force warfighting capabilities of naval and non-naval forces at the theater, force and inter-platform level. Exercises full responsibility for technical, management and financial control over ship, aircraft and

| FY 1993 2,494 |
|------------------|
| FY 1992 2,296 |
| FY 1991 2,717 |
| EY 1990 2,571 |
| |
| PROFILE |
| FUNDING P |

force warfighting capabilities of naval and non-naval forces at the theater, force and interplatform level. surveillance operational requirements into worldwide integrated Undersea Surveillance Systems required for Exercises full responsibility for the technical, management and financial control necessary to convert ANTI-SUBMARINE WARFARE PROGRAM OFFICE

| EX 1993 | rol of the |
|------------------|--|
| 6,918 | and sensor |
| FX 1992 | financial cont |
| 6,370 | t of the Space |
| FY 1991 | management and |
| 7,540 | am. This was par |
| FX 1990 7,135 | responsibility for the technical, management and financial control of the er the Horizon Radar (ROTHR) program. This was part of the Space and sensor |
| FUNDING PROFILE | PEO-SCS Exercises full responsibility for the technical, management and financial control of the Relocatable Over the Horizon Radar (ROTHR) program. This was part of the Space and sensor |

| | FY 1993 2,032 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|
| | FY 1992 1,937 |
| | FY 1991 1,873 |
| | FY 1990 0 |
| Directorate prior to FY 1991. | DEOCTIF |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

FUNDING PROFILE

NO FURTHER SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

000795

Activity Group: Procurement Operations (continued)

}

IV. Personnel Summary.

| | | | ! | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|-----|---------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| | | FY 1990 | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current <u>Estimate</u> | Budget Request | Budget <u>Request</u> |
| End | End Strength (E/S) | | | | | | |
| Ÿ. | Military | 111 | 163 | 163 | 163 | 163 | 163 |
| | Officer Enlisted | 162 15 | 151 12 | 151 12 | 151 | 151 12 | 151 |
| В. | Civilian | 910 | 1,013 | 934 | 834 | 772 | 801 |
| | USDH | 910 | 1,013 | 934 | 834 | 772 | 801 |
| Hor | Work Years (W/Y) | | | | | | |
| ပ် | Military | 229 | 170 | 170 | 170 | 163 | 163 |
| | Officer Enlisted | 185 | 156.5 13.5 | 156.5 13.5 | 5 156.5 5 13.5 | 5 151 .5 12 | 151 |
| Ď. | Civilian | 886 | 952 | 915 | 902 | 849 | 785 |
| | USDH | 886 | 952 | 915 | 902 | 849 | 785 |

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy

Activity Group: Command and Administration

Budget Activity: 2 - Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

The Command and Administration program finances the administrative salaries, support costs, and travel for personnel necessary to manage headquarters functions as defined by the Secretary of Defense; directs Command-wide policy and planning, and controls and allocates financial resources and manpower to provide efficient support of the mission in conformance with legal and regulatory limitations and evaluations Command-wide.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| FY 1993 | Budget Request | 8,764 | 8,764 |
|---------|---------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|
| FY 1992 | Budget Request | 10,919 | 10,919 |
| | Current Estimate | 9,951 | 9,951 |
| FY 1991 | Appro- priation | 10,571 | 10,571 |
| | Budget Request | 12,107 | 12,107 |
| | FY 1990 | 11,913 | dmin. 11,913 |
| | | Command & Admin. | Total Command & Admin. 11,913 |

| Decreases. | |
|------------------|--|
| and | |
| Increases | |
| J. | |
| Record 11 at 100 | |
| æ | |

FY 1991 Current Estimate

473

\$9,951

| | (92) | (588) | 233 | 55 | \tilde{z} | 7 | (71) | (6/) | (29) kday of |
|---------------------|---|--|---------------|--------|-------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|--|
| Pricing Adjustments | A. Annualization of FY 1991 Pay Raise1) Classified | 2) Wage Board B EV 1000 Direct Pay Raise | 1) Classified | 2) SES | C. DBOF | 1) Supplies, materials, equipment | D. Other DBOF | E. Other Pricing Adjustments | Program Increases A. One-Time FY 1992 Costs Increase provides for one additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 (29). |
| 2. | | | | | | | | | e. |

| _ |
|---------------|
| <u> </u> |
| _ |
| 7 |
| 0 |
| • |
| - |
| $\overline{}$ |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Increase reflects increased equipment maintenance

Other Program Growth in FY 1992

8

(271) and equipment purchases (406) associated with relocation of SPAWAR to new headquarters

spaces. Increase also provides for increased support for items including position management and course development (400).

1,106

Activity Group: Command and Administration (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

-611

(-611)

| | | change | | |
|-------------------|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| Program Decreases | Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 | Decrease reflects manpower reductions and change | in the grade mix of financial, legal and | management support staffs (-606) . |
| 4. 1 | 7 | | | |

Reduction in administrative support due to manpower reductions (-5).

| : | |
|---------------------|--|
| ĵ | |
| eductions | |
| manpower reductions | |
| | |
| | |

5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request

| 6. Pricing Adjustments | A. Annualization of FY 1991 Pay Raise 1) Classified | B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raise |
|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|

472

\$10,919

| (0/) | 70 | (384) | 209 | 75 | (4) | 4 | (7-) | (118) |
|------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|----|------|-----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Annualization of FY 1991 Pay Raise | 1) Classified | FY 1992 Direct Pav Raise | 1) Classified | | DROF | 1) Supplies, materials, equipment | Other DBOF | Other Pricing Adjustments |

대 교

o.

Activity Group: Command and Administration (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

| 7. | Program Decreases |
|----|--|
| | A. One-Time FY 1992 Costs |
| | Decrease reflects one less workday of civilian |
| | employment in FY 1993 (-13). |

-2,627

(-13)

B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 Decrease reflects reduction of 5 W/Y as directed by manpower reductions (-312).

(-2,614)

Reduction in position management support, equipment maintenance, and administrative support due to manpower reductions (-269).

Decrease reflects completion of SPAWAR relocation to new headquarters spaces (-2,033).

8. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$8,764

CONTRO

Activity Group: Command and Administration (continued)

III. Performance Criteria.

The Command and Administration Program provides the staff necessary to manage headquarters functions as defined by the Secretary of Defense; directs Command-wide policy and planning, and controls and allocates financial resources and manpower to provide efficient support of the mission in compliance with legal and regulatory limitations and evaluations, Command-wide, and in support of field activity management units.

AUDIT SAVINGS INCORPORATED IN CURRENT BUDGET CONTROLS

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

IV. Personnel Summary.

| End | End Strength (E/S) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 FY 1992 FY 1993 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|-----|---------------------|---------|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| Α. | A. Military | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| | Officer Enlisted | 12 4 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| ъ. | B. <u>Civilian</u> | | | | |
| | HOSDH | 175 | 169 | 164 | 159 |



Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy

1

7

Activity Group: Field Operations

Budget Activity: 2 - Central Supply and Maintenance

mant: Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

technical programs to ensure the security and integrity of Navy ADP systems, acts as the lead agency for the space, intelligence, security, command and control, communications, electronic warfare, air traffic control, and navigational aystems for the field activities. Additionally, the funding provides for the management of Operations Support/Field - This program finances the salaries, administrative expenses and travel of personnel who are engaged in the design, development, acquisition, and logistics support of surveillance, laser safety program and is the primary technical authority for electronic standards, standardization, echniques, practices and compatibility.

Field Operations - This program finances the day-to-day operations of the field activities management personnel (supervisory, financial, contractual and administrative). Included are costs for office supplies and equipment, mission travel, administrative training, data processing, printing and reproduction, and transportation of things. It also finances costs associated with ADP (maintenance and leasing), general technical report production, and audiovisuals. The Field Operations program provides maintenance and technical support of equipments for ashore and afloat systems.

training for fleet user personnel, assistance for fleet users in the operation of the system and other tasks financial and inventory management, weapons systems maintenance/configuration management and administrative in the software analysis and other functional areas. Beginning in FY 1992, funding for the SNAP program is realigned into this line from Budget Activity 7, Central Supply and Maintenance, Activity Group Logistics Support Activities (R17K). Beginning in FY 93, funding for the SNAP/NAVMASSO program is realigned into Budget Activity 7, Central Supply and Maintenance, Activity Group Logistics Support Activities. Non-tactical ADP Program (SNAP). The SNAP program provides standard non-tactical ADP support to various operations. This funding provides for the CDA functions performed by NAVMASSO: system implementation, Navy Management Systems Support Office (NAVMASSO) - This program functions as the single Central afloat and shore-based activities. The system performs the business functions of ships by automating Design Agency (CDA) for fleet non-tactical automated information systems, specifically the Shipboard

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued)

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| FY 1993 | Budget Request | 14,518 29,310 0 43,828 |
|---------|---------------------|--|
| FY 1992 | Budget Request | 14,495 33,686 22,279 70,460 |
| | Current Estimate | 14,796 34,761 25,536 75,093 |
| FY 1991 | Appro- priation | 14,363 36,427 26,075 76,865 |
| | Budget Request | 14,429 37,137 27,531 79,097 |
| | FY 1990 | 13,616 28,936 28,363 70,915 |
| | | Op. Supp./Field Field Operations NAVMASSO Total |

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases.

FY 1991 Current Estimate

_;

\$75,093

| , | Driving Addinstments | 3,444 |
|----------|--|--------|
| ; | A. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raise | (200) |
| | 1) Classified | 555 |
| | 2) Wage Board | 50 |
| _ | B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises | (1549) |
| • | 1) Classified | 1435 |
| | 2) Wage Board | 21 |
| | 3) SES | 93 |
| | C. Special Area Pay | (366) |
| | D. DBOF | (11) |
| | 1) Supplies, materials, equipment | (1) |
| . • | E. Other DBOF | (132) |
| | F. Other Pricing Adjustments | (820) |
| ۳. | 3. Program Increases | 4,224 |
| ∀ | One-Time FY 1992 Costs Increase provides for one additional workday of civilian employment in FY 1992 (179). | (179) |

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

(4,045)

| | | | | 므 | | ပ | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|--|---|--|---|---|--------------------------------|
| | | or | onal | | | isti | |
| | | /Y f | diti | 365) | ort | 108 | |
| | | 3.8 | n ad |) sa | ddns | for | |
| • | | 29 | ē | vic | uo | W/Y | |
| 1992 | | onal | 72); | Sei | siti | 5.5 | 5). |
| F | | diti | (2,0) | ield | cqui | nal | (3) |
| ıin | |) ad | rt | 11 E | r a | tio | ort |
| owth | | s ar | oddn | nice | Yfc | addi | Idns |
| m Gr | | lect | ty s | tech | /M / | an | ing |
| gra | , | ref | Livi | for | al 6 | and | eer |
| Pro | 550 | ase | act | _ X_ | iong | 3); | ngir |
| Other Program Growth in FY 1992 | NAVMASSO | Increase reflects an additional 29.3 W/Y for | Field activity support (2,072); an additional | 5.5 W/Y for technical field services (365); an | additional 67 W/Y for acquisition support | (1,313); and an additional 5.5 W/Y for logistic | and engineering support (295). |
| B. 0 | Z i | I | į. | 5 | ro | _ | ū |

4. Program Decreases

(-12,301)

Other Program Decreases in FY 1992

Operations Support/Field
Decrease reflects reduced administrative support costs including travel, training and supplies related to reductions in manpower forces levels (-121). Decrease also reflects a 12 W/Y reduction (-540) and a change in grade mix (-479).

Field Operations

Decrease reflects ADP management reduction (-164), reduced travel for SPAWARSYSCOM field activity personnel (-350), reduction of 36 W/Y for SPAWARSYSCOM field activities (-1620) reduction of operation and support costs for SPAWARSYSCOM field activities (-1681)

NAVMASSO

Decrease reflects reduced management support for SNAP (-1,592) and reductions in support, including travel, for SNAP I (-2,528), SNAP II (-2,525), NALCOMIS (-393), Aviation 3M/Navy Flight Records Sub-System (NAVFLIRS) (-308).

からたいこう

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases.

| Request |
|-------------|
| Budget |
| President's |
| FY 1992 |
| 5. |

\$70,460

3,294

| 8 |
|------|
| ū |
| = |
| Ξ. |
| men |
| 멑 |
| ₽ |
| us |
| = |
| + |
| |
| Ad |
| ٠., |
| |
| |
| 60 |
| C |
| |
| 당 |
| 당 |
| 당 |
| rici |
| 당 |
| rici |
| rici |
| rici |

| A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raise 1) Classified 2) Wage Board 3) SES C. Special Area Pay D. DBOF 1) Supplies, materials, equipment E. Other DBOF F. Other Pricing Adjustments Program Decreases A. One-Time FY 1993 Costs Decrease reflects one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 (-128). | Raise | (-128) |
|---|-------|--|
| | | Program Decreases A. One-Time FY 1993 Costs Decrease reflects one less workday of civilian employment in FY 1993 (-128). |

-29,926

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued)

3. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993

(-29, 798)

Field Operations

Decreased workload requirements of SPAWARSYSCOM field activities in the following areas:

Technical support for software updating and maintenance as well as maintaining material tracking systems (-819); warehousing material handling and security guard services for special projects and warehouse support contracts (-1,683). Decrease also reflects a 28 W/Y reduction (-1,848) and reduction in efforts due to productivity investments (-1,187).

Operations Support/Field

Decrease in administrative support costs including travel, training and supplies related to reductions in manpower force levels (-275). Decrease also reflects a 10 W/Y reduction (-660).

NAVMASSO

Transfer of NAVMASSO to SNAP Program (R1-Logistics Support) and conversion of NAVMASSO to a Navy Industrial Funded activity (-23,326).

8. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$43,828

00000

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued)
Claimant: Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

III. Performance Criteria.

directs Command-wide policy and planning, and controls and allocates financial resources and manpower to provide The Operations Support/Field program provides the staff necessary to manage headquarters functions; efficient support of the mission in conformance with legal and regulatory limitations and evaluations, Command-wide, and in support of field activity management units.

Electronic Systems Engineering Centers located at Charleston, SC, San Diego, CA, Portsmouth, VA, and Vallejo, CA., one Systems Engineering Activity located at St. Inigoes, MD, and one Naval Electronics Engineering Activity support for civilian personnel and administrative support costs for military and civilian personnel who provide support of direct Fleet Activities and Combat Forces. Resources provide for direct salaries and administrative coordination and management control of shore and shipboard electronic equipment under SPAWAR cognizance in design and engineering, inspection and testing of electronic installations, major equipment repair and The Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command (SPAWAR) Field Operations is comprised of four Naval at Pearl Harbor, HI. These strategically located shore activities provide planning, implementation, engineering/technical assistance for electronic systems and equipments.

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued)

111.

| 292 FY 1993 | | 13 0 10 0 3 0 | . 0 82 | | | | 0 06. | | 0 656 | | | 385 0 | | | 673 0 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|--|-----------------|-------------------------------------|--------|---------|----------|---------------|--------|-----------------------------------|--------|---------|----------|---------------|--------|
| FY 1992 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FY 1991 | | 13 10 3 | 28 | | 86 | 510 | 58 | 80 | 146 | | 196 | 007 | 55 | 18 | 699 |
| FY 1990 | | 9 9 W 8 | 23 | | 66 | 420 | 52 | 67 | 638 | | 66 | 222 | 30 | 12 | 363 |
| Performance Criteria (Continued). | NAVMASSO | AlS for Development/ Life Cycle Maint. Support Systems SNAP I SNAP II NALCOMIS AV3M/NAVFLIRS | TOTAL SUPPORTED | Number of Platforms/Sites Served | I days | SNAP II | NALCOMIS | AV3M/NAVFLIRS | TOTALS | Number of Scheduled Assist Visits | I deno | SNAP II | NALCOMIS | AV3M/NAVFLIRS | TOTALS |

Activity Group: Field Operations (continued)

| FY 1993 | | 00000 | 0000 | 0 |
|----------------------------|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| FY 1992 | | 6,727 6,251 877 696 3,728 18,279 | 29.3/2,072 5.5/ 365 29.1/1,313 5.5/ 250 | 22,279 |
| FY 1991 | | 9,254 8,775 1,269 1,003 5,235 25,536 | 0000 | 25,536 |
| FY 1990 | | 9,644 9,445 1,617 1,077 6,580 28,363 | 0000 | 28,363 |
| III. Performance Criteria. | NAVMASSO (continued) Support by Program (\$000) | SNAP I SNAP II NALCOMIS AV3M/NAVFLIRS MCMT/OTHER TOTALS | Support for SNAP (WY/\$000) Field Activity Support Technical Field Services Acquisition Support Logistic/Eng Support | TOTAL TOTAL NAVMASSO |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

NO FURTHER SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

IV. Personnel Summary.

| | | FY 1990 | Budget Request | FY 1991 Appro- priation | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Budget Request | FY 1993 Budget Request |
|-----|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| End | End_Strength_(E/S) | | | | | | |
| • | Military Officer Enlisted | 299 45 254 | 357 48 309 | 357 48 309 | 357 48 309 | 340 46 294 | 27 27 50 |
| œ. | Civilian | 1,118 | 1,193 | 1,155 | 1,084 | 1,063 | 726 |
| | USDH FNDH FNIH | 1,118 | 1,181 7 5 | 1,143 7 5 | 1,072 7 5 | 1,051 7 5 | 714 7 5 |
| Mor | Work Years (W/Y) | | | | | | |
| ပ် | Military | 303.5 | 328 | 328 | 328 | 348.5 | 17.5 |
| | Officer Enlisted | 47.5 256 | 46.5 | 46.5 281.5 | 46.5 281.5 | 301.5 | 27 50.5 |
| D. | Civilian | 1,132 | 1,186 | 1,131 | 1,099 | 1,043 | 712 |
| | USDH FNDH FNIH | 1,132 | 1,174 | 1,119 | 1,087 7 5 | 1,031 7 5 | 700 7 5 |

Performance Criteria (continued).

E. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases - End Strength (E/S).

FY 1991 to FY 1992:

Program Budget Decision 917, which required services to increase the number of Military - -1 Officer E/S due to OSD FY 91 Budget Review and subsequent military billets to be converted to civilian manpower.

Military - -1 Officer E/S due to termination of MPN support to develop and install SNAP Medical Module by Resource Sponsor.

Program Budget Decision 917, which required services to increase the number of Military - -4 Enlisted E/S due to OSD FY 91 Budget Review and subsequent military billets to be converted to civilian manpower.

Military - -9 Enlisted E/S which was identified under commercial activities function W824A, Operation of ADP Equipment. Military - -2 Enlisted E/S due to termination of MPN support to develop and install SNAP Medical Module by Resource Sponsor.

Civilian - -21 E/S due to directed manpower reduction.

FY 1992 to FY 1993:

Military - -19 Officer and -244 Enlisted E/S due to NAVMASSO transfer to Budget Activity 7, Logistics Support (AGSAG R17K, SNAP). Civilian - -337 E/S due to NAVMASSO transfer to Budget Activity 7, Logistics Support (AGSAG R17K, SNAP). Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities

Budget Activity: Z - Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

. Description of Operations financed

Shipboard Non-tactical ADP Program (SNAP) - SNAP is a two-part program developed to provide standard non-tactical ADP support to various afloat and shore-based activities. The system performs the business configuration management and administrative operations (personnel, training, medical, payroll). SNAP I (AN/UYK-65 (V)) automates functional applications at both the intermediate and organizational levels. includes approximately 70 large afloat units, 17 Marine Air Groups (MAGS) and 23 shore sites (NARDACs, training sites, test sites, etc.). SNAP II (AN/UYK-62(V)) supports organizational level functional applications in smaller ships, all submarines and approximately 100 shore sites (training schools, functions of ships by automating financial and inventory management, weapons systems maintenance integrated logistics overhaul sites, test sites, *tc.). This funding line provides for interim supply support, life cycle maintenance and engineering support which is performed by field activity and contractor personnel. Funding for SNAP is realigned to Navy Management Systems Support Office (NAVMASSO) beginning in FY 1992.

equipment technology improvements, performance of equipment testing, and provide assistance for procurement support for initial and upgrade installations of SNAP equipment and provide technical assistance to fleet Field Activity Support - SNAP Program field activities perform or monitor performance of industrial and deployed sites. Field activities also provide acquisition and in-service engineering support for of proposed equipments upgrades.

performance of equipment life extension efforts, equipment technological upgrades, engineering studies, and deployed sites. Services also include support for program acquisition, in-service engineering efforts, Field Services Support - Provides direct technical services for support of SNAP fleet units and management and industry improvement analyses.

logistic/Engineering Support - Provides support for continuing development of provisioning, technical processing, preventive and corrective maintenance procedures development, engineering analyses of equipment manual reviews and revision production/distribution to Fleet units, engineering drawings review and and safety failures, and other logistics support elements.

C0803

1. Description of Operations Financed (continued).

liaison for submarine antenna problems, that logistics and engineering services support are available, that personnel are stationed throughout the world to assist in inspection, investigation, maintenance, and fleet In addition, this program funds the Submarine Antenna function to ensure that current technical support to the Fleet. Funds are required to support approximately 4500 equipment items in fleet SSN Radio communication centers capable of responding to various mission requirements. The program supports the SSN SSN-Integrated Communications System (SSN-ICS) - Provides the attack submarine fleet with improved and operational documentation is available to support the submarine mission, that technically qualified management assistance for new fleet equipment. A high priority portion of the program is the Data Link 688 Class radio room by enhancing its capabilities through engineering changes and the addition of new support to the operation of an antenna range is provided, and that it provides in-service engineering improvements. This program provides repair and maintenance service for system hardware and software, engineering and technical services, configuration management and control, and technical support and Communications Systems (DLCS), a major subsystem of the Over-the-Horizon-Targeting (OTH-T)/TOMAHAWK capability, which will introduce nine complex subsystems of electronic equipment to the SSN Class Rooms and antenna systems.

INSURY (Board of Inspection and Survey) - SPAWARSYSCOM provides support to the Board of Inspection and inspect new ships and service craft for suitability; make recommendations to the Navy regarding acceptance; conduct surveys recommending disposition of ships and service craft which are considered beyond economical Survey in accomplishing its mission to conduct acceptance trials of ships; service craft and aircraft; to capabilities of ships, and make such other inspection and trials as may be directed by the Chief of Naval repair and modernization; periodically ascertain and report on the material condition and performance

management, and project acquisition management support. This program also provides for the establishment of In-Service Engineering Agents (ISEA) for the introduction of new equipment and the monitoring of existing support, configuration management, software maintenance, training, documentation, other elements of ILS tactical communications equipment by providing proper planning for all elements of integrated logistic Included are planning for equipment implementation and installation, depot suppport, supply Integrated Logistic Support (ILS) Systems - This program supports the introduction of new fleet equipment to identify and correct problems as they arise.

I. Description of Operations Financed (continued).

safety training, (5) support a Navy Laser Safety Review Board to approve all military and certain industrial provide operational safety assistance to the Fleet. These funds are used to maintain an electronics system lasers, (6) develop and maintain all Navy laser safety design, training, and operational documents, and (7) Safety - Provides funds for the Navy Laser Hazards Prevention Program to: (1) develop standards for laser safety design and laser radiation eye protection, (2) maintain a test and evaluation laboratory for protective devices, (3) provide safety technical assist to laser developers, (4) provide Navy-wide laser determining hazardous characteristics of specific military/industrial lasers and for evaluating laser safety evaluation laboratory capability and develop electronics safety design standards and operating precautions

damage, increasing productivity and enhancing fleet readiness. This is accomplished by providing safety and occupational health training of safety personnel, supervisors and employees; safety inspection; and NAVOSH hazards and training employees in safe work practices, thereby reducing work time injuries and equipment Navy Occupational Safety and Health (NAVOSH) - Provides funds targeted at eliminating workplace management evaluation support.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--------------------------|--------|---------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| | | Budget | Appro- | Current | Budget | Budget |
| ଘ | r 1990 | Request | priation | Estimate | Request | Request |
| SNAP | 6,536 | 6,387 | 6,180 | 4,678 | 0 | 25,382 |
| SSN Integrated Comm. | 1,276 | 2,155 | 2,108 | 1,672 | 1,775 | 2,060 |
| INSURV | 588 | 797 | 454 | 454 | 352 | 386 |
| Integrated Logistics Spt | t 972 | 2,964 | 2,886 | 2,096 | 7,460 | 6,331 |
| Safety | 334 | 907 | 398 | 398 | 247 | 246 |
| NAVOSH | 258 | 283 | 278 | 278 | 196 | 218 |
| | | | | | | |
| Total Logistics Supt. | 796,6 | 12,659 | 12,304 | 9,576 | 7,030 | 34,623 |

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases.

| | FY 1991 Current Estimate | • | \$9,576 |
|----|--|----------|---------|
| 2. | Pricing Adjustments | | 389 |
| | A. Other DBOF B. Other Pricing Adjustments | (43) | |
| | Program Increases | | 2,330 |
| | A. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 | (2,330) | |
| | Integrated Logistic Support Increase is due to the acceleration of the program and provides for 19.7 workyears in In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) acquisition management and 0.2 workyears for software technical support for: Single Channel Ground Air Radio (SINCGARS) equipment which will be used for special operation forces (500); Navy Standard Teleprinter (NST) (1,023); and Surface Ship Automated Communications Control (SSACCS) | | |
| | SSN-ICS Increase reflects additional support in In-Service Enginering Agent (ISEA) (35). | | |
| | NAVOSH Increase provides for 1 additional safety evaluation (15). | | |
| 4. | Program Decreases | | -5,265 |
| | A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 | (-5,265) | |

いっしている

SNAP Decrease reflects a realignment of funds to the Navy Management Systems Support Office (NAVMASSO) (-4869).

Activity Group: 'ogistics Support Activities (continued)

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

| > |
|----|
| 24 |
| |
| S |
| Z |
| - |

Decrease reflects 16 less INSURVs being performed (-120).

SAFETY

evaluations, and laser safety fleet assistance (-167). Decreased support for laser safty workshops, laser standards/publications, laser equipment safety protective device evaluations, laser safety

Decrease in oversight inspections and safety data base development (-109).

FY 1992 President's Budget Request 5

\$7,030

226

Pricing Adjustments •

| | | , |
|----------|---|-------|
| ٧ | A Annialization EV 1992 Direct Pay Raise | (6) |
| Ġ | עיווותמוזקמרוסון זו זייר הזוכבר בהל הייבר | • |
| | 1) Classified | ע |
| | | (00) |
| <u>α</u> | B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raise | (53) |
| • | | c |
| | 1) Classified | 7 |
| | | (101) |
| ئ | C. Other Pricing Adjustments | (124) |

- Other Pricing Adjustments ပ်
- Program Increases 7.

Increase reflects a realignment of funds to the SNAP program from the Navy Management Systems Support Office (NAVMASSO) due to NAVMASSO's transition to a NIF activity (25,383). Other Program Growth in FY 1993 A.

28,455

(28,455)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Increase reflects additional 2 workyears for Antenna Technical Representatives (229).

INSURV

Increase provides for the accomplishment of 2 additional INSURVs (23).

Integrated Logistic Support

Increase of software technical support for Navy Standard Teleprinter (NST) (968); R-2368 HF Receiver (300); Ship Automated Communications Control (SSACCS) (802); and Broadband Automated System (700); increase for Project Acquisition Support for the above systems (32).

NAVOSH

Increase due to additional support requirements for oversight inspections (18).

-1,088

(7-)

(-1,084)

8. Program Decreases

A. One-Time FY 1993 Cost
One less work day of civilian employment (-4).

B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993
Integrated Logistics Support
Decrease reflects 8.7 less workyears for
engineering evaluation ISEA functions at the
field activities (-1,084).

9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$34,623

£ 30000

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued)

| 111. | Performance Criteria | FX 1990 | FY 1991 (WY/\$) | FY 1992 (WY/\$000) | [24 | FY 1993 | |
|------|--|--|--|--|-------|--|--|
| | SNAP Field Activity Support Technical Field Services Acquisition Support Logistic/Eng Support Total | 33.3/2,175 13.1/ 805 78.2/3,256 7.1/ 300 131.7/6,536 | 26.9/1,832 9.0/ 575 47.9/2,077 4.4/ 194 88.2/4,678 | 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0/ 0.0/ | 0 2 | 27.8/2,043 6.9/ 479 26.3/1,228 5.3/ 250 66.3/4,000 | |
| | NAVMASSO | | | | | | |
| | Support by Program at NAVMASSO (\$000) | | | | | | |
| | SNAP I SNAP II | 00 | 00 | | 0 0 | 10,842 6,365 | |
| | NALCOMIS AV3M/NAVFLIS MGMT/OTHER | 0 0 0 | 0 0 0 | | 009 | 1,301 1,578 1,296 | |
| | Sub Totals | 0 | 0 | | c, | 21,382 | |
| | Grand Total SNAP | 131.7/6,536 | 88.2/4,678 | / 0 | 9 0 | 66.3/25,382 | |
| | SNAP | | | | | | |
| | AIS for Development Life Cycle Maint. Support | | | | | | |
| | SNAP I SNAP II | 00 | 00 | | 00 | 6 01 0 | |
| | SNAP MICROS NALCOMIS AV3M/NAVFLIRS | 0 0 0 | 000 | | 009 | m e c 4 | |
| | Total Supported | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 27 | |

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued)

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

| FY 1993 | | 99 600 660 142 120 | 1,621 | | 198 | 535 | 084 | 65 | Cħ | 1,318 | | 1.0/ 74 | | 0.3/ 31 | 0 /0.0 | 0 /0.0 | | 7.0/ 864 | 806 /0 8 | | 1 | | 17.9/2,060 } |
|---------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------|---------|-------------|----------|---------------|-----------------|--------------------|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------|
| FY 1992 | | 00000 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 1.0/ 71 | | 0.3/ 29 | | 0.0/ | | 6.9/839 | 6 07 663 | | 1 | 0.0/ | 15.8/1,775 |
| FY 1991 | | 00000 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 1.0/ 68 | | E . | 0.0 | 0.0 | | 6.5/ 769 | 707 707 | | 1.6/ 181 | 0 70.0 | 15.4/1,672 |
| FX 1990 | | 00000 | 0 | Visits | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 1.0/ 65 | | .5/ 50 | | 0.0/0 | | 6.0/ 701 | 700 | 3.0/ 300 | 1.5/ 160 | 0 70.0 | 12.0/1,276 |
| | Number of Platforms/Sites Served | SNAP I SNAP II SNAP MICROS NALCOMIS AV3M/NAVFLIRS | Total Supported | Number of Scheduled Assist Visits | SNAP I | SNAP II | SNAP MICROS | NALCOMIS | AV3M/NAVFLIRS | Total Supported | (000\$/XM) SSN-NSS | Configuration Management/ Field Maintenance | Technical Support and | Management Assistance | EMI HF Filter Antenna Technical | Inspection Program | In Service Engineering | Agent | Antenna Technical | Representatives | Antenna modilication Equipment Support | EMI Installation Support | Total |

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued)

| | 95 | 34 | 107 | | 386 | 386 | | 55 | 7 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 0 | 24 | 31 | 20 | 14 246 |
|--|---|----------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------|-------|----------------------|---|------------------|----------------|------|-------------------------------|--|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| FY 1993 | 20.0/2,395 | 1.0/ 134 | 32,3/3,802 53.3/6,331 | | 7 08 | / 08 | | 1.0/ | 10.0/ | 1.0/ | 2.0/ | 4.0/ | 0.0 | 1.0/ | 1.0/ | 2.0/ | 4.0/ 14 26.0/ 246 |
| | 361 | 66 | 097 | | 352 | 352 | | 55 | 7 | 15 | 30 | 20 | 0 | 25 | 31 | 20 | 14 |
| FY 1992 (WY/\$000) | 28.7/3,361 | 1.0/ | 8.5/1,000 38.2/4,460 | | 78 / | / 8/ | | 1.0/ | 10.0/ | 1.0/ | 2.0/ | 70.4 | 0.0/ | 1.0/ | 1.0/ | 2.0/ | 4.07 |
| | 034 | 95 | 960 960 | | 454 | 454 | | 55 | 7 | 15 | 20 | 43 | 23 | 71 | 91 | 29 | 14 398 |
| FY 1991 | 9.0/1,034 | 1.0/ 95 | 8.3/ 967 | | 1 46 | 1 76 | | 1.0/ | 10.0/ | 1.0/ | 3.0/ | 70.4 | 1.0/ | 3.0/ | 3.0/ | 3.0/ | 33.0/ |
| (1) | 1LS) 276 | 29 | 667 972 | (000 | 588 | 538 | | 55 | 7 | 15 | 21 | 37 | 23 | 56 | 79 | 29 | 334 |
| ontinue FY 1990 | port (2.5/ | 0.3/ | 6.4/ 667 9.2/ 972 | URV/\$ | 7 / 5 | 126 / | | 1.0/ | 10.07 | 1.0/ | 2.0/ | 70.4 | 1.0/ | 2.0/ | 3.0/ | 3.0/ | 4.07 |
| III. Performance Criteria (continued). FY 1990 | Integrated Logistic Support (ILS) Equip/ISEA/ILSP 2.5/276 Project Acquisition | | Software lech maint Actions f Total | Inspection & Survey (INSURV/\$000) | Number of INSURV's | | SAFETY (Items/\$000) | System Safety Documents Produced or Revised | ics Review Group | Safety Surveys | | Laser Safety Review Boards | Laser Protective Device Evaluations | Laser Safety Standards/ Publications | Laser Equipment Safety Evaluations | Laser Safety Fleet Assistance | Laser Safety Work Group Assistance Total |

000000

Activity Group: Logistics Support Activities (continued)

Performance Criteria (continued). 111.

AUDIT SAVINGS INCORPORATED IN CURRENT BUDGET CONTROLS. No further audit savings are identified at this time.

A IV. Personnel Summary.

| FY 1993 | Budget <u>Request</u> | |
|---------|--------------------------|--|
| FY 1992 | Budget <u>Request</u> | |
| | Current Estimate | |
| FY 1991 | Appro- priation | |
| | Budget Request | |
| | FY 1990 | |
| | | |
| | | |

End Strength

22

40000

Operation & Maintenance, Navy Department of the Navy

Industrial Preparedness Program (IPP) Activity Group:

7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Budget Activity: Claimant:

Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

Description of Operations Financed.

preparedness measures ensuring utilization of improved techniques which will shorten production lead time and reduce requirements for industrial manpower and critical materials. Also provides for maintenance of standby industrial capability, maintenance of industrial equipment in reserve, and related support of all Industrial Preparedness - Provides industrial preparedness planning and development of industrial ammunition shore activities with the objective to intensify Navy's industrial readiness.

Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands). II.

Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | Budget Budget <u>Request</u> <u>Request</u> | 95 91 | 95 91 |
|---------|--|----------------------------|-------|
| | Current Estimate | 76 | 67 |
| FY 1991 | Appro- priation | 109 | 109 |
| | Budget Request | 122 | 122 |
| | FY 1990 Actual | 80 | 80 |
| | | Industrial Preparedness | Total |

| and Decreases. |
|----------------|
| of Increases a |
| nciliation o |
| B. Reco |

- FY 1991 Current Estimate
- A. Other Pricing Adjustments Pricing Adjustments 2:
- A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 Program Decreases .

9-

3

(9-)

\$97

fewer Industrial Preparedness Contractor Facility surveys (-1); and fewer Industrial Preparedness Special Studies (-1). Production Readiness Reviews conducted (-2); Manufacturing Sources and Material Shortages manufacturing sources and material shortages potential impact of the loss of commercial Decrease reflects reduction in Diminishing related to Naval C31 Systems (-2); fewer (DMSMS) which analyzes and evaluates the

- FY 1992 President's Budget Request 4.
- A. Other Pricing Adjustments Pricing Adjustments Š

\$95

3

(3)

(-1)

Activity Group: Industrial Preparedness Program (IPP) (Continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

6. Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 Decrease reflects reduction in Diminishing Manufacturing Sources and Material Shortages (DMSMS) which analyzes and evaluates the potential impact of the loss of commercial manufacturing sources and material shortages related to Naval C3I systems (-2); fewer Production Readiness Reviews conducted (-1); reduced software maintenance support for the Industrial Preparedness Program database (-2); and fewer Industrial Preparedness Special Studies (-2).

7. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$91

やしている

Activity Group: Industrial Preparedness Program (IPP) (Continued)

| III. Performance Criteria. | FY 1990 | FX 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|--------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| (000\$) | | | | |
| Diminishing Manufacturing Sources & Material Shortages (DMSMS) | 15 | 18 | 17 | 16 |
| Production Readiness Reviews (PRR) Contractor Facility Surveys Software/Planning Support | 12 22 16 | 16 25 19 | 15 25 19 | 14 26 17 |
| Special Studies Industrial Preparedness | 15 | 19 | 19 | 18 |
| Total | 80 | 16 | 98 | 91 |
| Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls | nt Budget Controls | | | |

IV. Personnel Summary. None

NO FURTHER SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy

Activity Group: Engineering and Support Services
Budget Activity: 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

CCSC is operational at two shore sites. Shipboard installations began in June 1990. Inventory objective is 24 systems. COMBAT DF will occur on LHD, DD-963, and DDG-51 platforms, a total of 54 systems are to become critical information to platform commanders as well as the officer in tactical command of battle groups or CCSC is operational on 18 CG-47 Class ships with an additional 11 coming on line over the next five years Requirement/Repair Card (MRC) changes, pre-deployment grooms, material expediting and engineering changes deployed on surface Naval ships in direct support of tactical combat targeting operations. They provide There are currently 22 operational OUTBOARD equipped ships with eight to follow. representatives, software maintenance, configuration maintenance, technical manual changes, Maintenance Cryptologic Combat Support System (CCSS) and Ships Signal Exploitation Space (SSES). The systems are for OUTBOARD I and II, Combat Directional Finding (DF), Cryptologic Combat Support Console (CCSC), <u> Electronic Warfare</u> - This tactical intelligence and related function provides technical operational by the end of the program. surface action groups.

Portable Electronic Support Measures (PESM) - Provides signal intercept and analysis capabilities to ships. PESM is made up of the following programs:

- Mobile Systems Technical Data Facility (MSTDF) Funding supports the deployment of production MSTDF Detachment, Pensacola is supported; software maintenance will only consist of maintaining current licenses systems to all planned sites. MSTDF hardware configuration status accounting management is also provided. for commercial software, ensuring compatibility of applications software with the current releases of Life cycle software maintenance at the Software Support Activity established at Naval Security Group commercial software and software configuration management.
- operators prior to their deployment to tactical fleet operations. The system is a Computer-Based Training - Cryptologic Field Trainer (CFT) - The available funding provides for the support of deployed CFT (CBT) device that simulates live target signal environments so operators can "tune" through for target The CFT systems allow cryptologic field activities to train Direct Support (DIRSUP) augment environment training.

I. Description of Operations Financed (continued).

Tactical Cryptologic Support (ICS) - The equipment, subsystems, and systems supported under this line for deployment on Navy combatants and amphibious platforms on a mission-to-mission basis. These equipments item are portable systems centrally located at forward staging areas, Fleet Electronic Support (FES) units, equipments include Cryptologic Vans, AN/SSQ-80A(V)s, HF/VHF receivers, and ancillaries. Funding provides for configuration control, inventory control, maintenance, technical documentation review, Navy Training Plan (NTP) preparation and review, Tactical Electromagnetic Program (TEMP) preparation and review, field provide tactical EMS support to the embarked commander relative to Mission area requirements. These repair, and interim Depot repair. AN/UYA-7(V) Digital Communications Processor Group Equipment - The AN/UYA-7(V) is used onboard selected U.S. Navy ships and U.S. Navy shore stations. The equipment will provide secure voice and data communications via High Frequency (HF) and Ultra High Frequency (UHF) satellite communication paths. HF and provided by an external URF modem and radio. Funds are provided for acceptance testing and interoperability UHF data communications are accomplished through an integral Quantize Frequency Modulation (QFM - digitizes analog teletype signals) modem and external HF radio. UHF satellite data and voice communications will be (i.e., Air Force & Navy) testing, field activity In-service Engineering Agent (ISEA) effort, production equipment installation, and operational and maintenance training.

ship-to-shore in a timely manner. The MIIS and APPS provide targeting quality photographic mensurations for aircraft strike missions. This program finances hardware maintenance via the ISEA, software maintenance via planning systems and Navy Command and Control Systems - Afloat. The NIPS processes, analyzes, displays, and System (NIPS), the Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) System, the Fleet Imagery Support Terminal (FIST) which disseminates intelligence data to the ship and Battle Group (BG) to support Naval operations; included with are all installed on Aircraft Carriers (CV/CVN), Amphibious Command Ships (LCC), Amphibious Assault Ships (LHA/LHD) and four Navy shore commands; Modular Imagery Interpretations Systems (MIIS) and analytical Photogrammetric Positioning Systems which are installed on CV/CVNs and Navy shore commands. All of these this system is a National Intelligence Data Lase and associated computer programs to operate this system. installed on three classes of ships and shore installations. They are the Naval Intelligence Processing FIST provides strategic, tactical and targeting intelligence support to the Navy forward deployed afloat equipments interface with, and provide essential intelligence data to tactical aircraft, cruise missile The CCTV secure briefing system has video, audio, and talkback capabilities that is used to disseminate classified intelligence briefing information to command and control (C2) and mission planning spaces. the SSA, field hardware and software maintenance services to deployed operational ships, platform and Naval Information Processing System (NIPS) - NIPS consists of four classes of systems that are commands by providing the capabilities to transmit and receive digital imagery shore-to-ship and

1. Description of Operations Financed (continued).

NIPS Continued

modifications, software build/release preparation and implementation, and hardware modification preparation overall system configuration maintenance, within envelope software conversions and modifications, hardware and implementation.

management support for the NATO Multi-Service Electronic Warfare Support Group (MEWSG); (3) provides repair and maintenance of fleet jammers used for training and tactical contingencies; and (4) provides Electronic accomplished through the following efforts: (1) operation, maintenance and overhaul of Fleet Electronic Warfare Support Group (FEWSG) simulators, and ECM jammers; (2) provides technical advice and acquisition Counter Countermeasures (ECCM) handbooks for specific ship classes based upon the ship's radar suite. Electromagnetic (EM) Environment and the capability to monitor and assess this environment. This is <u> Tactical Electromagnetic Program (TEMP) - Ensures readiness by providing a valid operational</u>

maintain, and tailor Standardized Electronic Warfare (EW) emitter reprogrammable data base libraries for all Fleet EW systems. The Electronic Warfare Operational Programming Facility (EWOPFAC) develops and maintains Detachments (EWOPDETS) to meet theater specific operational requirements and includes the conversion and Electronic Warfare Reprogrammable Library (EWRL) - The mission of the EWRL program is to provide, upgrade of extraction software to provide the capability to produce libraries for multiple EW systems. the master world-wide EW data base which is adapted by Electronic Warfare Operational Programming

electronic warfare operations. Equipment, subsystems, systems and functions supported by this line item are: classification and will be provided as required. This line item provides for direct support of active fleet Cover and Deception - Detailed data on the following equipment, subsystems and systems is of higher

systems which collectively provide Fleet Commanders with the capability to deceive and/or disrupt adversary - Shipboard Cover and Deception (SCD) - A configuration of specialized equipment, subsystems and

implement and support the acquisition, storage, maintenance and distribution of technical data in electronic second objective is to replenish and maintain sufficient stock levels in the supply system of the approximately 12,000 SPAWAR publications to support Fleet requirements. The third objective, is to process adequately developed, fielded and maintained for the installation, training, operation, and maintenance of publication deficiencies which may reduce Fleet readiness. The final objective is to maintain the SPAWAR technical data and thus supports the Computer-Aided Acquisition and Logistics Support (CALS) initiatives Technical Data Center, a central command repository for engineering data. This repository supports the Secretary of Defense's long term direction to improve the acquisition, storage, update and retrieval of electronic systems for the Fleet and other users. The primary objective is to ensure that adequate and Technical Publications - This program provides support to ensure that technical documentation is feedback reports and comment sheets from manual users indicating manual discrepancies and correct any accurate technical manuals are procured and maintained throughout the equipment/system life cycle.

I. Description of Operations Financed (continued).

Electronic Test and Repair:

- addition, effort will encompass analysis of both AN/WRC-1 and AN/WSC-3 systems to enable development of test existing Navy maintenance echelon (i.e., organizational, intermediate and depot) for selective in-service Implementation, designed to develop and field an integrated test, repair, and Integrated Logistic Support equipment. This effort will be implemented on Fleet nominated candidate system, the AN/WRC-1, AN/WSC-3, AN/SYQ-7 and the AN/SSR-1. It encompasses three interrelated phases, i.e., Analysis, Development and program sets (TPSs) compatible with the new AN/TSM-192, Transportable Analog Tester (TAT), Slated for - Intermediate Maintenance Activity (IMA) Support Development Program (IMASDP) - The SPAWAR IMA Enhancement effort is aimed at the development of a progressive maintenance capability utilizing the (ILS) capability for this equipment at selected shore intermediate maintenance activities (SIMAs). fielding by Naval Sea Systems Command (NAVSEA).
- electronic equipment installed in fleet units subjected to the Emergency Operations Center (EOC) maintenance specifically designated equipment on a predetermined schedule, governed by periodicities resulting from an engineered analysis and published in class maintenance plans (CMPs) for those ships assigned to the EOC - Surface Ship Engineering Operating Cycle (SSEOC) - Finances the support for SPAWAR cognizant philosophy. Execution of this maintenance philosophy requires the exchange and refurbishment of maintenance concept. Funds are provided for the restoration of changed-out equipment.

Electromagnetic Compatibility/World Administrative Radio Conference (EMC/WARC) - This program provides EMI on Fleet force level warfighting capabilities and provide WARC support involving technical evaluation of impact of special WARCs and the development of technical alternatives for Navy Requirements, plus Very High (EMI) problems involving SPAWAR and Battle Force (BF) systems; (2) Acquisition Electromagnetic Environment Effects (E3) technical review, analysis and recommendations in EMI control of SPAWAR and Battle Force Frequency realignment for regions of U.S. and possessions includes implementation support; (5) E3 Training Force E3 programs; (4) Spectrum Management to include BF Evaluation System (BEES) integration to evaluate boards, and reports to CNO; technical evaluation/review of reports and other support of SPAWAR and Battle funding for (1) Fleet EMC Support Program analysis and resolution of Fleet Electromagnetic Interference systems acquisitions; (3) E3 Program support of Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) Executive Boards, Flag Seminar to train acquisition, lab, and inspection personnel for better acquisitions -- E3 Newsletter to increase EMI awareness and provide guidance to Navy personnel--updating the EMI Navy Training Plan (NTP) -- development of training modules -- development of self-help films/tapes; (6) Shore Support in conducting EMI surveys by various SPAWAR field activities.

with easonable dependability, prevent deliberate or inadvertent access to classified/sensitive material by operating Navy ADP systems, which process, store or use classified or sensitive business data/output, will, Automatic Data Processing (ADP) Security - This program provides the capability to assure that

I. Description of Operations Financed (continued).

Automatic Data Processing (continued)

inspection teams design generalized test and evaluation procedures, modify them to provide a site inspection analysis, reporting and notification of generic technical vulnerabilities and corrective measures concerning unauthorized persons and unauthorized manipulation of the computer and its associated devises. ADP Security plan, and conduct the analysis/evaluation of each ADP system. The generalized categories of services performed to support operational ADP assets include technical assists, COMPUSEC evaluations (transitioning personnel also serve as qualified ADP security evaluators for Navy Inspector General (IG) staff as part of personnel provide training and guidance to operational personnel in obtaining system accreditation. Team Security Technical Vulnerability Reporting Program (CSTVRP) which specifies the collection, consolidation to risk assessment and C2 Accreditations starting in FY 1992), and security test and evaluations. Team threats (viruses, worms, trojans, etc) which threaten and could seriously affect or destroy ADP assets. the Navy Command Inspection Program. The program provides Navy component support for the DoD Computer

and Acquisition RDA-MCCR computer security (COMPUSEC). As the CCA, SPAWAR provides resources for: (a) Navy MCCR Policy and Standards Support program, which includes development/maintaining Tactical Digital Standards activities into RDA-MCCR programs to support COMPUSEC certification/accreditation (C/A) efforts; (b) Provide Control Authority (CCA) for MCCR and as the Office of Primary Responsibility (OPR) for Research, Development provides the schedule for transition to Next Generation Computer Resources (NGCR). As the OPR, SPAWAR will: Coordinating Group on Computer Resource Management (JLC-CRM); (b) develop, update and review joint service, resources policy and standards). (e) Developing/updating of an annual Standard Embedded Computer Resources Department of Defense and industry standards for application to the Navy MCCR program; (c) Maintaining the - Mission Critical Computer Resources (MCCR) - SPAWARSYSCOM has been established as the Commonality Navy's investment and acquisition strategy that serves as the basis for improvements to existing SECR and requirements and use (such as data used to evaluate compliance with and assess effectiveness of computer comprehensive plan addressing the long-term use of computer resources in MCCR systems and represents the (TADSTANDS), including preparations of instructions implementing CNO policy and guidance, and reviewing waivers, including technical assessments and life cycle cost comparisons. Reviewing program initiation specialized support to program offices to support C/A; Review selected C/A documents for Navy RDA-MCCR assessment to the Joint Logistics Commanders (JLC) which provide resources for the JLC Joint Policy (SECR) Master Plan, which is the vehicle by which warfare and fleet support requirements, research, documents (PIDs) for the development of critically needed data documenting Navy computer resources (a) Establish an acquisition framework/system engineering discipline for incorporation of COMPUSEC development and acquisition needs and platform commitments are documented. The Master Plan is a COMPUSEC policy compliance.

C23...20

I. Description of Operations Financed (continued).

standards and acquisition methodologies to provide a family of computing resources to cover the Navy's needs (R&D) program in FY 1988. Its purpose was to establish computing system architectures, functional interface certification facility to verify compliance of industry-developed computer equipments, hardware and software for a twenty year period beginning in FY 1996. A portion of the R&D effort is the development of a product SAFENET I, SAFENET II and Backplane standards. Further, beginning in FY 1991, Operations and Maintenance, - Next Generation Computer Resources (NGCR) - The NGCR program began as an Research and Development Navy funding is required for certification support. Interim certification support for the SAFENET I standard will start in FY 1991, with SAFENET II and Backplane standards beginning in FY 1992. The fully software. This facility will also be used to maintain baseline documentation for all NGCR products, and maintaining the published NGCR standards. The O&M,N efforts begin in FY 1991 with maintenance of the against NGCR standards, which are documents that define standard interfaces for computer hardware and operational certification facility will be on line in FY 1996.

Lists/Coordinated Shipboard Allowance Lists/Consolidated Shore-based Allowance List (APL/COSAL/COSBAL) which for Depot Maintenance (PMDM), for SPAWAR cognizant equipment/components; (6) Depot Maintenance Inter-service Support Agreements which are agreements with other military maintenance activities for the support of SPAWAR maintaining a library of all ICD drawing packages and for the printing and distributing drawing packages, as assignments efforts which support SPAWAR's function as one of the three Navy Departments Control Points for cognizant equipment/components. Funds are utilized to support the SPAWAR Maintenance Interservice Support technical feedback reports and for initiating minor changes to PMS documentation; (2) configuration Status Accounting (CSA)/Ship Configuration and Logistics Support Information System (SCLSIS) which funds the identification plate formats for compliance to contractual requirements. (4) maintenance planning/logistic provisioning guidance, allowance list development, production liaison for major equipment and systems, and 'designation of Design Overhaul Points (DOPs) and to perform on-site certifications by the Program Manager MIL-STD-196. Funds are also utilized for the assignment of equipment serial numbers and for the review of quality control of the repaired product, funds are utilized to support the SPAWAR centralized assignment Maintenance Engineering - This program finances the implementation and management of the following s maintenance after initial provisioning necessary for supply systems support. Field Maintenance Agent development of corrections for equipment deficiencies; (5) repair management of electronic material and efforts: (1) ashore electronic Planned Maintenance System (PMS) program which develops and distributes coordination with the Program Manager or In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA); (7) Installation Control well as for the assignment of seven digit EICs for all SPAWAR equipments/systems; (8) Allowance Parts Office (MISO), who is responsible for negotiating, preparing, implementing and reviewing DMISAs, in maintenance requirements cards for SPAWAR cognizant equipment/system, as well as for processing PMS establishment and maintenance of a Command configuration status accounting system; (3) Nomenclature the assignment of Joint Electronics Type Designation System (JETDS) nomenclature in accordance with Drawing (ICD) packages/Equipment Identification Code (EIC) Assignments where funds are utilized for support analysis and level of repair analysis to assist with maintenance concepts, supply support, support (FMA); and (10) Link-11 Grooms.

72000

1. Description of Operations Financed (continued)

spares/repair parts from the prime manufacturer to direct purchases from the original equipment manufacturer Maintenance Engineering - Buy Our Spares Smartly (BOSS) - This program implements Secretary of Defense initiatives to improve competition in the procurement of replenishment spare parts and ensure that fair and depot maintenance to ensure reasonable cost. BOSS ensures acquisition, adequacy, maintenance, storage and or from competitive procurement. The function includes the technical screening and review of spare parts improving documentation to make it suitable for competition and reviews of electronic components used in reasonable prices are paid for them. The primary emphasis of the program is to "breakout" replenishment Technical Data Packages to determine suitability for competition. BOSS also includes initiatives for currency of design disclosure documentation to enable competitive reprocurement of all maintenance significant items in support of SPAWAR procured equipment/systems.

Other Engineering Services:

- Alteration Management This effort will develop and implement the procedures necessary to ensure that proper planning is done such that the technical, material and logistic elements of the program will support planned fleet implementation.
- Coordinated Ship Allowance List Processes fleet generated technical inquiries such as fleet Cosal Feedback Reports and Allowance Change requests to resolve fleet supply support problems.
- <u>Material Management</u> Manage and coordinate delivery of SPAWAR hardware to meet fleet requirements. Systems for maintaining accountability are also supported by these funds as well as continual analysis of This includes feasibility studies, material tracking, and analysis of a wide range of material problems. equipment performance in the fleet.
- support activities for SPAWAR programs. These evaluations permit early identification of life cycle support - Logistics Program Evaluation - Function encompass review and analysis of Integrated Logistics problems by generating proper logistics support early in the acquisition cycle.
 - develops class-wide and fleet-wide fixes for deficiencies noted during previous shock tests and on a structure necessary to establish the program and to establish a survivability data base. Tracks and - Survivability - Provides effort to develop implementing instructions and the organizational case-by-case basis, provides funding for specific high-visibility survivability improvements.

I. Description of Operations Financed (continued).

Other Engineering Services (continued)

- system Planned Maintenance System (PMS) and Naval Surface Forces Atlantic Fleet (SURFLANT) and Naval Surface Forces Pacific Fleet (SURFPAC) ships. Along with installation, the program provides for validation of test procedures and Exterior Communication (EXCOMM) Circuit performance, training of fleet personnel, and - Total Ship Test Program (TSTP) - Provides for the installation of specified test equipment and new follow-on calibration and repair of TSTP equipment.
- analysis and measurements are required to insure the safety of Navy personnel and civilians located in close proximity to Navy transmitter installations. Approximately 710 shore facilities world-wide require review - Shore Radiation Hazard (RADHAZ) Hazard of Electro Magnetic Radiation to Personnel (HERP) - RADHAZ Each facility is scheduled for review every five years. and evaluation,
- Warfare Systems Architecture and Engineering (WSA&E) WSA&E provides funding for annual maintenance review meetings, document updates, and the FSEP distribution to the Navy Systems Commands, PEOs, DPRMs, and Research and Development Centers. The maintenance will include theater systems, special compartmented information programs, and the tracking and response system for resolution of forces system engineering Navy Tentative Operational Requirements (TORs), Development Options Papers (DOPs), Operational Requirements (ORs), and Development Change Proposals (DCPs) used to provide design guidance and recommendations to OPNAV issues. Also included is the maintenance of the overall data base to retain this information including all of the Force Systems Engineering Plan (FSEP) document and associated systems engineering process including and Navy program managers.
- Warfare Systems Integration Laboratory The Navy has determined that there is a need to better test architectural approach for NWDS is to leverage existing and programmed Navy and industrial capabilities that thereby increasing fleet effectiveness and reducing life cycle costs. The initial emphasis will be directed (NOSC) and Naval Surface Warfare Center (NSWC) and the interim Warfare Systems Integration Laboratory (WSIL) Systems Command (SPAWAR) Command, Control, Communications and Intelligence (C3I) Warfare Systems. The NWDS currently consists of Research Evaluation Systems Analysis (RESA) facilities at Naval Ocean Systems Center are resident at a large number of facilities across the United States. NWDS will allow the identification (NWDS) is being established to meet this need through an integrated warfare systems test capability. The and evaluate systems in a stressed Battle Force (BF) environment. The Naval Warfare Development System of force systems engineering problems such that they can be corrected prior to full system development, towards identifying and providing capabilities associated with engineering the Space and Naval Warfare on Wallops Island, Va.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | FY 1991 | | | |
|--|---------|---------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
| | FY 1990 | Budget | Appro- | Current | Budget | Budget |
| | Actual | Request | priation | Estimate | Request | Request |
| Glectronic Barbara | 2 476 | 3.072 | 2,933 | 2,933 | 4,021 | 3,917 |
| Dortable FSM/Data Comm | 1,225 | 1,371 | 1,308 | 1,242 | 1,254 | 1,164 |
| NIDS | 1,773 | 2,067 | 1,979 | 1,979 | 1,959 | 2,141 |
| TEMP / FURI. | 2,094 | 2,275 | 2,168 | 2,143 | 1,397 | 1,414 |
| Cover and Decention | | 1,997 | 1,904 | 1,904 | 1,678 | 2,116 |
| Technical Publications | | 3,220 | 3,055 | 2,602 | 2,148 | 2,148 |
| Flootronio Teet E Renai | | 573 | 550 | 549 | 551 | 247 |
| ELECTION LOST & NOPEL | | 6.863 | 6.616 | 5,560 | 5,084 | 5,619 |
| ADD Security | 2.288 | 3,720 | 3,572 | 3,572 | 2,806 | 2,771 |
| ADI Security Maiorogone Brainsoring | | 4,208 | 4,043 | 3,676 | 2,145 | 1,786 |
| Other Engineering Sycs | 5,161 | 5,478 | 5,252 | 5,179 | 2,552 | 2,584 |
| | | • | | | | • |
| Total | 29,141 | 34,844 | 33,380 | 31,339 | 25,595 | 26,207 |

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases.

| a) |
|-------|
| imate |
| Esti |
| rent |
| Curi |
| 1661 |
| ፫ |
| ۲. |

| | in smeant |
|---------------------|--|
| Pricing Adjustments | DBOF 1) Sundies, materials, equipment |
| Pricing | A. DBOF |
| 2. | 7 |

1,412

(1) 1 (422) (989)

\$31,339

2,365

(2,365)

| B. Other DBOF C. Other Pricing Adjustments Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 Electronic Marfare Increase provides for ISEA support for two additional OUTBOARD II systems to be maintained (17); ten additional CCSC systems to be supported by Software Support Activity (371) and ILS planning/mgt for CCSC IOC (174); four additional CCSS systems to be maintained where field support will provide software updates (187); and provide configuration management/documentation and hardware repair/maintenance for Ship Signal |
|--|
|--|

. ش Digital Communication Processor Group Equipment Increase reflects an additional two workyears for qualification testing of AN/UYA-7 which includes interoperability testing with United States Air Force and United States Navy (57).

Engineering and Support Services (continued) Activity Group:

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

٦. workyear of SSA support (26) and an additional workyear of field service support to deployed Increase provides for an additional .5 of NIPS systems (43).

Technical Publications

for automated manual conversions at the Technical Increase for the repository and data maintenance Data Center which will enhance capability to respond to fleet requisitions (15).

EMC/WARC

acquisition engineering evaluations (67). Increase provides for 13 additional E3

ADP Security

Security program to establish a Computer Security Technical Vulnerability Reporting System (CSTVRP) of three additional security system testing and evaluations (95); additional 6 for risk additional security evaluations (104); increase (80); increased support by 15 workyears to the Navy Inspector General (IG) providing for .8 workyear is required to restructure the ADP assessments (90); additional 16 for C2 Accreditations (160). B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

CCR

Increase provides for one workyear to provide a centralized source for standards and guidance to assist acquisition program managers in ensuring incorporation of appropriate COMPUSEC into Navy Programs (100).

Maintenance Engineering - BOSS Increase in life cycle support for 9 Breakout (TDP) enhancements will be maintained and reviewed (125); increase in average workyear unit cost for Technical Data Packages Digitization maintenance where TDP's are automated to follow a standard. These costs vary with each data package due to complexity of equipment as well as the fact that some specialization does occur (300).

Total Ship Program
Increase reflects an additional .3 workyear for acquisition management (11).

4. Program Decreases

Ä

Other Program Decreases in FY 1992

<u>Electronic Warfare</u>

Decrease reflects reduction in In-Service

Engineering Agent (ISEA) support for one COMBAT

Direction Finding (DF) (-117).

(-9,521)

18.00

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

Portable ESM

Decrease reflects a reduction in In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) efforts where less testing, maintenance engineering and calibration will be performed on systems for future deployment (-103).

VIDO

Decrease reflects a reduction of ISEA effort by 1.5 W/Y (-59); 17 fewer hardware maintenance calls in support of deployed NIPS systems (-66); 7 fewer software maintenance calls due to decrease in trouble reports received from deployed NIPS systems (-40).

TEMP

Decrease reflects reduction in ISEA support for AN/ULQ-13 and ASQ-191 upgrade (-228).

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

databases (-118); elimination of software trouble Elimination of Engineering and Technical Services reduction of one EW parametric library production for tactical fleet systems (-100); elimination of software updates due to deployed EW tactical (EIS) support for deployed systems (-219); report maintenance (-231).

Cover and Deception

Decrease in ISEA and engineering and technical services for AN/SSQ-74, AN/SLQ-33 and AN/SLQ-34 (-296).

Technical Publications

2 less manuscripts updated (-28); reduction of 29 Decrease of 246 Quality Assurance reviews (-553); Fleet Manual Comment Sheets (-5) and decrease of 47 printing and replenishments (-39).

Electronic Test and Repair

circuit card support generated by the introduction of the AN/TSM-192 (TAT) (-26). 2M program provides for analysis of generic piece-parts support capability available at typical 2M station with emphasis on analog Decrease of 2.5 workyear in site analysis.

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

EMC/WARC

Program decrease reflects 11 less fleet EMCEP Problem Investigations (-191); 9 less seminars (-113); reduction in BF E3 efforts (-348); 2 less shore BF E3 surveys (-8) and 5 less Spectrum Management Studies (-123).

ADP Security

Decrease reflects a reduction of 11 workyears in Technical Assists (-151) and elimination of 23 workyears for COMPUSEC Evaluations (-534).

MCCR

Decrease reflects a reduction of 7 workyears for Navy Tactical Digital Standards (-366); 10 Compliance Reviews (-117). Decrease also reflects reduction in Joint Logistics Commanders (JLC) (-15) and Master Plan (-50) maintenance efforts.

NGCR

Decrease reflects 9 fewer Product Certifications (-317).

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

Maintenance Engineering
Decrease reflects two less field activities to
perform Field Maintenance Agent (FMA) support for
printer equipment (-112) and five less Link 11
Groomship visits (-37).

Decreases reflects reductions in assignment of designated overhaul points DOPs (-102); depot maintenance interservice program (DMISAs) (-35); maintenance requirement cards to the fleet (PMS) (-33); level of repair analysis (LORA) support (-59); equipment identification code (EIC) assignments/revising installation control drawing (ICD) packages (-58); nomenclature and serial assignment and confirmation actions (-27); configuration status accounting/ship configuration and logistics support information system (-230); fleet generated allowance change requests (-154).

Maintenance Engineering - BOSS Reduction of 641 Breakout (TDP) reviews (-1,041); ten less assignment of contracts (-192); and decreased support for investigations of suspect overpriced items (-17).

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

Other Engineering Services Decrease reflects reductions in Alteration

Installation Management (-136); Coordinated Ship Allowance List (-139); Material Management (-170); Logistics Program Evaluation (-60) and Survivability (-267).

Total Ship Test Program

Decrease reflects 6 less TSTP Implementations (-35) and 176 less test actions (-171).

Other Engineering Services
Decrease reflects 45 fewer RADHAZ surveys due to
Ship Maintenance Indirect Support reductions
(-578); NWDS efforts terminated due to Ship
Maintenance Indirect Support reductions (-571);
decrease of 3.3 workyears performing WSA&E BFSEP
maintenance due to Ship Maintenance Indirect
Support reductions (-491); reduction of 1.2
workyear in Design Guidance efforts (-136);
reduction of 1.1 workyear in data base
maintenance efforts (-109).

| (panu |
|----------|
| (Cont. |
| reases |
| d Dec |
| ses ar |
| Increa |
| ja |
| iation |
| Reconcil |
| ~ |

| Request |
|---------|
| Budget |
| OSD/OMB |
| 1992 |
| FY |
| 'n. |

| œ |
|--------|
| tment |
| Ad jus |
| ricing |
| 6. P |

717

\$25,595

| (4) (87) 87 | (†) (†) | (-10) |
|--|---|---|
| Annualization of FY 1992 Pay Raise FY 1993 Pay Raise 1) Classified | DBOF 1) Supplies, materials, equipment | Other DBOF Other Pricing Adjustments |
| . ₩ | ပ် | Б |

1,654

(1,654)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

NIPS

Increase provides for an additional .5 workyear field service support to deployed NIPS systems (37); 12 hardware maintenance calls for deployed NIPS systems (36); and 7 additional software maintenance calls based on projected software failure rates of deployed NIPS systems (49).

EWRL

Increase provides the updating of the Naval Emitter Reference File (NERF) database (7).

Cover and Deception

Increase in In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA), Software Support Agent (SSA) and engineering technical services (ETS) for AN/SSQ-74, and AN/SLQ-34 (384).

Technical Publications

Increase of 27 in current workload for printing of replenishments (20).

\$ 6000 C

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

EMC/WARC

Training Seminars (41) and 9 additional BF E3 tasks (177), and 4 additional Spectrum Management EMCEP problem investigations (83), 3 additional E3 Acquisition Evaluations (15), 4 additional E3 Program increases provide for 5 additional Fleet Studies (94).

Increase provides for maintenance of 2 additional published NGCR standards (149).

Maintenance Engineering - BOSS

Increase provides for 49 Technical Data Packages (TDPs) Digitization maintenance (104).

Total Ship Test Program (TSTP)

Increase reflects 136 additional acceptance test actions (129), and 6 additional test implementations (29).

- B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).
- 8. Program Decreases

-1,759

(-11)

(-1,748)

- A. FY 1993 One-Time Costs One less work day of civilian employment in FY 1993 (-11).
- B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993

 Electronic Marfare
 Decrease in ISEA and SSA support for OBII (-474)
 and decrease in ILS planning for CCSC IOC (-55),
 and decrease in SSA support for CCSS (-4).

Portable ESM
Decrease reflects reductions in ISEA support for non-defensive electronic countermeasures over the life cycle of the Mobile System Technical Data Facility (MSTDF) and assistance in processing and evaluating hardware change proposals (-29).

Decrease reflects reduction in In-Service Engineering Agent (ISEA) support and intensive effort to inspect, test, calibrate and repair the returned systems in order to prepare them for future deployments (-24).

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

Digital Communication Processor Group Equipment Decrease reflects one less workyear of field activity ISEA support used to monitor performance of equipment in the field and evaluate repairs and make recommendations for planned maintenance systems (-71).

VIPS

Decrease reflects reduction of SSA support to deployed NIPS systems (-10).

H

Decrease reflects reduction in ISEA support to Fleet units in maintenance of Fleet jammers used for training and tactical contingencies (-14).

Technical Publications

Decrease reflects reduction of 5 quality assurance reviews (-13); 2 technical manuscripts update (-18); reduction of 14 comment sheets processed (-4); and reduced efforts of digitization of technical manuals at the Technical Data Center (-16).

Electronic Test and Repair

Decrease in 2M site analysis which provides for analysis of generic piece parts support (-10).

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

EMC/WARC

Program decrease reflects 2 less Shore BF E3 studies (-28).

ADP Security

Decrease reflects one less technical assist (-14); one less risk assessment (-16); and 2 less C2 accreditations (-16).

MCCR

Decrease reflects 1 workyear in support of maintenance of the Navy Tact; al Digital Standards (-69) and JLC (-9).

IGCR

Decrease reflects 3 fewer NGCR Product Certifications (-135).

Maintenance Engineering

Decrease reflects reductions in assignment of designated overhaul points (DOPs) (-6); depot maintenance interservice program (DMISAs) (-10); maintenance requirement cards to the fleet (PMS) (-9); equipment identification code (EIC) assignments/revising installation control drawing (ICD) packages (-3); nomenclature and serial assignment and confirmation actions (-6); configuration status accounting/ship configuration and logistics support information system (-12); fleet generated allowance change requests (-6).

Decrease reflects a reduction in the amount of work required in ship grooming which entails ensuring that fleet is trained on equipment and that equipment is not malfunctioning (-10).

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Continued).

Maintenance Engineering - BOSS Decrease reflects 13 less breakout reviews (-23) and 32 less breakout enhancements (-453).

Other Engineering Services - Decrease reflects reductions in Material Management (-11).

Reduction reflects decreases of one less RADHAZ survey (-18); design guidance efforts by one workyear (-91); reduction in WSA&E BFSEP maintenance (-45); and reduction in data base maintenance efforts (-16).

FY 1993 President's Budget Request 6

| III. <u>Performance Criteria</u> | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| Electronic Warfare Combat DF Outboard CCSC 5/ 80 SSES 0/ 0 CCSS Total Electronic Warfare | 8/1,074 | 8/1,489 | 9/1,430 | 13/1,562 |
| | 18/1,202 | 22/1,252 | 24/1,318 | 25/ 879 |
| | 13/ 80 | 13/ 76 | 24/624 | 24/ 592 |
| | 0/ 0 | 0/ 0 | 36/342 | 36/ 570 |
| | 13/ 120 | 17/ 116 | 21/307 | 22/ 314 |
| | 2,476 | 2,933 | 4,021 | 3,917 |
| Portable_ESM Crypto Direct Support Tactical Crypto Support Subtotal AN/UYA-7 Replacement Support Total Portable ESM | 4.5 / 496 60/ 657 1,153 1/ 72 1,225 | 5.5 / 552 41/ 452 1,004 5/ 238 1,242 | 5.0 / 517 41/ 424 941 6/ 313 1,254 | 5/ 506 41/ 414 920 5/ 244 1,164 |
| NIPS (Units/\$) In service Eng Activity Software Support Activity Field Services Hardware Maintenance Software Maintenance Total NIPS | 5.5/ 211 | 5.5/ 216 | 4/ 165 | 4/ 173 |
| | 8/ 307 | 8/ 314 | 8.5/ 352 | 8.5/ 354 |
| | 4/ 265 | 5/ 317 | 5.5/ 372 | 6/ 422 |
| | 114/ 408 | 127/ 496 | 110/ 450 | 122/ 501 |
| | 50/ 402 | 62/ 512 | 55/ 492 | 78/ 559 |
| | 3/ 180 | 2/ 124 | 2/ 128 | 3/ 132 |
| | 1,773 | 1,979 | 1,959 | 2,141 |

Activity Group: Engineering and Support Services (continued)

| FY 1992 FY 1993 | | 8/1,204 $1,204$ $1,212$ | 2/ 193 2/ 202 0/ 0 0/ 0 0/ 0 0/ 0 | 193 202 | 1,397 1,414 | 622 716 696 723 360 677 1,678 2,116 |
|---------------------------|---|---|--|---------------|------------------|--|
| FY 1991 | | 8/1,314 1,314 | 2/ 211 3/ 282 120/ 114 10/ 222 | 829 | 2,143 | 651 717 536 1,904 |
| teria (Continued) FY 1990 | rogram (TEMP) | 8/1,155 | ammable Library 2/ 204 3/ 279 120/ 110 14/_ 346 | 939 | 2,094 | 755 740 646 2,141 |
| III. Performance Criteria | Tactical Electromagnetic Program (TEMP) | FEWSG Repair & Maintenance Simulation Vans Subtotal FEWSG | Electronic Warfare Reprogrammable Library Eng & Tech Services Naval Emitter Ref. File Tact. EW Data Base SW Trouble Report Maint | Subtotal EWRL | Grand Total TEMP | Cover and Deception Shipboard AN/SLQ-34(V) AN/SLQ-33 AN/SSQ-74 VANS Total Cover and Deception |

Note: Inventory figures for Cover & Deception Systems are Classified.

Activity Group: Engineering and Support Services (continued)

| FX 1993 | 81/ 187 | 52/ 724 435/ 85 | 663/ 515 | 2,148 |
|---|---|--|--|---|
| FY 1992 | 86/ 193 | 53/ 718 449/ 85 | 636/ 520 | 2,148 |
| FY 1991 | 332/718 | 55/ 718 478/ 87 | 683/ 486 | 593 |
|) FY 1990 | 324/ 672 | 41/ 510 366/ 64 | 738/ 506 | 536 |
| III. Performance Criteria (Continued) FY 1990 | Technical Publications Quality Assurance | U <u>pdates</u> Manuscripts Updated Comment Sheets Processed | Printing & Replenishment Current Workload | Engineering Data Maintenance Technical Data Center Total Technical Publications |

Activity Group: Engineering and Support Services (continued)

| III. Performance Criteria (Continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|--|---|---|---|
| <pre>IMA Program (Manyears/\$) Equipment Analysis Site Certification Program Subtotal</pre> | 1.0/ 95 | 2.4/242 2.1/162 40/ | 2.4/254 1,7/1 <u>2</u> 3 427 | 2.4/257 1.7/175 432 |
| 2M Program Station Certification Site Analysis Subtotal | 2.0/ 17 1.5/ 15 32 | 10.0/ 89 53.0/ 53 142 | 10.0/ 93 2.8/ 31 124 | 10.0/ 94 1.8/ 21 115 |
| Total IMA Program | 182 | 645 | 551 | 247 |
| EMC/WARC Fit EMC Supt Prog Prob Acquisition E3 E3 Train Sem/self-help sess Battle Force E3 Shore Support surveys Spectrum Mgt Studies TOTAL EMC/WARC | 62/1,085 121/ 715 57/ 596 94/2,345 16/ 173 36/ 900 5,814 | 56/ 965 107/ 642 55/ 600 80/2,055 33/ 379 36/ 919 5,560 | 45/ 813 120/ 737 46/ 512 68/1,800 31/ 835 31/ 835 5,084 | 50/ 920 123/ 774 50/ 568 75/2,032 29/ 371 35/ 954 5,619 |

Activity Group: Engineering and Support Services (continued)

| porting | 1 | | | .8/ 82 6/ 195 9/ 128 | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Technical Assists IG Support COMPUSEC Evals Risk Assessments C2 Accreditations TOTAL | 21/ 2/4 8/ 69 20/ 436 0/ 0 0/ 0 51.6/ 856 | 21/ 2/0 9/ 80 23/ 514 0/ 0 0/ 0 56/ 961 | 20/ 187 0/ 0 6/ 90 16/ 160 58.8/ 844 | 20/ 192 0/ 0 5/ 77 14/ 148 54.8/ 822 | |
| NCGR_Accreditation Product Certification Standards Maint Sub-total NCGR | 0 /0 | 21/ 777 31 283 24/1,060 | 12/ 495 3/ 293 15/ 788 | 9/ 372 5/ 450 14/ 822 | |
| CR RDA/MCCR OPR Master Plan JLC Policy/Standards Maint Compliance Review Sub Total (MCCR) | 0/ 0 0/ 115 0/ 350 14/ 712 25/ 255 39/1,432 | 0/ 0 0/ 130 0/ 350 16/ 821 24/ 250 40/1,551 | 1/ 100 0/ 86 0/ 350 9/ 494 14/ 144 24/1,174 | 1/ 103 0/ 88 0/ 350 8/ 438 14/ 148 23/1,127 | |

Activity Group: Engineering and Support Services (continued)

| | Engineering | (Continued) FY 1990 FY 1991 FY 1992 | EY 19 37/ 20/ 21/ 9/ 9/ 11/ 11/ 11/ 11/ 11/ 11/ 11/ 11/ | FY 1992 40/ 90 9/ 38 22/ 83 27/ 35 287/ 35 46/ 108 483 4/ 38 268/ 268 32/ 436 209/ 438 7/ 156 | EY 1991 85/ 185 17/ 70 31/ 112 20/ 64 29/ 85 513/ 60 1,138 1,138 3/ 169 9/ 72 9/ 72 23/ 299 248/ 133 17/ 335 | FY 1990 89/ 186 19/ 74 32/ 110 21/ 66 31/ 87 800/ 90 282 116/ 253 116/ 253 2/ 174 2/ 174 2/ 174 2/ 174 2/ 174 2/ 174 2/ 174 31/ 88 18/ 300 211/ 108 18/ 350 | Maintenance Engineering— Designated Overhaul Points (DOPs) Designated Overhaul Points (DOPs) Depot Maintenance Systems Interservice Sppt Ag Depot Maintenance Systems (IORA) Program Level of Repair Analysis (LORA) Program Installation Control Drawings (ICD) Packages/ Equipment Identification Code (EIC) Assignment Nomenclature Assignment Configuration Status Accounting/Ship Configura and Logistics Support Information System (CSA/ Allowance Parts List/Coordinated Shipboard All List/Consolidated Shore-Based Allowance List (APL/COSAL/COSBAL) Other Logistics Services Sub-Total Field Maintenance Agent Support ISEA (# of equipment supported) Link II Grooms (# of equipment Supported) Link II Grooms (# of ships groomed) Maintenance Engineering — BOSS Breakout (TDP) Reviews Breakout (TDP) Reviews Breakout (TDP) Reviews Breakout (TDP) Enhancement TDPs Digitization/Storage/Maintenance AMC Assign (No. of Contracts) |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| 1/ 138 1/ 108 1/ 22 1/ | Second | The companies Seed 186 Seed 185 185 40 90 37 | 7, | | 1 | 1 | TDPs Digitization/Storage/Maintenance AMC Assign (No. of Contracts) Price Surveillance Review |
| (s) 18/ 350 1// 553 1/ 550 | Second | Second S | 258/ | | | | (TDP) Enhancement tization/Storage/Maintenance |
| Maintenance 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 18/ 350 17/ 335 7/ 156 7/ ts) | Second Column | nts (DOPs) ms Interservice Sppt Agreements | /0 | | • | 24/ 300 | (TDP) Reviews |
| Maintenance 21/17 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 18/ 350 17/ 335 7/ 156 1/ 1 | 196 186 185 185 407 90 377 186 187 170 97 38 77 170 97 38 77 170 97 38 77 170 97 38 77 170 97 38 77 170 97 38 77 170 97 37 170 97 37 170 97 37 170 97 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 | nts (DOPs) ms Interservice Sppt Agreements 19/ 74 17/ 70 9/ 38 7/ tem (PMS) Documentation 32/ 110 31/ 112 22/ 83 20/ s (LOR) Program 32/ 110 31/ 112 22/ 83 20/ s (LOR) Program 31/ 87 29/ 85 10/ 30 9/ awings (ICD) Packages/ n Code (EIC) Assignments 800/ 90 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ counting/Ship Configuration 282 309 92 counting/Ship Configuration 116/ 253 111/ 253 46/ 108 44/ counting/Ship Configuration 282 309 92 information System (CSA/SCLSIS) ordinated Shipboard Allowance List 2 | 255/ | | 909/1,422 | 981/1,321 | 1 |
| BOSS 881/1,321 909/1,422 268/ 268 255/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 438 0/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 18/ 350 17/ 335 7/ 156 7/ 156 | Poppa Popp | nts (DOPs) ms Interservice Sppt Agreements | | | | | ps groomed) |
| = 80SS 881/1,321 909/1,422 268/ 268 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 ge/Maintenance 211/ 108 248/ 135 7/ 156 racts) | Section Sect | nts (DOPs) ms Interservice Sppt Agreements | | | | | COOMS |
| gineering = 80SS gineering = 80SS Reviews Enhancement ion/Storage/Maintenance 18/ 350 17/ 335 7/ 156 10/ Contracts) | DOPs B9 | nts (DOPs) ms Interservice Sppt Agreements | | | | | ipment supported) |
| 2/ 174 3/ 169 1/ 63 22/ 160 9/ 72 4/ 38 22/ 160 9/1,422 268/ 268 25 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 24/ 300 24/ 133 209/ 438 25 18/ 350 17/ 335 7/ 156 | Pope Part | 186 85 185 40 90 37 186 197 170 97 38 77 188 197 74 177 70 97 38 77 188 | | | | | |
| 2/ 174 3/ 169 1/ 63 22/ 160 9/ 72 4/ 38 22/ 160 9/ 72 4/ 38 281/1,321 909/1,422 268/ 268 25 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 24/ 300 24/ 33 209/ 438 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 25 118/ 350 17/ 335 7/ 156 | Section Sect | mas Interservice Sppt Agreements 19/ 74 17/ 70 9/ 38 tem (PMS) Documentation 32/ 110 31/ 112 22/ 83 tem (PMS) Documentation 21/ 66 20/ 64 2/ 7 s (LORA) Program 21/ 66 20/ 64 2/ 7 awings (ICD) Packages/ 31/ 87 29/ 85 10/ 30 counting/Ship Configuration 282 309 92 counting/Ship Configuration 282 309 92 i-Based Allowance List 29 | | 483 | 1,138 | 1,148 | |
| Support ISEA 2/ 174 3/ 169 1/ 63 1/ 2/ 174 3/ 169 1/ 63 1/ 22/ 160 9/ 72 4/ 38 4/ 22/ 160 9/ 72 4/ 38 255/ 881/1,321 909/1,422 268/ 268 255/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 18/ 350 17/ 335 7/ 156 1/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 18/ 350 17/ 335 7/ 156 | DOPs 89 186 85 185 40 90 37 185 37 38 7 185 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 3 | mts (DOPs) mts (DOPs) ms Interservice Sppt Agreements 19/ 74 17/ 70 9/ 38 7/ tem (PMS) Documentation 31/ 112 22/ 83 20/ s (LORA) Program awings (ICD) Packages/ n Code (EIC) Assignments 800/ 90 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ counting/Ship Configuration 282 309 92 nformation System (CSA/SCLSIS) ordinated Shipboard Allowance List | | × | × | | istics Services |
| Support ISEA 2/ 174 3/ 169 1/ 63 1/ 2/ 174 3/ 169 1/ 63 1/ 22/ 160 9/ 72 4/ 38 4/ 22/ 160 9/ 72 4/ 38 255/ 881/1,321 909/1,422 268/ 268 255/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 258/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 436 258/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 436 258/ 211/ 208/ 208/ 208/ 208/ 208/ 208/ 208/ 208 | DOPs 89 186 85 185 40 90 37 185 185 40 90 37 185 185 40 90 37 185 18 | mts (DOPs) ms Interservice Sppt Agreements 19/ 74 17/ 70 9/ 38 7/ tem (PMS) Documentation 32/ 110 31/ 112 22/ 83 20/ s (LORA) Program awings (ICD) Packages/ n Code (EIC) Assignments 800/ 90 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ counting/Ship Configuration 282 309 92 nordinated Shipboard Allowance 116/ 253 111/ 253 46/ 108 44/ | | 0 | C | c | olidated Shore-Based Allowance List //COSBAL) |
| 1,148 1,138 483 2/ 174 3/ 169 1/ 63 1/ 22/ 160 9/ 72 4/ 38 4/ 881/1,321 909/1,422 268/ 268 255/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 118/ 350 17/ 335 7/ 156 | (DOPs) 89/ 186 85/ 185 40/ 90 37/ nterservice Sppt Agreements 19/ 74 17/ 70 9/ 38 7/ (PMS) Documentation 32/ 110 31/ 112 22/ 83 20/ ORA) Program 21/ 66 20/ 64 2/ 7 2/ 7 2/ igs (ICD) Packages/ 31/ 87 29/ 85 10/ 30 9/ ide (EIC) Assignments 800/ 90 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ iting/Ship Configuration 282 309 92 | mts (DOPs) 89/ 186 85/ 185 40/ 90 37/ 89/ 186 85/ 185 40/ 90 37/ tem (PMS) Documentation 80/ 100 31/ 112 22/ 83 20/ 80/ 21/ 66 20/ 64 2/ 7 2/ 80/ 85 10/ 30 9/ 100 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ 100 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ 100 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ 100 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ 100 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ 100 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ 100 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ 100 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ 100 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ 100 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ | /44 | | | | Parts List/Coordinated Shipboard All |
| 116/ 253 111/ 253 46/ 108 44/ 1,148 1,138 483 2/ 174 3/ 169 1/ 63 1/ 22/ 160 9/ 72 4/ 38 4/ 881/1,321 909/1,422 268/ 268 255/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 18/ 350 17/ 335 1/ 156 | (DOPs) nterservice Sppt Agreements 19/ 74 17/ 70 9/ 38 (PMS) Documentation 32/ 110 31/ 112 22/ 83 ORA) Program 21/ 66 20/ 64 2/ 7 igs (ICD) Packages/ 31/ 87 29/ 85 10/ 30 ide (EIC) Assignments 800/ 90 513/ 60 287/ 35 | mts (DOPs) 89/ 186 85/ 185 40/ 90 ms Interservice Sppt Agreements 19/ 74 17/ 70 9/ 38 tem (PMS) Documentation 32/ 110 31/ 112 22/ 83 s (LORA) Program 21/ 66 20/ 64 2/ 7 s wings (ICD) Packages/ 31/ 87 29/ 85 10/ 30 m Code (EIC) Assignments 800/ 90 513/ 60 287/ 35 | | 92 | 309 | 282 | ion Status Accounting/Ship Configura |
| Counting/Ship Configuration 10 | (DOPs) Reservice Sppt Agreements 19/ 74 17/ 70 9/ 38 (PMS) Documentation 32/ 110 31/ 112 22/ 83 ORA) Program 21/ 66 20/ 64 2/ 7 Reservice Sppt Agreements 19/ 74 17/ 70 9/ 38 | nts (DOPs) 89/ 186 85/ 185 40/ 90 ms Interservice Sppt Agreements 19/ 74 17/ 70 9/ 38 tem (PMS) Documentation 32/ 110 31/ 112 22/ 83 s (LORA) Program 21/ 66 20/ 64 2/ 7 awings (ICD) Packages/ 31/ 87 29/ 85 10/ 30 | | | | | Identification Code (EIC) Assignment |
| 800/ 90 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ 282 309 92 92 116/ 253 111/ 253 46/ 108 44/ 1,148 1,138 483 2/ 174 3/ 169 1/ 63 1/ 22/ 160 9/ 72 4/ 38 255/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ | (DOPs) nterservice Sppt Agreements 19/ 74 17/ 70 9/ 38 (PMS) Documentation 32/ 110 31/ 112 22/ 83 ORA) Program | nts (DOPs) 89/ 186 85/ 185 40/ 90 ms Interservice Sppt Agreements 19/ 74 17/ 70 9/ 38 tem (PMS) Documentation 32/ 110 31/ 112 22/ 83 s (10RA) Program 21/ 66 20/ 64 2/ 7 | | _ | | | on Control Drawings (ICD) Packages/ |
| 31/ 87 29/ 85 10/ 30 9/ 800/ 90 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ 282 309 287/ 35 238/ 116/ 253 111/ 253 46/ 108 44/ 1,148 1,138 483 2/ 174 3/ 169 1/ 63 1/ 22/ 160 9/ 72 4/ 38 4/ 22/ 160 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 18/ 350 17/ 335 1/ 156 1/ | (DOPs) 89/ 186 85/ 185 40/ 90 nterservice Sppt Agreements 19/ 74 17/ 70 9/ 38 70 110 31/ 112 22/ 83 | nts (DOPs) 89/ 186 85/ 185 40/ 90 ms Interservice Sppt Agreements 19/ 74 17/ 70 9/ 38 | | | | | |
| 21/ 66 20/ 64 2/ 7 2/ 31/ 87 29/ 85 10/ 30 9/ 800/ 90 513/ 60 287/ 35 238/ 282 309 287/ 35 238/ 116/ 253 111/ 253 46/ 108 44/ 1 116/ 253 111/ 253 46/ 108 44/ 1 2/ 174 3/ 169 1/ 63 1/ 22/ 160 9/ 72 4/ 38 4/ 22/ 160 9/ 72 4/ 38 255/ 24/ 300 23/ 299 32/ 436 0/ 211/ 108 248/ 133 209/ 438 258/ 18/ 350 17/ 335 7/ 156 7/ | (DOPs) 89/ 186 85/ 185 40/ 90 37/ | nts (DOPs) 89/ 186 85/ 185 40/ 90 37/ | | | _ | | tenance Systems interservice Sppt ns |
| Program | 89/ 186 85/ 185 40/ 90 37/ | 89/ 186 85/ 185 40/ 90 37/ | | | | • | Overhaul Points (DORB) |
| The service Sppt Agreements | | Engineering. | | | | | 4 |

0000945

Activity Group: Engineering and Support Services (continued)

| III. Performance Criteria (Continued) | F | FY 1990 | FX | FX 1991 | E | FY 1992 | Ħ | FY 1993 |
|---------------------------------------|-------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|---------|
| OTHER Engineering Services | 16 | | 1 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| COSAL Hodate | 2 (| 382 | 7 | 351 | 3/ | 225 | 3/ | 226 |
| Material Management (WY) | 8 | | 1/ | | /4 | 271 | /4 | |
| Logistics Program Evaluation | 2/ | | 2/ | | 1/ | 91 | 1 | |
| Survivability (WY) | 3/ | | 3/ | | 1/ | 212 | 1 | |
| TSTP [mn]ementations | 28/ | | 21/ | | 15/ | 75 | 21/ | |
| TSTP Calibrations | 28/ | | 22/ | | 22/ | 28 | 22/ | |
| TSTP Renair Actions | 10/ | | 2/ | | 2/ | 16 | 2/ | |
| TSTP Acout Test Actus | 213/ | | 218/ | | 42/ | 51 | 178/ | |
| Aco Met Support for TSTP | 1.5 / | | 1/ | | 1.3 / | 74 | /1 | |
| RADHAZ Surveys | 787 | | /19 | | 22/ | 289 | 21/ | |
| WSAGE BESEP | 6.3 / | | 8.6 /1 | | 5.3 / | 773 | 7 6.4 | |
| Design Guidance | 3 / | | / 17 | | 7 8.7 | 297 | 1.8 / | |
| TOR/DOP/OR/IR Data Base | 1.4 / | | 2.5 / | | 1.4 / | 150 | 1.3 / | |
| SOMN | 1.5 / | | 1.5 / | | / 0 | 0 | ^ 0 | 0 |
| Other Engineering Services | 3 / | | 7 0 | 9 | 7 0 | q | 7 0 | 9 |
| TOTAL OTHER ENGINEERING SERVICES | S | 5,161 | <i>U</i> 1 | 5,179 | 2 | 2,552 | ••• | 2,584 |

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

NO FURTHER SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

IV. Personnel Summary.

| FY 1993 | Budget | Request |
|---------|---------|----------|
| FY 1992 | Budget | Request |
| | Current | Estimate |
| FY 1991 | Appro- | priation |
| | Budget | Request |
| | | FY 1990 |

End Strength (E/S)

Α.

Work Years (W/X)

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy

Activity Group: ASW Systems Support

Budget Activity: 2 - Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

Description of Operations Financed.

Review (PACER) program. Also funds the installation and checkout of a specialized AN/SQS-26/53 active sonar databases, and the operation of and data collection from acoustic signal processing systems in the Fleet and in support of the ASW Master Strategy and Plan through the ASW Master Plan Group. Includes conducting Ship from this system, the collection of environmental data from specified Arctic and other ocean areas for both Anti-Submarine Warfare (ASW) Surface Ship Technical Support - This program funds a diversity of tasks ASW Readiness/Effectiveness Measuring (SHAREM) exercises and the installation and collection of data from tape recorder on selected surface combatants and the duplication and distribution of training tapes made specialized equipment at Fleet exercise ranges under the Post-Operational Analysis Critique and Exercise the Arctic Warfare Program and the Basic Acoustics Model User's Support (BAMUS) program environmental those under development.

Color Coded Format For Messages (RAINFORM) Analysis System (IRAS) which collects, analyzes, and disseminates undersea surveillance, environmental, threat, Command, Control and Communications (C3) and Command, Control and Communications Countermeasures (C3CM) systems. Complements Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Includes investigative work in current weapon, acoustic, non-acoustic, Category also includes operations of the Integrated ASW Technical Support - Annual update of technical and programmatic plans to resolve ASW problems ASW operational performance reports from the ASW multi-platform RAINFORM reporting system. work on future systems in same warfare categories. identified in ASW Master Strategy.

Arctic Warfare Program (AWP) - Collection of data for environmental and programmatic AWP databases.

Acoustic Model (BAMUS), ASW Battle Force Defense Model (ABFDM), ASW Program series (APSURF, APSUB, APAIR, URV), ASW Command Control Communication (C3)/Counter Measure (CM), Multi-Platform Screen, Rapid Acoustic ASW Models - 17 ASW models are supported: ASW Asset Balance Campaign, Acoustic Baseline, Basic Detection Simulation, Dipping Sonar screening, Helo Dipping Sonar Engagement, Sub vs. Sub Engagement Weapons, Integrated Undersea Surveillance System (IUSS), and Battle Force Defense Models.

650000

Activity Group: ASW Systems Support (continued)

. Description of Operations Financed (continued)

performance data of ship ASW systems acting both independently and with other ASW platform systems. Sensor performance, long-range ASW detection, classification and localization performance, surface attack tactics, fire control accuracy, weapon performance, unit vulnerability, and command and control data is collected. Ship ASW Readiness/Effectiveness Measuring Exercises (SHAREM) - Fleet exercises designed to collect Program includes design, conduct, reconstruction, and analysis of exercises.

validation and technical management of equipment used to reconstruct and analyze ASW exercises conducted on Post-Operational Analysis Critique and Exercise Review (PACER) Program - Installation, maintenance, selected Navy ranges in St. Croix, PMRF (Hawaii), Nanoose (Washington), Southern California Offshore ASW Range (SOAR) and Andros Island (Caribbean).

on-site data collection, ASW air exercise range support during the AIREM exercises, processing of collected ASW Aviation Technical Support - This program funds a diversity of tasks in support of the ASW Master Measuring (AIREM) exercises involving maritime patrol (VP), carrier-based fixed wing (VS), carrier-based Strategy and Plan through the ASW Master Plan Group. Includes conducting Air Readiness/Effectiveness breakdown is normally 3 Fleet exercises per platform per coast per year. AIREM funding also includes rotary wing (HS), and surface ship combatant-based rotary wing (HSL) ASW aircraft platforms. data, and publishing and distribution of exercise reports.

performance, long-range ASW detection, classification and localization performance, attack tactics, weapons performance, unit vulnerability, and command and control data is collected. Program includes design, Air Readiness/Effectiveness Measuring Exercises (AIREM) - Fleet exercises designed to collect performance data of air ASW systems acting both independently and with other ASW platform systems. conduct, reconstruction, and analysis of exercises.

Activity Group: ASM Systems Support (continued)

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| FY 1993 | Budget <u>Request</u> | 4,050 | 1,310 | 5,360 |
|---------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| FY 1992 | Budget Request | 4,010 | 1,261 | 5,271 |
| | Current Estimate | 5,237 | 1,535 | 6,772 |
| FY 1991 | Appro- priation | 5,623 | 1791 | 7,294 |
| | Budget Request | 5,756 | 1,704 | 7,460 |
| | FY 1990 | 4,483 | 1,341 | 5,824 |
| | | ASW Surf Ship Tech Sup | ASW Aviation Tech Suppt | Total |

| es. |
|----------------|
| d Decreas |
| creases an |
| of Ir |
| Reconciliation |
| æ |

| | | (26) | (224) |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | 2. Pricing Adjustments | A. Other DBOF | B. Other Pricing Adjustments |

| 3. Program Increases | A. Other Program Growth in Fi 1992 | Increase of 2 SHAREM exercises in which ship ASW | systems act both independently and with other ASW | platforms (713). |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|--|---|------------------|
| m | | | | |

| | | port | hips | | ons | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|---|---|--------------------|
| | | cal sup | rfaces | and | ve weap | |
| | 1992 | Decrease of 7 workyears in ASW technical support | for measuring the effectiveness of surface ships | and systems in realistic ASW missions and | producing recommendations for effective weapons | |
| | i in FY | i in ASI | tivene | c ASW | ons for | |
| | creases | rkyears | ne effec | realisti | nendatic | 23). |
| ases | gram De | of 7 wc | iring th | ms in r | recomm |)r (-92 |
| 4. Program Decreases | . Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 | ecrease | or measu | nd syste | roducing | and sensor (-923). |
| Progre | A. 0 | ă | ŭ | B | Ď, | 8 |
| 4 | | | | | | |

-2,494

(-2,494)

713

280

\$6,772

Decrease of 2 workyears in PACER support where less analysis of surface ship torpedo firings will be conducted (-370).

Decrease of 7 workyears in lab support to SHAREM due to completion of instrumentation improvements and less maintenance of SHAREM database (-864).

Decrease of 2 AIREM exercises (-217).

Decrease reflects reduction in management support to AIREM (-120.)

| 3. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued) | • | |
|--|-------------|--|
| Reconciliation of Increases and D | (continued) | |
| Reconciliation of Increa | Decreases | |
| Reconciliation of Increa | and | |
| Reconcili | ea | |
| Reconcili | of | |
| | cili | |
| 44 | æ. | |

5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request

| (189) | |
|---|--|
| 6. Pricing AdjustmentsA. Other Pricing Adjustments | |

189

\$5,271

-100

(-100)

8. FY 1993 President's Request

Activity Group: ASW Systems Support (continued)

| H · | ASW Surface Ship Tech Spt. ASW Tech Spt. & AWP/Models (WY,\$000) 12.5/1,636 PACER Support (WY/\$000) 6 / 704 SHAREM Exer (Units/\$000) 9 /1,004 TOTAL (\$000) 4,483 | FY 1990 2.5/1,636 6 / 704 4 /1,100 9 /1,043 4,483 | FY 1991 10/1,226 8/ 984 6/1,765 10/1,262 5,237 | | FY 1993 3/ 332 6/ 651 8/2,617 3/ 450 |
|------------|---|---|---|-----------------|--------------------------------------|
| | AIREM Exercises (Units/\$000) AIREM Mgmt (W/Y/\$000) | 19 /1,097 2 / 244 | 20/1,420 2/115 | 18/1,261 0/0 | 18/1,310 0/0 |
| | TOTAL (\$000) | 1,341 | 1,535 | 1,261 | 1,310 |

AUDIT SAVINGS INCORPORATED IN CURRENT BUDGET CONTROLS

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME

IV. Personnel Summary. None.

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy

Activity Group: Claims and Other Court Directed Activities
Budget Activity: Z - Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

I. Description of Operations Financed.

of Labor billing procedures, the actual payment by Navy to Labor is made two years after the period in which the civilian employees of the Department of the Navy who sustain job-related illness or injuries. Under Department Injury Compensation - Reimburses the Department of Labor for compensation and medical benefits paid to costs were incurred.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| FY 1993 | Budget Request | 357 | 357 |
|---------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------|
| FY 1992 | Budget <u>Request</u> | 360 | 360 |
| | Current Estimate | 360 | 360 |
| FY 1991 | Appro- priation | 360 | 360 |
| | Budget Request | 368 | 368 |
| | FY 1990 Actual | 0 | 0 |
| | | Injury Compensation | Total |

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases.

| 7 | FY 1991 Current Estimate | \$360 | 09 |
|----|--|-------|-------|
| 2. | Pricing Adjustments | ì | 14 |
| | A. Other Pricing Adjustments | (14) | |
| m. | Program Decreases | 1- | 14 |
| | A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 | (-14) | |
| | Decrease in compensation and medical benefits paid to civilian employees for job-related illnesses and injuries (-14) | | |
| 4 | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | \$360 | 098 |
| 5. | Pricing Adjustments | 1 | 13 |
| | A. Other Pricing Adjustments | (13) | |
| 6. | Program Decreases | -1 | -16 |
| | A. Other Decreases in FY 1993 | (-16) | |
| | Decrease in compensation and medical benefits paid to civilian employees for job-related illnesses and injuries (-16). | | |
| 7. | FY 1993 President's Budget Request | \$3: | \$357 |

Activity Group: Injury Compensation (Continued)

| 111. | III. Performance Criteria. | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|------|--|--------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Injury Compensation (Claims/\$000) | 0 | 97/360 | 92/78 | 97/357 |
| | Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Control | get Controls | | | |
| | NO FURTHER SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME. | TIME. | | | |

IV. Personnel Summary. None.

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy

Activity Group: Military Construction Support Budget Activity: Z - Central Supply and Maintenance

Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

Claimant:

1. Description of Operations Financed.

Naval Facilities Engineering Command. However, effective FY 1991, budgeting and funding responsibility for collateral equipment transferred from the Naval Facilities Command to the benefiting major budget claimant. outfit new military construction at naval shore activities. This program was centrally budgeted by the This program provides for the procurement of collateral equipment that is required to initially

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | Budget Request | 130 | 130 |
|---------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------|
| FY 1992 | Budget Request | 141 | 141 |
| 1 | Current Estimate | 142 | 142 |
| FY 1991 | Appro- priation | 142 | 142 |
| | Budget Request | 145 | 145 |
| | FY 1990 | 0 | 0 |
| | | Collateral Equipment | Total |

∞

| Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Other DBOF 3. Program Decrease A. Other Program Decrease furnishings and equipment for the Bachelor Enlisted Quarters at the Naval Air Development Center in Warminster, Pennsylvania (-10). 4. FY 1992 President's Budget Request 5. Program Decreases in FY 1993 A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 C-111) 6. FY 1993 President's Budget Request Enlisted Quarters at SPAWAR labs (-11). | | \$142 | 6 | | -10 | | \$141 | -11 | | \$130 |
|---|--|-------|---------------------|-----|-------------------|------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|---|------------------------------------|
| FY 1991 Current Estimate Pricing Adjustments A. Other DBOF Program Decrease A. Other Program Decrease furnishings and equipment for the Bachel Enlisted Quarters at the Naval Air Devel Center in Warminster, Pennsylvania (-10) FY 1992 President's Budget Request Program Decreases A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 Enlisted Quarters at SPAWAR labs (-11). FY 1993 President's Budget Request | | | | (6) | | r pment | | | ų | |
| | nciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | Pricing Adjustments | | Program Decreases | _ | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | Program Decreases | | FY 1993 President's Budget Request |

Activity Group: Military Construction Support (continued)

| 111. | III. <u>Performance Criteria</u> | FX 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|------|---|-------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | Collateral Equipment (\$000) | 0 | 142 | 141 | 130 |
| | AIDIT SAUINGS INCORPORATED IN CHRRENT RIDGET CONTROLS | T RIDGET CO | STROILS | | |

IV. Personnel Summary. None

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property

Budget Activity: 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Space and Nayal Marfare Systems Command

Description of Operations Financed.

maintenance and storage buildings. It also provides for maintenance and repair of facilities dedicated to support Navy Personnel and tenants of the seven SPAWAR R&D Centers (Naval Underwater Systems Center, Naval Air Development Center, David Taylor Research Center, Naval Surface Warfare Center, Naval Coastal Activities to accomplish both scheduled and day-to-day recurring facilities maintenance and repair, as Maintenance and Repair of Real Property - Provides financing for Electronic Engineering Field standards. Facilities include electronic shops, electronic laboratories, administrative spaces and well as emergency work required to maintain facilities in an operational status and within Navy Systems Center, Naval Weapons Center, and Naval Ocean Systems Center).

<u>Minor Construction</u> - Provides for interior/exterior alterations and upgrading of spaces within laboratory and engineering spaces at SPANAR field activities. It also funds minor construction the Commanding Officer's authority to accommodate new electronics mission taskings within shop, projects in support of military/tenant facilities in the seven SPAWAR R&D Centers.

level above the activity commanding officer. Examples include maintenance of currently installed or in use items such as bullet resistant windows/security glazing, fencing, clear zones, security lighting, maintenance and repair and security upgrades/minor construction which can be accomplished within the approval authority of the activity commanding officer as well as those which require approval at a base access points, guard facilities, barriers and minor construction costs primarily incurred and MRP Physical Security - Includes expenses specifically identified for physical security identifiable with physical security facilities or upgrades.

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (continued)

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| L | x 1990 | Budget | FY 1991 Appro- priation | Current Estimate | FY 1992 Budget Request | FY 1993 Budget Request |
|--|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Maintenance and Repair Minor Construction MRP Physical Security Total | 5,385 1,591 34 7,010 | 4,578 1,633 100 6,311 | 4,072 1,452 89 5,613 | 4,072 1,452 89 5,613 | 3,816 1,712 91 5,619 | 2,548 0 2,548 |

| . 5356 |
|-------------|
| and Decre |
| Increases |
| ion of |
| Reconciliat |
| ж • |

FY 1991 Current Estimate

٦.

\$5,613

| | Pricing Adjustments A. DBOF 1) Supplies, materials, equipment B. Other DBOF C. Other Pricing Adjustments | (1) 1 (435) (9) | 445 |
|----|--|--------------------------|-----|
| e. | Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 Minor Construction Increase in military/tenant facilities projects such as: conversion of BOQ rooms to suites (42) at NSWC, Dahlgren; conversion of old family duplexes to bachelor quarters (200) and alterations to annex galley (35) at NWC, China Lake. | (277) | 277 |

-716

(-716)

| 4. Program A. Othe A. Othe Bos to pos conc (-18 NESS head (-3) of s to f | A. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 Maintenance and Repair Postpone award of Commercial Activities Contract to maintain facilities and equipment, utility operations and heating, ventilation and air conditioning systems at NEASEA, St. Inigoes (-180). Postpone replacing a/c unit, Bldg 35 at NESEC San Diego (-130). Reducing maintenance of heating and ventilation system at NESEC Vallejo (-35). Delay repairs (-30) and reduce the amount of interior painting and replacing of worn carpet (-74) at NESEC Portsmouth. Delay replacement of boiler at the Navy Diving Salvage Training Center at NCSC Panama City (-105) and budget realignment to fund Family Services Centers (-7) and Child Development Centers (-15). |
|--|---|
|--|---|

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

| 3447, 3447, on of 14 at on of (-18 | |
|---|--|
| Delay construction of facilities at the NESEC, Charleston ATC laboratory at Bldg 3447, NWS, Charleston (-73). Defer installation of additional HVAC ducting in Bldg 3414 at NESSEC, Charleston (-48). Delay construction of generated cover, Bldg 172, NESEC, Portsmouth (-18). | |

| | Reducing the amount of maintenance and repair to | conference room, Bldg l at | |
|-----------------------|--|--|-----------------------|
| MRP Physical Security | Reducing the amount of | be done in the secure conference room, | NESEC, San Diego (-1) |

FY 1992 President's Budget Request

5.

\$5,619

-84

| | ï |
|--|--|
| (2) 2 (-95) (9) | (-1,938) |
| 6. Pricing Adjustments A. DBOF 1) Supplies, material, equipment B. Other DBOF C. Other Pricing Adjustments | Program Decreases A, One Time Decreases |
| | 7. |

| <u>Jaintenance and Repair</u> | Minor Construction |
|--|--|
| Funds transfered to Military Construction (-160) | Funds transfered to Military Construction (-1,685) |
| Maintenance and Repair | Minor Construction |
| Funds transfered to Mil | Funds transfered to |
| | |

MRP Physical Security Funds transfered to Military Construction (-93)

D. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Maintenance and Repair
Decrease in maintenance and repair of
military/tenant facilities such as: replacement
of Dalgren School gutters, roofing and downspouts
NSWC, Dahlgren (-72); repairs to generators in
hangar (-99), replacement of BEQ roof at NWC,
China Lake (-283), and rehabilitation of Harpoon
Barracks 42 bathrooms at NWC China Lake (-199).

(-1,049)

Delay repairing the roof on Bldg 125 (-72). Defer reducing the backlog of maintenance and repairs projects at NESEC, Charleston (-268). Reduction in critical maintenance items in NESEC Portsmouth's five year maintenance program and the Annual Inspection Summary (-56).

11. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$2,548

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (continued)

| 11. | Performance Criteria | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|-----|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
| | A. Maintenance of Real Property | | | | | |
| | Facilities Maintenance (\$000) | | | | | |
| | 10 01 Andreation Operational Resilities | 78 | 56 | 56 | 36 | |
| | 201 | 193 | 152 | 135 | 93 | |
| | S | 51 | 37 | 37 | 77 | |
| | 5 6 | 65 | 47 | 47 | 30 | |
| | | 5 | 7 | 7 | æ | |
| | 3 6 | 232 | 183 | 163 | 109 | |
| | 3 6 | 961 | 750 | 677 | 463 | |
| | 2 6 | 96 | 73 | 69 | 94 | |
| | 10 12 Order Suppry/Storage | 187 | 135 | 135 | 87 | |
| | | 628 | 484 | 777 | 300 | |
| | 1 1 | 652 | 470 | 760 | 295 | |
| | 7 7 | 601 | 433 | 427 | 275 | |
| | 7 10 | 474 | 367 | 335 | 227 | |
| | 1/ Ocilicies | 474 | 363 | 336 | 226 | |
| | 13 | 688 | 518 | 491 | 334 | |
| | To Orners | 5,385 | 4,072 | 3,816 | 2,548 | |
| | | • | • | 1 77.0 | 1 7%0 | |
| | Military Housing Floor Space (KSF) | 1,204 | 1,204 | 1,140 | 01/10 | |
| | her Floor Space | 9,302 | 205.9 | 71, 202 | 11 382 | |
| | Total Buildings (KSF) | 10,506 | 10,506 | 11,362 | 700,11 | |
| | | 1.046 | 770 | 745 | 486 | |
| | Clvilian Labor (4000) | 2,597 | 1,960 | 1,841 | 1,225 | |
| | ac d | 1.742 | 1,342 | 1,230 | 837 | |
| | | 5,385 | 4,072 | 3,816 | 2,548 | |
| | 4 3 3 2 0 4 | 1 | | 10.010 | 10 979 | |
| | _ | 5,589 | 5,089 | 10,070 | 74 | |
| | Land (AC) | 90 | 2 | | • | |

Activity Group: Maintenance of Real Property (continued)

111.

| Performance Criteria (continued) | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------------|
| B. Minor Construction (\$000) | | | | |
| Rowinsons | 162 | | - | 0 |
| | 23 | | 0 | 0 |
| chergy series of series | 30 | | | 0 |
| Health & Salety | 265 | | | 0 |
| Weitare/Regreduton | 708 | 598 | 678 | 0 |
| MISSION CAPE | 212 | | | 0 |
| _ | 75 | | | 0 |
| Noncapital | 111 | | | 0 |
| - | 111 | | | · C |
| Equipment Installation | | • | | |
| Total | 1,60,1 | | | > |
| | č | 17.7 | 96 | C |
| Civilian Labor | #K . | 141 | 1 205 | |
| Contract | 1,492 | 1,305 | 1,000 | > C |
| Orher | 7 | 0 | 1 | 79 |
| Total | 1,591 | 1,452 | 1,712 | > |
| | | | | |
| MRP Physical Security | | | | |
| MRP Physical Security (\$000) | 34 | 89 | 91 | 0 |
| | | | | |

998000

;

Department of the Navy Operation & Maintenance, Navy

Activity Group: Base Operations

Budget Activity: 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

Description of Operations Financed.

Operation of Utilities - Provides for electricity, water, steam, sewer and heat purchased from another Naval activity or commercial source in support of SPAWAR Electronic Engineering field activities, tenant and military personnel facilities.

than real property), fire protection, leases, guard services, pest control, grounds maintenance and Architectural Engineering services for design of construction/repair projects at SPAWAR field activities. Other Engineering Support - Provides for custodial services, refuse disposal, emergency service work (other

Bachelor Housing Operations and Furnishing - Provides shore based support for the operation of barracks, personnel housing, BOQs/BEQs and purchase and maintenance of personnel support equipment. (Excludes Family

screening, legal, medical, travel, and master at arms. It also provides support for chaplain activities, laundry, and troop feeding or operation of enlisted dining facilities. Other Personnel Support - Provides for shore base support functions to the military population such as military personnel general training, i.e., small arms qualifications, firing exercises, pistol team, drug

Morale, Welfare, and Recreation - Provides support to a supervised and organized recreational program and libraries for the benefit and morale of military population (assigned/on board, retired, transients and tenants), their dependents and other eligible DOD civilian personnel.

facilities such as fire, police and security protection, explosive ordnance program, custodial services, refuse and Other Base Services - Provides common service support to tenant and military facilities. It provides support to detachments and transients on deployment/training; protection of the health and safety of participants and pest control, etc.

installations. Provides funding to prevent, delay and deter unauthorized access to equipment, facilities, Physical Security - Provides for protection of personnel and the security upgrade of facilities and materials and documents and safeguards them against terrorism, sabotage, vandalism, and theft.

Activity Group: Base Operations (continued)

· Description of Operations Financed (continued).

Base_Communications - Provides for such costs as telephone services, local AUTOVON and long distance calls, switchboard support, message center support and telegraphic message capability, purchased communications costs, initial installations and monthly recurring charges.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | FY 1991 | ı | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|---------------------------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------|
| | FY 1990 | Amended Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | Budget Request | Budget |
| Utilities | 3,409 | 2,843 | 2,776 | 3,176 | 2,667 | 2,615 |
| Other Engineering Support | 3,103 | 1,951 | 1,913 | 1,807 | 1,570 | 1,340 |
| Bachelor Housing | 199 | 576 | 295 | 595 | 667 | 827 |
| Other Personnel Support | 2,031 | 2,258 | 2,212 | 2,212 | 1,801 | 1,687 |
| MWR Support | 1,648 | 3,303 | 3,236 | 3,201 | 2,506 | 2,384 |
| Physical Security | 534 | 275 | 269 | 269 | 285 | 361 |
| Other Base Services | 3,289 | 3,683 | 3,616 | 3,197 | 2,589 | 2,473 |
| Base Communications | 5,648 | 956.4 | 4.049 | 4.148 | 3,306 | 3,203 |
| Total | 20,323 | 19,835 | 18,636 | 18,575 | 15,223 | 14,541 |

| | \$18,575 | 1,114 | 162 | | -4,628 | |
|--|-----------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|
| | | (1,007) | (141) | (21) | (-15) | (-4,613) |
| Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Other DBOF B. Other Pricing Adjustments | 3. Program Increases A. One-Time FY 1992 Costs Base Communications Relocation of telephone equipment and leased lines when Naval Electronic Systems Engineering Center (NESEC), Portsmouth moves into the new Production Engineering Center and Naval Electronic Systems Engineering Activity (NESEA), St. Inigoes occupies MILCON P-723 (51). Purchase of telephone equipment NESEC, Portsmouth (90). | B. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 Physical Security Funding for upgrade and maintenance of physical security equipment such as locking devices, building alarm systems, intrusion detection devices card key equipment and risk assessment software (21). | 4. Program Decreases A. One time FY 1992 Derrease Physical Security Decrease for the securicy bar code reader (-15) | ogram Decreases in FY 1992 reflects reduction in purchased s (-677) and budget realignment to BA-8 Family Services Centers (-2) and Child ent Centers (-24). |
| æ. | | | | | | |

Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Reductions in the program to replace furnishings at the SPAWAR laboratories' BOQ/BEQs (-100) Bachelor Housing Operations & Furnishings

and budget realignment of Family Services Centers Other Personnel Support - Reduction of chapel and galley support at the SPAWAR laboratories (-336). (-208).

and organized recreational programs (-416) and budget realignment to fund Child Development MWR Support - Decreased support for supervised Centers under (-471).

Centers (-5) and Child Development Centers (-21). such as supply operations and custodial services for the military support facilities (-222); and consolidation of commissary operations (-551); decrease in common service support to tenants budget realignment to fund Family Services Other Base Services - Decrease reflects

facility projects at SPAWAR NAVELEXCENS will be Funding of architect engineering designs for Other Engineering Support reduced (-440).

General reduction in long distance calls, use of Network Communications lines being disconnected long distance leased lines, and Defense Data Base Communications -1,140).

- FY 1992 President's Budget Request ۶.
- Other Pricing Adjustments Pricing Adjustments A. Other DBOF 9

(-46) 018000

31

\$15,223

(67)

Activity Group: Base Operations (continued)

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

7. Program Increases
A. Other Program Growth in FY 1993
Other Base Service
Leasing an additional GSA vehicle (1).

Physical Security
Funding for upgrade and maintenance of physical
security equipment such as locking devices,
building alarm systems, intrusion detection
devices, card key equipment and risk assessment
software (66).

Program Decreases

A. One-Time FY 1992 Costs

Base Communications
Relocation of telephone equipment and leased
Ilines when NESEC, Portsmouth moves into the new
Production Engineering Center and NESEA St.
Inigoes occupies MILCON P-723 (-51). Purchase of
telephone equipment NESEC, Portsmouth completed

∞

B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 <u>Utilities</u> Decrease reflects reduction in purchased utilities (-79). Other Engineering Support Funding of Architect Engineering design for facility projects at all SPAWAR NESECs will be reduced (-164).

Bachelor Housing Operations & Furnishings Reductions in personnel support equipment for BOQ/BEQs at the SPAWAR laboratories (-19).

TLOUUL

(-141)

.

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued).

Other Personnel Support
Reductions of chapel and gallery support at the SPAWAR laboratories (-109).

Other Base Services
Decrease in common service support to tenants
such as custodial services for the military
support facilities (-108).

MMR - Decreased support for supervised and organized recreational programs (-114).

recreational programs (-114).

Base Communications
General reduction in long distance calls and use of long distance leased lines (-46).

9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$14,541

| 11. | Performance Criteria. | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|-----|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| | Operation of Utilities (MBTU) | | | | | |
| | Steam & Hot Water (Total) | 31 | 30 | 27 | 27 | |
| | Purchased from NIF | ∞ | ∞ | ∞ | ∞ | |
| | Purchased-Other Sources | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | |
| | Generated In-House | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | |
| | (MWH) | | | | | |
| | Electricity (Total) | 42 | 07 | 37 | 37 | |
| | Purchased - NIF | 29 | 28 | 26 | 26 | |
| | Purchased-Other Sources | 13 | 12 | 11 | 11 | |
| | Generated In-House | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Water Plants & Systems | | | | | |
| | (Total) (KGAL) | 20,489 | 19,080 | 18,580 | 18,580 | |
| | Sewage Plants & Sys (KGAL) | 15,731 | 15,261 | 15,261 | 15,261 | |
| | Air Cond & Refrigeration (TN) | 820 | 841 | 841 | 841 | |
| | Other Utility Systems | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Fuel Plants 750K BTU/HR | 2,651 | 2,651 | 2,651 | 2,655 | |
| | (\$000) | | | | | |
| | S & HW - Purchased from NIF | 248 | 220 | 176 | 172 | |
| | S & HW - Purchased-Other | ∞ | 19 | 15 | 15 | |
| | S & HW - Generated In-House | 172 | 162 | 144 | 141 | |
| | Electricity Purchased - NIF | 069 | 635 | 208 | 867 | |
| | Electricity Purchased-Other | 1895 | 1765 | 1490 | 1463 | |
| | Electricity Generated In-House | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | |
| | Fuels | 65 | 09 | 87 | 77 | |
| | Total Energy Costs | 3,078 | 2,861 | 2,381 | 2,333 | |
| | Water Plants & Systems (\$000) | 34 | 33 | 32 | 32 | |
| | Sewage Plants & Systems (\$000) | 47 | 94 | 77 | 77 | |
| | Air Cond & Refrig (\$000) | 113 | 107 | 95 | 93 | |
| | Other Utility Systems (\$000) | 137 | 129 | 115 | 113 | |
| | Total Non-Energy Costs | 331 | 315 | 286 | 282 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Total | 3,409 | 3,176 | 2,667 | 2,615 | |
| | | Č | 820000 | | | |

| 111. | Performance Criteria. | EY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Other Engineering Support Engineering Support (\$000) | 3,103 | 1,807 | 1,570 | 1,340 |
| | Custodial Services (KSF) Entomology Services (KSF) Refuse Collect/Disposal (KCY) | 666 552 1,055 | 639 482 998 | 652 484 1,047 | 662 494 1,047 |
| | Bachelor Housing Ops & Furn Bachelor Housing Ops & Furn (\$000) Military Personnel E/S Civilian Personnel E/S Total Personnel E/S No. of Officer Quarters No. of Enlisted Quarter | 661 31 0 31 149 602 | 565 33 0 33 149 602 | 499 33 0 33 149 602 | 478 33 0 33 149 602 |
| | Other Personnel Support Other Personnel Support Military Personnel E/S Civilian Personnel E/S Total Personnel E/S Military E/S Served Civilian E/S Served | 2,031 43 11 54 3,118 31,486 34,604 | 2,212 43 17 60 3,118 31,486 34,604 | 1,801 43 14 63 3,118 31,486 34,604 | 1,687 43 14 63 3,118 31,486 |
| | Morale, Welfare, and Recreation MWR Support (\$000) Military Personnel E/S Civilian Personnel E/S Total Personnel E/S Military E/S Served Civilian Depend. E/S Served Population Served, Total | 1,648 3 12 15 3,118 36,629 39,747 | 3,201 3 70 73 3,118 36,629 39,747 | 2,506 3 58 73 3,118 36,629 39,747 | 2,384 3 57 73 3,118 36,629 |
| | Other Base Services Other Base Services (\$000) Military Personnel E/S Civilian Personnel E/S Total Personnel E/S | 3,289 5 2 7 | 3,197 5 3 8 | 2,589 5 3 | 2,473 5 3 8 |

920000

Activity Group: Base Operations (continued)

| Physical Security Physical Security Physical Security (\$000) Security Training & Conferences | FY 1990 534 52 | FY 1991 269 56 | FY 1992 285 64 | FY 1993 361 361 304 |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| Maintenance/Upgrade of Equipment Other Security Support Base Communications | 100 22 22 5 648 | 195 24 24 4,148 | 22) 29 3,306 | 3,203 |
| Base Communications (\$000) Number of Instruments (units) | 5,588 | 5,970 | 5,600 | 5,600 |
| Number of Main Lines Daily Avg Msg Traffic (units) | 15,820 | 15,958 | 16,000 | 16,000 |

AUDIT SAVINGS INCORPORATED IN CURRENT BUDGET CONTROLS

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME

IV. Personnel Summary. None.

Department of the Navy Operation and Maintenance, Navy

Activity Group: Shore Environmental Protection
Budget Activity: Z - Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Space and Naval Warfare Systems Command

. Description of Operations Financed.

costs previously in Base Operations Support, to include environmental engineering management, permits, fees, Shore Environmental Protection - The Shore Environmental Protection program finances the environmental fines, litigation, engineering studies (including NEPA documentation), and minor alterations to facilities and equipment not centrally funded. It will not include routine costs associated with utility operations and maintenance, such as sewage or water treatment plants.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | | | FY 1991 | | FY 1992 | FY 1993 | |
|-----------------------|---------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | FY 1990 | Budget Request | Appro- priation | Current Estimate | Budget Request | Budget <u>Request</u> | |
| Shore Envir. Prot. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 23 | 74 | |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 23 | 24 | |

Activity Group: Share Environmental Protection

| FY 1991 Current Estimate FY 1992 President's Budget Request Program Increases Other Program Growth in FY 19 Increased amount of hazardous to be removed (1). 4. FY 1993 President's Budget Request | æ. | Reco | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | |
|--|----|------|---|----|
| FY 1992 President's Budget Request Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 19 Increased amount of hazardous to be removed (1). FY 1993 President's Budget Request | | | FY 1991 Current Estimate \$23 | 23 |
| Program Increases A. Other Program Growth in FY 19 Increased amount of hazardous to be removed (1). FY 1993 President's Budget Request | | 2. | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | 23 |
| A. Other Program Growth in FY 19 Increased amount of hazardous to be removed (1). FY 1993 President's Budget Request | | 3. | Program Increases | _ |
| | | | | |
| | | 4. | FY 1993 President's Budget Request | 27 |

Activity Group: Shore Environmental Protection

| FY 1993 | 24 | 24 |
|----------------------------|-----------------|----|
| FY 1992 | 23 | 23 |
| FY 1991 | 23 | 23 |
| FY 1990 | 0 | 0 |
| III. Performance Criteria. | Hazardous Waste | |

AUDIT SAVINGS INCORPORATED IN CURRENT BUDGET CONTROLS NO FURTHER SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME.

IV. Personnel Summary. None.

Department of the Navy Operation and Maintenance, Navy

Activity Group: Retail Sales Operation

Budget Activity: 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance
Claimant: Chief of Naval Personnel

duty enlisted personnel (10 U.S.C. 6081A, 10 U.S.C. 6087). Funds are included for the testing of new food items, for the replacement of emergency rations, and for the rotation of operational rations. The additional cost of subsisting submarine enlisted personnel is included in supplemental allowances to identify the cost which is in excess of that required for surface ships. Funds to cover losses of subsistence inventories are also included. The funds requested provide for subsistence in kind furnished active Description of Operations Financed.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | FY 1993 Request | 298,897 |
|---------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| | FY 1992 Request | 320,116 |
| | Current | 300,744 |
| FY 1991 | Appro- priation | 287,600 |
| | Budget Request | 287,600 |
| | FY 1990 Actual | 0 |
| | | Total, Retail Sales Operation |

| 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate 2. Pricing Adjustments A. Stock Fund 1) Non-Fuel 3. Program Increases A. One Time FY 1992 Costs 1) Food costs for one extra day. B. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 1) Increase supports fluctuating Basic Daily Food Allowance (BDFA) rate computations as stipulated by Department of Deiense (DOD) Food Planning Board Committee. 4. Program Decreases A. Other Program decreases in FY 1992 A. Other Program decreases in FY 1992 in Program decrease due to reduction in number of military end strength resulting in less food being procured. | 2000 | \$300,744 | 15,465 | (15,465) | 15,465 | 10,286 | (864) | 864 | (9,422) | 9,422 | -6,379 | (-6, 379) | -6,379 | \$320,116 |
|--|---|-----------|------------------------|---------------|-------------|----------------------|-------|----------------------------------|---------|-------|---------|-----------|--------|----------------------------|
| and the state of t | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | 2. Pricing Adjustments | A. Stock Fund | 1) Non-Fuel | 3. Program Increases | | 1) Food costs for one extra day. | | | Program | A | 1) | bresident's Budget Request |

000880

5. FY 1992 President's Budget Request

Activity Group: Retail Sales Operation (continued)

| œ. | | ciliation of Increases | Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (continued). | | \$000 |
|----|------|---|---|-----------|-----------|
| | 6. 1 | 6. Pricing Adjustments | | | 12,400 |
| | | A. Stock Fund | | (12,400) | |
| | | 1) Non-Puel | | 12,400 | |
| | 7. | 7. Program Decreases | | | -33,619 |
| | | A. One Time FY 1992 Costs | osts | (606-) | |
| | | 1) Food costs for one less day. | one less day. | 606- | |
| | | B. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 | eases in FY 1993 | (-32,710) | |
| | | Program decrease due to furti in number of military end st in less food being procured. | Program decrease due to further reduction in number of military end strength resulting in less food being procured. | -10,138 | |
| | | 2) Decrease due to Allowance (BDE | 2) Decrease due to the Basic Daily Food Allowance (BDFA) rate computation adjustment. | -22,572 | |
| | œ | R. FY 1993 President's Budget Request | odget Request | | \$298,897 |

Activity Group: Retail Sales Operation (continued)

| FY 1993 | | \$4.65 \$5.11 \$5.05 | \$3.07 \$.34 | \$1.32 | | FY 1993 | 463,058 | 246, 324 344 246, 668 | 216,390 | 10,977 | 1,420 | 225,947 | |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|--|---|---|--------------------------------|--------|
| FY 1992 | | \$4.88 \$5.36 \$5.29 | \$2.96 | \$1.28 | BLE: | <u> </u> | 342 | 756 344 100 | 942 | 10,977 | 1,487 | 432 | 000982 |
| FY 1991 | | 2.32 2.93 2.93 | \$2.84 | \$5.47 | FOLLOWING TP | FY 1992 | 477,042 | 253,756 344 254,100 | 222,942 | 10, | 1 | 232,432 | 30 |
| | General Messes: | | Ø | | IS PROVIDED IN THE | FY 1991 | 487,220 | 259,134 344 259,478 | 227,742 | 10,977 | 1,487 | 237,232 | |
| Performance Criteria. | Daily Rates of Subsistence in the General Messes: | ASHCRE CONUS ASHCRE OVERSIZAS | PLICHT/BONT RAFTONS SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCES | CONTRACT MESSES HOSPITAL PERDINGS | COMPUTATION OF FUND REQUIREMENTS IS PROVIDED IN THE FOLLOWING TABLE: | PERSONNEL STATISTICS: | ENLISTED STRENGTH (W/Y) | ON MONETARY ALLOWANCE SPECIAL RATIONS TOTAL REDUCTIONS | NAVY ENLISTED ENTITLED TO BE SUBSISTED | PLUS OTHER SERVICES ENTITLED TO BE SUBSISTED IN NAVY GENERAL MESSES | MINUS NAVY ENLISTED ENTITLED TO BE SUBSISTED IN OTHER SERVICES GENERAL MESSES | TOTAL ENLISTED TO BE SUBSISTED | |
| 1111. | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Activity Group: Retail Sales Operation (continued)

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

DISTRIBUTION OF BALANCE ENTITLED TO BE SUBSISTED IN GENERAL MESSES (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

| | | ي | 1001 | | | Œ | FY 1992 | | | Œ | FY 1993 | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------|
| | RATE NUMBER DAILY | RATE | RATE | AMOUNT | RATE NUMBER DAILY | RATE DAILY | RATE | AMOUNT | RATE NUMBER DAILY | RATE DAILY | RATE ANNUAL | AMOUNT |
| OPERATIONAL RATIONS | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FLIGHT/BOAT RATIONS | 497 | \$2.84 | \$2.84 \$1,036.60 | \$515 | 497 | \$2.96 | 497 \$2.96 \$1,083.36 | \$538 | 497 | \$3.07 | 497 \$3.07 \$1,120.55 | \$557 |
| EMERGENCY RATIONS | | | | 550 | | | | 573 | | | | 594 |
| ROTATION OF OPERATIONAL RATIONS | Æ | | | 764 | | | | 795 | | | | 825 |
| TOTAL | | | | \$1,829 | | | | \$1,906 | | | | \$1,976 |

Activity Group: Retail Sales Operation (continued)

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

DISTRIBUTION OF BALANCE ENTITLED TO BE SUBSISTED IN GENERAL MESSES (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

| | | je. | FY 1991 | | | E | FY 1992 | | | <u>.</u> | FY 1993 | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|--|----------------------|------------------|--------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | NUMBER | RATE DATLY | RATE | AMOUNT | NUMBER | RATE | RATE | AMOUNT | NUMBER | RATE | RATE | AMOUNT |
| SUBSISTENCE IN GENERAL MESSES | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| BASIC ALLOWANCE | ANCE | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CONUS: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NAVY OTHERS | 33,170 3,097 | \$4.47 | 33,170 \$4.47 \$1,631.55 3,097 | \$54,119 \$5,053 | 31,896 3,097 | | \$4.88 \$1,786.08 | \$56,969 \$5,531 | 30,962 3,097 | \$4.65 | \$4.65 \$1,697.25 \$52,550 \$5,256 | \$52,550 \$5,256 |
| OVERSEAS: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NAVY OTHERS | 5,650 | \$4.90 | \$4.90 \$1,788.50 | \$10,105 \$4,121 | 5,429 2,304 | | \$5.36 \$1,961.76 | \$10,650 \$4,520 | 5,270 2,304 | \$5.11 | \$1,865.15 | \$9,829 \$4,297 |
| AFI DAT: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NAVY OTNETS | 115,187 | | \$4.84 \$1,766.60 \$203,489 \$9,851 | \$203,489 \$9,851 | 112,743 5,576 | \$5.29 | \$1,936.14 \$218,286 \$10,796 | \$218,286 \$10,796 | 109,442 5,576 | \$5.05 | \$1,843.25 \$201,729 \$10,278 | \$201,729 \$10,27 <u>e</u> |
| TUTAL | 164,984 | | | \$286,738 | 161,045 | | | \$306,752 | 156,651 | | | \$283,93 |

Activity Group: Retail Sales Operation (continued)

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

DISTRIBUTION OF BALANCE ENTITIED TO BE SUBSISTED IN GENERAL MESSES

| | | FY 1991 | | | FY 1992 | : | | FY 1993 | |
|----------------|------------------|----------|------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|------------------|-------------|------------------|
| \$ | CROSS | * ABSENT | NET NUMBER | GROSS | * VENT | NET NUMBER | GROSS | & ABSENT | NET NUMBER |
| | 6 | 6 | , | 0 | 3 | 900 11 | 900 | | 000 |
| NAVY | 3,097 | 0.43 | 3,097 | 3,097 | 44.0 | 3,097 | 3,097 | 44.0 | 3,097 |
| OVERSEAS: | | | | | | | | | |
| NAVY OTHERS | 10,272 2,304 | 0.45 | 5,650 2,304 | 10,054 2,304 | 0.46 | 5,429 2,304 | 9,760 2,304 | 0.46 | 5,270 2,304 |
| AFIONT: | | | | | | | | | |
| NAVY OTHERS | 157,790 5,576 | 0.27 | 115,187 5,576 | 154,443 5,576 | 0.27 | 112,743 5,576 | 149,920 5,576 | 0.27 | 109,442 5,576 |
| TOTAL | 237,232 | | 164,984 | 232,432 | | 161,045 | 225,947 | | 156,651 |

Activity Group: Retail Sales Operation (continued)

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

DISTRIBUTION OF BALANCE ENTITLED TO BE SUBSISTED IN GENERAL MESSES (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

| | AMOUNT | | \$4,757 | | 0 | \$741 | \$2,425 | \$3,459 |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| FY 1993 | RATE | | \$124.10 | | | \$2,153.50 | | |
| | PATE | | \$0.34 | | | \$5.90 | | |
| | NUMBER | | 38,334 | | | 344 | | |
| | AMOUNT | | \$4,638 | | 0 | \$716 | \$1,111 | \$3,438 |
| FY 1992 | RATE ANNUAL | | \$120.78 | | | 344 \$5.69 \$2,082.54 | | |
| F | RATE DAILY | | \$0.33 | | | \$5.69 | | |
| | NUMBER | | 38,400 \$0.33 | | | 344 | | |
| | AMOUNT | | \$4,628 | | 0 | \$687 | \$2,451 | \$2,920 |
| FV 1991 | RATE | | \$120.45 | | | \$1,996.55 | | |
| 2 | RATE | | \$0.33 | | | \$5.47 | | |
| | RATE NUMBER DAILX | | 38,422 | | | 344 | | |
| | 1 4 | ALCMENTATTON RATTONS | SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCES | OTHER PROGRAMS | NEW FOOD ITEM PROGRAM | CONTRACT | INVENTORY ADJUSTMENT | SURVEYS/ SPOILAGE |

Activity Group: Retail Sales Operation (continued)

III. Performance Criteria (continued).

DISTRIBUTION OF BALANCE ENTITLED TO BE SUBSISTED IN GENERAL MESSES (IN THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS)

| | | | | | - | 2 | EV 1002 | | | T | FY 1993 | |
|---|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------|--------------|----------|-----------|--------|---------------|----------|----------------------|
| | NAMES | RATE | FY 1991 PATE ANNAL | AMOUNT | NUMBER | RATE | RATE | AMOUNT | NUMBER | RATE DAILY | RATE | AMOUNT |
| _ | ADJUSTMENT FOR VARIANCE BETWEEN GENERAL MESS RATES AND ACTUAL COST OF HOSPITAL FEEDINGS 3,320 | EN ATES T 3,320 \$1.23 | \$448.95 | \$1,491 | 3,320 | 3,320 \$1.28 | \$468.48 | \$1,555 | 3,320 | 3,320 \$1.32 | \$481.80 | \$1,600 |
| | SALES OF MEALS-BULK SUBSISTENCE | | · | \$92,224 | | | , | \$56,342 | | | • | \$59,239 |
| 2 | TOTAL | | | \$ 99,773 | | | | \$63,162 | | | | \$67,469 |
| 5 | CERAND TOTAL | | | \$392,968 | | | | \$376,458 | | | | 4356,136 4358 136 |
| 2 | TOTAL REQUIREMENTS | | | \$392,968 | | | v | \$376,458 | | | | 43304,130 659-239 |
| = | LESS REIMBURSABLES | | | \$92,224 | | | • | \$56,342 | | | | 200 000 |
| × | YOTAL DIRECT REQUIREMENT | ENT | | \$300,744 | | | • | \$320,116 | | | | 160,0626 |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

Activity Group: Retail Sales Operation (continued)

IV. Personnel Summary.

No personnel are in this activity group.

Department of the Navy Operations and Maintenance, Nav

Claimant:
Activity Group:

Rield Operations
Budget Activity:
7 - Central Supply and Maintenance

. Description of Operations Financed.

wide information systems, standards development and performance evaluation; (2) Computer Program Development programs manage the development and implementation of policies and procedures consolidate functionally duplicative systems, and install newly consolidated systems at multiple sites; (3) Computer System Operations programs provide technical direction of computer systems policy on all aspects of Navy information systems management. The Naval Data Automation Command specific task assignments to the Navy Regional Data Automation processing and technical support. installations, and design, development, implementation and maintenance of computer hardware and its related operational systems for all echelons of the Navy; and (4) Plans, Resources and will be dividing, merging with both the Naval Telecommunications Command and the Automated Data ranging from development and maintenance of regional data processing networks to support Navyrelated to applications software engineering and quality assurance, provide technical guidance and assistance in applications and supporting technology areas to all Navy ADP activities, development, testing, support, standardization and acquisition of major Automated Information Systems (AIS's), ADP equipment (ADPE), data communications equipment and services, and problem resolution for DoN non-tactical information systems and provides technical services information systems policies and standards. NAVDAC provides this Navy-wide support through These tasks fall into four major functional areas: (1) Systems Software, installation, and Support programs develop DoN information systems plans, translate DoN approved information systems concepts and objectives into time phased resource requirements and formulate major Telecommunications Command (NCTC) and Information Technologies Acquisition Command (ITAC) operation Navy-wide, including development of policies, plans, standards and procedures Processing Selection Office in FY 1992. The new command will become Naval Computer and The Naval Data Automation Command (NAVDAC) coordinates the governing establishment, growth and management of DoN non-tactical data processing Data Automation. respectively.

Within NAVDAC'S four major functional areas are 18 programs that cross these functional

I. Description of Operations Financed (Continued).

- FINANCIAL The Navy ADP Budget System provides automated support of COMNAVDAC in compilation, review/revision, preparation, and submission of the Navy ADP Budget.
 - 2. THAIS Provides seven Type Commanders with a standardized, integrated automated information system to manage logistics, operations, maintenance and administration of ships and aircraft that must remain in operational readiness.
- 3. ARCHITECTURE Review Navy non-cactical ADP policy, organization, management requirements, and future planning. These reviews are initial steps in responding to the basic National Academy of Sciences recommendation that the Navy seize "the rapidly developing opportunity to improve its efficiency, economy and readiness by improving its ability to deal with information critical to its functions."
- NAVY POSTAL POSITIVE ACCOUNTABILITY PLAN NPPAP provides for the Navy's conversion to contracts and postage meter leasing fees for the first 36 months after installation to metering equipment and O&M,N funds required to pay for equipment maintenance agreement through use of penalty postage meter stamps, penalty permits imprints, or penalty mail indicia. Plan provides for central funding and procurement of necessary postage positive accountability for official (penalty) mail cost. This is accomplished stamps vice the current "Postal and Fees Paid, Department of the Navy, DOD-316" allow receiving commands time to budget for these expenses themselves.
 - BASES/STATIONS COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT Integrates eight closely related projects to provide a general communications architecture to be employed as a host independent
 - COMMON USER NET (DDN) A DOD-sponsored program which will provide long-haul data This program provides technical support required to field the network, develops integration, plans and standard communications connectively to authorized users.
- INFORMATION SYSTEMS STANDARDS MANAGEMENT Serves as the Department of the Navy (DON) Information Processing Standards for Computers(IPSC) Program Coordinator. Supports development, coordination, publications, maintenance of standards for Navy research, and acquisition of automated tools for standards development.

. Description of Operations Financed (Continued).

TELEPROCESSING - This program directly supports a major goal of Navy teleprocessing policy to integrate Information Systems (IS) and teleprocessing planning and management on a Navy-wide basis, and to ensure controlled evolution toward standard Navy-wide networking utilities and teleprocessing services.

The program provides ADP systems NAVY CONTRACTS - This program supports the mission to coordinate ADP system to and services on a DON-wide basis and consists of a series of compatible and coordinated 9. NAVY CONTRACTS - This program supports the mission minimize duplication of reporting and/or processing effort.

in the technology used by Navy information system developers to design and implement systems for use in the non-tactical environment. The program identifies, assesses, promotes and integrates TOOLS AND TECHNIQUES - This program is the primary vehicle for stimulating advances the technology with current corporate resources, procedures and policies.

11. SOFTWARE LANGUAGES - Supports NAVDACS goal of achieving more responsive and efficient management of DON ADP resources. It is aimed at attacking and solving the problems of inefficiencies existing in automated information systems. Increased productivity by both man and machine reduces the requirement for expanded hardware and facilities.

12. BASIS - Is a Management Information System (MIS) which provides automated decision making capability to 53 bases or station managers.

SOFTWARE SHARING - Supports the NAVDAC mission to initiate action for the development information resources. The goal is to provide functional sponsors and functional managers with a management framework to identify duplications, incompatibilities, and omissions in automated of standard systems throughout the Navy. The purpose of this program is to improve the efficiency, economy, and readiness of the DON through more effective managment of automated information systems support.

[. Description of Operations Financed (Continued).

both mission critical and mission support resources/computer systems in the Navy. This program consists of six projects which together provide a consistent method for ADP security management modification, destruction, disclosure, denial of service, fraud, waste, and abuse of all types ADP SECURITY - This program directly supports DON goals to reduce vulnerability in in Navy activities, platforms and related telecommunications and that deal directly with of computer-based resources.

The program includes collecting and maintaining information and statistics on Navy ADPE inventories; review, analysis and elimination of obsolete ADP hardware; and the development of inventories; 15. CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT - This program is directed toward the development of standard systems to supply the data needed for information resources management in the Navy. decision support system for Navy Data Processing Installations (DPIs).

16. THIRD-PARTY TESTING - This program directly supports DON requirements for test and evaluation efforts dealing with systems security and independent, third-party reviews of Navy information systems. These test and evaluation requirements are in accordance with DON Life Cycle Management of Automated Information Systems.

evaluation of UNIX software, expertise will be gained to provide better guidance and support for initiatives. A database machine prototype is being evaluated for potential use throughout the Navy by users and developers. An office automation prototype will allow evaluation and standards to the rest of the Navy. Investigation of new software languages for developers as well as unsophisticated end users are being pursued. Workbench technology provides a combination of hardware and software to expedite development of application sytems. Through increased understanding in the area of office automation prior to administering policy and 17. ADP TECHNOLOGY - Within the ADP Technology programs there are several different small system users in Navy.

projects which support an integrated approach towards establishing and monitoring a performance measurement program for all Navy ADP activities. means of judging the performance of ADP organization and (2) to achieve more responsible and PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT - This provides support to NAVDAC goals: (1) to develop a efficient management of ADPE resources throughout the Navy.

I. Description of Operations Financed (Continued).

3. Miscellaneous Field Operations.

The Navy Industrial Resources Support Activity (NAVIRSA), NAVIRSA compiles the management of plant equipment and industrial facilities at in-house (Government-operated) and improvement. NAVIRSA further coordinates Navy policy and procedures, where applicable, for Navy's annual Commercial Activities (CA) inventory for Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) and conducts studies of Navy CA and other statistical data to determine areas of program contractor plants.

Contractor Property Management System database is used annually to post data to the Department of the Navy Standard Form 220, Report on Financial Position, which is provided to the Executive They also coordinate, perform technical evaluations, and establish and maintain a They annually prepare Navy's Departmental Industrial Reserve Plant Report and the Report on Real and Personal Property for the Comptroller of the Navy for use by Congress. The Navy's managment information system for the Manufacturing Technology Program within the

and, documenting and disseminating the findings of all the manufacturing process assessments and objective is met by testing, evaluating, and demonstrating electronics manufacturing technology; manufacturing technology developments. These functions are performed with the goals of helping government and industry to reduce the cost of weapons systems, to attain a faster transition to production, and to eliminate waste by building equipment right the first time. In FY 92 the EMPF will transfer out of NAVIRSA to the Naval Avionics Center in Indiana. Government activities. The thrust of this effort is the development of scientific electronics The Navy's Electronics Manufacturing Productivity Facility (EMPF) is chartered manufacturing processes and process controls, and to demonstrate high quality discipline in Manufacturing in order to achieve a more effective and efficient weapons acquisition cycle. Acquisition (RD&A) to lead a cooperative effort among manufacturers, contractors, and other under the sponsorship of Assistant Secretary of the Navy (ASN), Research, Development &

I. Description of Operations Financed (Continued).

This office will be (equipment, software, and contractural services) which are above specified thresholds; acting, when delegated, as the Department of the Navy Contracting Office for the procurement of the ADPSO is responsible for evaluating and selecting for approval by the senior ADP Policy Official, ADP Resources foregoing ADP resources; and performing such other functions as directed. Automatic Data Processing Selection Office (ADPSO). combining with a portion of NAVDAC to become ITAC in FY 1992.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| | Budget | Appro- | Current | FY1992 Pemiest | FY1993 Request |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|---|----------------------|
| 7.7.7.7.7 | reanbay | TO TO TO | 77 F. W. T. T. C. T. | 7 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A | |
| 13,810 5,706 3,941 | 15,809 5,983 3,792 | 12,124 5,590 3,792 | 9,971 5,590 4,013 | 0 1,896 <u>9,578</u> | 0 1,941 8,399 |
| 23,457 | 25,584 | 21,506 | 19,574 | 11,474 | 19,574 11,474 10,340 |

| Field Operations | 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance |
|------------------|------------------------------------|
| Activity Group: | Budget Activity: |

| · Reconciliation of Increase and Decreases. | 1. FY 1991 Current Estimate | 2. Pricing Adjustments |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| В. | | |

| 4 | a. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raise1) Classified | 99 |
|----|---|--------------|
| Ġ | b. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raise 1) Classified | (184) 184 |
| ပ် | c. Federal Employee Retirement System (FERS)1) Increase reflects anticipated increased | (14) 14 |

£

689

Amount

\$19,574

| 1) Classified | c. Federal Employee Retirement System (FERS)1) Increase reflects anticipated increased participation in the FERS based on current | |
|---------------|--|--|
| | | |

| participation in the FERS based on current | experience. | |
|--|--|--|
| | participation in the FERS based on current | participation in the FERS based on current experience. |

| (295) | (130) |
|---------------|------------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| | djustments |
| DBOF | e. Other Pricing Adjustments |
| d. Other DBOF | . other |
| T | • |

3. Functional Transfers

-2,676

| A. Transfers In | (4,156) |
|---|---------|
| 1) This increase reflects the disestablishment/ | 4,156 |
| reorganization of the Naval Data Automation | |
| Command (NAVDAC) and subsequent transfer of | |
| part of the Budget Activity (BA) 9 resources | |
| to the Automated Data Processing Selection | |
| Office in order to form the newly established | |
| Information Technologies Acquisition Command. | |

| (-6,832) -6,832 |
|---|
| cansfers Out Decrease represents disestablishment/ reorganization of NAVDAC and subsequent transfer of BA 7 resources to NAVTELCOM in BA3, to form of mayal Computer Telecommunications Command. |
| ransfers Out Decrease represents disestablishment/ reorganization of NAVDAC and subsequent transfe of BA 7 resources to NAVTELCOM in BA3, to form of BA 7 resources to Telecommunications Command. the Naval Computer Telecommunications |
| Transfers Out 1) Decrease represents disestablishment/ reorganization of NAVDAC and subsequen of BA 7 resources to NAVTELCOM in BA3, the Naval Computer Telecommunications |
| represent rejon of N ssources t Computer |
| b. Transfers Out 1) Decrease re reorganizati of BA 7 resc the Naval Co |
| b. Tre |

| | (32) 32 | | (-6, 145) -44 | -1,132 | -930 | -147 it |
|--|--|----------------------|--|---|---|--|
| Activity Group: Field Operations Budget Activity: 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Cont'd). | 4. Program Increases a. One-Time FY 1992 Costs 1) Increase reflects one extra day of civilian pay for NAVIRSA and ADPSO. | 5. Program Decreases | a. Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Due to an anticipated reorganization involving ADPSO, required civilian positions will | 2) The following ADP programs have undergone a complete review and have been repriortized and reduced due to the merger of the Naval Data Automation Command and the Naval Telecommunications Command: Financial; | Software Sharing: ADP Technology and Postal Accountability. 3) THAIS decrease resulting from the Information | Engineering redesigned cost reduction. 4) ADP SECURITY program decrease reflects several phases of development tasks that will be completed resulting in a lower programmatic cost profile. |

Ē

32

-6,145

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Cont'd)

| (Cont'd) |
|-----------|
| Degreases |
| Program |
| S. |

| ñ | 5) Decrease reflects the transfer of NAVIKSA Detachment EMPF to Naval Avionics Center, Indiana under the RDT&E,N Appropriation. | -3,116 |
|----|---|--------|
| (9 | 6) With the loss of NAVIRSA Detachment EMPF, certain on-going Engineering Support contract costs directly relating to EMPF | -116 |

<u>=</u>

| Request |
|------------|
| Budget 1 |
| resident's |
| FY 1992 F |
| . 6 |

Pricing Adjustments

| (88) 88 | (248) |
|--|---|
| a. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raise 1) Classified | b. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raise 1) Classified |

438

\$11,474

| 7 | _ |
|----|-------------|
| _ | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | lel |
| | 4 |
| | 0 |
| ğ | Z |
| Ö | 1) Non-Fuel |
| þ. | i |
| | |
| | |

| (18) | (99) |
|---------------|------------------------------|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | f. Other Pricing Adjustments |
| DBOF | Pricing |
| e. Other DBOF | Other |
| á | # |

| Field Operations | 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance |
|------------------|------------------------------------|
| Activity Group: | Budget Activity: |

B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases (Cont'd)

| u3 |
|----------|
| |
| 9988 |
| Ľ |
| Ü |
| ğ |
| g |
| B |
| gr |
| rog |
| <u>a</u> |
| ٠ |
| 8 |

| | | | 1 | | (Y-) |
|---|---------------------------------------|----|--------------|--|----------|
| | A. Annualization of FY 1992 Decreases | F, | 1992 | Decreases | 5 |
| ; | 1) Proping land of | Ţ | 444 | in a second direction of the reorganization Within | ٥ ۱ |
| | T) VUUNTITEALION | 5 | נוני נוני | | |
| | ADPSO. | | | | |

<u>=</u>

⊕ ₩

-1,572

(-408)b. One-Time FY 1992 Costs

1) Decrease represents the one-time support costs associated with the relocation of EMPF such as moving expenses.

-374 furniture and equipment for additional personnel. melding of two activities. The costs include outfitting costs associated with the physical Decrease reflects the one-time FY 1992 7

3) Decrease reflects the need to pay for one less civilian day of work.

(-1,096) -1,096 1) Reduced requirements as a result of the c. Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 decrease in operating forces.

9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

\$10,340

066000

Activity Group: Pield Operations
Budget Activity: 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance

III. Performance Criteria.

| FY 1993 | 0 | 0 ÷ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | a | 0 | | 56 | 7 | œ | | • |
|-------------------|-----------|-------|--------------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----|-----------------------|
| FY 1992 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | a | 0 | | 26 | T | 6 |) (| • |
| FY 1991 | 59 | 2,950 | 0 | 524 | 2,357 | 1,017 | 0 | 696 | 0 | 0 | 318 | 0 | 140 | 806 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 377 | 454 | 9,971 | | 56 | 2 | œ | י ר | |
| FY 1990 | 54 | 3,679 | 0 | 478 | 916 | 1,056 | 0 | 1,008 | 863 | 418 | 723 | 376 | 755 | 615 | 276 | 136 | 438 | 320 | 344 | 1,355 | 13,810 | | 56 | 1 | a | 7 0 | • |
| A. NAVDAC (\$000) | FINANCIAL | THAIS | ARCHITECTURE | POSTAL ACCOUNTABILITY | BASE COM SUPPORT | COMMON USER NET | IS STANDARDS | TELEPROCESSING | NAVY CONTRACTS | TOOLS & TECHNIQUES | SOFTWARE LANGUAGES | BASIS | SOFTWARE SHARING | ADP SECURITY | CONFIGURATION MGMT | 3RD PARTY TEST | ADP TECHNOLOGY | PERFORMANCE MGMT | LIFE CYCLE MGMT | DON USB | NAVDAC TOTAL | B. NAVIRSA/EMPF (\$000) | 1. Command Inspections | 2. Assist Visits (Plant Equip) | 3. Best Manufacturing | | 4. Data Bases Managed |

Activity Group: Field Operations
Budget Activity: 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance

III. Performance Criteria. (Cont'd)

| FY 1993 | 56 E | ÷ | 0 | o | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,399 | 26/6.0 8/2.5 24/2.1 58/10.6 |
|--------------------------|---|--------------------|---|-------|----|--|--|--|--|--|------------------|---|
| FY 1992 FY | 56 | • | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9,578 | 27/6.5 9/3.0 20/1.3 56/10.8 |
| FY 1991 | 54 | 4 | 7,600 | 42 | 30 | 35 | 2,200 | 10 | 16 | 09 | 4,013 | 25/5.0 7/1.1 20/1.3 52/7.4 |
| FY 1990 | 54 | 4 | 7,791 | 40 | 28 | 4 2 | 2,400 | 10 orv | 16 | 09 | 3,941 | 25/5.0 5/0.4 25/1.4 55/6.8 000900 |
| B. NAVIRSA/EMPF (Cont'd) | 5. Manufacturing Technology Projects Monitored | of Navy Centers of | Process Commercial Inventory Records | ם ע מ | | Articles in is & Newslet to Electron | Hotline and Public Technology Problem | <pre>12. Hosts or Co-Hosts Electronics Seminars Hosts or Co-Hosts Executive Advisory</pre> | Board and Electron Manufacturer's Com | 14. Frovide Public interest jours of Facilities | C. ADPSO (\$000) | Contracts (#/\$B) PreAward In Process Awarded Post Award Management Total Contracts |

| | | \$3,950 | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--------------------|---------|--------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| | | \$4,156 | | FY 1993 | | FY 1993 | ; | 18 6 | * |
| | | 0\$ | ntrols. | FY 1992 0 | | FY 1992 | , | 11 8 c | , |
| ral Supply and Maintenance | | 0\$ (00 | Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls. | FY 1991 avings \$402 | | FY 1991 | | 에 쿡 근 | |
| Field Operations 7 - Central Supply | eria (Cont'd). | oort Efforts (\$000) | corporated in C | TITLE THAIS Savings | 4 | FY 1990 | | ଯା କ ମ | |
| | Performance Criteria | ADP Central Support Total | t Savings In | T # TYPE # | Personnel Summary. | | th (E/S) | מרץ פר | |
| Activity Group: Budget Activity: | III. Perf | ADP | Audi | AUDIT # 89-122 | IV. Perso | | End Strength (E/S) | A. Military Officer Enlisted | |

137

146

102

126

Civilian USDH

=

Operations and Maintenance, Navy Department of the Navy Exhibit OP-5

> Activity Group: Claimant:

Chief of Naval Operations (OP-09B)

7-Central Supply and Maintenance Military Construction Support Budget Activity:

Description of Operations Financed

All This program provides for the procurement of collateral equipment that is required to initially outfit new military construction at naval shore activities within the CNO Claimancy. This program was previously centrally budgeted by the Naval Facilities Engineering command. However, effective FY 1991, budgeting and funding responsibility for collateral equipment transfers from the Naval Facilities Engineering Command to the benefiting major claimant. funding however, is still centrally budgeted in Budget Activity 7.

Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands). II.

Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

Request FY1993 Budget Request FY1992 Budget Estimate Current Request priation FY 1991 Appro-Budget FY 1990

Collateral Equipm**ent**

2,345 2,345 3,909

2,085

2,400

Summary of Price and Program Growth.

ω.

See next page.

| ပ | | Reconciliation of Increase and Decreases. | | Amount |
|---|----|--|--------------------|---------|
| | 1. | FY 1991 Current Estimate | | \$2,345 |
| | 2 | Pricing Adjustments | | 91 |
| | | a. Other Pricing Adjustments | (91) | |
| | 3. | Program Increases | | 2,400 |
| | | a. One-Time FY 1992 costs 1) Provides collateral equipage support for MILCON projects P-157 (Academic Building, NAVPGSCOL), and P-146 (Public Works Complex, NAVPGSCOL) | (2,400) 2,400 | |
| | 4. | Program Decreases. | | -2,436 |
| | | a. One-Time FY 1991 Costs 1) Reflects support of claimant collateral equipment requirements for MILCON projects completing in FY 1991. | (-2,436) -2,436 | |
| | ۍ. | FY 1992 President's Budget Request | | 2,400 |
| | • | Pricing Adjustments | | 89 |
| | | a. Other Pricing Adjustments | (68) | |

| Military Construction Support | 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Activity Group: | Budget Activity: |

| (Cont'd) |
|-------------------|
| Decreases |
| and De |
| Increase |
| of |
| Reconciliation or |
| ť |

2,085

Amount

| ses |
|-----------|
| Increases |
| Program |
| 7. |

| (2,085) | 2,085 | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|----------------------------|
| a. One-Time FY 1993 Costs | 1) Provides MILCON Collateral Equipment support | for P-040 (Research & Development Facility | (NRL) Washington), P-346 (Academic Facility, | NAVFITWEPSCOL), P-306 (Child Care Center, NUW), | P-304 (Hazardous Waste Facility, NDW), P-208 | (Replace Warehouse, USNA). |

Program Decreases 8.

| (-2,489) -2,489 | |
|---------------------------|---|
| a. One-Time FY 1992 costs | equipment requirements for MILCON projects completing in FY 1992. |

FY 1993 President's Budget Request 6

2,085

-2,489

Performance Criteria. III.

| FY 1993 | 2,085 |
|---------|----------------------|
| FY 1992 | 2,400 |
| FY 1991 | 2,345 |
| FY 1990 | 0 |
| | Collateral Equipment |

Personnel Summary. IV.

No personnel are associated with this activity group.

406000

;

Addendum to Exhibit OP-5

| Military Construction Support 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance |
|---|
| Activity Group: Budget Activity: |

Reconciliation of Budget to Current Estimate **.**

FY 1991 President's Budget Request (amended)

Other Decreases 7

to collateral equipment due to award delays of authorized MILCON projects resulting from the adjustments, the most significant of which was the indefinite deferral of the ROTHR Guam Programmatic Decreases

1) Reduction represents a one-time adjustment FY 1990 moratorium and MILCON program installation. . 0

FY 1991 Appropriation/Current Estimate

Amount \$3,909

(-1,564) -1,564

-1,564

Department of the Navy Operations and Maintenance, Navy Exhibit OP-5

Claimant: Chief of Naval Operations (OP-09B)
Activity Group: Base Operations
Budget Activity: 7-Central Supply and Maintenance

Description of Operations Financed.

Provides for Morale, Welfare and Recreation support for the Naval Research Laboratory (NRL), as well as planning and management support to the Navy Base Operations Support - Other (F3). Energy Program. Morale, Welfare, and Recreation - (FL). Provides authorized appropriated fund support for Supports a supervised and organized recreational program for the benefit and morale of assigned military personnel, tenant personnel and eligible DOD civilians.

This program will reduce energy usage and costs across the Navy Other Base Services - (FR). Provides planning and management support to the Navy Energy of this program provides more energy efficient methods and systems for application to in response to energy conservation goals promulgated by White House Executive Order, Defense Energy Memoranda, and OPNAV instructions. ships, aircraft and facilities.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout.

| 1 | FY1993 Request | 235 | 1,845 |
|------|-------------------------|--|------------------------|
| | FY1992 Request | 225 | 1,810 1,871 |
| | urrent stimate | 270 | 1,810 |
| 1991 | ppro- riation | 259 1,540 | 1,799 |
| | Budget A O Request p | 267 1,592 | 1,859 |
| | FY 1990 | 241 | 6,548 |
| | | Morale, Welfare & Recreation Other Base Services | Total, Base Operations |

B. Summary of Price and Program Growth. See next page.

| بدن | Base Operations 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance | | Amount |
|------------|--|--------------|--------|
| C. Kecollo | Vecolicittactor A therease and protected | | 0.0 |
| 1. FY | FY 1991 Current Estimate | | 018,15 |
| 2. Pr | Pricing Adjustments | | 88 |
| d | a. Industrial Fund | (75) | |
| Ġ. | b. Other Pricing Adjustments | (13) | |
| 3. PI | Program Increases | | 35 |
| ed | a. Other Program Growth in FY 1992 1) This increase in the Navy Energy Program expedites the availability of handheld fuel use management calculators and pre-flight planning software for aircraft to improve fuel efficiency and range by approximately 1% per aircraft. This is a continuing effort, with different classes of aircraft addressed each year. | (35) 35 | |
| 4. P | Program Decreases | | -62 |
| res | a. One-Time FY 1991 Costs 1) Decrease reflects a reduced number of non-recurring maintenance jobs at the Naval Research Lab. | (-46) -46 | |
| Ω | b. Other Program Decreases in FY 19921) O&MN support to the Navy's geothermal engineering work terminates after 1991. | (-16) -16 | |

III. Performance Criteria.

| | I | FY 1990 | FY 1991 | FY 1992 | FY 1993 |
|--|---|-----------------------|------------|-------------------|----------|
| MORALE, WELFARE AND RECREATION | ATION (\$000) | 241 | 270 | 225 | 235 0 |
| MILITARY E/S | | o C | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| CIVILIAN E/S | | · c | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL PERSONNEL E/S | | 169 | 165 | 165 | 165 |
| MILITARY E/S SERVED | | 3.654 | 3,599 | 3,599 | 3,599 |
| CIVILIANS/DEPENDENTS E/S SERVED POPULATION SERVED, TOTAL | SERVED | 3,823 | 3,764 | 3,764 | 3,764 |
| | | | | | |
| OTHER BASE SERVICES (\$000) | | 1,496 | 1,540 | 1,646 | 1,610 |
| SqeT | Energy Research | | | | |
| navid maylor Research | Application of improved boiler control systems to | control | systems to | the steam powered | powered |
| Center (DTRC) Navy Civil Engineering | ship fleet to reduce fuel consumption. Application of energy saving methods at the shore | umption. ethods at | the shore | facility | |
| Laboratory (NCEL) Naval Air Development | establishments. Transition of computerized mission planning systems for | sion plan | ning syste | ms for air | aircraft |
| Center (NADC) | fuel management. Transition of computerized mission planning systems for aircraft | sion plan | ning syste | ms for air | craft |
| center (NAPC) | fuel management. | | | | |

Audit Savings Incorporated in Current Budget Controls

N/A

IV. Personnel Summary. Not Applicable.

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Assistant for Administration to the Under Secretary of the Navy 7 - Central Supply and Maintenance Command and Administration Budget Activity: Activity Group:

I. Description of Operations Financed.

operational support for a Naval communications facility. Policies and directives are established and implemented to assist in the evaluation of acquisition programs for The Command and Administration activity group provides staff support for the development of Department of Navy acquisition policies and programs. This activity group also provides reliability, maintainability, productivity and quality for Naval development and procurement.

information and management systems, and resource allocation mechanisms in each stage of the Program, Planning and Budgeting System process that concurrently might enhance the performance measures, policies, and mechanisms for selected functional areas and organizations that might concurrently focus the efforts of the total system on the achievement of goals directly related to aircraft availability, 3) identify cost reduction Aviation Logistics Enhancement - The objectives of this effort are to: 1) improve aviation responsiveness of the aviation logistics system in the face of uncertainty, 2) improve the opportunities through analyses of resource investment tradeoffs and management adaptations readiness and sustainability through identification and evaluation of alternative policies, integration of the aviation logistics system by identifying and evaluating alternative goals, the system while maintaining the efficiency of responsiveness to the needs of the fleet. concurrently enhance and

and services by reducing the number and value of noncompetitive contracts through training and awareness of acquisition personnel of the benefits and necessity for competitive Navy Competition Program - This effort is designed to reduce the cost of acquisition of goods procurement. The Competition Program also provides an ombudsman for resolution of industry problems/concerns pertaining to DON acquisition and competition policy.

000910

Assistant for Administration to the Under Secretary of the Navy Command and Administration (continued) Activity Group: Claimant:

as funding the travel costs for civilian and military personnel assigned on a one-time tasking to perform overhead should cost analysis, subscription to the Dun and Bradstreet file participation with the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Financial Management in the decrease acquisition costs through better business management. This includes such projects of contractor data for development of the Naval Supply Buyer Information Service, and provide management reports and statistical data on contract profit and fee analysis, 2) Priority Contract Closeout which provides contractor support at selected priority locations to reduce contract closeout backlog, and 3) Business Management Program which strives to Reporting and Analysis which supports field entry of data into a central data base and to Procurement Policy - This program has three initiatives which are 1) Corporate Profit development of a Navy Government Furnished Material accounting system.

necessary to effectively use the engineering practices previously developed in cooperation Product Integrity - These initiatives are Secretary of the Navy issues which focus on improved Fleet Readiness by supporting technical investigations, assessing risk and developing technical guideline documents to solve design and manufacturing engineering problems that plague Navy Acquisition programs. In FY 1990, the Enhanced Design Engineering for Quality Program commenced educating acquisition process personnel (program management, technical, financial and contractual). These courses provide the knowledge and skills technical, financial technical, financial and contractual). with industry.

includes: in-depth analysis to ensure that contract documents are tailored to meet the operational requirements and are not overstated; identification of barriers to acquisition improvement; and continuous improvement in all aspects of the acquisition cycle through and technically correct. A continuing long-term effort is underway to review, analyze and implementation of the Defense Management Review. The Acquisition Improvement initiative process review, analysis and elimination of inappropriate, wasteful or inefficient procedures increasing competition, eliminating non-cost effective contract requirements, increasing the use of commercial standards, increasing the use of non-development items, emphasizing the use of value engineering, and assisting in the implementation of total quality management as a viable management concept. The Specification Improvement initiative strives to ensure that the specification documents which form the basis for contractual performance are current modify over 8,000 specifications/standards by the end of FY 92 in accordance with the Navy initiatives, is to improve the acquisition process within the Department of Navy through Acquisition Process - The Acquisition Process Improvement program, encompassing several

<u>Assistant for Administration to the Under Secretary of the Navy</u> Command and Administration (continued) Activity Group: claimant:

assessments for fire protection, damage control, disaster preparedness, hazardous and toxic materials, flight operations, and direct combat survivability that emphasize missign the Department of the Navy. Using non-developmental items (NDIs) that are often commercially available, improvements in S&S are expedited to the fleet by assessing and qualifying items for immediate use. Funds are used for direct procurement of NDIs which undergo operational Safety and Survivability - The Assistant Deputy Under Secretary of the Navy for Safety & Survivability is responsible for enhancing operational safety and survivability (S&S) for materials, flight operations, and direcsustainability and reduced vulnerability.

expertise to all levels of the Department. Current efforts include program design, development of a clearing house on TQM information, provision of TQM education and consultative services, and TQM development and information, provision of TQM education for improving quality while reducing costs and time required in the acquisition process. The Under Secretary of the Navy has established an office to implement TQM and provide provide Total Quality Management (TOM) - This initiative is the Department of the Navy's strategy training efforts.

includes approximately 2500 cubic feet of files, records, supplies and support equipment to Naval Material Data Systems Group (NAVMATDATA) - This program provides support for a facility occupying 10,500 square feet of leased space housing a Naval communications facility, that accomodate 400 people.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands).

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout

| FY 1993 Budget Request | 191 01 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|
| FY 1992 Budget Request | 191 01 121 01 210 3 |
| Current | |
| FY 1991 Appro- priation | 1 |
| Budget | |
| FY 1990 Actual | |
| | nd & |

9,817 9,170 10,816 Administration Command

5000 402 \$9,817 101 -149 Assistant for Administration to the Under Secretary of the Navy -125 (100)(E) (B) (B) (391)(1) (-149)100 provide improved product quality. Funding Product Deficiency Report and Evaluation submitted and entered in the centralized Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises System (PDREP) established by SECNAV to NAVMATDATA Systems Group - Decrease due 1) One additional paid workday in FY 1992 to reduction of contracted microfiche Command and Administration (continued) 1) Product Integrity - Decrease for the relocation of transmittal facility contractor data that is collected, decrease will reduce the level of Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases, Annualization of FY 1991 Increase for NAVMATDATA Systems Group, Increase to support cost of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises Other Pricing Adjustments One-Time FY 1992 Costs FY 1991 Current Estimate Pricing Adjustments Classified Classified Program Increases Program Decreases database. 5 Activity Group: ပ ż œ. Ä æ . Claimant: Β.

-5

9

effort in reviewing technical specifications

Acquisition Process - Decrease in contractor

support

9

Total Quality Management - Decrease in Total

reports and statistical data.

Quality Management development and training offorts.

Procurement Policy - Decrease in management

for standardization.

4

ŝ

9-

7

ũ

| <u>avy</u> | 0003 | \$10,171 | 379 | ਛੱ | -389 | \$10,161 | |
|------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| retary of the No | | | | (3) 3 (9) 9 (367) | | (-1) -1 (-388) (-388) | |
| | Try Group: Command | dent's Budget Request | Pricing Adjustments | A. Annu B. FY | rodram Decreases | A. One-Time F 1) One les B. Other Proguct Product System provide data be in the in the 2) NAVMATI due to support transm 3) Acquis | 8. FY 1993 President's Budget Kequest |

316060.

Ξ 452 569 538 987 991 1,836 10,161 2,546 2,542 FY 1993 Assistant for Administration to the Under Secretary of the Navy 2,459 1,772 2,487 10,171 953 953 768 260 FY 1992 519 FY 1991 9,817 2,512 5,369 1,707 647 500 918 914 250 FY 1990 2,231 10,816 3,187 906 250 528 264 3,450 Command and Administration (continued) TOTAL COMMAND AND ADMINISTRATION Aviaticn Logistics Enhancement III. Performance Criteria Command and Administration NAVMATDATA Systems Group Safety and Survivability Total Quality Management Navy Competition Program Acquisition Process Procurement Policy Product Integrity Activity Group: claimant:

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME

Assistant for Administration to the Under Secretary of the Navy Command and Administration (continued)

Claimant: Activity Group:

Personnel Summary IV.

FY 1993 FY 1992 FY 1991 FY 1990

End Strength (E/S)

NAA Military Officer Enlisted Ä

010 Civilian USDH В.

N N

വവ

N 10

=

300818

·,

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Assistant for Administration to the Under Secretary of the Navy 7-Central Supply and Maintenance Field Operations Budget Activity: Activity Group: Claimant:

I. Description of Operations Financed.

for providing independent cost estimates of all major DON acquisition programs to the OSD Cost Analysis Improvement Group (CAIG) in support of program milestone decisions by SECDEF as required by Section 1203 (a)(1), Chapter 4, Title X of the U.S. Code. Funding provides for the salaries, travel and training of personnel engaged in cost analyses and in equipment used in cost estimating and in administrative support; for rental and furnishing The Naval Center for Cost Analysis (NCCA) is the DON agency charged with the responsibility administrative support services; for purchase and support of information system (IS) of office spaces; and for access to and collection of cost data necessary to support independent cost analysis.

In addition, NCCA supports the Navy Acquisition Executive (ASN(RD&A)) with independent cost estimates and assessments of DON acquisition programs presented to the Navy or Marine Corps Program Decision Meeting (NPDM/MCPDM) for decision. Finally, NCCA supports the Chief of Naval Operations with assessments of selected programs presented to the CNO Executive Board (CEB) and to Acquisition Review Boards (ARBs) within the Systems Commands. NCCA reports to the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Financial Management and provides staff support to this official in his role as the DON policy official for cost analysis.

II. Financial Summary (Dollars in Thousands)

A. Sub-Activity Group Breakout

| | FY 1990 | Budget Reguest | Budget Appro- Current Request priation Estimate | Current Estimate | Budget Request | Budget Reguest | |
|---------------------|---------|-------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| Field Operations | 2,326 | 2,720 | 2,710 | 2,702 | 2,782 | 2,795 | |

| | | \$2,782 |
|--|--|---------|
| | | |

-51 -17

Other Program Decreases in FY 1992 1) Savings resulting from SECNAV efficiency

1) Executive Level & SES Pay Raise Annualization of FY 1991 Decreases

Program Decreases

Ä

œ.

(9-) (-68)

| 0005 | \$2,702 | 111 | Ē | | | 43 | | | | -74 |
|--|---------|-----|---|--|---|----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|-----|
| | | | (26) | (67) 67 | (17) | | (8) | 8 (35) | 35 | |
| Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. | | | A. Annualization of FY 1991 Direct Pay Raises | 1) Classified B. FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified | c. Other DBOF D. Other Pricing Adjustments | 3. Program Increases | A. One-Time FY 1992 Cost | Employment in FY 1992 | B. Other Program Growen in first. 1) Increase of one analyst billet to reduce the current deficiencies in documentation of independent cost estimates | |
| 6 | } | | | | | | | | | |

Claimant: Assistant for Administration to the Under Secretary of the Navy

Activity Group: Field Operations (Continued)

000518

FY 1992 President's Budget Request

5

2) Civilian Support Reduction

initiatives

-186

\$5,795

| of the Navy | | (27) 27 (79) 79 (2) (14) | (77) 1 76 | (-9) (-1,7) -96 -61 |
|----------------------|--|--|----------------------------------|---|
| o the Under nued) | B. Reconciliation of Increases and Decreases. (cont'd) | 6. Fricing Adjustments A. Annualization of FY 1992 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified B. FY 1993 Direct Pay Raises 1) Classified C. Other DBOF D. Other Pricing Adjustments | ogram In Other 1) Ann add 2) Fur | 8. Program Decreases A. One-Time FY 1992 Costs Other Program Decreases in FY 1993 Savings of two personnel and support costs from SECNAV efficiency initiatives Costs from Support Reduction Miscellaneous reductions in contractual support, communications and travel costs |

17

\$000

122

Ē

DIG...

9. FY 1993 President's Budget Request

Assistant for Administration to the Under Secretary of the Navy Activity Group: Field Operations (Continued) Claimant:

Approximately twenty-four (24) system Independent Cost Estimates (ICEs) are performed each Resources Management Board (JRMB), or the Navy Program Decision Meeting (NPDM), and the year in support of Department of Defense Cost Analysis Improvement Group (CAIG), Joint Major Automated Information Systems Review Council (MAISRC).

Cost assessments are performed on Chief of Naval Operations (CNO) Executive board major and minor programs in support of CNO Executive Board (CEB), Acquisition Review Board (ARB), Ships Characteristics Improvement Board (SCIB) and other management decision forums.

Major programs are studied to assess the effects of competition on costs.

Cost study programs focus on several major areas: data bases, new methodology, and acquisition policy.

NO FURTHER AUDIT SAVINGS ARE IDENTIFIED AT THIS TIME

Personnel Summary IV. FY 1993 FY 1992 FY 1991 FY 1990

End Strength E/S

| ဆေထ | 37 |
|---------------------|------------|
| 8 | 38 |
| 8 | 37 |
| 6 | 35 |
| Military Officer | civilian |
| ÷ | . B |

35

ยี่เจปจีปี